Deep Generative Models

Lecture 5

Roman Isachenko

AI Masters

Autumn, 2022

Recap of previous lecture

LVM

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{z} = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}$$

- More powerful $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ leads to more powerful generative model $p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$.
- Too powerful $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ could lead to posterior collapse: $q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ will not carry any information about $\mathbf{x}$ and close to prior $p(\mathbf{z})$.

Autoregressive decoder

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} p(x_j|\mathbf{x}_{1:j-1}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Global structure is captured by latent variables $\mathbf{z}$.
- Local statistics are captured by limited receptive field of autoregressive context $\mathbf{x}_{1:j-1}$.

Gulrajani I. et al. PixelVAE: A Latent Variable Model for Natural Images, 2016

Recap of previous lecture

Decoder weakening

- Powerful decoder $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ makes the model expressive, but posterior collapse is possible.
- PixelVAE model uses the autoregressive PixelCNN model with small number of layers to limit receptive field.

KL annealing

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \beta) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi})} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \beta \cdot KL(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) || p(\mathbf{z}))$$

Start training with $\beta = 0$, increase it until $\beta = 1$ during training.

Free bits

Ensure the use of less than λ bits of information:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi})} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \max(\lambda, KL(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) || p(\mathbf{z}))).$$

This results in $KL(q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) || p(\mathbf{z})) \geq \lambda$.

Recap of previous lecture

VAE objective

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \rightarrow \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

IWAE objective

$$\mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_K \sim q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \log \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_k|\mathbf{x}, \phi)} \right) \rightarrow \max_{\phi, \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$

Theorem

1. $\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_M(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \text{for } K \geq M;$
2. $\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathcal{L}_K(q, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ if $\frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \phi)}$ is bounded.

- IWAE makes the variational bound tighter and extends the class of variational distributions.
- Gradient signal becomes really small, training is complicated.
- IWAE is a standard quality measure for VAE models.

Burda Y., Grosse R., Salakhutdinov R. Importance Weighted Autoencoders, 2015

Recap of previous lecture

Jacobian matrix

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a differentiable function.

$$\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial x_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial x_m} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$$

Change of variable theorem (CoV)

Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a random variable with density function $p(\mathbf{x})$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a differentiable, invertible function (diffeomorphism). If $\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} = f^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) = g(\mathbf{z})$, then

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{z}) |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)| = p(\mathbf{z}) \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right| = p(f(\mathbf{x})) \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right|$$

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = p(\mathbf{x}) |\det(\mathbf{J}_g)| = p(\mathbf{x}) \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \right) \right| = p(g(\mathbf{z})) \left| \det\left(\frac{\partial g(\mathbf{z})}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \right) \right|.$$

Outline

1. Normalizing flows (NF)

2. Forward and Reverse KL for NF

3. Linear flows

Outline

Generative models zoo



Jacobian determinant

Inverse function theorem

If function f is invertible and Jacobian matrix is continuous and non-singular, then

$$\mathbf{J}_f = \mathbf{J}_{g^{-1}} = \mathbf{J}_g^{-1}; \quad |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)| = \frac{1}{|\det(\mathbf{J}_g)|}.$$

- $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ have the same dimensionality ($\mathbb{R}^m$).
- $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ could be parametric function.
- Determinant of Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$ shows how the volume changes under the transformation.



https://jmtomczak.github.io/blog/3/3_flows.html

Fitting normalizing flows

MLE problem

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{z}) \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right| = p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right|$$

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)| \to \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$



Dinh L., Sohl-Dickstein J., Bengio S. Density estimation using Real NVP, 2016

Composition of normalizing flows

Theorem

Diffeomorphisms are **composable** (If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ satisfy conditions of the change of variable theorem, then $\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{x}) = f_K \circ \cdots \circ f_1(\mathbf{x})$ also satisfies it).

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = p(f(\mathbf{x})) \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right| = p(f(\mathbf{x})) \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_K}{\partial \mathbf{f}_{K-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_1}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right| =$$

$$= p(f(\mathbf{x})) \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_k}{\partial \mathbf{f}_{k-1}} \right) \right| = p(f(\mathbf{x})) \prod_{k=1}^{K} |\det(\mathbf{J}_{f_k})|$$



https://lilianweng.github.io/lil-log/2018/10/13/flow-based-deep-generative-models.html

Normalizing flows (NF)

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)|$$

Definition

Normalizing flow is a *differentiable, invertible* mapping from data $\mathbf{x}$ to the noise $\mathbf{z}$.

- **Normalizing** means that the inverse flow takes samples from $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ and normalizes them into samples from the density $p(\mathbf{z})$.
- **Flow** refers to the trajectory followed by samples from $p(\mathbf{z})$ as they are transformed by the sequence of transformations

$$\mathbf{z} = f_K \circ \cdots \circ f_1(\mathbf{x}); \quad \mathbf{x} = f_1^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_K^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) = g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_K(\mathbf{z})$$

Log likelihood

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f_K \circ \cdots \circ f_1(\mathbf{x})) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_{f_k})|,$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{f_k} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_k}{\partial \mathbf{f}_{k-1}}$.

Note: Here we consider only **continuous** random variables.

Normalizing flows

Example of a 4-step flow



Flow log likelihood

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)|$$

What is the complexity of the determinant computation?

What we need:

- efficient computation of the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}_f = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$;
- efficient inversion of $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$;
- loss function to minimize.

Papamakarios G. et al. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference, 2019

Outline

1. Normalizing flows (NF)

2. Forward and Reverse KL for NF

3. Linear flows

Forward KL vs Reverse KL

Forward KL $\equiv$ MLE

$$
\begin{aligned}
KL(\pi || p) &= \int \pi(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{\pi(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} d\mathbf{x} \\
&= -\mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \text{const} \to \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Forward KL for NF model

$$
\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)|
$$

$$
KL(\pi || p) = -\mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})} [\log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)|] + \text{const}
$$

- We need to be able to compute $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and its Jacobian.
- We need to be able to compute the density $p(\mathbf{z})$.
- We don't need to think about computing the function $g(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = f^{-1}(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ until we want to sample from the flow.

Forward KL vs Reverse KL

Reverse KL

$$
\begin{aligned}
KL(p||\pi) &= \int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\pi(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log \pi(\mathbf{x}) \right] \rightarrow \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Reverse KL for NF model (LOTUS trick)

$$
\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\mathbf{z}) + \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_f)| = \log p(\mathbf{z}) - \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_g)|
$$

$$
KL(p||\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z})} \left[\log p(\mathbf{z}) - \log |\det(\mathbf{J}_g)| - \log \pi(g(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \right]
$$

- We need to be able to compute $g(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and its Jacobian.
- We need to be able to sample from the density $p(\mathbf{z})$ (do not need to evaluate it) and to evaluate(!) $\pi(\mathbf{x})$.
- We don't need to think about computing the function $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Flow KL duality

Theorem

Fitting NF model $p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ to the target distribution $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ using forward KL (MLE) is equivalent to fitting the induced distribution $p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ to the base $p(\mathbf{z})$ using reverse KL:

$$\arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} KL(\pi(\mathbf{x})||p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} KL(p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})||p(\mathbf{z})).$$



Papamakarios G. et al. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference, 2019

Flow KL duality

Theorem

$$\arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} KL(\pi(\mathbf{x})||p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} KL(p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})||p(\mathbf{z})).$$

Proof

- $\mathbf{z} \sim p(\mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{x} = g(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$;
- $\mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{z} \sim p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$;

$$\log p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \pi(g(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log|\det(\mathbf{J}_g)|;$$
$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log|\det(\mathbf{J}_f)|.$$

$$KL\left(p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})||p(\mathbf{z})\right) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}\left[\log p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log p(\mathbf{z})\right] =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}\left[\log \pi(g(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log|\det(\mathbf{J}_g)| - \log p(\mathbf{z})\right] =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})}\left[\log \pi(\mathbf{x}) - \log|\det(\mathbf{J}_f)| - \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))\right] =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})}\left[\log \pi(\mathbf{x}) - \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})\right] = KL(\pi(\mathbf{x})||p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})).$$

Papamakarios G., Pavlakou T., Murray I. Masked Autoregressive Flow for Density Estimation, 2017

Outline

1. Normalizing flows (NF)
2. Forward and Reverse KL for NF
3. Linear flows

Jacobian structure

Normalizing flows log-likelihood

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right|$$

The main challenge is a determinant of the Jacobian matrix.

What is the $det(\mathbf{J})$ in the following cases?

Consider a linear layer $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

1. Let $\mathbf{z}$ be a permutation of $\mathbf{x}$.
2. Let z_j depend only on x_j.

$$\log \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \right| = \log \left| \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f_j(x_j, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_j} \right| = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \log \left| \frac{\partial f_j(x_j, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_j} \right|.$$

3. Let z_j depend only on $\mathbf{x}_{1:j}$ (autoregressive dependency).

Linear flows

$$\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{J}_f = \mathbf{W}^T$$

In general, we need $O(m^3)$ to invert matrix.

Invertibility

- Diagonal matrix $O(m)$.
- Triangular matrix $O(m^2)$.
- It is impossible to parametrize all invertible matrices.

Invertible 1x1 conv

$\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times c}$ - kernel of 1x1 convolution with c input and c output channels. The computational complexity of computing or differentiating $\det(\mathbf{W})$ is $O(c^3)$. Cost to compute $\det(\mathbf{W})$ is $O(c^3)$. It should be invertible.

Kingma D. P., Dhariwal P. Glow: Generative Flow with Invertible 1x1 Convolutions, 2018

Linear flows

$$\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{J}_f = \mathbf{W}^T$$

Matrix decompositions

- **LU-decomposition**

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U},$$

  where $\mathbf{P}$ is a permutation matrix, $\mathbf{L}$ is lower triangular with positive diagonal, $\mathbf{U}$ is upper triangular with positive diagonal.

- **QR-decomposition**

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R},$$

  where $\mathbf{Q}$ is an orthogonal matrix, $\mathbf{R}$ is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal.

Decomposition should be done only once in the beggining. Next, we fit decomposed matrices ($\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{U}$ or $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{R}$).

Kingma D. P., Dhariwal P. Glow: Generative Flow with Invertible 1x1 Convolutions, 2018

Hoogeboom E., et al. Emerging convolutions for generative normalizing flows, 2019

Summary

- Normalizing flows transform a simple base distribution to a complex one via a sequence of invertible transformations with tractable Jacobian.
- Normalizing flows have a tractable likelihood that is given by the change of variable theorem.
- We fit normalizing flows using forward or reverse KL minimization.
- Linear flows try to parametrize set of invertible matrices via matrix decompositions.