

Functional Correctness

Erik Goughnour

April 2023

1 Introduction

Here we are interested in determining the functional correctness of the software as written. The function `nonnegdef` should return a symmetric, non-negative definite square matrix with eigenvalues on the unit circle.

The degree to which the generated matrix, \mathbf{M} , differs from its Hermitian adjoint—in terms of simple subtraction—should tell us something about the correctness. By inspection of $\mathbf{M}_\epsilon = \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}^H$, we can determine the cumulative effects of bounded numerical accuracy—as well as algorithmic correctness. The matrix is claimed to be symmetric and non-negative definite, we thus naturally start with \mathbf{M}_ϵ . \mathbf{M}_ϵ^U is not free in the sense that each element is necessarily identical in magnitude to its counterpart in \mathbf{M}_ϵ^L (and opposite in phase).

The Frobenius norm of the difference will be a good indication of how far it differs from the ideal result, $\mathbf{0}$. As indicated above, restricting the evaluation to the lower triangular matrix can be done without loss of information.

$$s(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}^L\|_F \quad (1)$$

More explicitly, the computation is

$$s = \left[\sum_{\substack{j \leq i \\ i}} |a_{i,j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

Now as for the domain

$$\text{Dom}(s) = \{\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n,n}^{\mathbb{C}}\} \quad (3)$$

In words s is the root of the element-wise sum of squared moduli of the lower triangular matrix of \mathbf{A} .

2 The Norm of $\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}^H$

The best we can hope to do is understand the expectation value over multiple executions, particularly if we consider the limit as the number of executions increases without bound.

$$\mathbf{M}_\epsilon = \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}^H \quad (4)$$

The value of interest is a scalar, v , as defined below

$$v = E[s(\mathbf{M}_\epsilon)] \quad (5)$$

Consider the ratio of the number of non-zero elements in a strictly upper triangular square matrix to the number of total elements in the same. For an $n \times n$ matrix, the first non-trivial value is $1/4$, for $n=2$. The triangular numbers sum to $(n)(n+1)/2$, but we can simply re-index this to account for the strictness—which implies zeros on the diagonal. Then we have $(n)(n-1)/2$ for the maximum number of non-zero elements, and of course n^2 for the total element count.

We can simplify this ratio by removing the common factor of n . This leaves $(n-1)/2n$. Now consider this in the limit as n increases without bound. By inspection we have clearly $1/2$ in the leading terms. More rigor would bear this out, but any attempt to regurgitate L'Hôpital's Rule verbatim is omitted here.

By $n=20$ (as in the example code) we already have a value of $19/40$.