DOCUMENTATION

Evaluating Bias in Facial Recognition Systems

Project Outline:

Introduction

- Objective: To assess the fairness and bias in facial recognition technologies used by law enforcement, with a specific focus on demographic discrepancies (age, gender, race).
- <u>Background</u>: Discuss the increasing use of facial recognition by law enforcement agencies and the societal concerns it raises, particularly regarding bias and discrimination.

Problem Statement

- Identify the ethical concerns regarding the accuracy and bias of facial recognition systems.
- Highlight the potential consequences of biased facial recognition on certain demographic groups.

Literature Review

- Review existing studies on facial recognition technology and its biases.
- Analyze past reforms or solutions attempted to address these biases.

Methodology

- <u>Data Collection</u>: Describe the types of data needed (e.g., publicly available facial recognition datasets) and the criteria for selecting this data.
- Analysis Techniques: Outline the statistical and machine learning methods you will use to evaluate bias in the datasets.
- <u>Bias Metrics</u>: Define how I will measure bias (e.g., error rates across different demographics).

Project Plan

• Week 1-2: Background research and data collection.

- Week 3-4: Data cleaning and preliminary analysis.
- Week 5: In-depth bias analysis using selected methods.
- Week 6: Development of potential solutions or mitigation strategies.
- Week 7: Finalizing the report and preparing the presentation.

Impact

 This project aims to promote ethical use of technology and influence policy decisions, ensuring that facial recognition technology is used responsibly and justly.

Research:

1. What Data Am I Looking For?

For this project, I will need data that allows me to analyze how well facial recognition technologies perform across different demographics. Specifically, I should look for:

- Facial Recognition Datasets: These are collections of facial images or videos
 that have been labeled with demographic information such as age, gender, race,
 and possibly other characteristics like emotion or pose. Examples of datasets I
 might consider include:
 - FairFace: An image dataset aimed at balancing race, gender, and age.
 - BUPT-Balancedface: A dataset that is balanced in terms of race.
 - <u>Diversity in Faces (DiF)</u>: Provides a dataset with annotations that quantify craniofacial features.
- Performance Metrics Data: Data from studies or reports that show how different facial recognition systems perform on these datasets, particularly in terms of accuracy, false positives, and false negatives across different demographic groups.

2. What Am I Going to Do with the Data?

With the collected data, my activities would typically involve the following steps:

 Preprocessing: Clean and prepare the data for analysis. This might include normalizing images, handling missing data, and ensuring that demographic labels are consistent.

- Analysis of Bias: Use statistical and machine learning methods to analyze the performance of facial recognition systems across different demographic groups. I might need to:
 - <u>Calculate Disparity in Error Rates</u>: For example, compare false positive rates and false negative rates across different races and genders to identify any significant disparities.
 - Evaluate Accuracy Across Groups: Assess whether some groups are consistently less accurately identified than others.
- **Visualizing Results**: Create charts and graphs to visually represent the findings, such as bar charts showing accuracy or error rates by demographic group, or heat maps showing the distribution of errors.
- **Developing Insights and Recommendations**: Based on my findings, I can:
 - Identify Specific Areas of Concern: For instance, if a particular demographic group is consistently misidentified, this would be an area to highlight.
 - <u>Propose Mitigation Strategies</u>: Suggestions might include improving training data diversity, adjusting algorithmic models to reduce bias, or implementing additional checks and balances in systems where facial recognition is used.

3. Which Model or Models to Use/Test?

I should select models that are commonly used in facial recognition tasks due to their proven effectiveness and the availability of pre-trained versions. This will save computational resources and give myself a solid foundation for my analysis. Here are a few I might consider:

- VGG-Face: A deep learning model that uses the VGG-16 architecture, specifically fine-tuned for face recognition. It's known for its accuracy and relatively simple architecture.
- ResNet-50: A residual learning framework to enable training of deeper networks, commonly used in image recognition tasks, including faces.
- <u>LightCNN</u>: A convolutional neural network designed for facial recognition with a focus on learning lightweight and discriminative features.

These models are available with pre-trained weights, which means they have already been trained on large datasets. I can use these pre-trained models to benchmark performance on my specific dataset without needing extensive computational resources.

4. How Can I Improve on the Models?

Improving the models:

- **Transfer Learning**: Start with a model pre-trained on a general task (like image recognition) and retrain the final layers or a small part of the network on my specific dataset to adapt it to the task of identifying biases in facial recognition.
- Data Augmentation: To improve model robustness and help the model generalize better across different demographics, use data augmentation techniques. This can include image transformations like rotations, scaling, cropping, and color adjustment.
- Pruning and Quantization: Techniques like pruning (removing unnecessary neural network weights) and quantization (reducing the precision of the numbers used in the model) can make the model faster and less resource-intensive without a substantial decrease in accuracy.
- Hyperparameter Tuning: Optimize model parameters like learning rate, batch size, and number of epochs. This can be done using smaller subsets of my data to prevent overloading my computational resources.
- Model Interpretability: Since the project deals with ethical implications, consider incorporating model interpretability techniques to understand model decisions, which can be crucial for identifying and addressing biases.

Model Interpretability:

What is Model Interpretability?

Model interpretability refers to the degree to which a human can understand the cause of a decision made by a machine learning model. In the context of facial recognition and bias evaluation, interpretability helps identify why certain biases exist—for example, whether the model is disproportionately inaccurate for certain demographic groups and what features it is focusing on when making decisions.

How Does Model Interpretability Work?

There are several techniques and approaches to enhance model interpretability, particularly useful for complex models like those used in facial recognition:

• **Feature Importance**: This method identifies which features (e.g., areas of an image, pixel intensity, color information) most influence the model's predictions. Tools like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) or LIME (Local Interpretable

- Model-agnostic Explanations) can be used to compute the contribution of each feature to the prediction.
- Visualization Techniques: Techniques such as saliency maps, which highlight
 parts of an image most influential for the model's decision, can help visualize
 what the model is "seeing" or focusing on. Grad-CAM (Gradient-weighted Class
 Activation Mapping) is another technique that uses the gradients of any target
 concept (like a class label) flowing into the final convolutional layer to produce a
 heatmap of the important regions in the image.
- **Decision Trees or Rules**: For simpler models, or as an abstraction from more complex models, decision trees can be used to approximate the decisions made. These trees can then be analyzed to understand the decision-making process.

How to Incorporate Model Interpretability into Your Project

- **1. Interpretability Tools**: Choose tools compatible with the models you are using. For neural networks in image processing, SHAP and Grad-CAM are good choices.
- **2. Apply to Analysis**: After training your facial recognition model, apply these interpretability tools to the validation or test sets. Analyze how the model behaves differently across various demographics. For example, use SHAP to see which facial features contribute most to the identification and check if these contributions differ by race or gender.
- **3. Integrate Findings into Your Evaluation**: Use the insights from these tools to enhance your understanding of where biases may exist in the model. For instance, if a saliency map shows that the model focuses predominantly on a particular feature for one demographic but not for another, this could indicate a source of bias.
- **4. Propose Improvements Based on Insights**: Use the insights gained from interpretability analyses to propose specific improvements. This could involve retraining the model with adjusted weights for underrepresented features or demographics, or incorporating new data to balance the dataset.

PERPLEXITY:

To assess the fairness and bias in facial recognition technologies used by law enforcement, with a specific focus on demographic discrepancies (age, gender, race)

The search results indicate that facial recognition technologies (FRT) used by law enforcement can exhibit significant demographic biases and inaccuracies, particularly affecting people of color:

- Studies have found that commercial FRT algorithms are substantially more error-prone on facial images depicting darker skin tones, with error rates up to 34.7% for women with darker skin compared to 0-0.8% for men with lighter skin[1][3]. This "cross-race effect" in facial recognition is well-documented[1].
- A 2019 NIST study of 189 commercial FRT algorithms found they were more likely to produce false positives or negatives for Asian, Black, and Native American individuals compared to white individuals[4].
- The biases in FRT algorithms are compounded by the fact that the training datasets used to develop these algorithms often lack diversity, with 53.6-86.2% of the images containing lighter-skinned individuals[3].
- When these biased FRT systems are used by law enforcement, it can lead to wrongful arrests and investigations of people of color at disproportionate rates[4]. High-profile cases of this have been reported, such as the wrongful arrests of Nijeer Parks, Robert Williams, and Michael Oliver all Black men[4].

In summary, the search results make clear that current FRT used by law enforcement exhibits significant demographic biases, especially against people of color, that can have serious consequences in terms of wrongful arrests and unequal treatment under the law. Addressing these biases through improved algorithms, more diverse training data, and greater transparency and oversight of FRT use by police is critical to ensuring fair and equitable law enforcement practices[2][4].

Citations:

[1] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8475322/

[2] https://innovatrics.com/trustreport/is-facial-recognition-helping-or-hurting-law-enforcement/

[3] https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/18918131.2023.2277581

[4] https://www.brookings.edu/articles/police-surveillance-and-facial-recognition-why-data-privacy-is-an-imperative-for-communities-of-color/
[5] https://ucalgary.ca/news/law-professor-explores-racial-bias-implications-facial-recognition-technology
Next Steps: