Species Distribution Modelling








Using inappropriately scaled	But could send results either way,	not made for scale of your point
data	so is it a QRP?	data

. . . .

Questionable?








Using inappropriately scaled data

But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting







Using inappropriately scaled data


But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting

Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you Unthinking, inflates the chance Fitting everything available Necessary? care about what's driving the of finding a significant model distribution?







Using inappropriately scaled data

But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting

Fitting everything available


Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?

Necessary?

Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model

AUC rate hacking similar to p-hacking







Using inappropriately scaled data

But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting

Fitting everything available

Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?

Necessary?


Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model

AUC rate hacking

similar to p-hacking

checking / changing map based You don't want a crap map, on decision-maker expert why not use expert opinion? opinion







Using inappropriately scaled data

But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting

Fitting everything available

Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?

Necessary?

Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model

AUC rate hacking


checking / changing map based on decision-maker expert opinion

You don't want a crap map, why not use expert opinion?

similar to p-hacking

Overfitting models to improve ability to cross-validate	We're always using a subset of our data because we have too little data to hold some out entirely.
---	--







Using inappropriately scaled data

But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting

Fitting everything available

Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?

Necessary?

Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model

similar to p-hacking

AUC rate hacking

checking / changing map based on decision-maker expert opinion

You don't want a crap map, why not use expert opinion?


Overfitting models to improve ability to cross-validate

We're always using a subset of our data because we have too little data to hold some out entirely.

Cherry picking case studies	Just don't pretend it was random chance	isn't this just science?	confirmation bias
Cherry picking case studies	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	isn't this just science?	confirmation bias



chance






Using inappropriately scaled data	But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?		not made for scale of your point data
Partial covariate reporting			
Fitting everything available	Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?	Necessary?	Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model
AUC rate hacking			similar to p-hacking
checking / changing map based on decision-maker expert opinion		You don't want a crap map, why not use expert opinion?	
Overfitting models to improve ability to cross-validate	We're always using a subset of our data because we have too little data to hold some out entirely.		
Cherry picking case studies	Just don't pretend it was random chance	isn't this just science?	confirmation bias

HARKing: narrative due to sexy variable importance. Adjusting which values you use based on results.	e.g. throwing out old records or trying different lab study values in mechanistic modelling
results.	







Using inappropriately scaled data	But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?		not made for scale of your point data
Partial covariate reporting			
Fitting everything available	Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?	Necessary?	Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model
AUC rate hacking			similar to p-hacking
checking / changing map based on decision-maker expert opinion		You don't want a crap map, why not use expert opinion?	
Overfitting models to improve ability to cross-validate	We're always using a subset of our data because we have too little data to hold some out entirely.		
Cherry picking case studies	Just don't pretend it was random chance	isn't this just science?	confirmation bias
HARKing: narrative due to sexy variable importance. Adjusting which values you use based on results.			e.g. throwing out old records or trying different lab study values in mechanistic modelling

Cherry picking which papers / maps you publish







Using inappropriately scaled data

But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?

not made for scale of your point data

Partial covariate reporting

Fitting everything available

Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?

Necessary?

Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model

similar to p-hacking

AUC rate hacking

on decision-maker expert

opinion

checking / changing map based

We're always using a subset of our

data because we have too little

data to hold some out entirely.

why not use expert opinion?

You don't want a crap map,

Overfitting models to improve ability to cross-validate

Just don't pretend it was random chance

isn't this just science?

confirmation bias

HARKing: narrative due to sexy variable importance. Adjusting which values you use based on results.

maps you publish

Cherry picking case studies

e.g. throwing out old records or trying different lab study values in mechanistic modelling

Cherry picking which papers /

Study Design and Data Collection

Practice Phase QRP Class

Species Distribution Modelling

Practice

Cherry picking which papers /

maps you publish









			FOR REAL?
Using inappropriately scaled data	But could send results either way, so is it a QRP?		not made for scale of your point data
Partial covariate reporting			
Fitting everything available	Depends on what your aim is? Do you want a good map, or do you care about what's driving the distribution?	Necessary?	Unthinking, inflates the chance of finding a significant model
AUC rate hacking			similar to p-hacking
checking / changing map based on decision-maker expert opinion		You don't want a crap map, why not use expert opinion?	
Overfitting models to improve ability to cross-validate	We're always using a subset of our data because we have too little data to hold some out entirely.		
Cherry picking case studies	Just don't pretend it was random chance	isn't this just science?	confirmation bias
HARKing: narrative due to sexy variable importance. Adjusting which values you use based on results.			e.g. throwing out old records or trying different lab study values in mechanistic modelling