

univariable analysis for Log value_price (product with discount price)
variable

```
``{r}
```

```
model.value_price <- glm(online_only ~ log_value_price, family = binomial, data  
= sephora)
```

```
sum_model.value_price <- summary(model.value_price)
```

```
sum_model.value_price
```

```
``
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = online_only ~ log_value_price, family = binomial,  
data = sephora)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	-2.44354	0.13590	-17.980	<2e-16	***
log_value_price	0.33467	0.03506	9.544	<2e-16	***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 9791.0 on 8986 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 9699.8 on 8985 degrees of freedom
AIC: 9703.8

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

Wald test for Log value_price variable

```
``{r}
```

```
# Wald test
```

```
wald_value_price <- round(sum_model.value_price$coefficients[2]/  
sum_model.value_price$coefficients[2,2],3)
```

```
pvalue_value_price <- round(2*(1-pnorm(wald_value_price)),4)
```

...

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$$

$$W = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = 9.544$$

$$P_value = 0$$

According to the Wald test, the independent variable "value_price" is statistically significant because its p-values is less than the significant level $\alpha=0.25$