

Univariable analysis for Log Price variable

```
```{r}
```

```
model.price <- glm(online_only ~ log_price, family = binomial, data = sephora)
```

```
sum_model.price <- summary(model.price)
```

```
sum_model.price
```

```
...
```

```
Call:
```

```
glm(formula = online_only ~ log_price, family = binomial, data = sephora)
```

```
Coefficients:
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-2.3015	0.1370	-16.796	<2e-16 ***
log_price	0.2994	0.0357	8.385	<2e-16 ***

```

```

```
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
```

```
Null deviance: 9791.0 on 8986 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 9720.8 on 8985 degrees of freedom
AIC: 9724.8
```

```
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

## Wald test for Log Price variable

```
```{r}
```

```
# Wald test
```

```
wald_price <- round(sum_model.price$coefficients[2]/
```

```
sum_model.price$coefficients[2,2],3)
```

```
pvalue_price <- round(2*(1-pnorm(wald_price)),4)
```

```
...
```

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$$

$$W = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = 8.385$$

$$P_value = 0$$

According to the Wald test, the independent variable “log price” is statistically significant because its p-values is less than the significant level $\alpha=0.25$