

1 Analytical solutions of transport equations

1.1 Notation

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and connected, let $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and let $\Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$. Furthermore we assume that $\Gamma \subseteq \overline{\Omega_T}$ is a n -dimensional manifold. We will later also need an unit normal vector to Γ at (x, t) , which we will denote by $\nu(x, t)$. For existence of $\nu(x, t)$ see Remark 1. Furthermore, we define for two open and connected sets $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following functions-spaces :

$$\begin{aligned} C^0(V, W) &:= \{u : V \rightarrow W \mid u \text{ is continuous} \}, \\ C^k(V, W) &:= \{u : V \rightarrow W \mid u \text{ is } k\text{-times differentiable} \}. \end{aligned}$$

During the remarks, we will also occasionally need the following small balls:

$$B_\varepsilon(y) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - y\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

as well as the characteristic function of a set $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\chi_M(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \in M, \\ 0 & x \notin M. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1. A sufficient criterium that $\nu(x, t)$ is well defined is that „ Γ is C^1 ” in (x, t) . The condition „ Γ is C^1 ” roughly means that Γ can locally be written as the graph of a C^1 function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (for details see Appendix C.1 in [1]).

1.2 Problem Statement

For $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ let Ω_T and Γ be as above (regularity on Γ will be imposed later). We will try to find a solution $c : \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the following boundary-value problem:

$$c_t(x, t) + \nabla \cdot (c(x, t)\vec{q}(x)) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_T, \quad (1a)$$

$$c(x, t) = c_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma \quad (1b)$$

where $\vec{q} \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$, $f \in C^0(\Omega_T, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ and $c_0 \in C^0(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$.

1.3 Selected Results

Lemma 1. *Equation (1a) is equivalent to the (fully) linear, inhomogeneous transport equation*

$$c_t + \vec{q} \cdot \nabla c + gc = f. \quad (2)$$

where (x, t) is dropped in the notation and $g = \nabla \cdot \vec{q}$.

Proof. Direct calculation. □

Lemma 2. Assume that Γ is C^1 and that $\nu(x, t) \cdot \vec{q}(x) \neq 0$ on Γ (i.e. Γ is noncharacteristic, this meaning of this will be explained in the proof). Then there is a unique solution of (1) in a neighborhood of Γ .

Proof. We follow Chapter 3 of [1] and apply the so-called *method of characteristics*: We assume that we start at an arbitrary point $(x_0, t_0) \in \Gamma$ and then „follow the flow“. We parametrized the streamline emerging at (x_0, t_0) by $x = x(s)$ as well as $t = t(s)$ where $x(0) = x_0$ as well as $t(0) = t_0$ (here $s \in [0, \infty)$). If we define $C(s) := c(x(s), t(s))$, $F(s) := f(x(s), t(s))$ and $G(s) := g(x(s), y(s))$ this yields:

$$\frac{d}{ds}C = \dot{t}c_t + \dot{x} \cdot \nabla c.$$

Comparing this with (2) yields the following system of ODEs:

$$\dot{C}(s) + G(s)C(s) = F(s), \quad C(0) = c_0(x_0, t_0) \quad (3a)$$

$$\dot{x}(s) = \vec{q}(x(s)), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (3b)$$

$$\dot{t}(s) = 1, \quad t(0) = t_0 \quad (3c)$$

Since we assumed that \vec{q} is Lipschitz-continuous, (3b) and (3c) have a unique solution due to the Picard-Lindelöf theorem. Having found solutions $x(s)$, $t(s)$ to these equations, we can solve (3a) in the same way.

In order to simplify notation, let us now combine the space and time variables and write $y_0 := (x_0, t_0)$. The domain Ω_T hence becomes a cylindrical subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Up to now, we found a way to construct a solution for any given point $y_0 \in \Gamma$. Unfortunately it is still not clear, if the set of all these solution yields a well-defined solution to the problem. For example, characteristic curves may run inside of Γ and cause contradicting function values, or there might be points close to Γ , which are not hit by characteristic curves at all. This is, where the property of Γ to be *non-characteristic*, comes into play (i.e. $\nu(x, t) \cdot \vec{q}(x) \neq 0$). A more accessible formulation of this is: Γ does not follow the flow field. Let us denote by $X(s, y_0) := y(s)$ the characteristic curve starting at $y_0 \in \Gamma$, i.e. $X(0, y_0) = y_0$. Keep in mind that from now on X is a function of s as well as of y_0 , i.e. $X : [0, \infty) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We will now show that if Γ is non-characteristic at y_0 , the function $X(s, y_0)$ is one-to-one in a neighborhood of y_0 . It will then follow that indeed all points are hit by characteristic curves exactly one time and the solution will be well-defined in a neighborhood of Γ . To see this, we make use of the inverse-function theorem. Due to (3b), (3c) as well as the construction of Ω , the Jacobian of X at $(0, y_0)$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} JX|_{(0, y_0)} &= \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial s}(0, y_0) \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial y_0}(0, y_0) \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \vec{q}(x_0) & \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial y_0}(0, y_0) \right)_1 \\ 1 & \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial y_0}(0, y_0) \right)_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that since Γ is C^1 , the columns of $\frac{\partial X}{\partial y_0}(0, y_0)$ form a basis of the n -dimensional tangential plane to Γ at y_0 . Since we assumed that $\vec{q}(x_0) \cdot \nu(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$ (i.e. $\vec{q}(x_0)$ does not lie in the tangential plane), the matrix $JX|_{(0, y_0)}$ has full rank and we can apply the inverse-function theorem. Hence there is a local one-to-one mapping of characteristic curves and points, i.e. the constructed solution is well-defined. \square

2 Remarks concerning out setting

Remark 2. In our setting we will consider the following problem: Let $\Omega := (0, 1)^2$ and $\mu \ll 1$. Assume that there is a source around point $x_{\text{so}} := (\mu, 1 - \mu)^\top \in \Omega$, namely in the area $I := B_\mu(x_{\text{so}})$. Furthermore assume that there is also a sink around point $x_{\text{si}} := (1 - \mu, \mu)^\top \in \Omega$, namely in the area $O := B_\mu(x_{\text{si}})$. We will denote the source by $Q_{\text{so}}(x) := q_{\text{in}} \cdot \chi_I(x)$ and the sink by $Q_{\text{si}}(x) := q_{\text{in}} \cdot \chi_O(x)$ for some $q_{\text{in}} \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Following [2], we will now try to describe the flow in $\Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$ in the following way:

$$c_t(x, t) + \nabla \cdot (c(x, t)\vec{q}(x)) = c_a(t)Q_{\text{so}}(x) - c(x, t)Q_{\text{si}}(x), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_T, \quad (4a)$$

$$c(x, t) = c_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma, \quad (4b)$$

where $c_a(t)$ denotes the arterial input function. This of course leaves the question where to put the 2D starting manifold $\Gamma \subseteq \overline{\Omega_T}$ and which boundary values $c_0(x, t)$ to prescribe on it. Since contrast-agent is brought into the system continuously within the area O , we define $\Gamma := \partial B_\varepsilon(x_{\text{so}}) \times [0, T)$ for $\varepsilon < \mu$. Since the contrast-agent is created by the source at I , we assume that $c_0(x, t) = 0$ on Γ . In order to analyze the contrast-agent flow, we will let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Unfortunately we run into problems for each nonzero ε , since there will be contrast-agent created within $B_\varepsilon(x_{\text{so}})$ which cannot exit the system. We will hence exclude $B_\varepsilon(x_{\text{so}})$ from our analysis. This brings us to the final problem formulation:

Let $\Omega^\varepsilon := \Omega \setminus \overline{B_\varepsilon(x_{\text{so}})}$ and let Ω_T^ε be as above. Let furthermore $\Gamma_\varepsilon := \partial B_\varepsilon(x_{\text{so}}) \times [0, T)$. We will study the following class of problems:

$$c_t^\varepsilon + \vec{q} \cdot \nabla c^\varepsilon + cQ_{\text{so}} = c_aQ_{\text{so}}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_T^\varepsilon, \quad (5a)$$

$$c^\varepsilon = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon \quad (5b)$$

CH: I am wondering: Where in (5) is the influence of the sink coming into play? Here the following situation is assumed

Here it is furthermore assumed, that $\vec{q}(x) = -\frac{K}{\mu}\nabla p(x)$ where p is the solution of the following (elliptic) boundary value problem:

$$\left| \begin{array}{ll} \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{K}{\mu}\nabla p(x) \right) = Q_{\text{so}}(x) + Q_{\text{si}}(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ p_\nu(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}, \\ p(x_0) = 0, & \end{array} \right| \quad (6)$$

for some constants $K, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. The condition $p(x_0) = 0$ is necessary to make the elliptic problem well-posed. For a detailed explanation see [2].

Remark 3. We will now solve (5) using the method of characteristics. Substituting $x = x(s)$, $t = t(s)$ and denoting $C(s) := c^\varepsilon(x(s), t(s))$, $\tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(s) := Q_{\text{so}}(x(s))$, $\tilde{Q}_{\text{si}}(s) := Q_{\text{si}}(x(s))$ and $C_a(s) := c_a(t(s))$ yields the following system of ODEs:

$$C'(s) + \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(s)C(s) = C_a(s)\tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(s), \quad C(0) = 0 \quad (7a)$$

$$x'(s) = \vec{q}(s), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (7b)$$

$$t'(s) = 1, \quad t(0) = t_0, \quad (7c)$$

where $x_0 \in \partial B_\varepsilon(x_{\text{so}})$.

In order to solve (7a), we use the method of *varying the constant*. First, we solve the homogenous ODE:

$$\dot{C}(s) = -\tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(s)C(s) \implies C(s) = e^{-\int_0^s \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(u) du} k, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}$$

In order to simplify notation a bit, we define $R(s) := \int_0^s \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(u) du$. We now assume that $k = k(s)$. A comparison of coefficients and use of the initial condition $C(0) = 0$ yields:

$$\dot{k}(s)e^{-R(s)} = C_a(s)\tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(s) \implies k(s) = \int_0^s e^{R(v)} C_a(v) \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(v) dv.$$

This yields the following solution of (7a) originating at point $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$C(s) = \int_0^s e^{-(R(s)-R(v))} C_a(v) \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(v) dv$$

Also solving (7c) yields $t = s + t_0$ with $s \geq 0$ and hence $t \geq t_0$. Plugging this into the above equation yields:

$$C(t) = \int_{t_0}^t e^{-(R(t-t_0)-R(v-t_0))} c_a(v) \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(v-t_0) dv \quad (8)$$

where as above $R(v) = \int_0^v Q_{\text{so}}(x(u)) du$, $\tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(v) = Q_{\text{so}}(x(v))$ and $C(t) = c(x(t-t_0), t)$. This is a (semi-)Lagrangian formulation of the flow. Going back to the Eulerian approach, we observe that since \vec{q} is independent of t we can fix the position by assuming that $t - t_0 = k$ for constant $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For each starting timepoint t_0 the concentration at the location $x_k := x(k)$ is hence given by (8) in the following way:

$$c_{t_0}(x_k) = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+k} e^{-(R(k)-R(v-t_0))} c_a(v) \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(v-t_0) dv$$

Since the flow first arrives at location x_k at timepoint k , this yields **CH: I'm not sure if it is allowed to change from Lagrangian t_0 to Eulerian t :**

$$c(x_k, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < k \\ \int_t^{t+k} e^{-(R(k)-R(v-t))} c_a(v) \tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(v-t) dv & t \geq k \end{cases}$$

Assuming that $Q_{\text{so}}(x) = q_{\text{in}} \delta_{x_{\text{so}}}$ and hence $\tilde{Q}_{\text{so}}(s) = 1$ for $s = 0$ and 0 elsewhere yields:

$$c(x_k, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < k \\ q_{\text{in}} e^{-q_{\text{in}} c_a(t)} & t \geq k \end{cases}$$

3 Deriving a PDE for the impuls-response functions

We will now try to derive an equation the impuls-response functions need to fulfill, if the functions are solution to the PDE (4). Let us assume that the solution can be represented in the following way:

$$c(x, t) = \int_0^t c_a(s) I(x, t - s) ds.$$

If we want to use this relationship with (4), we will need to calculate the derivative w.r.t. t . This is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t c_a(s) I(x, t - s) ds &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^{t+h} c_a(s) I(x, t + h - s) ds - \int_0^t c_a(s) I(x, t - s) ds}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^t c_a(s) (I(x, t + h - s) - I(x, t - s)) ds}{h} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} c_a(s) I(x, t + h - s) ds. \\ &= \int_0^t c_a(s) I_t(x, t - s) ds + c_a(t) I(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

CH: Assuming that $I(x, 0) = 0$ (from the looks of the impuls-response functions obtained from the data this should hold), we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t c_a(s) I(x, t - s) ds = \int_0^t c_a(s) I_t(x, t - s) ds.$$

Combining this with (4) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t c_a(s) [I_t(x, t - s) + \nabla \cdot (\vec{q}(x) I(x, t - s)) \\ + I(x, t - s) Q_{\text{si}}(x) - \delta_0(t - s) Q_{\text{so}}(x)] ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

where δ_0 is the delta-distribution with respect to time. Let us denote the term in squared brackets with $J(x, t)$, then we can write this equation as

$$\int_0^t c_a(s) J(x, t - s) ds = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega.$$

The following lemma shows that this implies that $J(x, t) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega, t \in [0, \infty)$. We analyze the above equation for an arbitrary point in Ω .

Lemma 3. *Let $j, c_a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and assume that $c_a \not\equiv 0$. If it holds for all $t > 0$ that*

$$\int_0^t c_a(s) j(t - s) ds = 0$$

then $j \equiv 0$.

Proof. Assume that $j \neq 0$ and let t_j be the largest $t \in [0, \infty)$ such that $j(t_j) = 0$. Since we assumed that j is continuous, there is a small interval $(t_j, t_j + \beta)$ where either $j > 0$ or $j < 0$. For sake of simplicity assume that $j > 0$ on $(t_j, t_j + \beta)$, the case that $j(t_j) < 0$ will follow analogously. For c_a we analogously define the timepoint t_c and analogously assume that $c_a > 0$ on $(t_c, t_c + \delta)$. Let $\gamma := \min(\alpha, \delta)$. Then it holds for $t_0 := t_c + t_j + \gamma$ that

$$\int_0^{t_0} c_a(s)j(t-s) \, ds = \int_{t_0-\gamma}^{t_0} c_a(s)j(t-s) \, ds > 0.$$

We can hence conclude the assertion. \square

Next we observe that in our case Q_{so} and Q_{si} are both independent of time. As a consequence, one can see that if C has the above structure, $I(x, t)$ needs to fulfill the following equation:

$$I_t(x, t) - \nabla \cdot (\vec{q}(x)I(x, t)) = \delta_t Q_{so}(x) - I(x, t)Q_{si}(x)$$

Hence $I(x, t)$ can be regarded as a solution of a the transport equation with a Dirac-Delta as Arterial Input.

References

- [1] L. C. Evans; *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [2] Heck, Hodneland, Hanson, Lundervold, Modersitzki, Malyshev; *A one-compartment field model for perfusion*, work in progress, 2015.

Erlend Hodneland, Erik Hanson
University of Bergen

Constantin Heck
MIC, University of Lübeck
heck@mic.uni-luebeck.de
Tuesday 14th April, 2015