

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Samer Habbas (State Bar # 243683) Law Offices of Samer Habbas 7700 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 955 Irvine , CA 92618 TELEPHONE NO: (949) 727-9300 FAX NO. (Optional): (949) 727-9308 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Aaron Benoit, Plaintiff		FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St. MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, 94102 BRANCH NAME:		ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 01/14/2015 Clerk of the Court BY:ANNIE PASCUAL Deputy Clerk
PLAINTIFF: Aaron Benoit DEFENDANT: Ahmad Aghel, Kevin Reza		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOES 1 TO 50		
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDED (Number): 1		
Type (check all that apply):		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MOTOR VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (specify): <input type="checkbox"/> Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful Death <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> Other Damages (specify):		
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):		CASE NUMBER:
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded <input type="checkbox"/> does not exceed \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000		CGG-14-543468
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint <input type="checkbox"/> from limited to unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> from unlimited to limited		

1. Plaintiff (name or names): Aaron Benoit

alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): Ahmad Aghel, Kevin Reza

2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages:

3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult

a. except plaintiff (name):

- (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California
- (2) an unincorporated entity (describe):
- (3) a public entity (describe):
- (4) a minor an adult
 - (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed
 - (b) other (specify):
- (5) other (specify):

b. except plaintiff (name):

- (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California
- (2) an unincorporated entity (describe):
- (3) a public entity (describe):
- (4) a minor an adult
 - (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed
 - (b) other (specify):
- (5) other (specify):

 Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attachment 3.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Benoit v. Aghel	CGC-14-543468

4. Plaintiff (*name*):
is doing business under the fictitious name (*specify*):
and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.
5. Each defendant named above is a natural person
- a. except defendant (*name*):
 - (1) a business organization, form unknown
 - (2) a corporation
 - (3) an unincorporated entity (*describe*):

(4) a public entity (*describe*):

(5) other (*specify*):
 - c. except defendant (*name*):
 - (1) a business organization, form unknown
 - (2) a corporation
 - (3) an unincorporated entity (*describe*):

(4) a public entity (*describe*):

(5) other (*specify*):
 - b. except defendant (*name*):
 - (1) a business organization, form unknown
 - (2) a corporation
 - (3) an unincorporated entity (*describe*):

(4) a public entity (*describe*):

(5) other (*specify*):
 - d. except defendant (*name*):
 - (1) a business organization, form unknown
 - (2) a corporation
 - (3) an unincorporated entity (*describe*):

(4) a public entity (*describe*):

(5) other (*specify*):
- Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Attachment 5.
6. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.
- a. Doe defendants (*specify Doe numbers*): _____ were the agents or employees of other named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.
 - b. Doe defendants (*specify Doe numbers*): _____ 1 to 50 are persons whose capacities are unknown to plaintiff.
7. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (*names*):
8. This court is the proper court because
- a. at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.
 - b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area.
 - c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area.
 - d. other (*specify*):
9. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, **and**
- a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, **or**
 - b. is excused from complying because (*specify*):

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Benoit v. Aghel	

10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (*each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached*):
- Motor Vehicle
 - General Negligence
 - Intentional Tort
 - Products Liability
 - Premises Liability
 - Other (*specify*):
11. Plaintiff has suffered
- wage loss
 - loss of use of property
 - hospital and medical expenses
 - general damage
 - property damage
 - loss of earning capacity
 - other damage (*specify*):
12. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are
- listed in Attachment 12.
 - as follows:
13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.
14. **Plaintiff prays** for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for
- (1) compensatory damages
 - (2) punitive damages
- The amount of damages is (*in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)*):
- (1) according to proof
 - (2) in the amount of: \$
15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (*specify paragraph numbers*):

Date: January 8, 2015

Samer Habbas

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Benoit v. Aghel	CGG - 14 - 543468

FIRST
(number)

CAUSE OF ACTION—Motor Vehicle

ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint

(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

Plaintiff (name): Aaron Benoit

MV- 1. Plaintiff alleges the acts of defendants were negligent; the acts were the legal (proximate) cause of injuries and damages to plaintiff; the acts occurred

on (date): January 12, 2013

at (place): San Francisco Bay Bridge in the City of Francisco

MV- 2. DEFENDANTS

a. The defendants who operated a motor vehicle are (names): Kevin Reza

Does 1 to 50

b. The defendants who employed the persons who operated a motor vehicle in the course of their employment are (names):

Does 1 to 50

c. The defendants who owned the motor vehicle which was operated with their permission are (names): Ahmad Aghel

Does 1 to 50

d. The defendants who entrusted the motor vehicle are (names):

Does 1 to 50

e. The defendants who were the agents and employees of the other defendants and acted within the scope of the agency were (names):

Does 1 to 50

f. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and the reasons for the liability are
 listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows:

Does 1 to 50

Page 4

Page 1 of 1

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Benoit v. Aghel	CGG - IS - 543468

SECOND
(number)**CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence**Page 5ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint

(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Aaron Benoit

alleges that defendant (name): Ahmad Aghel, Kevin Reza

 Does 1 to 50

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant negligently caused the damage to plaintiff

on (date): January 12, 2013

at (place): San Francisco Bay Bridge in the City of Francisco

(description of reasons for liability):

GN-2

On said date, Plaintiff Benoit was a passenger in a vehicle driven by Rexford Gallardo Jr. Plaintiff was travelling southbound San Francisco Bay Bridge in the City of Francisco. Plaintiff Benoit came to a complete stop for traffic. At this time, DEFENDENT REZA violently rear ended PLAINTIFF. Said collision caused Plaintiff's to sustain injuries to her person and damage to her vehicle.

GN-3

Defendant, and each of them did so negligently maintain, manage, care for entrust their vehicle that they allowed said vehicle to be driven in a negligent and unsafe manner, that driver of this vehicle rearended plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing the collision.

GN-4

As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant, Plaintiffs have sustained damages all in an amount according to proof.