## /\*Exercise 1

If the elements of a list are sorted, is an array-based or a linked-list-based implementation of the list more efficient for binary search? Explain.

## Answer:

An array-based implementation of the list is more efficient for binary search because you can randomly access elements in an array. By halving a sorted array, you can find the element in O(logn) time for the worst case. Whereas for a sorted linked-list you will have to access the elements sequentially so the worst case would be O(n).

/\*\*Exercise 2

- \* a. Write C++ code to implement an integer queue class using linked-list,
- \* where the nodes are stored sorted by ascending value of the integer
- \* they store. We call this a priority queue. Specifically, implement
- \* enqueue and dequeue methods.

\*

\*/

- \* b. What is the average asymptotic cost per call to enqueue and to dequeue?
- \* The average asymptotic cost per call to enqueue is O(n) and to
- \* dequeue is O(1)

k

\* See code below

\*

- \* c. What if for each node, in addition to a pointer to the next node,
- \* you add a pointer to the 10th next node. Modify your code to take
- \* advantage of this.

\*

\* Had problems implementing this, so just left out the code.

\*

- \* d. Can the modification in (c) improve the cost (not asymptotic
- \* but just execution time) of enqueue? Does it improve the asymptotic cost?

- \* I believe that the modification in (c) will improve the cost of enqueue
- \* because you can access not just the next and previous elements, but also
- \* an element 10 indices away. The asymptotic cost is not improved because
- \* you still need to traverse the queue to sort it.

\*

- \* e. Is there any disadvantage that modification (c) incurs?
- \* The disadvantage that modification (c) incurs is that you have to keep an
- \* extra pointer to every 10th next node, which will take up extra memory.
- \* The programmer also has to make sure that a 10th next node exists,
- \* otherwise they will be pointing to a nonexistant node.

\*/

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Node {
public:
 Node();
 ~Node();
private:
 int data;
 Node *prev;
 Node *next;
 friend class QueueList;
};
//Node methods
Node::Node()
{}
Node::~Node()
{}
class QueueList
 public:
 QueueList();
 ~QueueList();
 void enqueue(int elem);
 void sort(int length);
 void print();
 int dequeue();
 private:
 int size;
 Node* head;
 Node* tail;
};
QueueList::QueueList()
:size(0),head(NULL),tail(NULL)
{
QueueList::~QueueList()
void QueueList::enqueue(int elem)
```

```
Node* temp = new Node();
temp->data = elem;
temp->next = NULL;
temp->prev = NULL;
 if(size == 0)
  head = temp;
  tail = temp;
  size++;
 else if(size == 1)
  head->next = temp;
  temp->prev = head;
  temp->next = NULL;
  tail = temp;
  size++;
  sort(size);
 else
  tail->next = temp;
  temp->prev = tail;
  temp->next = NULL;
  tail = temp;
  size++;
  sort(size);
 //size++; //where to put this
 if(elem < head->data)
  temp->next = head; //puts head in the beginning
  head->prev = temp;
  head = temp;
  size++;
if(elem
 */
void QueueList::sort(int length)
Node* it = head;
Node* temp = tail; //temporary is tail node
Node* beforeIt; //beforeIt is it->prev node
Node* beforeTail = tail->prey; //beforeTail is tail->prev node
```

```
int tempdata;
 while(it->next != NULL)
  //if data at iterator is smaller than data at tail move the pointer
  if(it->data < tail->data)
   it = it - next;
  //when size is 2 must do something diff.
  else if(size == 2)
   //swap the data
   if(it->data > tail->data)
    tempdata = head->data; //save head's data
    head->data = tail->data; //make head data tail's data
    tail->data = tempdata; //make tails data temps data
    it = it - next;
   //otherwise just return and don't swap
   else
    return;
  //it data will be = or > than tail's data
  else
   beforeIt = it->prev;
   beforeIt->next = temp;
   temp->prev = beforeIt;
   temp->next = it;
   it->prev = temp;
   //cout << "test" << endl;
   tail = beforeTail;
   tail->next = NULL;
   //it = it - next;
void QueueList::print()
Node* it = head;
```

```
if(size != 0)
 while(it->next != NULL)
  cout << it->data << endl;
  it = it - next;
  cout << it->data << endl;
int QueueList::dequeue()
int num; //the data I want to return
if(size == 0)
  cout << "Queue is Empty" << endl;</pre>
 if(size == 1)
  num = head -> data;
  head = NULL;
  tail = NULL;
  size--;
  //cout << size << endl;
  return num;
 else
  num = head->data;
  //Node* temp = head;
  //delete head;
  head = head - next;
  //delete temp;
  size--;
  return num;
//Node* temp = head->next;
int main()
QueueList test;
cout << "Test enqueue" << endl;</pre>
test.enqueue(2);
test.enqueue(1);
test.enqueue(4);
```

```
test.enqueue(5);
test.enqueue(3);
test.print();
cout << endl << "Test dequeue" << endl;
test.dequeue();
test.dequeue();
test.print();
//test.enqueue(3);
//test.print();
return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
//Exercise 3
/*Write a C++ class that implement two stacks using a single C++ array.
* That is, it should have functions popFirst(...), popSecond(...),
* pushFirst(...), pushSecond(...),... When out of space, double the size of
* the array (similarly to what vector is doing).
*/
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
//Two stacks on ONE array
//A stack of integers
class Stack
 private:
 int size;
 int capacity;
 int topOfStackFirst;
 int topOfStackSecond;
 int* theArray;
 public:
 Stack();
 Stack(int a);
 ~Stack();
 void pushFirst(int dataOne);
 void pushSecond(int dataTwo);
 void popFirst();
 void popSecond();
 void print();
 int expand(); //double size
};
//Default Constructor are the int pointers NULL or -1?
Stack::Stack()
:size(0), sizeSecond(0), capacityFirst(0), capacitySecond(0),
topOfStackFirst(-1), topOfStackSecond(-1), theArrayFirst(NULL),
theArraySecond(NULL)
}
*/
/* Constructor with two parameters
* @param sizeOne: Pass in size of first stack
* @param sizeTwo: Pass in size of second stack
```

```
Stack::Stack(int a)
:size(0),capacity(a), topOfStackFirst(-1),
topOfStackSecond(a), theArray(new int[a])
Stack::~Stack()
 delete [] theArray;
void Stack::pushFirst(int dataOne)
 if(size == capacity)
  expand();
 if(topOfStackFirst < topOfStackSecond - 1)
  topOfStackFirst++;
  theArray[topOfStackFirst] = dataOne;
  size++;
void Stack::pushSecond(int dataTwo)
 if(size = capacity)
  expand();
 if(topOfStackFirst < topOfStackSecond - 1)</pre>
  topOfStackSecond--;
  theArray[topOfStackSecond] = dataTwo;
  size++;
//these two delete the element at the top
void Stack::popFirst()
 if(topOfStackFirst >= 0)
  int temp = theArray[topOfStackFirst];
  topOfStackFirst--;
  size--;
```

```
void Stack::popSecond()
 if(topOfStackSecond < capacity)
  int temp = theArray[topOfStackSecond];
  topOfStackSecond++;
  size--;
void Stack::print()
 for(int i = 0; i < capacity; i++)
  cout << theArray[i] << endl;
//DOUBLES the size if the stack is full
int Stack::expand()
 int newCapacity = capacity * 2;
 int* newArray = new int[newCapacity];
 for(int i = 0; i <= topOfStackFirst; i++)
  newArray[i] = theArray[i];
 for(int j = \text{capacity - 1}; j \ge \text{topOfStackSecond}; j - \cdot)
  newArray[newCapacity - 1] = theArray[j];
  newCapacity--;
 capacity = capacity * 2;
 delete [] theArray;
 theArray = new int[capacity];
 for(int k = 0; k < \text{capacity}; k++)
  theArray[k] = newArray[k];
 delete [] newArray;
 topOfStackSecond = capacity - topOfStackSecond;
int main()
 int size = 10;
 Stack a(size);
```

```
a.pushFirst(4);
a.pushSecond(2);
a.popFirst(); //pop does not remove the element, it simply moves pointer
a.pushFirst(3);
//a.print();
cout << endl;
int size2 = 4;
Stack b(size2);
b.pushFirst(3);
b.pushFirst(2);
b.pushSecond(1);
b.pushSecond(5);
b.pushFirst(10);
b.pushSecond(6);
b.pushSecond(7);
b.pushFirst(13);
b.pushSecond(100);
b.popSecond();
b.pushSecond(14);
b.print();
return 0;
```