Unsupervised Learning and Data Analysis Homework 1

Question 1 - MLE Normal Distribution

Let A be a matrix, let x be a vector, and |A| mean the determinant of a matrix. Let's denote

$$\nabla_x A x = A^T \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla_x x^T A = A \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla_x x^T A x = (A + A^T x \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \ln|A| = A^{-T} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \operatorname{Tr}[AB] = B^T \tag{5}$$

$$Tr[A^T B] = (\text{vec } A)^T \text{ vec } B \tag{6}$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_N \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ i.i.d, with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. Assume Σ is positive definite.

The PDF of a d-multivariate normal random variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ is:

$$f(x; \mu, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$

The likelihood function $L(\mu, \Sigma, x_1, \dots x_d)$ of N iid RV is the product of their PDFs:

$$L = (2\pi)^{-Nd/2} |\Sigma|^{-N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_j - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \mu)\right)$$

And therefore the log-likelihood is:

$$\ell = -\frac{Nd}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2}\ln|\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_j - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_j - \mu)$$
$$= -\frac{Nd}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{N}{2}\ln|\Sigma^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_j - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_j - \mu)$$

Mean: We take the gradient wrt to μ :

$$\nabla_{\mu}\ell = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla_{\mu} (x_{j} - \mu)^{T} \Sigma^{-1} (x_{j} - \mu)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla_{\mu} \left(x_{j}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} x_{j} - x_{j}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} \mu - \mu^{T} \Sigma^{-1} x_{j} + \mu^{T} \Sigma^{-1} \mu \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} -\Sigma^{-1} x_{j} - \Sigma^{-1} x_{j} + 2\Sigma^{-1} \mu$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{j} - \mu)$$

where we've used properties Eq. (1), Eq. (2) and Eq. (3), and also the fact that $\Sigma = \Sigma^T$. We set $\nabla_{\mu} \ell = 0$, and get:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_j - \mu) = 0$$

where we've used the fact that Σ is positive definite. Therefore we get:

$$\widehat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j$$

Covariance: We take the gradient wrt to Σ^{-1} :

$$\nabla_{\Sigma^{-1}} \ell = \frac{N}{2} \nabla_{\Sigma^{-1}} \ln |\Sigma^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\Sigma^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[(x_j - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_j - \mu) \right]$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \Sigma^T - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\Sigma^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[(x_j - \mu) (x_j - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \Sigma^T - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\Sigma^{-1}} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_j - \mu) (x_j - \mu)^T \right) \Sigma^{-1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \Sigma^T - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_j - \mu) (x_j - \mu)^T \right)^T$$

we set to zero and transpose, and we get:

$$N\widehat{\Sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_j - \mu)(x_j - \mu)^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_j - \mu)(x_j - \mu)^T$$

Question 4 - PCA

1) <u>Claim</u>. (Courant-Fischer): Let $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a collection of m samples (s_1, \ldots, s_m) , each $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume the samples are centered, i.e. $\mathbf{1}^T S = \mathbf{0}^T$. Let $C = S^T S$, and v_1 be the largest eigenvalue of C. Then v_1 represents the direction of the largest variance of S.

Proof. For a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\operatorname{Var}(Su) \equiv \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (s_i^T u - \mu)^2$$

where

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i^T u = \mathbf{1}^T S u$$

Since S is centered, we get that $\mu = 0$, and therefore:

$$Var(Su) = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (s_i^T u)^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} ||Su||^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} u^T Cu$$

Therefore, the unit vector that will maximize Var(Su) is:

$$\underset{\|v\|^2=1}{\arg\max} u^T C u$$

Since C is PSD, it has an orthonormal eigenbasis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and we can write:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$$

since C is PSD, we have that $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i. Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$ be a unit vector (such a representation

exists and is unique because the eigenvectors form a basis). Then:

$$u^{T}Cu = u^{T}\left(C\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}v_{i}\right) = u^{T}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}v_{j}v_{j}^{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}v_{i}\right)\right)$$

$$= u^{T}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}v_{j}\langle v_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}v_{i}\rangle\right) = u^{T}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}a_{j}v_{j}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}v_{i}\right)^{T}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\lambda_{j}v_{j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\langle v_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\lambda_{j}v_{j}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}a_{i}\lambda_{i}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\lambda_{1}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}\|u\|^{2} = \lambda_{1}$$

$$(u^{T}v_{j} = \delta_{ij})$$

$$(\lambda_{1} \text{ is largest})$$

$$(u^{T}v_{j} = \delta_{ij})$$

$$(\lambda_{1} \text{ is largest})$$

so for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u^T C u \leq \lambda_1$ and in particular:

$$v_1^T C v_1 = v_1^T \lambda_1 v_1 = \lambda_1 ||v_1||^2 = \lambda_1$$

i.e. the maximum is achieved by v_1 , hence v_1 maximizes Var(Su).

2) Claim. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$, with n samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and d features. Define $W := XX^T$ with orthonormal eigenvectors $u_1, \ldots u_d$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots \lambda_d$. Let $P_{u_i} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be the projection operator onto span $\{u_i\}$. Then:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||P_{u_i} x_j||_2^2 = \lambda_i$$

<u>Proof.</u> The eigenvectors are orthogonal, hence: $u_i^T u_j = \delta_{ij}$. Now:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|P_{u_i} x_j\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|u_i u_i^T x_j\|^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle u_i u_i^T x_j, u_i u_i^T x_j \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j^T u_i u_i^T) (u_i u_i^T x_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j^T u_i) (u_i^T x_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} (u_i^T x_j)^2$$

Additionally, note that:

$$\|u_i^T X\|^2 = \|\sum_{j=1}^n u_i^T x_j e_j\|^2 \stackrel{\text{Pythagoras}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n (u_i^T x_j)^2 \|e_j\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (u_i^T x_j)^2$$

Therefore:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|P_{u_i} x_j\|^2 = \|u_i^T X\|^2 \tag{7}$$

via SVD, we have that $X=U\Sigma V^T,$ with . In particular, $W=U\Lambda U^T,$ and also

$$W = XX^T = U\Sigma\Sigma^TU^T$$

Therefore $\sigma_i^2 = \lambda_i^2$.

Additionally

$$u_i^T X = u_i^T U \Sigma V^T = e_i^T \Sigma V^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \dots, \overbrace{\sigma_i}^{i-th}, \dots 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T = \sigma_i v_i^T$$

And therefore:

$$||u_i^T X||^2 = \sigma_i^2 ||v_i||^2$$

$$= \sigma_i^2 \qquad (V \text{ orthonormal})$$

$$= \lambda_i^2$$
(8)

Concluding,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||P_{u_i} x_j||^2 = ||u_i^T X||^2$$
 (Eq. 7)

$$= \lambda_i^2 \tag{Eq. 8}$$

Question 5

Question 5.1

The idea is to reduce the number of variables without sacrificing too much information. Whereas PCA deals with random variables that come from a single set, CCA assumes the variables come from **two** sets. We select an uncorrelated linear combinations a^Tx and b^Ty , which are pairwise highly correlated.

We assume wlog that E[x] = E[y] = 0. Additionally, We assume both random vectors have no redundant features, i.e. no features that can be expressed as a linear combination of the others. This gives that xx^T and yy^T are (strictly) positive definite (and not *semi*-positive definite).

Under these circumstance, note that the correlation between a^Tx and b^Ty is:

$$\rho = \frac{E[(a^T x)(b^T y)^T]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[a^T X] \cdot \operatorname{Var}[b^T X]}} = \frac{a^T E[x y^T]}{b}$$

Question 5.2: Comparing Statistical and Geometrical PCA

 $\underline{\text{TL:DR}}$ skip over Statistical POV and Geometric POV, I wrote them just to introduce notation. Go to $\underline{\text{Difference}}$ in Optimization.

Statistical POV: We treat $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ as a random vector, aka a multivariate random variable. We assume features are scaled, i.e. $E[x_i] = 0$ and $\operatorname{Var}[x_i] = 1$. Generally, $E[x_i x_j] \neq 0$, therefore the features are correlated. We wish to find a representation $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, d << D, given as a <u>linear</u> combination of \boldsymbol{x} , i.e. $\boldsymbol{y} = A\boldsymbol{x}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$, such that the features are uncorrelated, i.e.

$$Cov[y_i, y_j] = E[y_i y_j] - E[y_i]E[y_j] = 0$$

and since $E[y_i] = E[y_j] = 0$, this means we look for $E[y_i y_j] = 0$. In addition, we look for the most "meaningful" uncorrelated directions - those directions along which \boldsymbol{x} varies the most. How do we capture this? Recall that with the random matrix:

$$P_r = \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T$$

and $Cov[x] = E[xx^T]$ we can measure the variance of the projection of x along a vector u by:

$$Var[\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{u}^T E[\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T] \mathbf{u} = E[\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}] = E[(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x})^2]$$

This gives the objective function:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\star} &= \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}} E[(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{x})^{2}] \\ \text{s.t. } \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{u}_{i} &= \delta_{ii} \text{ for } j \leq i \end{aligned}$$

Since $Var[\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{u}^T P_x \mathbf{u}$, we have from Courant-Fischer that the largest eigenvectors of P_x are those that maximize it.

Geometrical POV: Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times n}$, where D is number of features and n is number of samples. Assume the data is centered, there are no redundant features, and $n \geq D$, i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(X) = D$. Denote by $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ an encoding of X, and denote by $\widetilde{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times n}$ a reconstruction of X using Y.

MSE Objective: We wish, using linear operators, to find \widetilde{X} s.t.:

$$\min_{\widetilde{Y}} \|X - \widetilde{X}\|_F^2$$

Since both the encoding and decoding is done via linear operators, we can write:

$$\min_{\widetilde{X}} \|X - \widetilde{X}\|_F^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \widetilde{X} = U_2 Y = U_2 U_1 X \quad U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}, U_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}$$

Solution: PCA. Let $\widehat{P} = \frac{1}{n}XX^T$ be the covariance matrix, and let U be the first d largest eigenvectors of \widehat{P} . Then $U_1 = U^T, U_2 = U$.

Difference in Optimization: For Geometrical PCA, the objective function is Minimal MSE:

$$\min_{\widetilde{X}} \|X - \widetilde{X}\|_F^2$$
 s.t. $\widetilde{X} = U_2 U_1 X$

whereas for Statistical PCA, the objective function is Variance Maximization:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\star} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}} E[(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{x})^{2}]$$

s.t. $\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{u}_{j} = \delta_{ij} \text{ for } j \leq i$

this leads to the following differences:

- Goal: Statistical PCA aims to maximize variance, whereas Geometrical PCA aims to minimize reconstruction error. Each one achieves also the other's goals, but implicitly.
- Constraints and Uniqueness: Statistical MSE requires uncorrelated transformed features, whereas Geometrical PCA places no constraints (besides linearity, like Statistical PCA) on the transformed features. as we explain below, this causes Geometrical PCA to have redundant solutions, that Statistical PCA does not have.

Redundancy in the MSE solution: Geometric PCA is not-unique, statistical PCA is* (up to distinct eigenvalues and multiplication by -1). Notice that for every orthogonal matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, we have that $U_1 = (UR)^T$, $U_2 = (UR)$ is also a minimizer. Denote $\widetilde{U} = UR$.

For example, if our data really only has entries in the first two entries, then we can encode it to X - Y plane. However every rotation following this projection would also serve, provided the decoder also includes the inverse rotation.

I.e. the encoding Y isn't unique. However, statistical PCA is unique - how can this be? The answer is that in statistical PCA, we add a requirement that there is no correlation between the features (i.e. they are orthogonal), i.e. every row (feature) of Y is uncorrelated with every other row. If $Y = U^T X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$, then we have that $E[YY^T] = \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$, i.e. the encodings are not correlated. However, for $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{U}^T X = (UR)^T X$, we have that:

$$E[\widetilde{Y}\widetilde{Y}^T] = R^T \Lambda R$$

i.e. there can be non-zero off-diagonal elements, and hence the features can be correlated, unlike (statistical) PCA.

Therefore, there are infinite solutions in the encoder-decoder framework, which are "redundant".

Question 5.3

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$, with n samples. Assume the data is centered, that is

$$X\mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{0}_n$$

Let $k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{x}^t \boldsymbol{y}$. Define the kernel matrix (Gram matrix) $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:

$$K_{ij} = k(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j) = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{x}_j$$

Therefore:

$$K = X^T X$$

We center the kernel matrix:

$$K_c := K - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T K - \frac{1}{n} K \mathbf{1}_n + \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{1}_n^T K \mathbf{1}_n$$

and since the data is centered and $K = X^T X$, we get that $K_c = K = X^T X$.

Define the covariance matrix:

$$C = \frac{1}{n} X X^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$$

Recalling the Kernel PCA algorithm, we now solve for eigenvectors v_j of K_c , with eigenvalues of $n\lambda_j$, i.e.:

$$K_c \mathbf{v}_j = n \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j$$

We multiply by X and get:

$$XX^{T}(X\boldsymbol{v}_{j}) = n\lambda_{j}(X\boldsymbol{v}_{j})$$

$$\Rightarrow nC(\boldsymbol{u}_{j}) = n\lambda_{j}\boldsymbol{u}_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow C\boldsymbol{u}_{j} = \lambda_{j}\boldsymbol{u}_{j}$$

I.e. for $u_j := Xv_j$, we have that u_j is an eigenvalue of C with eigenvalue λ_j .

We've shown that for Kernel PCA, the embedding \tilde{y}_i of sample x_i is given by:

$$egin{aligned} \widetilde{oldsymbol{y}}_i^{(\ell)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n oldsymbol{v}_\ell^{(j)} \overline{k}(oldsymbol{x}_i, oldsymbol{x}_j) \ &= \sum_{j=1}^n oldsymbol{v}_\ell^{(j)} oldsymbol{x}_i^T oldsymbol{x}_j \ &= oldsymbol{x}_i^T X oldsymbol{v}_\ell \ &= oldsymbol{x}_i^T X oldsymbol{v}_\ell \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for linear PCA, the embedding y_i for sample x_i is given by:

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{y}_i^{(\ell)} &= oldsymbol{x}_i^T oldsymbol{u}_\ell \ &= oldsymbol{x}_i^T X oldsymbol{v}_\ell \end{aligned}$$

And therefore we get that:

$$\widetilde{m{y}}_i = m{y}_i$$

i.e. kernel PCA with a linear kernel is exactly equivalent to linear PCA.