

Metamorphic Testing Assignment Report

150149370

December 2019

Contents

1	Category Partition Method	3
1.1	List	3
1.1.1	Odd Number of Elements [ID: 01]	3
1.1.2	Linked List Type [ID: 02]	3
1.1.3	Recurring values present [ID: 03]	3
1.1.4	Empty list element present [ID: 04]	3
1.1.5	String List Element type [ID: 05]	4
1.1.6	Long List Element type [ID: 06]	4
1.1.7	Negative values present [ID: 07]	4
1.1.8	Long List Length [ID: 08]	4
1.1.9	ArrayList List Type [ID: 09]	4
1.2	Distance	4
1.2.1	Negative Distance [ID: 10]	4
1.2.2	Distance \leq List Length [ID: 11]	4
1.2.3	Distance = List Length [ID: 12]	4
1.2.4	Distance Multiple of List Length [ID: 13]	5
1.2.5	Distance = 0 [ID: 14]	5
1.2.6	Positive Distance [ID: 15]	5
1.3	Collection	5
1.3.1	Hash Set Collection Type [ID: 16]	5
1.3.2	Tree Set Collection Type [ID: 17]	5
1.3.3	Hash Map Collection Type [ID: 18]	5
1.3.4	Multiple Minima [ID: 19]	5
1.3.5	No Minimum [ID: 20]	5
1.3.6	Negative Values [ID: 21]	6
1.3.7	Linked List Collection Type [ID: 21]	6
2	Test Cases	7
2.1	Collections.sort	7
2.1.1	Test Case 1.1	7
2.1.2	Test Case 1.2	8

2.1.3	Test Case 1.3	8
2.2	Collections.rotate	8
2.2.1	Test Case 2.1	9
2.2.2	Test Case 2.2	9
2.2.3	Test Case 2.3	10
2.3	Collections.min	10
2.3.1	Test Case 3.1	10
2.3.2	Test Case 3.2	10
2.3.3	Test Case 3.3	11
3	Metamorphic Relations	13
3.1	Collections.sort	13
3.2	Collections.rotate	13
3.3	Collections.min	14
4	Remarks	15
	Bibliography	16

Chapter 1

Category Partition Method

This section details the categories that were chosen, and why.

1.1 List

1.1.1 Odd Number of Elements [ID: 01]

In JDK 8, the sort method uses a highly optimised mergesort [1] to order lists. Since mergesort is a 'divide and conquer' algorithm, the behaviour around dividing odd length lists is investigated.

1.1.2 Linked List Type [ID: 02]

Allows us to probe the behaviour towards doubly linked lists, particularly because you can not directly access individual list elements (i.e. is not randomAccess) and the rotation algorithm is different for lists that do not support random access [2].

1.1.3 Recurring values present [ID: 03]

Using recurring values allows for the probing of the sort algorithm, especially in terms of how it compares values.

1.1.4 Empty list element present [ID: 04]

We attempt to discover how the functions in question handle empty fields, and their rankings.

1.1.5 String List Element type [ID: 05]

For testing how the algorithms responds to the string data type.

1.1.6 Long List Element type [ID: 06]

For testing how the algorithms responds to long data types, and decimal values.

1.1.7 Negative values present [ID: 07]

We explore whether negative values induce unwarranted types of behaviour in the sort method, such as the use of absolute values during comparisons.

1.1.8 Long List Length [ID: 08]

The rotate function uses a different algorithm for large lists that do not support random access. 'Large' here is unspecified, but we will arbitrarily consider large as including upwards of 256 elements.

1.1.9 ArrayList List Type [ID: 09]

ArrayList facilitates random access, thus providing insight into how the functions respond to such structures.

1.2 Distance

1.2.1 Negative Distance [ID: 10]

According to the documentation, a negative distance should result in a forwards rotation. We explore this behaviour.

1.2.2 Distance \leq List Length [ID: 11]

We anticipate some periodicity in the rotation. This attempts to see how the function copes with a rotation larger than 1 full cycle.

1.2.3 Distance = List Length [ID: 12]

A rotation distance equal to list length should not result in any change.

1.2.4 Distance Multiple of List Length [ID: 13]

Any rotation that is a multiple of the list length should also not result in any change.

1.2.5 Distance = 0 [ID: 14]

A distance of 0 should result in no change.

1.2.6 Positive Distance [ID: 15]

To explore the full breadth of polarity in the function.

1.3 Collection

1.3.1 Hash Set Collection Type [ID: 16]

Hash sets implement hashing and therefore do not guarantee ordering. It seems logical to explore how the minimum function handles hashed sets.

1.3.2 Tree Set Collection Type [ID: 17]

Tree sets are sorted sets and are thus form a different platform to test the Min function with.

1.3.3 Hash Map Collection Type [ID: 18]

Maps require a key and value, allowing us to test the function from yet another angle. Hash maps also have an unordered structure.

1.3.4 Multiple Minima [ID: 19]

Exploring the collections response to multiple minima could highlight comparison issues.

1.3.5 No Minimum [ID: 20]

Any collection with equal elements is effectively a 'straight line' having no minimum. Insight can be gained into how the minimum is selected when all are the same.

1.3.6 Negative Values [ID: 21]

We expect negative values to be considered low than their positive counterparts, even if they have larger absolute value.

1.3.7 Linked List Collection Type [ID: 21]

Chapter 2

Test Cases

This section details the test cases to be used.

2.1 Collections.sort

2.1.1 Test Case 1.1

Description: Linked list with an odd number of longs, some of which are repeated values, and some of which are negative. The aim of this test is to bombard the function with a large variety of information, and see whether it is able to successfully execute the relative comparisons.

Categories Used: 2,1,6,3,7

Concrete Input: The input is created as shown in Figure 2.1.

```
9 // CATEGORIES 2,1,6,3,7
10 public static LinkedList<Double> llistGen1(int listLength, int maxRepeats) {
11     Random r = new Random();
12
13     LinkedList<Double> listofDoubles = new LinkedList<Double>(); // Creates list that will eventually be returned
14
15     while (listofDoubles.size() <= listLength) { // Loop stops when listLength is reached
16         Double ranDouble = r.nextDouble(); // A random double is created
17         int decider = r.nextInt(10); // A random integer who's value will determine whether to add a repeat or negative is created.
18         int repeatTimes = r.nextInt(maxRepeats); // A random amount of repeat times, no more than the max specified is created.
19
20         if (r.nextInt(7) > 4) { // Introduce negative values randomly
21             ranDouble = ranDouble*-1;
22         }
23
24         if (decider > 5) { // If the repeat is triggered, the same value is added to the list severally.
25             for (int numberOfRepeats = 0; numberOfRepeats <= repeatTimes; numberOfRepeats++) {
26                 int addAtIndex = r.nextInt(listofDoubles.size()+1); // An int that will determine where to add a repeat is created
27                 listofDoubles.add(addAtIndex, ranDouble);
28             }
29         }
30         else {
31             listofDoubles.add(ranDouble); // If no repeat is triggered, a random double is added to the end of the list.
32         }
33     }
34     return listofDoubles;
35 }
36
37 }
```

Figure 2.1: Linked list generator 1 method

2.1.2 Test Case 1.2

Description: Array list of 256+ strings. The long length of the array is chosen to explore whether the function remains consistent over larger pieces of data inputs.

Categories Used: 9,1,5

Concrete Input: The string generation method can be seen in figure 2.2. The two input arguments are 301, and 20 respectively.

```
7 public class stringGenerator {
8     // CATEGORIES 9,1,5
9     public static ArrayList<String> generate(int listLength, int elementMaxLength) {
10         Random r = new Random(); // Create a random object
11
12         ArrayList<String> listofStrings = new ArrayList<String>(); // This is the list that will eventually be returned
13
14         String AlphaNumericString = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ"
15             + "0123456789"
16             + "abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"; // We take values from this string.
17
18         while (listLength-- != 0) { // Cycle through each potential element in the final list
19
20             StringBuilder builder = new StringBuilder(elementMaxLength); // Create a blank builder that we will add characters to
21
22             // Determine a random element length, less than the max and cycle through that number
23             for (int index = 0; index <= r.nextInt(elementMaxLength); index++) {
24
25                 // Add a random character from the string bank to the blank string
26                 builder.append(AlphaNumericString.charAt(r.nextInt(AlphaNumericString.length())));
27             }
28
29             listofStrings.add(builder.toString()); // Add the newly created string to the array
30         }
31
32         System.out.println(listofStrings);
33
34
35
36
37         return listofStrings;
38     }
}
```

Figure 2.2: String generator method

2.1.3 Test Case 1.3

Description: ArrayList of 400+ strings with multiple empty list elements. This is chosen largely to see how the function handles empty indexes extensively.

Categories Used: 4,5,8,9

Concrete Input: Creation method is shown in figure 2.3.

2.2 Collections.rotate

These test cases will borrow the corresponding lists created for the Sort test cases. For example, the list produced in test case 1.1 is reused in test case 2.2 with the specified rotation distance.

```

35 // CATEGORIES 4,5,8,9
36 public static ArrayList<String> generate2(int listLength, int elementMaxLength) {
37     Random r = new Random(); // Create a random object
38
39     ArrayList<String> listOfStrings = new ArrayList<String>(); // This is the list that will eventually be returned
40
41     String AlphaNumericString = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ"
42     + "0123456789"
43     + "abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"; // We take values from this string.
44
45     while (listLength-- != 0) { // Cycle through each potential element in the final list
46
47         StringBuilder builder = new StringBuilder(elementMaxLength); // Create a blank builder that we will add characters to
48
49         if(r.nextInt(10) > 5) {
50             // Determine a random element length, less than the max and cycle through that number
51             for (int index = 0; index <= r.nextInt(elementMaxLength); index++) {
52
53                 // Add a random character from the string bank to the blank string
54                 builder.append(AlphaNumericString.charAt(r.nextInt(AlphaNumericString.length())));
55                 listOfStrings.add(builder.toString()); // Add the newly created string to the array
56             }
57         }
58         else {
59             listOfStrings.add("");
60         }
61     }
62     return listOfStrings;
63 }
64
65

```

Figure 2.3: Second string generator method

2.2.1 Test Case 2.1

Description: Negative rotation distance to test the symmetry of the rotation function and to test the claim of negative rotations in the documentation.

Categories Used: 10

Concrete Input: Randomly generated negative integer in a range of 0-100 (input = 100). Method is shown in figure 2.4.

```

7 // CATEGORIES: 10
8 public static int negIntGen(int range) {
9     Random r = new Random();
10     int randomNum = r.nextInt(range)*-1;
11     return randomNum;
12 }

```

Figure 2.4: Negative integer generator

2.2.2 Test Case 2.2

Description: Rotation distance larger than the list length.

Categories Used: 12

Concrete Input: Randomly generated number, larger than list size. Can be up to 5 times the list size. This to test the periodical consistency of the function. Method shown in figure 2.5.

```

14 // CATEGORIES: 12, 15
15 public static int IntGen(int minSize) {
16     Random r = new Random();
17     int randomNum = r.nextInt(minSize*r.nextInt(4))+minSize;
18     return randomNum;
19 }

```

Figure 2.5: Positive integer generator

2.2.3 Test Case 2.3

Description: Rotation is a multiple of the list length, positive or negative.

Categories Used: 12,15

Concrete Input: Uncapped multiple of the list size. Method shown in figure 2.6.

```

21 // CATEGORIES: 12, 15
22 public static int multipGen(int listLength) {
23     Random r = new Random();
24     int randomNum = listLength*r.nextInt();
25     return randomNum;
26 }

```

Figure 2.6: Multiple integer generator

2.3 Collections.min

2.3.1 Test Case 3.1

Description: Hash map of different keys, all with the same integer value to determine how multiple values are handled in a map setting.

Categories Used: 18,20

Concrete Input: Method for the creation of this map is shown in figure 2.7.

2.3.2 Test Case 3.2

Description: Tree set with negative values. This will give confirmation that true negatives are taken into account, and not just absolute values.

Categories Used: 17,21

Concrete Input: Method for the creation of this map is shown in figure 2.8.

```

10 // CATEGORIES 18,20
11 public static HashMap hmapGen(int mapSize, int consVal){
12     HashMap<String, Integer> hashy = new HashMap<String, Integer>();
13
14     Random r = new Random();
15     String AlphaNumericString = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ"
16     + "0123456789"
17     + "abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"; // We take values from this string.
18     while (mapSize-- != 0) {
19         int keyLength = r.nextInt(5)+1; // Set the max key length to 6 and min to 1.
20         StringBuilder builder = new StringBuilder(keyLength); // Create a blank builder that we will add characters to
21         for (int index = 0; index <= keyLength; index++) {
22             // Add a random character from the string bank to the blank string
23             builder.append(AlphaNumericString.charAt(r.nextInt(AlphaNumericString.length())));
24         }
25         hashy.put(builder.toString(), consVal); // make an entry to the map with constant value and the new string as the key.
26     }
27     return hashy;
28 }
29
30
31 }

```

Figure 2.7: Hash map generator

```

8 // CATEGORIES 17,21
9 public static TreeSet<Integer> tset(int setLength){
10     Random r = new Random();
11     TreeSet<Integer> treeSet = new TreeSet<Integer>();
12
13     while(setLength-- !=0) {
14         treeSet.add(r.nextInt());
15     }
16     return treeSet;
17 }
18
19

```

Figure 2.8: Tree set generator

2.3.3 Test Case 3.3

Description: Linked List with multiple minima. Where multiple minima are present, we expect the function to successfully choose it, despite its repeated presence.

Categories Used: 22,19

Concrete Input: Method for the creation of this map is shown in figure 2.9.

```

35 // CATEGORIES 22,19
36 public static LinkedList treeSetGen(int setSize, int minVal){
37     LinkedList<Integer> hashy = new LinkedList<Integer>();
38
39     Random r = new Random();
40
41     while (hashy.size() <= setSize) {
42         int ranNum = r.nextInt();
43         if (ranNum < minVal) {
44             ranNum = ranNum + Math.abs(ranNum) + Math.abs(r.nextInt());
45         }
46         int decider = r.nextInt(20);
47
48         if(decider>10) {
49             ranNum = minVal;
50         }
51         hashy.add(ranNum);
52     }
53     return hashy;
54
55
56
57 }
--

```

Figure 2.9: Linked List generator for multiple minima

Chapter 3

Metamorphic Relations

3.1 Collections.sort

Input Transform	Output Relationship
$x' = rev(x)$	$z == z'$
$x' = subLst$	$z' \subseteq z$

Justification of Metamorphic Relations The first metamorphic relation is chosen to ensure that no bias towards any particular arrangement, or order is present in the function.

The second relation tests the functions ability to preserve all elements and take all into account. We should expect every member in a sub list to be present in its 'father' list, if no errant values are introduced or removed.

3.2 Collections.rotate

Input Transform	Output Relationship
$x' = x + listLength$	$z == z'$
$x' = x - x$	$z == z'$

Justification of Metamorphic Relations The first relation is included to test the periodical consistency of the function. Because any list is a finite amount of elements, it is expected that any rotation longer than the list gets us back to square one and causes an overlapping.

The second relation further probes this behaviour, this time by making use of the difference property of a rotation.

3.3 Collections.min

Input Transform	Output Relationship
$x' = 2x$	$z ==' 2z$
$x' = x + a$	$z' = z + a$

Justification of Metamorphic Relations These relations seek to exploit any inconsistency in the linearity of the minimum function. If there is a shift across all elements of a collection, we expect the minimum value to highlight that same shift.

Chapter 4

Remarks

It was found that none of the JUnit tests failed and in not doing so, they failed to highlight any flaws in the Java Collections functions. Having probed the functions from a number of different angles, it they would appear to be robust, maintaining consistency despite a number of changing variables.

Testing metamorphic relations for functions like these proved an interesting experience. One could compare searching for a failure in this way to searching for oil- you don't find it, until you find it. While knowing how a function works is not crucial for metamorphic testing, it is certainly useful for guiding decisions around relationships, especially for very simple functions like these.

JUnit testing has also proved to be a simple and efficient means of testing software, particularly in light of the possibility of automatic generation of test cases. Where one must be careful is in determining whether it is the testing method that is incorrect, or the testing subject. This was an issue I had severally, which cost time through debugging.

Going forward, I can say that this is a method I will use again. But clearly, its effectiveness is dependent on the diversity of test cases and relations one can conjure. To this end, either more knowledge of the function, or more viewpoints from different people are required.

Bibliography

- [1] Oracle Documentation <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/collections/algorithms/index.html>
- [2] Oracle Documentation, Collections, Rotate
[https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/java/util/Collections.html#rotate-java.util.List-int-](https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/java/util/Collections.html#rotate(java.util.List,int))