# **Project Report**

**Graphical Models LAB** 

Maurice Wenig Friedrich Schiller University Jena Germany maurice.wenig@uni-jena.de

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Some introduction. bla bla

#### 2 METHODS

## 2.1 Choice of optimized score

For the score function  $p(G|D) \propto p(D|G) \cdot p(G)$ , i used the approximation

$$p(D|G) \approx p(D|G, \hat{\theta})$$
 
$$\hat{\theta} := \arg\max_{\theta} p(D|G, \theta)$$

and the prior

$$p(G) \propto \frac{1}{|E|^{\lambda}}$$

With this, the objective function can be decomposed into the sum of independent node scores and a regularization term.

$$\arg\max_{G} p(G|D) = \arg\max_{G} \log p(D|G) + \log p(G)$$

$$\approx \arg\max_{G} \log p(D|G, \hat{\theta}) - \lambda |E_G|$$

$$= \arg\max_{G} \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G) - \lambda |E_G|$$

where

$$S_i(G) := -\left|D\right| \cdot \log \hat{\sigma}_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in D} \left( \frac{x_i - (\hat{\beta_i}^\top x_{\text{pa}(i)} + \hat{\beta_i}^*)}{\hat{\sigma}_i} \right)^2$$

and  $\hat{\theta} := \left(\hat{\beta_i}, \hat{\beta_i}^*, \hat{\sigma_i}\right)_{i \in [n]}$  are the respective ML estimates for

$$p(x_i|x_{\text{pa}(i)}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\beta}_i^{\mathsf{T}} x_{\text{pa}(i)} + \hat{\beta}_i^{*}, \sigma_i^2)$$

A derivation of this can be found in subsection A.1.

## 2.2 Implications for Hill Climbing

Elementary changes (addition, substraction, flip of an edge) only influence local distributions. That means if we construct a graph G', where G' was made by applying an elementary change to an edge (u,v) in G, the comparison p(G'|D)>p(G|D) can be evaluated locally:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G') - \lambda |E_{G'}| > \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G) - \lambda |E_G|$$

$$\iff \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G') - S_i(G) > \lambda (|E_{G'}| - |E_G|)$$

$$\iff \sum_{i \in \{u,v\}} S_i(G') - S_i(G) > \lambda \underbrace{(|E_{G'}| - |E_G|)}_{:=\Delta_E(G',G)}$$

Where the second equivalence holds because  $S_i(\cdot)$  only depends on node i and its parents. Therefore  $S_i(G') = S_i(G)$  for  $i \notin \{u, v\}$ . Note that  $\Delta_E(G', G)$  only depends on the type of change applied to G:

$$\Delta_E(G',G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{addition} \\ 0 & \text{flip} \\ -1 & \text{deletion} \end{cases}$$

 $\Delta_S(G',G)$  measures the improvement of node scores when the change is applied to G.

Similarly, two alterations  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of G can be compared:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G_1) - \lambda \left| E_{G_1} \right| > \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G_2) - \lambda \left| E_{G_2} \right|$$

$$\iff \Delta_S(G_1, G) - \Delta_S(G_2, G) > \lambda \left( \Delta_E(G_1, G) - \Delta_E(G_2, G) \right)$$

A derivation of this can be found in subsection A.2.

The interpretation of this is that a change has to bring an improvement of at least  $\lambda$  per edge in order to improve the whole objective function.

## 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Performance

Some performance analysis

#### 3.2 Likelihood

Cross validation time.

**Table 1: Error Score Comparison** 

	DAGE	3445
Recommender	RMSE	MAE
user based	0.670	0.301
item based	0.569	0.222
factorization	0.512	0.207
hybrid	0.496	0.193

## 4 CONCLUSION

Some conclusion.

## **REFERENCES**

GM LAB, August 9, Jena, Germany

Maurice Wenig

#### A CALCULATIONS

## A.1 Score Function

Here we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{G} \log p(D|G, \hat{\theta}) &= \max_{G} \sum_{i \in [n]} S_{i}(G) \\ \max_{G} \log p(D|G, \hat{\theta}) &= \max_{G} \log \left[ \prod_{x \in D} p(x|G, \hat{\theta}) \right] \\ &= \max_{G} \log \left[ \prod_{x \in D} \prod_{i \in [n]} p(x_{i}|x_{\operatorname{pa}(i)}, \hat{\beta}_{i}, \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}, \hat{\sigma}_{i}) \right] \\ &= \max_{G} \sum_{x \in D} \sum_{i \in [n]} \log p(x_{i}|x_{\operatorname{pa}(i)}, \hat{\beta}_{i}, \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}, \hat{\sigma}_{i}) \\ &= \max_{G} \sum_{x \in D} \sum_{i \in [n]} \log \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{i}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_{i} - (\hat{\beta}_{i}^{\top}x_{\operatorname{pa}(i)} + \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*})}{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2}\right) \right] \\ &= \max_{G} \sum_{x \in D} \sum_{i \in [n]} \left[ -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_{i} - (\hat{\beta}_{i}^{\top}x_{\operatorname{pa}(i)} + \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*})}{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2} - \log \hat{\sigma}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi \right] \\ &= \max_{G} \sum_{i \in [n]} \left[ -|D| \cdot \log \hat{\sigma}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x \in D}\left(\frac{x_{i} - (\hat{\beta}_{i}^{\top}x_{\operatorname{pa}(i)} + \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*})}{\hat{\sigma}_{i}}\right)^{2} \right] \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\hat{\theta}$  ( $\hat{\beta}_i$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_i$ ) depends on G (pa(i)).

## A.2 Graph Comparison

For a graph G' that was made by applying one elementary change to a graph G, it holds that

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G') = \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G) + \Delta_S(G', G) \tag{1}$$

and

$$|E_{G'}| = |E_G| + \Delta_E(G', G) \tag{2}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G_1) - \lambda \left| E_{G_1} \right| > \sum_{i \in [n]} S_i(G_2) - \lambda \left| E_{G_2} \right|$$

$$\iff \Delta_S(G_1, G) - \lambda \Delta_E(G_1, G) > \Delta_S(G_2, G) - \lambda \Delta_E(G_2, G)$$

$$\iff \Delta_S(G_1, G) - \Delta_S(G_2, G) > \lambda \left( \Delta_E(G_1, G) - \Delta_E(G_2, G) \right)$$