Modelling three multilevel models with Ime4 package in R

NHZ

2025 - 02 - 15

Introduction

Lets fit some models with

- 1. Outcome: Clinical decision-making
- 2. Level 1 (Individual-level factors): Age, Gender, Education, Experience, post basic (PB), continous professional development (CPD), knowledge (NKS) and critical thinking (CTS)
- 3. Level 2 (Hospital-level factors): Unit, Department size, hospital settings and hospital size
- 4. Level 3 (Regional-level factors): State, state size

Install packages

```
install.packages("lme4")
install.packages("lmerTest")
install.packages("performance")
install.packages ("reghelper")
```

Load the library

```
The following object is masked from 'package:stats':

step

library(performance) # to get ICC
library(reghelper) # to plot the interaction

Attaching package: 'reghelper'

The following object is masked from 'package:base':

beta
```

Import the data

```
library(readxl)
CTCDMR <- read_excel("CTCDMR.xlsx", sheet = "sheet1")</pre>
```

Combine hospital and department ID within each region to create a unique identifier for hosp.dep

```
CTCDMR$hosp.dep <- paste(CTCDMR$Hospital, CTCDMR$DepartmentID, sep = "_")</pre>
```

Select Data

```
library(dplyr)

Attaching package: 'dplyr'

The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
    filter, lag
```

```
intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
CTCDMR <- select(CTCDMR, NurseID, hosp.dep, DepartmentID, Hospital, Age, Gender, Education, DepartmentID, Hospital, Age, Gender, DepartmentID, Hospital, Age, Gender, Education, DepartmentID, Hospital, Age, Gender, Age, Gender,
```

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

```
# A tibble: 50 x 20
  NurseID hosp.dep
                        DepartmentID Hospital
                                                Age Gender Education Experience
    <dbl> <chr>
                               <dbl> <chr>
                                              <dbl> <chr> <chr>
                                                                           <dbl>
1
        1 Hosp Segamat~
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 31 Female Diploma
                                                                              10
2
        2 Hosp Segamat~
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 31 Female Diploma
                                                                              8
3
        3 Hosp Segamat~
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 33 Female Diploma
                                                                              8
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 32 Female Diploma
4
        4 Hosp Segamat~
                                                                              9
5
        5 Hosp Segamat~
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 35 Female Diploma
                                                                             13
6
        6 Hosp Segamat~
                                  1 Hosp Se~
                                                 36 Female Diploma
                                                                             13
7
        7 Hosp Segamat~
                                                 25 Female Diploma
                                  1 Hosp Se~
                                                                              4
                                   1 Hosp Se~
8
        8 Hosp Segamat~
                                                 30 Female Diploma
                                                                              9
9
        9 Hosp Segamat~
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 31 Female Diploma
                                                                             10
                                   1 Hosp Se~
                                                 37 Female Diploma
10
       10 Hosp Segamat~
                                                                             14
# i 40 more rows
# i 12 more variables: PB <chr>, CPD <dbl>, HospitalSet <chr>,
   HospitalSize <dbl>, DepSize <dbl>, Unit <chr>, State <chr>, Region <chr>,
   StateSize <dbl>, CDMS <dbl>, CTS <dbl>, NKS <dbl>
```

Two-level Multilevel analysis

Null model

What is the average score of the CDM for the entire group as well as for each individual?

```
library(lme4)
m0 <- lmer(CDMS ~ 1 + (1 |hosp.dep), data = CTCDMR, REML = FALSE)
summary(m0)</pre>
```

```
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's
  method [lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CDMS ~ 1 + (1 | hosp.dep)
```

Data: CTCDMR

```
AIC BIC logLik deviance df.resid 4752.1 4765.9 -2373.1 4746.1 716
```

Scaled residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -2.6003 -0.7153 0.0565 0.7715 2.8980

Random effects:

Groups Name Variance Std.Dev.
hosp.dep (Intercept) 14.52 3.811
Residual 38.71 6.221
Number of obs: 719, groups: hosp.dep, 36

Fixed effects:

Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 36.2638 0.6762 35.9611 53.63 <2e-16 ***
--Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

The intra-class correlation (ICC):

```
library(performance)
performance::icc(m0)
```

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

Adjusted ICC: 0.273 Unadjusted ICC: 0.273

Random intercept model

Add level 1 and 2 variables:

Do the CDMS is predicted by the nurse's individual-level and hospital-level variables?

```
ri <- lmer(CDMS ~ NKS + Age + Gender + Education + Experience + PB + CPD + CTS + Unit + DepS summary(ri)
```

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's
 method [lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CDMS ~ NKS + Age + Gender + Education + Experience + PB + CPD +

CTS + Unit + DepSize + HospitalSet + HospitalSize + (1 | hosp.dep)
Data: CTCDMR

AIC BIC logLik deviance df.resid 3905.3 3978.5 -1936.6 3873.3 703

Scaled residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -3.523 -0.550 0.053 0.643 2.922

Random effects:

Groups Name Variance Std.Dev.
hosp.dep (Intercept) 0.1986 0.4456
Residual 12.6223 3.5528
Number of obs: 719, groups: hosp.dep, 36

Fixed effects:

Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 2.257e+00 2.221e+00 7.121e+02 1.016 0.3099 NKS 1.117e-01 9.831e-03 7.161e+02 11.364 <2e-16 *** Age -2.902e-02 7.965e-02 7.159e+02 -0.364 0.7157 2.337e-02 7.909e-01 7.179e+02 GenderMale 0.030 0.9764 EducationDiploma -1.578e-01 6.274e-01 7.188e+02 -0.252 0.8015 EducationMaster 3.576e+00 2.626e+00 7.118e+02 1.362 0.1738 Experience 6.053e-02 8.160e-02 7.162e+02 0.742 0.4585 **PBYES** 1.324 4.119e-01 3.111e-01 7.102e+02 0.1859 CPD 1.690e-02 2.416e-02 4.105e+02 0.699 0.4848 CTS 5.660e-01 3.215e-02 7.161e+02 17.606 <2e-16 *** -4.530e-01 3.188e-01 3.781e+01 -1.421 UnitMedical 0.1635 DepSize 1.348e-02 1.652e-02 4.749e+01 0.816 0.4184 HospitalSetGeneral -1.589e+00 7.179e-01 3.669e+01 -2.214 0.0332 * HospitalSize -4.175e-04 5.965e-04 4.060e+01 -0.700 0.4880 ---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation matrix not shown by default, as p = 14 > 12. Use print(x, correlation=TRUE) or vcov(x) if you need it

Random Slopes model

Do the CDMS is predicted by the variables at both individual-level and hospital level?

- Do the combined effect of nurse's knowledge and experience vary across different hospital department?
 - Outcome: Clinical decision-making
 - Fixed: **Knowledge**, **experience** and hospital settings
 - Random: Knowledge and experience
- Different scale?
 - So we gonna use grand mean centering: NKS -> NKS.GM

```
CTCDMR$NKS.GM <- scale(CTCDMR$NKS, scale = F)</pre>
CTCDMR$CTS.GM <- scale(CTCDMR$CTS, scale = F)</pre>
rs <- lmer(CDMS ~ NKS.GM + Experience + factor(HospitalSet) +
(1 + NKS.GM + Experience | hosp.dep),
data = CTCDMR, REML = FALSE, lmerControl(optimizer = 'bobyga'))
summary(rs)
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's
  method [lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CDMS ~ NKS.GM + Experience + factor(HospitalSet) + (1 + NKS.GM +
    Experience | hosp.dep)
   Data: CTCDMR
Control: lmerControl(optimizer = "bobyqa")
     AIC
              BIC
                    logLik deviance df.resid
  4106.9
           4157.2 -2042.4
                             4084.9
Scaled residuals:
             1Q Median
    Min
                             3Q
                                    Max
-3.1879 -0.6149 0.0070 0.6467 3.0585
Random effects:
 Groups
          Name
                      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 hosp.dep (Intercept) 1.093e+01 3.30591
          NKS.GM
                      3.031e-04 0.01741
                                          0.57
          Experience 6.959e-02 0.26380 -0.99 -0.55
                      1.565e+01 3.95652
 Residual
```

```
Number of obs: 719, groups: hosp.dep, 36
Fixed effects:
                     Estimate Std. Error
                                           df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                     34.364635  0.730043 29.216304  47.072  < 2e-16 ***
NKS.GM
                      Experience
                      factor(HospitalSet)General -1.266490 0.454201 38.192612 -2.788 0.008213 **
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
         (Intr) NKS.GM Exprnc
NKS.GM
          0.528
Experience -0.919 -0.378
fctr(HspS)G -0.429 -0.602 0.136
```

Three-level Multilevel analysis

```
(1 \mid \text{Region/hosp.dep}) or (1 \mid \text{Region}) + (1 \mid \text{Region:DepartmentID})
Both will give the same results, but there are some analysis that need to use the longer code
```

Null model

Short version

```
L3.M0a <- lmer(CDMS ~ 1
+ (1 | Region/hosp.dep), data = CTCDMR)
summary(L3.M0a)

Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CDMS ~ 1 + (1 | Region/hosp.dep)
Data: CTCDMR

REML criterion at convergence: 4744.4
```

```
Scaled residuals:
```

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -2.5720 -0.7162 0.0362 0.7438 2.9257

Random effects:

Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. hosp.dep:Region (Intercept) 12.436 3.527 Region (Intercept) 3.814 1.953 Residual 38.718 6.222

Number of obs: 719, groups: hosp.dep:Region, 37; Region, 6

Fixed effects:

Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 36.076 1.031 4.200 35.01 2.43e-06 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Longer version

```
L3.M0b <- lmer(CDMS ~ 1
+ (1|Region)
+ (1|Region: DepartmentID), data = CTCDMR)
summary(L3.M0b)
```

Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [lmerModLmerTest]

Formula: CDMS ~ 1 + (1 | Region) + (1 | Region:DepartmentID)

Data: CTCDMR

REML criterion at convergence: 4744.4

Scaled residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -2.5720 -0.7162 0.0362 0.7438 2.9257

Random effects:

Groups Name Variance Std.Dev.
Region:DepartmentID (Intercept) 12.436 3.527
Region (Intercept) 3.814 1.953
Residual 38.718 6.222

Number of obs: 719, groups: Region:DepartmentID, 37; Region, 6

Fixed effects:

```
Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 36.076 1.031 4.200 35.01 2.43e-06 ***
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Random intercept model

Do the CDMS is predicted by the nurse's individual and hospital department variables?

```
L3.ri <- lmer(CDMS ~ CTS + NKS + HospitalSize + (1|Region)
+ (1|Region: DepartmentID), data = CTCDMR, REML = FALSE)
summary(L3.ri)
```

```
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [lmerModLmerTest]
```

Formula:

```
CDMS ~ CTS + NKS + HospitalSize + (1 | Region) + (1 | Region:DepartmentID)

Data: CTCDMR
```

```
AIC BIC logLik deviance df.resid 3906.6 3938.6 -1946.3 3892.6 712
```

Scaled residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -3.5960 -0.5589 0.0381 0.6226 2.6628
```

Random effects:

```
Groups Name Variance Std.Dev.
Region:DepartmentID (Intercept) 0.27588 0.5252
Region (Intercept) 0.06633 0.2575
Residual 12.87336 3.5879
```

Number of obs: 719, groups: Region:DepartmentID, 37; Region, 6

Fixed effects:

```
Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) 1.847e+00 8.332e-01 3.356e+02 2.217 0.0273 *

CTS 5.957e-01 3.131e-02 7.092e+02 19.024 <2e-16 ***

NKS 1.075e-01 8.622e-03 4.433e+02 12.469 <2e-16 ***

HospitalSize -9.103e-04 3.323e-04 4.838e+01 -2.739 0.0086 **
```

Random Slope model

Do the CDMS is predicted by the variables at both individual-level, hospital level, and regional level? - Do the CTS effect vary across different region?

```
L3.RS <-lmer(CDMS ~ CTS.GM + Experience + Unit + HospitalSet
+ (1+ CTS.GM|Region)
+ (1|Region: DepartmentID),
data=CTCDMR, REML=FALSE,lmerControl(optimizer = 'bobyqa'))
summary(L3.RS)
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's
  method [lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CDMS ~ CTS.GM + Experience + Unit + HospitalSet + (1 + CTS.GM |
    Region) + (1 | Region:DepartmentID)
   Data: CTCDMR
Control: lmerControl(optimizer = "bobyqa")
     ATC
              BIC
                    logLik deviance df.resid
           4064.6 -1999.4
  4018.9
                             3998.9
                                         709
Scaled residuals:
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-3.3170 -0.6134 -0.0153 0.6388
                                 2.8700
Random effects:
                     Name
                                 Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 Groups
 Region:DepartmentID (Intercept) 0.161755 0.40219
                     (Intercept) 0.716231 0.84630
 Region
                     CTS.GM
                                  0.006558 0.08098 -0.01
                                 14.734358 3.83854
 Residual
Number of obs: 719, groups: Region:DepartmentID, 37; Region, 6
```

```
Fixed effects:
```

Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) CTS.GM 0.04292 7.81381 19.188 7.46e-08 *** 0.82348 Experience 0.02371 487.04291 4.250 2.56e-05 *** 0.10075 UnitMedical -0.04234 0.32014 27.42463 -0.132 0.895744 HospitalSetGeneral 1.35417 0.34664 36.16166 3.907 0.000394 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

(Intr) CTS.GM Exprnc UntMdc

CTS.GM 0.212

Experience -0.611 -0.268

UnitMedical -0.361 -0.014 0.143

HsptlStGnrl -0.356 -0.226 0.100 -0.004

Interactions and Cross-Level Interactions

Cross-level interaction: Experience (level 1) and hospitalSet (level 2)

Interactions: NKS and experience, CTS and NKS, CTS and Experience

```
L3.int <- lmer (CDMS ~ NKS.GM * Experience + CTS.GM * NKS.GM + CTS.GM * Experience + Experience + (1|Region: DepartmentID), data = CTCDMR, REML = FALSE)
summary(L3.int)
```

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [lmerModLmerTest]

Formula: CDMS ~ NKS.GM * Experience + CTS.GM * NKS.GM + CTS.GM * Experience + Experience * HospitalSet + (1 | Region) + (1 | Region:DepartmentID)

Data: CTCDMR

AIC BIC logLik deviance df.resid 3858.7 3913.7 -1917.4 3834.7 707

Scaled residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -3.7588 -0.5765 0.0370 0.6624 2.7531

Random effects:

Groups Name Variance Std.Dev.
Region:DepartmentID (Intercept) 0.34686 0.5889
Region (Intercept) 0.05199 0.2280
Residual 11.82346 3.4385

Number of obs: 719, groups: Region:DepartmentID, 37; Region, 6

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	3.371e+01	5.668e-01	1.407e+02	59.464	< 2e-16
NKS.GM	8.787e-02	1.792e-02	6.863e+02	4.903	1.18e-06
Experience	2.408e-01	3.557e-02	4.891e+02	6.771	3.69e-11
CTS.GM	7.858e-01	6.637e-02	7.146e+02	11.839	< 2e-16
HospitalSetGeneral	1.939e+00	7.337e-01	2.421e+02	2.643	0.00876
NKS.GM:Experience	1.701e-03	1.246e-03	7.141e+02	1.365	0.17257
NKS.GM:CTS.GM	2.589e-03	9.005e-04	6.880e+02	2.875	0.00416
Experience:CTS.GM	-1.942e-02	4.393e-03	7.142e+02	-4.420	1.14e-05
Experience: Hospital SetGeneral	-2.473e-01	4.937e-02	6.301e+02	-5.010	7.08e-07

 (Intercept)

 NKS.GM

 Experience

 CTS.GM

 HospitalSetGeneral
 **

 NKS.GM:Experience
 **

 NKS.GM:CTS.GM

NKS.GM:CTS.GM **
Experience:CTS.GM ***
Experience:HospitalSetGeneral ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

(Intr) NKS.GM Exprnc CTS.GM HsptSG NKS.GM:E NKS.GM:C E:CTS.

NKS.GM 0.227

Experience -0.865 -0.244

CTS.GM -0.137 -0.618 0.181

HsptlStGnrl -0.717 -0.446 0.657 0.104

NKS.GM:Expr -0.144 -0.851 0.251 0.464 0.404

NKS.GM:CTS. -0.310 0.319 0.251 0.278 -0.081 -0.340

Expr:CTS.GM 0.242 0.515 -0.351 -0.870 -0.169 -0.500 -0.269

Exprnc:HsSG 0.576 0.418 -0.714 -0.135 -0.832 -0.555 0.047 0.236

Plot interaction

```
graph_model(
  model = L3.int,
  y = CDMS,
  x = Experience,
  lines = HospitalSet,
  errorbars = "none")
```

