

Randomised Algorithms

Winter term 2022/2023, Exercise Sheet No. 7

Authors:

Ben Ayad, Mohamed Ayoub
Kamzon, Nouredine

December 4, 2022

Exercise 1.

(a)

We have for $t \geq 1$:
$$X_{t+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}X_t & \text{with probability } 18/37 \\ X_t/2 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Which yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1} | X_t = s] &= s - \mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} | X_t = s] \\ &= s - \left(\frac{18}{37} \frac{3s}{2} + \frac{19}{37} \frac{s}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{s}{74} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

Exercise 2.

(a) The worst case is to have all pairs $\{a_i, a_j\}$ s.t: $i < j$ not ordered, in this case $INV(S_0) = \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. We can achieve so, by taking a strictly ordered list, and inverse the order.

(b) We let $S_t = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and $S_{t+1} = [b_1, \dots, b_n]$. We have, $b_i = a_j$ and $b_j = a_i$. We need to prove that:

$$INV(S_{t+1}) \subsetneq INV(S_t)$$

For the strictness, obviously, $\{a_i, a_j\} \in INV(S_t)$ but $\{a_i, a_j\} = \{b_j, b_i\} \notin INV(S_{t+1})$, now we need to prove the inclusion:

Let $\{b_k, b_l\} \in INV(S_{t+1})$ s.t: $k < l$: we have ofc $b_l < b_k$

if $k \neq i$ and $l \neq j$, then $(b_k, b_l) = (a_k, a_l)$ and hence, $\{a_k, a_l\} \in INV(S_t)$.

If $k = i$ and $l < j$:

If $k = i$ and $i < j < l$:

*If $k < i$ and $j = l$:
If $i < k < l$ and $j = l$:*

Exercise 3.

Exercise 4.

Let X_i be the RV associated with step i of the algorithm and defined as follows:

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if at step } i, \text{ we picked } j \text{ such as } B[j] = \text{null (in one try)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have $\mathbb{P}[X_i = 1] = \frac{n-i+1}{n}$

Now let Y_i be the number of tries we need at each step i , to find a null element in B . Obviously, the running time T could be expressed as follows: $T = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, which yields, $\mathbb{E}[T] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_i]$

Y_i is a geometric RV, with parameter $p = \mathbb{P}[X_i = 1]$, hence, $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \frac{n}{n-i+1}$, which yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n}{n-i+1} \\ &= n \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= nH_n \\ &= \Theta(n \log(n)) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5.

The case where $n = 1$, is trivial, we will start our induction from $n = 2$.

Let's say $A = [a_1, a_2]$, we have two permutations in total, $A_1 = A$ and $A_2 = [a_2, a_1]$. In this case, the main loop of the algorithm has two runs, but the second run (and generally when $i = n$) doesn't not change the outcome of the list. Hence, the list is only changed in the first step ($i = 1$). Which yields two possibilities with equal probability, we either pick $j = 2$ (the algorithm swipes the two elements, and outputs, A_2), or we pick $j = 1$, and nothing changes to the list, and the algorithm outputs A_1 .

Now, we suppose that the algorithm is correct for some $n \geq 2$, and prove that the algorithm is correct for an input of size $n + 1$:

Let, T be a list of size $n + 1$, and let $L = [L_1, L']$ be a random permutation of T , where L_1 is the first element of L , and L' , is random permutaion of $T/\{L_1\}$ (i.e., the list T minus the element L_1). We need to prove that $\text{FastRandomPermutation}(T)$, has a probability of $\frac{1}{(n+1)!}$ of outputting L .

For the first step, the algorithm picks at uniformly random an element of T , and swipes it with the first element of T (T_1). Hence, we have get a probability of $\frac{1}{n+1}$ of actually picking L_1 . For the rest, we are left with a list of size n , $T/\{L_1\}$, and since L' is a valid permutation of $T/\{L_1\}$, we get by induction, that the probability pof picking L' in the steps $i \in \{2, \dots, n + 1\}$ is $\frac{1}{n!}$.

By the the multiplication theorem of probability, we conclude that the probability of picking L as a permutaion is $\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{n!} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$. This completes our proof by induction.