Randomised Algorithms Winter term 2022/2023, Exercise Sheet No. 5

Authors:

Ben Ayad, Mohamed Ayoub Kamzon, Noureddine

November 16, 2022

Exercise 1.

- (a) We have established in the notes that the probability of not making any mistakes after n-t contractions is at least $\frac{t(t-1)}{n(n-1)}$, in this case, when t=n-1, we would get that this probability is $\frac{n-2}{n}=1-\frac{2}{n}$, which is in fact equal to q_n .
- (b) We denote the probability that S_1 (resp S_2) is correct as P_1 (resp. P_2), we have:

$$P_1 = \mathbb{P}[\{Output \ of \ L5 \ is \ correct\} | \{Contraction \ at \ L4 \ is \ correct\}] \mathbb{P}[\{Contraction \ at \ L4 \ is \ correct\}] = P(n-1)q_n$$

$$P_2 = P(n)$$

The algorithm is successful if either the return at line 7 was a succes (i.e., S_1 is a success) or the return at line 10 was a succes, which yield the following:

$$\begin{split} p(n) &= \mathbb{P}[\{Entered\ L7\}] \mathbb{P}[\{Succes\}| \{entered\ L7\}] + \mathbb{P}[\{Didn't\ Enter\ L7\}] \mathbb{P}[\{Succes\}| \{Didnt\ enter\ L7\}] \\ &= q_n \mathbb{P}[\{Succes\}| \{entered\ L7\}] + (1 - q_n) \mathbb{P}[\{Succes\}| \{Didnt\ enter\ L7\}] \\ &= q_n \mathbb{P}[\{S_1\ is\ succesful\}] + (1 - q_n) \mathbb{P}[\{The\ best\ of\ S_1,\ S_2\ was\ succesful\}] \\ &= q_n \mathbb{P}[\{S_1\ is\ succesful\}] + (1 - q_n)(1 - \mathbb{P}[\{S_1\ and\ S_2\ failed\}]) \\ &= q_n P_1 + (1 - q_n)[1 - (1 - P_1)(1 - P_2)] \qquad (We\ will\ just\ keep\ re-arranging\ after\ now) \\ &= q_n^2 P(n-1) + (1 - q_n)[1 - (1 - q_n P(n-1))(1 - P(n))] \\ &= q_n^2 P(n-1) + (1 - q_n)[P(n) + q_n P(n-1) - q_n P(n-1)P(n)] \\ &= q_n P(n-1) + (1 - q_n)P(n) - q_n (1 - q_n)P(n-1)P(n) \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ q_n P(n) &= q_n P(n-1) - q_n (1 - q_n)P(n-1)P(n) \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ P(n) &= P(n-1) - (1 - q_n)P(n-1)P(n) \qquad (q_n \neq 0\ since\ n \neq 2\ as\ we\ would\ verify\ line\ 1\ if\ n = 2) \end{split}$$

(c) By dividing the equation that we derived in the last question by P(n)P(n-1), we get the following, for $k \in \{3, ..., n\}$:

$$\frac{1}{P(k-1)} - \frac{1}{P(k)} = -\frac{2}{k}$$

$$\implies$$

$$\sum_{k=3}^{n} \frac{1}{P(k-1)} - \sum_{k=3}^{n} \frac{1}{P(k)} = -\sum_{k=3}^{n} \frac{2}{k}$$

$$\frac{1}{P(2)} - \frac{1}{P(n)} = -\sum_{k=3}^{n} \frac{2}{k}$$

$$\implies$$

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=3}^{n} \frac{2}{k} - 1}$$

Comparisons of the probability of succes with FastCut:

- GeoContraction has a $\Theta(\frac{1}{\log(n)})$ (Assuming the bounds are exact like suggested in (b))
- FastCut had a $\Omega(\frac{1}{\log(n)})$

Exercise 2.

Let X_t represent the number of walks that are left to reach home at step t. Obviously $X_t \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, and we are interested in computing $\mathbb{E}[T]$ where $T = \inf\{t \geq 0 | X_t = 0\}$.

We have the following:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1}|X_t = s] = \sum_{X_t, X_{t+1}} \mathbb{P}[(X_t, X_{t+1})|X_t = s](X_t - X_{t+1})$$
$$= \sum_{X_{t+1}} \mathbb{P}[(s, X_{t+1})|X_t = s](s - X_{t+1})$$

If s = n (we are at the bar), X_{t+1} has two options $\{n, n-1\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1} | X_t = s] = (n - (n-1)) \mathbb{P}[(n, n-1)]$$
$$= \frac{1}{5}$$

If $0 < s < n, X_{t+1}$ has two options $\{s - 1, s + 1\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1} | X_t = s] = (s - (s-1))\mathbb{P}[(s, s-1)] + (s - (s+1))\mathbb{P}[(s, s+1)]$$

$$= \frac{3}{5} + \frac{2}{5}$$

$$= 1$$

Hence, we get, for all $t > 0, s \neq 0$:

$$\frac{1}{5} \le \mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1} | X_t = s] \le 1$$

Which yields the following bounds, assuming $X_0 = n$:

$$n \leq \mathbb{E}[T] \leq 5n$$

Exercise 3.

(a) As the state 0 is never reacheable and $X_0 = 1$, T could be formulated as follows:

$$T = \inf\{t > 1 | X_t = -1\}$$

i.e, the first time we reach the state s = -1 (our definition of "success", speaking from a geometric distribution terminology). By noting that each X_t is a Bernoulli experiment with p = 1/2, we conclude that $\mathbb{E}[T] = 1/p = 2$.

(b) The state we are interested to reach in the definition of T is -1, in the theorem the state of interest is s = 0, and X_t only takes positive values in the theorem statement, again, here X_t can take the value -1.

We have for $s \neq 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1} | X_t = s] = s - \mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} | X_t = s] = s$$

And hence, $\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1}|X_t = s] \leq 1$ for all $s \neq 0$. using the additive drift theorem would lead to conclude that $E[T] \leq 1$, which is completely wrong.

To make it work, we define the random process (Y_t) as $Y_t = X_t + 1$, clearly $Y_t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and

$$T = \inf\{t \ge 0 | X_t = -1\}$$

= \inf\{t \ge 0 | X_t + 1 = 0\}
= \inf\{t \ge 0 | Y_t = 0\}

The way we defined $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$, and how we formulated T using (Y_t) , matches the theorem's assumptions. Moreover we have: $[s \neq 0 \land \mathbb{P}(Y_t = s) > 0 \implies s = 2]$, and hence we only need to verify the theorem's conditions for s = 2:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[Y_t - Y_{t+1}|Y_t = 2] &= 2 - \mathbb{E}[Y_{t+1}|Y_t = 2] \qquad Y_{t+1} \ can \ only \ move \ to \ 0 \ or \ 2 \\ &= 2 - 2\mathbb{P}[Y_{t+1} = 2|Y_t = 2] \\ &= 2 - 2\frac{1}{2} \\ &= 1 \end{split}$$

And hence, using the additive drift theorem, we get:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_0]}{1} \leq \mathbb{E}[T] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_0]}{1} \implies \mathbb{E}[T] = 2, since \ \mathbb{E}[Y_0] = 2$$

Which is the same as the answer that we got in (a).

Exercise 4.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d) Consider the random process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on state space $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$, where knowing that $X_t=s$ for s>0, X_{t+1} is defined as follows:

$$X_{t+1} = \begin{cases} s-1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{s} \\ s & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{s} \end{cases}$$

For s > 0 and $t \ge 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_{t+1} | X_t = s] = s - \mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} | X_t = s]$$

$$= s - [(s-1)\frac{1}{s} + s(1 - \frac{1}{s})]$$

$$= s - [1 - 1/s + s - 1]$$

$$= \frac{1}{s}$$