# Homework 5 of Computational Mathematics $\!\!\!^*$

Chang, Yung-Hsuan 111652004 Department of Applied Mathematics May 28, 2024

<sup>\*</sup>For further information (such as codes), please refer to  $\verb|https://github.com/eiken59/CM_HW/tree/main/HW5|.$ 

**Problem 1**. Show that each of the following initial-value problems has a unique solution and find the solution. Can Theorem 5.4 be applied in each case?

a. 
$$y' = t^{-2}(\sin 2t - 2ty)$$
,  $1 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(1) = 2$ ; and

b. 
$$y' = -y + t\sqrt{y}$$
,  $2 \le t \le 3$ ,  $y(2) = 2$ .

## Solution.

a. The domain of  $f(t,y) = \frac{\sin 2t - 2ty}{t^2}$  is  $D = [1,2] \times \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that f is continuous on D. Fix a  $t \in [1,2]$ . Then, for  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)| = \frac{2t|y_1 - y_2|}{t^2}$$

$$\leq 2|y_1 - y_2|,$$

which implies f satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the variable y on D with a Lipschitz constant 2. By Theorem 5.4, the initial-value problem has a unique solution. Using calculus, we have

$$t^{2}y' + 2ty = \sin 2t$$

$$t^{2}y = -\frac{1}{2}\cos 2t + C$$

$$y(1)=2 \Rightarrow y = \frac{-\cos 2t + 4 + \cos 2}{2t^{2}}.$$

b. We can find that it is a Bernoulli's equation in the form of

$$y' + (1) \cdot y = t \cdot y^{1/2}$$
.

Hence, we can find the solution as follows:

$$y'+(1)\cdot y=t\cdot y^{1/2}$$
 
$$y'y^{-1/2}+y^{1/2}=t$$
 (Let  $u=y^{1/2}$ ) 
$$2u'+u=t.$$

It is clear that the homogeneous solution is  $u_h = Ce^{-t/2}$ . The non-homogeneous solution will be  $u_n = -t + 2$ . Hence, it has a unique solution; the general solution of the original equation is

 $y_g = (Ce^{-t/2} - t + 2)^2$ . By the assumption of the initial value, we have  $y = (e\sqrt{2} \cdot e^{-t/2} - t + 2)^2$ . Since it does not satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $D = [2,3] \times \mathbb{R}$  (here  $y \ge 0$ ), Theorem 5.4 cannot be applied in this case.

**Problem 2.** For each choice of f(t, y) given in the following:

- i. Does f satisfy a Lipschitz condition on  $D = \{(t,y) \mid 0 \le t \le 1, -\infty < y < \infty\}$ ?
- ii. Can Theorem 5.6 be used to show that the initial-value problem

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \quad y(0) = 1$$

is well-posed?

a. 
$$f(t,y) = e^{t-y}$$
; and

b. 
$$f(t,y) = \frac{1+y}{1+t}$$
.

# Solution.

a. Fix a  $t \in [0,1]$ . Then, for  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $y_1 > y_2$ ,

$$\left| e^{t-y_1} - e^{t-y_2} \right| \ge \left| e^{-y_1} - e^{-y_2} \right|$$
  
  $\ge e^{-y_2}$ 

is unbounded, which implies that f does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition on D in the variable y. We cannot use Theorem 5.6 here since f does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition.

b. Fix a  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then, for  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1+y_1}{1+t} - \frac{1+y_2}{1+t} \right| \le |y_1 - y_2|,$$

which implies f satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the variable y on D with Lipschitz constant 1.

Since f is continuous on D, by Theorem 5.6, the initial-value problem is well-posed.

**Problem 3**. Use Euler's method to approximate the solutions for each of the following initial-value problems.

a. 
$$y' = \frac{2 - 2ty}{t^2 + 1}$$
,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $y(0) = 1$  with  $h = 0.1$ ; and  
b.  $y' = \frac{y^2}{1 + t}$ ,  $1 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(1) = -\frac{1}{\ln 2}$  with  $h = 0.1$ .


Show that the actual solutions are indeed  $y(t) = \frac{2t+1}{t^2+1}$  and  $y(t) = \frac{-1}{\ln(t+1)}$ , respectively. Plot the errors between your numerical solutions and the exact solutions. Draw your conclusion regarding to the order of error with respect to the time step  $\mathrm{d}t$ .


## Solution.

a. We directly differentiate the function and see whether it is a solution. Let  $y(t) = \frac{2t+1}{t^2+1}$ . It is clear that y(0) = 1, and we have

$$y'(t) = \frac{2(t^2+1) - (2t+1)(2t)}{(t^2+1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{t^2+1} - \frac{2t}{t^2+1} \frac{2t+1}{t^2+1}$$
$$= \frac{2-2ty}{t^2+1}.$$

The graph of the approximated solution and the actual solution can be seen below. The graph of error can also be seen below.







b. We directly differentiate the function and see whether it is a solution. Let  $y(t) = \frac{-1}{\ln(t+1)}$ . It is clear that  $y(1) = -\frac{1}{\ln 2}$ , and we have

$$y'(t) = \frac{1/(t+1)}{(\ln(t+1))^2}$$
$$= \frac{y^2}{1+t}.$$

The graph of the approximated solution and the actual solution can be seen below. The graph of error can also be seen below.





```
1. import math
 2.
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 3.
     def plot_arrays(x_axis, y_1s, y_2s, title):
 4.
          plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(x_axis, y_1s, 'o', label='Approximated Solution'
plt.plot(x_axis, y_2s, 'x', label='True Solution')
 8.
          plt.xlabel('t')
 9.
10.
      plt.ylabel('y(t)')
          plt.title(title)
11.
12.
      plt.legend()
13.
          plt.grid(True)
      plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True)
14.
15.
     def plot_errors(x_axis, y_1s, y_2s, title):
    plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
    y_diff = [abs(y1 - y2) for y1, y2 in zip(y_1s, y_2s)]
    plt.plot(x_axis, y_diff, 's-', label='Difference Between Approximation and True Solution')
16.
18.
19.
20.
21.
           for i in range(len(x_axis)):
22.
       plt.annotate(f'{abs(y_1s[i] - y_2s[i]):.2e}', (x_axis[i], min(y_1s[i], y_2s[i])),
23.
                                textcoords="offset points", xytext=(0,-15), ha='center')
24.
25.
          plt.xlabel('t')
26.
      plt.ylabel('Error')
          plt.title(title)
28.
          plt.legend()
29.
          plt.grid(True)
      plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}e.png", transparent=True)
30.
31.
32.
     def euler_method(f, true_y, alpha, a, b, h):
          N = int((b - a) / h)
y_0 = alpha
33.
34.
      approx_soln_list = [y_0]
real_soln_list = [true_y(a)]
t_values = [a]
35.
36.
37.
38.
          for i in range(1, N + 1):
    t_i = a + i * h
39.
40.
             t_values.append(t_i)

y_0 += h * f(t_values[-2], y_0)
41.
42.
43.
               approx_soln_list.append(y_0)
44.
          real_soln_list.append(true_y(t_i))
45.
      return t_values, approx_soln_list, real_soln_list
46.
47.
     def f_a(t, y):
48.
49.
          return (2 - 2 * t * y) / (t * t + 1)
50.
     def true_y_a(t):
    return (2 * t + 1) / (t * t + 1)
51.
52.
53.
     def f_b(t, y):
55.
         return y * y / (1 + t)
56.
57.
     def true v b(t):
       return -1 / math.log(t + 1)
58.
60.
61.
      t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a = euler_method(f_a, true_y_a, 1, 0, 1, 0.1)
62.
63. plot_arrays(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a, "Euler's Method Approximation vs. True Solution: 3a")
64. plot_errors(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a, "Error Plot Graph for 3a")
65.
66.
67.
     t_values_b, approx_soln_b, real_soln_b = euler_method(f_b, true_y_b, -1 / math.log(2), 1, 2, 0.1)
68.
69. plot_arrays(t_values_b, approx_soln_b, real_soln_b, "Euler's Method Approximation vs. True Solution: 3b")
70. plot_errors(t_values_b, approx_soln_b, real_soln_b, "Error Plot Graph for 3b")
```

The code for Problem 3

# **Problem 4**. Given the initial-value problem

$$y' = -y + t + 1$$
,  $0 \le t \le 5$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ 

with exact solution  $y(t) = e^{-t} + t$ .

- a. Approximate y(5) using Euler's method with h = 0.2, h = 0.1, and h = 0.05.
- b. Determine the optimal value of h to use in computing y(5), assuming  $\delta = 10^{-6}$  and that Eq. (5.14) is valid.

#### Solution.

a. Using Euler's method with

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + h (-y + hi + 1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{5-0}{h}.$$

By Python, we have

$$y(5) \approx 5.003777893186297$$
 when  $h = 0.2$ ;

$$y(5) \approx 5.005153775207321$$
 when  $h = 0.1$ ;

$$y(5) \approx 5.005920529220334$$
 when  $h = 0.05$ ;

b. By assuming (5.14) is true and  $\delta = 10^{-6}$ , the minimal value of E(h) occurs when

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 10^{-6}}{\max_{t \in [0,5]} |e^{-t}|}} = \sqrt{2 \cdot 10^{-6}} \approx 1.4142135623731 \times 10^{-3}.$$

def euler\_method(f, h, a, b): 2.  $y_0 = 1$ 3. N = int((b - a) / h)for i in range(N): 4. 5.  $y_0 += h * f(a + h * i, y_0)$ 6. 7. 8. **def** f\_4(t, y): return -y + t + 1 9. 10. for h in [0.2, 0.1, 0.05]: 11. print(f"With h = {h}, the approximation is {euler method(f 4, h, 0, 5)}.")

The code for Problem 4a

**Problem 5**. Use Taylor's method of order two to approximate the solutions for each of the following initial-value problems.

a. 
$$y' = \frac{1+t}{1+y}$$
,  $1 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(1) = 2$  with  $h = 0.5$ ; and


b. 
$$y' = -y + t\sqrt{y}$$
,  $2 \le t \le 3$ ,  $y(2) = 2$  with  $h = 0.25$ .

# Solution.

a. By calculus,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{1+t}{1+y} = \frac{1}{1+y} - \frac{(1+t)^2}{(1+y)^3}.$$


By Python, we have the following result:



b. By calculus,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(-y+t\sqrt{y}\right) = y+\sqrt{y}-\frac{3}{2}t\sqrt{y}+\frac{t^2}{2}.$$

By Python, we have the following result:



```
1. import math
2.
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 4.
     def plot_array(x_axis, y_axis, title):
 5.
         plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
         plt.plot(x_axis, y_axis, 'o', label='Approximated Solution')
plt.xlabel('t')
 6.
 7.
         plt.ylabel('y(t)')
plt.title(title)
 8.
 9.
10.
         plt.legend()
11.
         plt.grid(True)
12.
         plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True
13.
    def taylor_method(n, f_family, alpha, a, b, h):
    N = int((b - a) / h)
14.
15.
16.
         y_0 = alpha
         approx_soln_list = [y_0]
17.
      t_values = [a]
18.
19.
20.
      for i in range(1, N + 1):
21.
22.
              for ii in range(n):
             T += h ** ii * f_family[ii](t_values[-1], approx_soln_list[-1]) / math.factorial(ii + approx_soln_list.append(approx_soln_list[-1] + h * T)
23.
24.
25.
              t_values.append(a + h * i)
26.
27.
         return t_values, approx_soln_list
28.
    def f_a(t, y):
    return (1 + t) / (1 + y)
29.
30.
31.
    def f_b(t, y):
32.
33.
         return -y + t * y ** 0.5
34.
35.
    def Df_a(t, y):
36.
      return 1 / (1 + y) - (1 + t) ** 2 / (1 + y) ** 3
37.
38.
    def Df_b(t, y):
         return y + y * 0.5 - 3 * t * y ** 0.5 / 2 + t ** 2 / 2
39.
40.
    f_a_{family} = [f_a, Df_a]
f_b_{family} = [f_b, Df_b]
41.
42.
43.
44.
45.
    t_values_a, approx_soln_a = taylor_method(2, f_a_family, 2, 1, 2, 0.5)
47.
    plot_array(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, "Taylor's Method of Order Two Approximated Solution: 5a")
48.
     t_values_b, approx_soln_b = taylor_method(2, f_b_family, 2, 2, 3, 0.25)
49.
50.
51. plot_array(t_values_b, approx_soln_b, "Taylor's Method of Order Two Approximated Solution: 5b")
```

The code for Problem 5

Problem 6. Given the initial-value problem

$$y' = \frac{2}{t}y + t^2e^t$$
,  $1 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(1) = 0$ 

with exact solution  $y(t) = t^2(e^t - e)$ .


- a. Use Taylor's method of order two with h=0.1 to approximate the solution, and compare it with the actual values of y.
- b. Use the answers generated in part (a) and linear interpolation to approximate y at the following values, and compare them to the actual values of y.
  - i. y(1.04);
  - ii. y(1.55); and
  - iii. y(1.97).
- c. Use Taylor's method of order four with h = 0.1 to approximate the solution, and compare it with the actual values of y.
- d. Use the answers generated in part (c) and piecewise cubic Hermite interpolation to approximate y at the following values, and compare them to the actual values of y.
  - i. y(1.04);
  - ii. y(1.55); and
  - iii. y(1.97).

#### Solution.

a. By calculus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{2}{t} y + t^2 e^t \right) = -\frac{2}{t^2} y + \frac{2}{t} y' + 2t e^t + t^2 e^t$$
$$= \frac{2y}{t^2} + (4t + t^2) e^t.$$

By Python, we have the following result:



# b. By (a), we have the following table:

t	Approximation	Real Value
1	0	0
-		
1.1	0.3397852286	0.3459198765
1.2	0.8521434493	0.8666425358
1.3	1.5817695052	1.6072150782
1.4	2.5809966497	2.6203595512
1.5	3.9109845593	3.9676662942
1.6	5.6430810358	5.7209615256
1.7	7.8603816039	7.9638734778
1.8	10.6595144804	10.7936246605
1.9	14.1526820904	14.3230815359
2.0	18.4699944826	18.6830970819

Using linear interpolation, we have

$$y(1.04) = 0.4 \cdot y(1.0) + 0.6 \cdot y(1.1)$$

$$= 0.2038711371,$$

$$y(1.55) = 0.5 \cdot y(1.5) + 0.5 \cdot y(1.6)$$

$$= 4.7770327976,$$

$$y(1.97) = 0.3 \cdot y(1.9) + 0.7 \cdot y(2.0)$$

$$= 17.1748007649,$$


where the real values are

$$y(1.04) = 0.2075519259,$$
  
 $y(1.55) = 4.8443139099,$   
 $y(1.97) = 17.3750924181.$ 

c. By calculus,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \frac{2y}{t^2} + (4t + t^2)e^t \right) = \frac{-4y}{t^3} + \frac{2y'}{t^2} + (t^2 + 6t + 4)e^t$$
$$= (t^2 + 6t + 6)e^t,$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( (t^2 + 6t + 6)e^t \right) = (t^2 + 8t + 12)e^t.$$

By Python, we have the following result:



# d. By (c), we have the following table:

t	Approximation	Real Value
1	0	0
1.1	0.3459126888	0.3459198765
1.2	0.8666257293	0.8666425358
1.3	1.6071858864	1.6072150782
1.4	2.6203148428	2.6203595512
1.5	3.9676025389	3.9676662942
1.6	5.7208747556	5.7209615256
1.7	7.9637592441	7.9638734778
1.8	10.7934779832	10.7936246605
1.9	14.3228968484	14.3230815359
2.0	18.6828681680	18.6830970819

Using linear interpolation, we have

$$y(1.04) = 0.4 \cdot y(1.0) + 0.6 \cdot y(1.1)$$

$$= 0.2075476133,$$

$$y(1.55) = 0.5 \cdot y(1.5) + 0.5 \cdot y(1.6)$$

$$= 4.8442386472,$$

$$y(1.97) = 0.3 \cdot y(1.9) + 0.7 \cdot y(2.0)$$

$$= 17.3748767721,$$

where the real values are

$$y(1.04) = 0.2075519259,$$

$$y(1.55) = 4.8443139099,$$

$$y(1.97) = 17.3750924181.$$

```
1. |import math
           import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
           def plot_arrays(x_axis, y_1s, y_2s, title):
                    plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(x_axis, y_1s, 'o', label='Approximated Solution
plt.plot(x_axis, y_2s, 'x', label='True Solution')
  5.
  7.
  8.
            plt.ylabel('y(t)')
10.
11.
12.
                     plt.title(title)
            plt.legend()
13.
14.
15.
                    plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True)
16.
           def taylor_method(n, f_family, real_y, alpha, a, b, h):
17.
             N = int((b - a) / h)
y 0 = alpha
18.
19.
                     approx_soln_list = [y_0]
20.
21.
                    real_soln_list = [y_0]
t values = [a]
22.
23.
                     for i in range(1, N + 1):
24.
25.
                              for ii in range(n):
                           T += h ** ii * f family[ii](t values[-1], approx_soln_list[-1]) / math.factorial(ii + 1)
approx_soln_list.append(approx_soln_list[-1] + h * T)
t_values.append(a + h * i)
26.
27.
28.
29.
                              real_soln_list.append(real_y(a + h * i))
30.
31.
                     return t values, approx soln list, real soln list
33.
           def f(t, y):
              return 2 * y / t + t ** 2 * math.exp(t)
34.
35.
36.
37.
          def Df(t, y):
    return 2 * y / t ** 2 + (4 * t + t ** 2) * math.exp(t)
39.
           def D2f(t, y):
              return (t ** 2 + 6 * t + 6) * math.exp(t)
40.
41.
42.
           def D3f(t, y):
                     return (t ** 2 + 8 * t + 12) * math.exp(t)
43.
44.
45.
              return t ** 2 * (math.exp(t) - math.e)
46.
47.
48.
          f family = [f, Df, D2f, D3f]
49.
50.
           t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a = taylor_method(2, f_family, y, 0, 1, 2, 0.1)
52.
           plot_arrays(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a, "Taylor's Method of Order Two Approximated Solution: 6a")
53.
55. for i, j, k in zip(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a):
56.    print(f"${i :.1f}$ & ${\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overli
58.
59.
           t_values_c, approx_soln_c, real_soln_c = taylor_method(4, f_family, y, 0, 1, 2, 0.1)
60.
          plot_arrays(t_values_c, approx_soln_c, real_soln_c, "Taylor's Method of Order Two Approximated Solution: 6c")
62.
          for i, j, k in zip(t_values_c, approx_soln_c, real_soln_c):
    print(f"${i :.1f}$ & ${j :.10f}$ & ${k :.10f}$ \\\")
63.
                    print("\hline")
65.
66.
67. for soln_list in [approx_soln_a, approx_soln_c, real_soln_c]:
68.    print(f"{0.4 * soln_list[0] + 0.6 * soln_list[1] :.10f})")
69.    print(f"{0.5 * soln_list[5] + 0.5 * soln_list[6] :.10f}")
70.    print(f"{0.3 * soln_list[9] + 0.7 * soln_list[10] :.10f}")
```


The code for Problem 6


**Problem 7**. Use the modified Euler method to approximate the solutions to each of the following initial-value problems, and compare the results to the actual values.

a. 
$$y' = \frac{y^2}{1+t}$$
,  $1 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(1) = -\frac{1}{\ln 2}$  with  $h = 0.1$ ; actual solution  $y = \frac{-1}{\ln(t+1)}$ ; and b.  $y' = \frac{y^2 + y}{t}$ ,  $1 \le t \le 3$ ,  $y(1) = -2$  with  $h = 0.2$ ; actual solution  $y(t) = \frac{2t}{1-2t}$ .


**Solution**. By Python, we have the following results:


a. The first graph is with approximated solution and the actual solution; the second graph is the error plot graph. In the error plot graph, I used lines to connect each pair of adjacent dots to increase visual clarity.





b. The first graph is with approximated solution and the actual solution; the second graph is the error plot graph. In the error plot graph, I used lines to connect each pair of adjacent dots to increase visual clarity.





```
1. import math
      import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 2.
 4.
      def plot_arrays(x_axis, y_1s, y_2s, title):
       plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(x_axis, y_1s, 'o', label='Approximated Solution
plt.plot(x_axis, y_1s, 'o', label='True Solution')
plt.xlabel('t')
plt.ylabel('y(t)')
plt.ylabel('y(t)')
 8.
        plt.title(title)
10.
11.
            plt.legend()
       plt.grid(True)
12.
            plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True)
13.
14.
      def plot_errors(x_axis, y_1s, y_2s, title):
    plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
    y_diff = [abs(y1 - y2) for y1, y2 in zip(y_1s, y_2s)]
    plt.plot(x_axis, y_diff, 's-', label='Difference Between Approximation
15.
16.
18.
19.
       plt.xlabel('t')
plt.ylabel('Error')
plt.title(title)
20.
21.
22.
23.
            plt.legend()
        plt.grid(True)
24.
25.
           plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}e.png", transparent=True)
26.
27.
      def modified_euler_method(f, true_y, alpha, a, b, h):
       N = int((b - a) / h)
y_0 = alpha
28.
29.
30.
            approx soln list = [y 0]
       real_soln_list = [true_y(a)]
t_values = [a]
32.
33.
       for i in range(1, N + 1):
    t_i = a + i * h
    t_values.append(t_i)
34.
35.
36.
                 y_0 += h * (f(t_values[-2], y_0) + f(t_i, y_0 + h * f(t_values[-2], y_0))) / 2
approx_soln_list.append(y_0)
real_soln_list.append(true_y(t_i))
37.
38.
39.
40.
            return t values, approx soln list, real soln list
41.
42.
      def f_a(t, y):
    return y * y / (1 + t)
43.
44.
45.
46.
      def true_y_a(t):
    return -1 / math.log(t + 1)
48.
      def f_b(t, y):
    return (y * y + y) / t
49.
50.
51.
      def true_y_b(t):
    return 2 * t / (1 - 2 * t)
52.
54.
55.
      t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a = modified_euler_method(f_a, true_y_a, -1 / math.log(2), 1, 2, 0.1)
57.
      plot_arrays(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a, "Modified Euler Method Approximation vs. True Solution: 7a")
plot_errors(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, real_soln_a, "Error Plot Graph for 7a")
58.
59.
60.
      t values b, approx soln b, real soln b = modified euler method(f b, true y b, -2, 1, 3, 0.2)
61.
62.
63. plot_arrays(t_values_b, approx_soln_b, real_soln_b, "Modified Euler Method Approximation vs. True Solution: 7b")
64. plot_errors(t_values_b, approx_soln_b, real_soln_b, "Error Plot Graph for 7b")
```

The code for Problem 7

**Problem 8**. Show that the difference method

$$\omega_0 = \alpha$$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + a_1 f(t_i, \omega_i) + a_2 f(t_i + \alpha_2, \omega_1 + \delta_2 f(t_i, \omega_i)),$$

for each i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1, cannot have local truncation error  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$  for any choice of constants  $a_1, a_2, \alpha_2$ , and  $\delta_2$ .

Solution.


**Problem 9.** Use the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg algorithm with tolerance  $TOL = 10^{-4}$  to approximate the solution to the following initial-value problems.

a. 
$$y' = \left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^2 + \frac{y}{t}$$
,  $1 \le t \le 1.2$ ,  $y(1) = 1$  with  $hmax = 0.05$  and  $hmin = 0.02$ ; and


b. 
$$y' = \sin t + e^{-t}$$
,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $y(0) = 0$  with  $hmax = 0.25$  and  $hmin = 0.02$ .

**Solution**. The code is provided after the two graphs.

a.



b.



```
1. import math
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
      4.
           plt.xlabel('t')
 8.
9.
          plt.ylabel('y(t)')
plt.title(title)
10.
       plt.legend()
11.
           plt.grid(True)
12.
       plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True)
13.
14.
      def runge_kutta_fehlberg_method(f, alpha, TOL, a, b, hmax, hmin):
15.
16.
       h = hmax
y_0 = alpha
17.
18.
       approx_soln_list = [y_0]
t_values = [a]
19.
       FLAG = True
20.
22.
       while (FLAG):
                le(FLAG):

t = t_values[-1]

k_1 = h * f(t, y_0)

k_2 = h * f(t + h / 4, y_0 + k_1 / 4)

k_3 = h * f(t + 12 * h / 13, y_0 + 1932 * k_1 / 2197 - 7200 * k_2 / 2197 + 7296 * k_3 / 2197)

k_4 = h * f(t + 12 * h / 13, y_0 + 1932 * k_1 / 2197 - 7200 * k_2 / 2197 + 7296 * k_3 / 2197)

k_5 = h * f(t + h, y_0 + 439 * k_1 / 216 - 8 * k_2 + 3680 * k_3 / 513 - 845 * k_4 / 4104)

k_6 = h * f(t + h / 2, y_0 - 8 * k_1 / 27 + 2 * k_2 - 3544 * k_3 / 2565 + 1859 * k_4 / 4104 - 11 * k_5 / 40)
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
                 R = abs(k_1 / 360 - 128 * k_3 / 4275 - 2197 * k_4 / 75240 + k_5 / 50 + 2 * k_6 / 55) / h
31.
32.
33.
                 if R <= TOL:
34.
                 t += h
                  t_values.append(t)
y_0 += 25 * k_1 / 216 + 1408 * k_3 / 2565 + 2197 * k_4 / 4104 - k_5 / 5.
approx_soln_list.append(y_0)
35.
36.
37.
38.
                 delta = 0.84 * pow(TOL / R, 0.25)
39.
                if delta <= 0.1:
    h = 0.1 * h</pre>
40.
                elif delta >= 4:
h = 4 * h
else:
42.
43.
44.
45.
                      h = delta * h
46.
47.
                 if h > hmax:
48.
                 h = hmax
49.
                if t >= b:
                 FLAG = False
elif t + h > b:
h = b - t
51.
52.
53.
                 elif h < hmin:</pre>
54.
55.
56.
                    FLAG = False
print("Minimum h exceeded.")
57.
       return t_values, approx_soln_list
58.
59.
     def f_a(t, y):
    return y * y / (t * t) + y / t
60.
61.
62.
63.
64.
         return math.sin(t) + math.exp(-t)
65.
66.
      t_values_a, approx_soln_a = runge_kutta_fehlberg_method(f_a, 1, 10 ** -4, 1, 1.2, 0.05, 0.02)
67.
68.
      plot_array(t_values_a, approx_soln_a, "Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg Method Approximated Solution: 9a")
69.
70.
      t_values_b, approx_soln_b = runge_kutta_fehlberg_method(f_b, 0, 10 ** -4, 0, 1, 0.25, 0.02)
71.
73. print(approx_soln_b)
74. plot array(t values b, approx soln b, "Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg Method Approximated Solution: 9b")
```


The code for Problem 9

**Problem 10**. Use each of the Adams-Bashforth methods to approximate the solutions to the following initial-value problems. In each case use starting values obtained from the Runge-Kutta method of order four. Compare the results to the actual values.


a. 
$$y' = \frac{y}{t} - \left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^2$$
,  $1 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(1) = 1$  with  $h = 0.1$ ; actual solution  $y(t) = \frac{t}{1 + \ln(t)}$ ; and b.  $y' = -5y + 5t^2 + 2t$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $y(0) = \frac{1}{3}$  with  $h = 0.1$ ; actual solution  $y(t) = t^2 + \frac{e^{-5t}}{3}$ .

**Solution**. The code is provided after the two graphs.

a.



b.



```
import math
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
       def plot arrays(x_axis, y_1s, y_2s, title):
    plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
    plt.plot(x_axis, y_2s, 'o', label='Actual Solution')
    plt.plot(x_axis, y_1s, ''', color="black", markerfacecolor='none', label='Approximated Solution by Adams-Bashforth Method')
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
             plt.xlabel('t')
            plt.ylabel('y(t)')
plt.title(title)
plt.legend()
             plt.grid(True)
plt.savefig(f"P{title[-3:]}.png", transparent=
       def adams_bashforth_method(f, true_y, alpha, a, b, h):
   N = int((b - a) / h)
   approx_soln_list = alpha.copy()
   real_soln_list = alpha.copy()
   t_values = [a, a + h, a + 2 * h, a + 3 * h]
         for i in range(4, N + 1):
    w = approx_soln_list[-4:]
    t = t_values[-4:]
    approx_soln_list.append(w[3] + h * (55 * f(t[3], w[3]) - 59 * f(t[2], w[2]) + 37 * f(t[1], w[1]) - 9 * f(t[0], w[0])) / 24)
    t_i = a + i * h
    t_values.append(t_i)
25.
26.
27.
28.
                   real_soln_list.append(true_y(t_i))
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.
         return t_values, approx_soln_list, real_soln_list
       def runge kutta method(f, true_y, alpha, a, b, h):
    N = int((b - a) / h)
    approx_soln_list = [alpha]
    real_soln_list = [alpha]
    t_values = [a]
35.36.37.38.39.41.42.43.44.5.55.55.55.55.56.57.58.59.661.62.
                   in range(1, N + 1):
t = t_values[-1]
w = approx_soln_list[-1]
if i < 1:
approx_soln_list.append(true_y(t))
else:</pre>
                    k_1 = h * f(t, w)
k_2 = h * f(t + h / 2, w + k_1 / 2)
k_3 = h * f(t + h / 2, w + k_2 / 2)
k_4 = h * f(t + h, w + k_3)
                   approx_soln_list.append(w + (k_1 + 2 * k_2 + 2 * k_3 + k_4) / 6)
t_i = a + i * h
real_soln_list.append(true_y(t_i))
                    t_values.append(t_i)
              return t_values, approx_soln_list, real_soln_list
       def f_a(t, y):
    return y / t - y * y / (t * t)
        def true_y_a(t):
    return t / (1 + math.log(t))
       def f_b(t, y):
    return -5 * y + 5 * t * t + 2 * t
63.
64.
65.
66.
67.
68.
69.
70.
71.
72.
73.
         _values_a, approx_soln_a_RK, real_soln_a = runge_kutta_method(f_a, true_y_a, 1, 1, 2, 0.1)
        ualues_a, approx_soln_a_AB, real_soln_a = adams_bashforth_method(f_a, true_y_a, approx_soln_a_RK[0:4], 1, 2, 0.1)
        plot_arrays(t_values_a, approx_soln_a_AB, real_soln_a, "Adams-Bashforth Approximations vs. True Solution: 10a")
        t_values_b, approx_soln_b_RK, real_soln_b = runge_kutta_method(f_b, true_y_b, 1 / 3, 0, 1, 0.1)
t_values_b, approx_soln_b_AB, real_soln_b = adams_bashforth_method(f_b, true_y_b, approx_soln_b_RK[0:4], 0, 1, 0.1)
```

The code for Problem 10

**Problem 11**. The initial-value problem

$$y' = e^y$$
,  $0 \le t \le 0.2$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ 


has solution  $y(t) = 1 - \ln(1 - et)$ . Applying the three-step Adams-Moulton method to this problem is equivalent to finding the fixed point  $\omega_{i+1}$  of

$$g(\omega) = \omega_i + \frac{h}{24} \left( 9e^{\omega} + 19e^{\omega_i} - 5e^{\omega_{i-1}} + e^{\omega_{i-2}} \right).$$

- a. With h = 0.01, obtain  $\omega_{i+1}$  by functional iteration for i = 2, ..., 19 using exact starting values  $\omega_0$ ,  $\omega_1$ , and  $\omega_2$ . At each step use  $\omega_i$  to initially approximate  $\omega_{i+1}$ .
- b. Will Newton's method speed the convergence over functional iteration?

Solution.

a. I use fixed-point iteration with accuracy  $10^{-8}$  for each  $\omega_{i+1}$ ,  $i=2,3,\ldots,19$ . The solution is approximated as follows:



b. Since fix-point iteration converges linearly and Newton's method converges quadratically, Newton's method will speed the convergence over functional iteration.  $\Box$ 

```
import math
mort matplotlib.pyplot as plt

def plot arrays(x axis, y.ls, y.2s, title):
    plt.figure(figsize=[10, 6))
    plt.plot(x axis, y.2s, 'o', label='Actual Solution')
    plt.plot(x axis, y.2s, 'o', label='Actual Solution')
    plt.plot(x_axis, y.2s, 'o', color="black", markerfacecolor='none', label='Approximated Solution by Adams-Moulton Method')

plt.ylabel('t')
    plt.ylabel('t')
    plt.ylabel('t')
    plt.ident()
    plt.size(fittle)
    plt.seyend()
    plt.grid(True)
    plt.seyenf()
    plt.grid(True)
    plt.seyenf()
    plt.grid(True)

def frue y(t):
    return 1 - math.log(1 - math.e * t)

mega_list = [true_y(0.01 * i) for i in range(3)]

def fix point iteration(f):
    cmega = [omega = [int] for j in range(3, 0, -1)]
    new omega = f(omega = list[-1], omega)
    old omega = = 100

while absolod omega - new omega) > 1e-8:
    old omega = new omega
    return new omega
    return new omega
    return new omega

def (yw, W):
    | w2, w1, w0] = W
    return w0 + 0.01 * (9 * math.exp(w) + 19 * math.exp(w0) - 5 * math.exp(w1) + math.exp(w2)) / 24

comega_list.append(fix_point_iteration(g))

t values = [0.01 * i for i in range(21)]
    plt.yalues = [true_y(0.01 * i) for i in range(21)]
    plt.yalues = [true_y(0.01 * i) for i in range(21)]
    plot_arrays(t_values, omega_list, real_y_values, "Approximation by Adams-Moulton Method (Functional Iteration): 11a")
```

The code for Problem 11 a

Problem 12. Derive the Adams-Bashforth three-step method by the following method. Set

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + ah f(t_i, y(t_i)) + bh f(t_{i-1}, y(t_{i-1})) + ch f(t_{i-2}, y(t_{i-2})).$$

Expand  $y(t_{i+1})$ ,  $f(t_{i-2}, y(t_{i-2}))$ , and  $f(t_{i-1}, y(t_{i-1}))$  in Taylor series about  $(t_i, y(t_i))$ , and equate the coefficients of h,  $h^2$ , and  $h^3$  to obtain a, b, and c.

**Solution**. Since y'(t) = f(t, y(t)),

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + ahy'(t_i) + bhy'(t_{i-1}) + chy'(t_{i-2}),$$

where

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i + h)$$

$$= y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) + \cdots,$$

$$y'(t_{i-1}) = y'(t_i - h)$$

$$= y'(t_i) - hy''(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y'''(t_i) - \cdots,$$

$$y'(t_{i-2}) = y'(t_i - 2h)$$

$$= y'(t_i) - 2hy''(t_i) + \frac{4h^2}{2}y'''(t_i) - \cdots.$$

Hence, we have

$$hy'(t_i) = ahy'(t_i) + bhy'(t_i) + chy'(t_i)$$
$$\frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) = -ah^2y''(t_i) - 2chy''(t_i)$$
$$\frac{h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) = \frac{bh^3}{2}y'''(t_i) + \frac{4ch^3}{2}y'''(t_i),$$

which yields  $(a, b, c) = \left(\frac{23}{12}, -\frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{12}\right)$ .


**Problem 13**. Use the Adams variable step-size predictor-corrector algorithm with  $TOL = 10^{-4}$  to approximate the solutions to the following initial-value problems:

a. 
$$y' = \sin t + e^{-t}$$
,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $y(0) = 0$  with  $hmax = 0.2$  and  $hmin = 0.01$ ; and


b. 
$$y' = -ty + \frac{4t}{y}$$
,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $y(0) = 1$  with  $hmax = 0.2$  and  $hmin = 0.01$ .

**Solution**. The code is provided after the two graphs.

a.



b.



```
1. import math
2. import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          def plot_array(x_axis, y_axis, title):
                plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(x_axis, y_axis, 'o', label='Approximated Solutio
plt.xlabel('t')
          plt.xlabel('t')
plt.ylabel('y(t)')
plt.title(title)
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.savefig(f"P{title[-3:]}.png", transparent=True)
  8.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
         def adams_variable_step_size_predictor_corrector(f, t, y, b, h_min, h_max, tol, N):
               def rkd(f, t, y, h):

k1 = h*f(t, y)

k2 = h*f(t + h/2, y + k1/2)

k3 = h*f(t + h/2, y + k2/2)

k4 = h*f(t + h, y + k3)
                        t=t+h
                        return t,y + (k1 + 2*k2 + 2*k3 + k4)/6
                       correctors = [
[2, 3, -1],
[12, 23, -16, 5],
[24, 55, -59, 37, -9],
[720, 1901, -2774, 2616, -1274, 251]
                AM correctors=[
                       [2, 1, 1],

[12, 5, 8, -1],

[24, 9, 19, -5,1],

[720, 251, 646, -264, 106,-19]
32.
33.
34.
35.
36.
37.
38.
40.
41.
42.
43.
                corrector = AB_correctors[N-2]
               time = [t]
y_values = [y]
result=[]
               last=False
h=h_max
                       t in range(1, N):
t_i,y_i=rk4(f, time[_i-1], y_values[_i-1],h]
y_values.append(y_i)
45.
46.
47.
                        time.append(t_i)
                nflag=True
49.
50.
51.
52.
                t=time[len(time)-1]+h
                while h != 0:
                    fix = 0
                       for j in range(N):
    fix += corrector[j+1] * f(time[i-j-1], y_values[i-j-1])
wp = y_values[i-1] + h/corrector[0]*fix
54.
55.
56.
57.
58.
59.
60.
61.
62.
                        coeffs = AM_correctors[N-2]
fix = coeffs[1]*f(t, wp)
                        for j in range(len(coeffs)-2):
    fix += coeffs[j+2] * f(time[i-j-1], y_values[i-j-1])
wc = y_values[i-1] + h/coeffs[0]*fix
64.
65.
66.
67.
68.
69.
70.
71.
72.
73.
74.
75.
76.
77.
                        sigma=19*abs(wc-wp)/(270*h)
                       if(sigma<=tol):</pre>
                               y_values.append(wc)
time.append(t)
if nflag:
                                 for j in range(N):
    result.append([time[i-N+j],y_values[i-N+j]])
                               else:
    result.append([time[i],y_values[i]])
if last:
    # print('last')
    result.append([time[i],y_values[i]])
```

The code for Problem 13

```
else:
   i=i+1
 81.
 82.
                              nriag=False
if sigma<=(0.1*tol) or time(i-1)+h>b:
    q=(tol/(2*sigma)) ** (0.25)
    if q>4:
        h=4*h
else.
                              nflag=False
 84.
85.
86.
87.
                                   else:
 89.
90.
91.
                                         h=q*h
                                   if h>h max:
 93.
94.
95.
96.
97.
                                   if time[i-1]+4*h>=b:
    h=(b-time[i-1])/4
                                         last=True
                                   for _i in range(-1, N-2):
    t_i,y_i=rk4(f, time[i+_i], y_values[i+_i],h)
    y_values.append(y_i)
99.
101.
102.
                                          time.append(t_i)
                                   nflag=True
103.
104.
                              elif time[i-1]+4*h>=b:
   h=(b-time[i-1])/4
106.
                                   last=True
                                   for _i in range(-1, N-2):
    t_i,y_i=rk4(f, time[i+_i], y_values[i+_i],h)
    y_values.append(y_i)
    time.append(t_i)
108.
109.
110.
111.
112.
                                   nflag=True
113.
114.
115.
                                    i=i+N-1
                        q=(tol/(2*sigma))**0.25
116.
117.
                        if(q<0.1):
118.
119.
120.
121.
                             h=0.1*h
                        else:
h=q*h
                        if h<h min:
123.
                             break
124.
125.
126.
127.
                        else :
if nflag:
                                  i=i-(N-1)
                             128.
130.
131.
132.
133.
135.
136.
137.
                                              y_values[i+_i+1]=y_i
time[i+_i+1]=t_i
             nrlag=:rue
i=i+N-1
t=time[len(time)-1]+h
t_values, approx_soln_list = [result[i][0] for i in range(lereturn t_values, approx_soln_list
138.
139.
140.
141.
143.
        def f_a(t, y):
    return math.sin(t) + math.exp(-t)
145.
147.
148.
149.
        def f_b(t, y):
    return - t * y + 4 * t / y
150.
151.
        t_values_a, approximation_a = adams_variable_step_size_predictor_corrector(f_a, 0, 0, 1, 0.01, 0.2, 1e-4, 4)
       plot_array(t_values_a, approximation_a, "Approximation by the Adams Variable Step-Size Predictor-Corrector Algorithm: 13a")
152.
153.
154.
       t_values_b, approximation_b = adams_variable_step_size_predictor_corrector(f_b, 0, 1, 1, 0.01, 0.2, 1e-4, 4)
155
156. plot array(t values b, approximation b, "Approximation by the Adams Variable Step-Size Predictor-Corrector Algorithm: 13b")
```


The code for Problem 13 (Contidued)

**Problem 14.** Let P(t) be the number of individuals in a population at time t, measured in years. If the average birth rate b is constant and the average death rate d is proportional to the size of the population (due to overcrowding), then the growth rate of the population is given by the logistic equation


$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}t}(t) = b P(t) - k(P(t))^2,$$

where d = k P(t). Suppose P(0) = 50976,  $b = 2.9 \times 10^{-2}$ , and  $k = 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ . Find the population after 5 years using the extrapolation method (based on the Euler method and the midpoint method) with times step h = 0.1. Justify the order of truncation error from your numerical answers.

**Solution**. Using the extrapolation method, by Python, the solution on [0, 5] is approximated as follows:



Hence y(5) = 56751.0367687. Since k = 3 in the code, the order of truncation error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^6)$ .



k for each iteration is 3

```
1. import math
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
 2.
3.
 4.
    def plot array(x axis, y axis, title):
        plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(x_axis, y_axis, 'o', label='Approximated Solution')
 5.
 6.
 7.
        plt.xlabel('t')
        plt.ylabel('y(t)')
 8.
 9.
         plt.title(title)
10.
     plt.legend()
11.
        plt.grid(True)
12.
     plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True)
13.
    def extrapolation_method(f, alpha, a, b, TOL, hmax, hmin):
    NK = [0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32]
14.
15.
        t values = []
16.
17.
         approx_soln_list = []
        Q = [\overline{0}] for _ in range(8)]
18.
19.
         TO, WO, h, FLAG = a, alpha, hmax, True
20.
      for i in range(1, 8):
21.
            for j in range(1, i + 1):
22.
               Q[i].append((NK[i + 1] / NK[j]) ** 2)
23.
         while (FLAG):
24.
           y = [0]
             k, NFLAG = 1, False
while(k <= 8 and (not NFLAG)):
25.
26.
                 HK = h / NK[k]
27.
                 T = TO
28.
29.
                 W2 = WO
30.
                 W3 = W2 + HK * f(T, W2)
31.
                 T = TO + HK
32.
                 for j in range(1, NK[k]):
                     W1 = W2
33.
                     W2 = W3
34.
                     W3 = W1 + 2 * HK * f(T, W2)
35.
                     T = TO + (j + 1) * HK
36.
37.
                 y.append((W3 + W2 + HK * f(T, W3)) / 2)
38.
39.
                 if k >= 2:
40.
                     j = k
41.
                     v = y[1]
42.
                     while(j >= 2):
43.
                        y[j-1] = y[j] + (y[j] - y[j-1]) / (Q[k-1][j-1] - 1)
44.
45.
                     if abs(y[1] - v) <= TOL:</pre>
                        NFLAG = True
46.
                 k += 1
47.
48.
             k -= 1
49.
             if not NFLAG:
50.
             h /= 2
51.
                 if h < hmin:
                  exit(1)
53.
              Wo, To = y[1], To + h
54.
                 t_values.append(TO)
55.
                 approx soln_list.append(WO)
56.
                 if TO >= b:
57.
                    FLAG = False
5.8
                 elif TO + h > b:
59.
60.
                  h = b - TO
61.
                 elif k <= 3 and h < 0.5 * hmax:
62.
                    h *= 2
63.
         return t_values, approx_soln_list
64.
65.
66.
    def f(t, v):
         return 2.9e-2 * y - 1.4e-7 * y ** 2
67.
68.
    t_values, approx_soln = extrapolation_method(f, 50976, 0, 5, 1e-9, 0.1000000001, 0.99999999)
69.
70.
71. plot_array(t_values, approx_soln, "Approximation to the Logistic Equation: P14")
```


The code for Problem 14

**Problem 15**. Suppose the swinging pendulum described in the lead example of this chapter is 2 ft long and that g = 32.17 ft/s<sup>2</sup>. With h = 0.1 s, compare the angle  $\theta$  obtained for the following two initial-value problems at t = 0, 1, 2:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \theta}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + \frac{g}{L} \sin \theta = 0, \quad \theta(0) = \frac{\pi}{6}, \quad \theta'(0) = 0.$$

You shall use Adams fourth-order predictor-corrector algorithm to obtain your numerical answer.

**Solution**. The solutions is approximated as follows:



For values approximated at t = 0, 1, 2:

t	Approximation
0	0.523599
1	-0.368034
2	-0.012316

```
import math
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          def plot_array(x_axis, y_axis, title):
   plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
   plt.plot(x_axis, y_axis, 'o-', label='Approximated Solution')
   plt.xlabel('t')
   plt.ylabel('y(t)')
   plt.title(title)
pit.itle((fitle)
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}.png", transparent=True)
          def plot errors(x axis, y ls, y 2s, title):
   plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
   y_diff = (abs(y1 - y2) for y1, y2 in zip(y_ls, y_2s)]
   plt.plot(x_axis, y_diff, 's-', label='Difference Between Approximation and True Solution')
                  plt.xlabel('t')
plt.ylabel('Error')
                  plt.title(title)
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.savefig(f"P{title[-2:]}e.png", transparent=True)
                  adams_fourth_order_predictor_correction_method(f, alpha, a, b, N): h = (b - a) \int N t_0 = a t_0 = alpha
                  approx_soln_list = [y_0]
t_values = [t_0]
m = len(alpha)
                  for i in range(1, N + 1):
    if i < 4:
        k = [[], [], [], []]
        for j in range(m):</pre>
                                   for j in range(m):
    k[0].append(h * f[j](t_values[-1], approx_soln_list[-1]))
for j in range(m):
    k[1].append(h * f[j](t_values[-1] + h / 2, (approx_soln_list[-1][0] + k[0][0] / 2, approx_soln_list[-1][1] + k[0][1] / 2)))
for j in range(m):
    k[2].append(h * f[j](t_values[-1] + h / 2, (approx_soln_list[-1][0] + k[1][0] / 2, approx_soln_list[-1][1] + k[1][1] / 2)))
for j in range(m):
    k[3].append(h * f[j](t_values[-1] + h, (approx_soln_list[-1][0] + k[2][0], approx_soln_list[-1][1] + k[2][1])))
42.
43.
445.
445.
45.
552.
555.
556.
661.
663.
664.
665.
667.
777.
778.
779.
881.
                                  w = []
for j in range(m):
    w.append(approx_soln_list[-1][j] + (k[0][j] + 2 * k[1][j] + 2 * k[2][j] + k[3][j]) / 6)
approx_soln_list.append(w)
t = a + i * h
t_values.append(t)
                                 w = approx_soln_list[-4:]
t = t_values[-4:]
                                   t = t_values[-4]
t_values.append(a + i * h)
w_0_list = {|
W = (|
for j in range(m):
    w_0 = w[3][j] + h * (55 * f[j](t[3], w[3]) - 59 * f[j](t[2], w[2]) + 37 * f[j](t[1], w[1]) - 9 * f[j](t[0], w[0])) / 24
    w_0 list.append(w_0)
for j in range(m):
    w_0 = w[3][j] + h * (9 * f[j](t_values[-1], w_0_list) + 19 * f[j](t[3], w[3]) - 5 * f[j](t[2], w[2]) + f[j](t[1], w[1])) / 24
    W.append(w_0)
approx_soln_list.append(W)
                   return t_values, approx_soln_list
                  phi(t, y):
return -32.14 / 2 * math.sin(y[0])
           def psi(t, y):
return y[1]
                  [psi, phi]
         plot array(t list, [y[0] for y in y approx list], "Approximation by Adams Fourth-Order Predictor-Corrector Algorithm: 15")
```

The code for Problem 15

# **Problem 16**. Consider the differential equation

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad a \le t \le b, \quad y(a) = \alpha.$$

a. Show that

$$y'(t_i) = \frac{-3y(t_y) + 4y(t_{i+1}) - y(t_{i+2})}{2h} + \frac{h^2}{3}y'''(\xi_i)$$

for some  $\xi_i \in (t_i, t_{i+2})$ .

b. Part (a) suggests the difference method

$$\omega_{i+2} = 4\omega_{i+1} - 3\omega_i - 2h f(t_i, \omega_i), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-2.$$

Use this method to solve

$$y' = 1 - y$$
,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $y(0) = 0$ 

with h = 0.1. Use the starting values  $\omega_0 = 0$  and  $\omega_1 = y(t_1) = 1 - e^{-0.1}$ .

- c. Repeat part (b) with h = 0.01 and  $\omega_1 = 1 e^{-0.01}$ .
- d. Analyze this method for consistency, stability, and convergence.

# Solution.

a. We have

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) \cdots$$

and

$$y(t_{i+2}) = y(t_i) + 2hy'(t_i) + \frac{4h^2}{2}y''(t_i) + \frac{8h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) + \cdots$$

Hence,


$$4y(t_{i+1}) + y(t_{i+2}) = 4y(t_i) + 2hy'(t_i) + \frac{2h^2}{3}y'''(t_i) + \cdots,$$

which implies


$$y'(t_i) = \frac{-3y(t_y) + 4y(t_{i+1}) - y(t_{i+2})}{2h} + \frac{h^2}{3}y'''(\xi_i)$$

for some  $\xi_i \in (t_i, t_{i+2})$ .

b. By Python, the solution is approximated as follows:



c. By Python, the solution is approximated as follows:



d. The characteristic polynomial is

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 3,$$

which has roots  $\lambda = 1, 3$ . Since one of them is with magnitude greater than one, the method does not satisfy the root condition. Thus, it is not stable and not convergent. From (a), we have

$$y'(t_i) = \frac{-3y(t_y) + 4y(t_{i+1}) - y(t_{i+2})}{2h} + \frac{h^2}{3}y'''(\xi_i)$$

for some  $\xi_i \in (t_i, t_{i+2})$ . Hence, the truncation error is

$$\tau_i(h) = \frac{h^2}{3}y'''(\xi_1) = \frac{h^2}{3}f''(t, y(t)).$$

If the function f''(t, y(t)) is bounded on [a, b], then

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \max_{1\le i\le N} |\tau_i(h)| = 0,$$

which implies that the method is consistent; otherwise, the method is not consistent.

# **Problem 17**. Given the multistep method

$$\omega_{i+1} = -\frac{3}{2}\omega_i + 3\omega_{i-1} - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{i-2} + 3h f(t_i, \omega_i), \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, N-1$$

with starting values  $\omega_0,\,\omega_1,\,{\rm and}\,\,\omega_2$ :

- a. Find the local truncation error.
- b. Comment on consistency, stability, and convergence.

## Solution.

a. I consider  $\omega_i$  as  $y(t_i)$ . I use the notation  $y(t_i)$  instead of  $\omega_i$  as it is more clear with derivatives. We have

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) + \frac{h^4}{24}y^{(4)}(t_i) + \cdots,$$
  
$$y(t_{i-1}) = y(t_i) - hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_i) - \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) + \frac{h^4}{24}y^{(4)}(t_i) + \cdots,$$

and

$$y(t_{i-2}) = y(t_i) - 2hy'(t_i) + \frac{4h^2}{2}y''(t_i) - \frac{8h^3}{6}y'''(t_i) + \frac{16h^4}{24}y^{(4)}(t_i) + \cdots$$

Thus,

$$3f(t_w, \omega_i) = \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left( \omega_{i+1} + \frac{3}{2}\omega_i - 3\omega_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{i-2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left( y(t_{i+1}) + \frac{3}{2}y(t_i) - 3y(t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{2}y(t_{i-1}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} - 3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot y(t_i) \right]$$

$$+ (1 + 3 - 1) \cdot hy'(t_i)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} + 1 \right) \cdot h^2y''(t_i)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{6} \right) \cdot h^3y'''(t_i)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot h^4y^{(4)}(t_i) + \cdots \right]$$

$$= 3y'(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{4}y^{(4)}(t_i) + \mathcal{O}(h^4).$$

Hence, there is some  $\xi_i \in (t_{i-2}, t_i)$  such that


$$3f(t_w, \omega_i) = 3y'(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{4}y^{(4)}(\xi_i).$$

Therefore, the local truncation error is

$$\tau_i(h) = \frac{h^3}{12} y^4(\xi_i)$$

for some  $\xi_i \in (t_{i-2}, t_i)$ .

b. The characteristic polynomial is  $P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda^2 - 3\lambda - \frac{1}{2}$ , which has a root with magnitude greater than one (this root is in (-3, -2)). Hence, it is not stable and not convergent.



By (a), the local truncation error is

$$\tau_i(h) = \frac{h^3}{12} y^4(\xi_i).$$

If  $y^4$  is bounded on the domain, then

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \max_{1 \le i \le N} |\tau_i(h)| = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h^3}{12} \cdot \max_{1 \le i \le N} |y^{(4)}(\xi_i)|$$
$$= 0.$$

Hence, the method is consistent.

Problem 18. Discuss consistency, stability, and convergence for the implicit trapezoidal method

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + \frac{h}{2} \left( f(t_{i+1}, \omega_{i+1}) + f(t_i, \omega_i) \right), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

with  $\omega_0 = \alpha$  applied to the differential equation

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad a \le t \le b, \quad y(a) = \alpha.$$

**Solution**. Since the characteristic polynomial is  $P(\lambda) = \lambda - 1$ , which has a root  $\lambda = 1$ , the method is strongly stable, and thus is consistent. I consider  $\omega_i$  as  $y(t_i)$ . I use the notation  $y(t_i)$  instead of  $\omega_i$  as it is more clear with derivatives. We have

$$y'(t_{i+1}) = y'(t_i) + hy''(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y'''(t_i) + \cdots$$

Hence,

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + \frac{h}{2} \left( y'(t_i) + y'(t_i) + hy''(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2} y'''(t_i) + \cdots \right)$$
$$= y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(t_i) + \frac{h^3}{4} y'''(t_i) + \cdots.$$

Hence, there is some  $\xi \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$  such that

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hy'(t_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(\xi_i).$$

Therefore, the local truncation error is

$$\tau_i(h) = \frac{h^2}{2} y''(\xi_i)$$

for some  $\xi_i \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$ . If y'' is bounded on the domain, then the method is consistent.

Problem 19. Show that the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method,

$$k_1 = h f(t_i, \omega_i),$$

$$k_2 = h f(t_i + h/2, \omega_i + k_1/2),$$

$$k_3 = h f(t_i + h/2, \omega_i + k_2/2),$$

$$k_4 = h f(t_i + h, \omega_i + k_3),$$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + \frac{1}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

when applied to the differential equation  $y' = \lambda y$ , can be written in the form

$$\omega_{i+1} = \left(1 + h\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(h\lambda)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(h\lambda)^3 + \frac{1}{24}(h\lambda)^4\right)\omega_i.$$

**Solution**. We have  $f(t, w) = \lambda w$ . Hence, we have

$$k_{1} = h\lambda\omega_{i},$$

$$k_{2} = h\lambda(\omega_{i} + k_{1}/2)$$

$$= h\lambda\omega_{i} + (h\lambda)^{2}\omega_{i}/2,$$

$$k_{3} = h\lambda(\omega_{i} + k_{2}/2)$$

$$= h\lambda\omega_{i} + h\lambda(h\lambda\omega_{i} + (h\lambda)^{2}\omega_{i}/2)/2$$

$$= h\lambda\omega_{i} + (h\lambda)^{2}\omega_{i}/2 + (h\lambda)^{3}\omega_{i}/4,$$

$$k_{4} = h\lambda(\omega_{i} + k_{3})$$

$$= h\lambda\omega_{i} + (h\lambda)^{2}\omega_{i} + (h\lambda)^{3}\omega_{i}/2 + (h\lambda)^{4}\omega_{i}/4.$$

Therefore,

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + \frac{1}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

$$= \omega_i + \frac{1}{6} [(h\lambda\omega_i)$$

$$+ 2 (h\lambda\omega_i + (h\lambda)^2\omega_i/2)$$

$$+ 2 (h\lambda\omega_i + (h\lambda)^2\omega_i/2 + (h\lambda)^3\omega_i/4)$$

$$+ (h\lambda\omega_i + (h\lambda)^2\omega_i + (h\lambda)^3\omega_i/2 + (h\lambda)^4\omega_i/4)]$$

$$= \omega_i + \frac{1}{6} \left( 6 \cdot h\lambda\omega_i + 3 \cdot (h\lambda)^2\omega_i + 1 \cdot (h\lambda)^3\omega_i + \frac{1}{4} \cdot (h\lambda)^4\omega_i \right)$$

$$= \omega_i \left( 1 + h\lambda + \frac{1}{2} (h\lambda)^2 + \frac{1}{6} (h\lambda)^3 + \frac{1}{24} (h\lambda)^4 \right).$$