Revisions: On the locality of vowel harmony over multi-tiered autosegmental representations

Eileen Blum 11/8/2018

(sec = section, p. = page, l. = line, ex. = example):

throughout the paper: change "adjacent" to "successive" when referring to features, remove "adjacent" when referring to vowels, remove reference to concatenation after sec2.2 (ok when adding morpheme boundary for Turkish)

sec1: p.1, l.11 reword first sentence to clarify analysis of vh as phonotactic restriction, only surface ARs. l.16 avoid derivational wording "vowel harmony patterns utilize..."

sec1.1: p.3, l.111-119 add paragraph explicitly defining use of terms: assimilation, spreading, agreement "However, in this paper...". p.4, l.133 fix spelling of "analysis"

sec2.2: p.6, l.171 change "one element on a feature" to "one element on each feature tier".

sec 2.3: p.8, l.239 add "used to define r_1 - r_n " to clarify what the constraint definition language is. l.243-l.243-l.243 change "is thus a conjunction of surface markedness constraints" to "thus consists of the set of surface markedness constraints"

sec2.4: p.8, l.258-271 rearrange paragraph to explain previous account of assimilation process then explain terms with respect to surface representations. p.9, l.273, ex.9 remove example 9b that shows concatenation and paragraph describing it

p.12, l.364-365 reword sentence to define locality "Here locality means...". l.366 fix spelling of "adjacent" sec3.2: p.15, l.430, ex.20 change example to show that disagreement across transparent vowel is ungrammatical. l.423 add mention of successor relation between back features. l.427 change "certain back features" to "-back features". l.429-435 Explain how FSCs enforce +back agreement. l.441 remove "it satisfies FS, the NCC, and the OCP". l.443-446 add sentence explaining that ex.20b shows that disagreement across transparent vowel is ungrammatical

sec.3.2.1: p.16, l.460 change "adjacent to a" to "in a successor relation with the intervening". l.464-465, ex.21 change example to shorter word with multiple transparent vowels. l.477-479 split sentences so final sentence says "Thus Finnish vowel harmony demonstrates surface agreement and can be considered local" sec.4.1: p.18, l.508 delete "For example". p.18. l.532 add "in a successor relation with each other". l.565, ex.29 remove concatenation example. p.20, l.568-577 change wording from "vowel closest to boundary" to "second vowel", clarify that back harmony holds between vowels in diff suffixes and between root-final and a suffix vowel, add "with two suffixes" at end of paragraph. p.22, l.620-622 add prediction of polysyllabic disharmonic suffixes

sec.4.1.1: p.22, l.627; p.23, l.640, 643 change "adjacency" to "the successor relation". p.23, l.645 clarify "each language determines which primitives it makes use of". l.652 change that "Finnish uses ... primitives with morpheme boundary on segmental tier" to "Finnish does not utilize morpheme boundary primitives"

sec5.1: p.24, l.681 change "initial vowel" to "initial -low vowel". l.685 add "Following ex. 37" to connect ARs with transformation example. p.24, l.688-690, ex.37 change input of Akan and SG examples. p.26, l.726, ex.41 change [e] to [ε] and switch ATR feature values to make vowel feature representations accurate. p.27, l.748, ex.44 switch ATR feature values, change [e] to [ε], and switch red ATR association line from first [I] to last one, make association between first [I] and -low black