## Intel<sup>®</sup> oneAPI VTune<sup>™</sup> Profiler 2021.1.1 Gold

**Elapsed Time:** 0.053s

Application execution time is too short. Metrics data may be unreliable. Consider reducing the sampling interval or increasing your application execution time.

Clockticks: 59,040,000 Instructions Retired: 93,780,000

CPI Rate: 0.630 MUX Reliability: 0.915

**Retiring:** 36.6% of Pipeline Slots **Light Operations:** 39.7% of Pipeline Slots

FP Arithmetic:
FP x87:
0.0% of uOps
100.0% of uOps
0.0% of uOps
0.0% of uOps
0.0% of Pipeline Slots

Microcode Sequencer: 1.9% of Pipeline Slots
Assists: 0.0% of Pipeline Slots

**Front-End Bound:** 20.6% of Pipeline Slots

Issue: A significant portion of Pipeline Slots is remaining empty due to issues in the Front-End.

Tips: Make sure the code working size is not too large, the code layout does not require too many memory accesses per cycle to get enough instructions for filling four pipeline slots, or check for microcode assists.

## Front-End Latency: 18.3% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents a fraction of slots during which CPU was stalled due to front-end latency issues, such as instruction-cache misses, ITLB misses or fetch stalls after a branch misprediction. In such cases, the front-end delivers no uOps.

ICache Misses:0.0% of ClockticksITLB Overhead:0.9% of ClockticksBranch Resteers:9.1% of Clockticks

Issue: A significant fraction of cycles was stalled due to Branch Resteers. Branch Resteers estimate the

Front-End delay in fetching operations from corrected path, following all sorts of mispredicted branches. For example, branchy code with lots of mispredictions might get categorized as Branch Resteers. Note the value of this node may overlap its siblings.

Mispredicts Resteers: 0.0% of Clockticks
Clears Resteers: 9.1% of Clockticks

A significant fraction of cycles could be stalled due to Branch Resteers as a result of Machine Clears.

Unknown Branches: 0.0% of Clockticks
DSB Switches: 0.0% of Clockticks
Length Changing Prefixes: 0.0% of Clockticks
MS Switches: 0.0% of Clockticks
Front-End Bandwidth: 2.3% of Pipeline Slots
Front-End Bandwidth MITE: 36.6% of Clockticks
Front-End Bandwidth DSB: 0.0% of Clockticks

(Info) DSB Coverage: 26.9%

Bad Speculation:
Branch Mispredict:
Machine Clears:

Back-End Bound:

6.9% of Pipeline Slots

6.9% of Pipeline Slots

6.9% of Pipeline Slots

A significant portion of pipeline slots are remaining empty. When operations take too long in the back-end, they introduce bubbles in the pipeline that ultimately cause fewer pipeline slots containing useful work to be retired per cycle than the machine is capable to support. This opportunity cost results in slower execution. Long-latency operations like divides and memory operations can cause this, as can too many operations being directed to a single execution port (for example, more multiply operations arriving in the back-end per cycle than the execution unit can support).

**Memory Bound:** 15.2% of Pipeline Slots 9.1% of Clockticks L1 Bound: **DTLB Overhead:** 0.5% of Clockticks **Load STLB Hit:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Load STLB Miss:** 0.5% of Clockticks **Loads Blocked by Store Forwarding:** 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Lock Latency: Split Loads:** 0.0% of Clockticks 4K Aliasing: 0.5% of Clockticks FB Full: 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks L2 Bound: L3 Bound: 9.1% of Clockticks **Contested Accesses:** 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Data Sharing:** 0.0% of Clockticks L3 Latency: SO Full: 0.0% of Clockticks **DRAM Bound:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Memory Bandwidth:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Memory Latency:** 0.0% of Clockticks

Store Bound:
Store Latency:
False Sharing:
Split Stores:
DTLB Store Overhead:
Store STLB Hit:

0.0% of Clockticks
0.0% of Clockticks
0.0% of Clockticks
0.0% of Clockticks

Store STLB Hit: 0.0% of Clockticks
Core Bound: 20.8% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents how much Core non-memory issues were of a bottleneck. Shortage in hardware compute resources, or dependencies software's instructions are both categorized under Core Bound. Hence it may indicate the machine ran out of an 000 resources, certain execution units are overloaded or dependencies in program's data- or instruction- flow are limiting the performance (e.g. FP-chained long-latency arithmetic operations).

**Divider:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Port Utilization:** 12.5% of Clockticks

Cycles of 0 Ports Utilized: 13.7% of Clockticks
Serializing Operations: 0.0% of Clockticks
Mixing Vectors: 0.0% of uOps

Cycles of 1 Port Utilized: 0.0% of Clockticks
Cycles of 2 Ports Utilized: 9.1% of Clockticks
Cycles of 3+ Ports Utilized: 22.9% of Clockticks
ALU Operation Utilization: 20.6% of Clockticks

Port 0: 18.3% of Clockticks
Port 1: 18.3% of Clockticks
Port 5: 18.3% of Clockticks
Port 6: 27.4% of Clockticks
Load Operation Utilization: 22.9% of Clockticks
Port 2: 27.4% of Clockticks

Port 3: 27.4% of Clockticks
Store Operation Utilization: 18.3% of Clockticks
Port 4: 18.3% of Clockticks
Port 7: 9.1% of Clockticks

Vector Capacity Usage (FPU): 0.0%

**Average CPU Frequency:** 1.235 GHz

**Total Thread Count:** 1 **Paused Time:** 0s

## **Effective Physical Core Utilization:** 22.4% (0.897 out of 4)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor physical CPU cores utilization caused by:

- load imbalance
- threading runtime overhead
- contended synchronization
- thread/process underutilization
- incorrect affinity that utilizes logical cores instead of physical cores

Explore sub-metrics to estimate the efficiency of MPI and OpenMP parallelism or run the Locks and Waits analysis to identify parallel bottlenecks for other parallel runtimes.

## **Effective Logical Core Utilization:** 11.2% (0.897 out of 8)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor logical CPU cores utilization. Consider improving physical core utilization as the first step and then look at opportunities to utilize logical cores, which in some cases can improve processor throughput and overall performance of multi-threaded applications.

## **Collection and Platform Info:**

**Application Command Line:** ./codecs/HM/decoder/TAppDecoderStatic

"-b" "./bin/HM/encoder\_lowdelay\_main.cfg/CLASS\_C/

RaceHorses 416x240 30 QP 27 HM.bin"

**User Name:** root

**Operating System:** 5.4.0-72-generic DISTRIB\_ID=Ubuntu

DISTRIB RELEASE=18.04 DISTRIB CODENAME=bionic

DISTRIB DESCRIPTION="Ubuntu 18.04.5 LTS"

**Computer Name:** eimon

Result Size: 12.6 MB

**Collection start time:** 22:20:33 18/04/2021 UTC

**Collection stop time:** 22:20:33 18/04/2021 UTC

**Collector Type:** Event-based sampling driver

CPU:

Name: Intel(R) Processor code named Kabylake

ULX

**Frequency:** 1.992 GHz

**Logical CPU Count:** 8

**Cache Allocation Technology:** 

**Level 2 capability:** not detected

**Level 3 capability:** not detected

# Intel<sup>®</sup> oneAPI VTune<sup>™</sup> Profiler 2021.1.1 Gold

**Elapsed Time:** 0.039s

Application execution time is too short. Metrics data may be unreliable. Consider reducing the sampling interval or increasing your application execution time.

Clockticks: 58,500,000 Instructions Retired: 93,960,000

CPI Rate: 0.623 MUX Reliability: 0.903

**Retiring:** 46.2% of Pipeline Slots **Light Operations:** 37.8% of Pipeline Slots

 FP Arithmetic:
 0.0% of uOps

 FP x87:
 0.0% of uOps

 FP Scalar:
 0.0% of uOps

 FP Vector:
 0.0% of uOps

 Other:
 100.0% of uOps

Heavy Operations: 8.3% of Pipeline Slots
Microcode Sequencer: 1.7% of Pipeline Slots

Assists: 0.0% of Pipeline Slots

Front-End Bound: 20.8% of Pipeline Slots

Issue: A significant portion of Pipeline Slots is remaining empty due to issues in the Front-End.

Tips: Make sure the code working size is not too large, the code layout does not require too many memory accesses per cycle to get enough instructions for filling four pipeline slots, or check for microcode assists.

Front-End Latency: 9.2% of Pipeline Slots ICache Misses: 0.0% of Clockticks ITLB Overhead: 1.8% of Clockticks **Branch Resteers:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Mispredicts Resteers:** 0.0% of Clockticks Clears Resteers: 0.0% of Clockticks **Unknown Branches:** 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **DSB Switches: Length Changing Prefixes:** 0.0% of Clockticks MS Switches: 0.0% of Clockticks Front-End Bandwidth: 11.5% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents a fraction of slots during which CPU was stalled due to front-end bandwidth issues, such as inefficiencies in the instruction decoders or code restrictions for caching in the DSB (decoded uOps cache). In such cases, the front-end typically delivers a non-optimal amount of uOps to the back-end.

#### Front-End Bandwidth MITE: 27.7% of Clockticks

This metric represents a fraction of cycles during which CPU was stalled due to the MITE fetch pipeline

issues, such as inefficiencies in the instruction decoders.

**Front-End Bandwidth DSB:** 9.2% of Clockticks **(Info) DSB Coverage:** 25.0%

Issue: A significant fraction of uOps was not delivered by the DSB (known as Decoded ICache or uOp Cache). This may happen if a hot code region is too large to fit into the DSB.

Tips: Consider changing the code layout (for example, via profile-guided optimization) to help your hot regions fit into the DSB.

See the "Optimization for Decoded ICache" section in the Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Optimization Reference Manual.

## **Bad Speculation:** 16.2% of Pipeline Slots

A significant proportion of pipeline slots containing useful work are being cancelled. This can be caused by mispredicting branches or by machine clears. Note that this metric value may be highlighted due to Branch Resteers issue.

**Branch Mispredict:** 0.0% of Pipeline Slots **Machine Clears:** 16.2% of Pipeline Slots

Issue: A significant portion of execution time is spent handling machine clears.

Tips: See the "Memory Disambiguation" section in the Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Optimization Reference Manual

```
Back-End Bound:
                            16.9% of Pipeline Slots
  Memory Bound:
                              8.6% of Pipeline Slots
                                 9.2% of Clockticks
     L1 Bound:
        DTLB Overhead:
                                    2.8% of Clockticks
           Load STLB Hit:
                                       0.0% of Clockticks
           Load STLB Miss:
                                       2.8% of Clockticks
        Loads Blocked by Store Forwarding: 0.0% of Clockticks
        Lock Latency:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Split Loads:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        4K Aliasing:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        FB Full:
     L2 Bound:
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
                                 9.2% of Clockticks
     L3 Bound:
        Contested Accesses:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Data Sharing:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        L3 Latency:
        SQ Full:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
     DRAM Bound:
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
        Memory Bandwidth:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Memory Latency:
                                    18.5% of Clockticks
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
     Store Bound:
        Store Latency:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        False Sharing:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Split Stores:
        DTLB Store Overhead:
                                    1.8% of Clockticks
           Store STLB Hit:
                                       0.0% of Clockticks
           Store STLB Hit:
                                       1.8% of Clockticks
  Core Bound:
                              8.3% of Pipeline Slots
     Divider:
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
     Port Utilization:
                                 17.8% of Clockticks
        Cycles of 0 Ports Utilized: 9.2% of Clockticks
           Serializing Operations:
                                      0.0% of Clockticks
           Mixing Vectors:
                                       0.0% of uOps
        Cycles of 1 Port Utilized:
                                    9.2% of Clockticks
        Cycles of 2 Ports Utilized: 9.2% of Clockticks
        Cycles of 3+ Ports Utilized: 18.5% of Clockticks
           ALU Operation Utilization: 25.4% of Clockticks
                                          18.5% of Clockticks
              Port 0:
              Port 1:
                                          18.5% of Clockticks
                                          27.7% of Clockticks
              Port 5:
                                          36.9% of Clockticks
              Port 6:
           Load Operation Utilization: 13.8% of Clockticks
              Port 2:
                                          18.5% of Clockticks
              Port 3:
                                          27.7% of Clockticks
           Store Operation Utilization:
                                         27.7% of Clockticks
              Port 4:
                                          27.7% of Clockticks
              Port 7:
                                          9.2% of Clockticks
        Vector Capacity Usage (FPU): 0.0%
```

**Average CPU Frequency:** 1.669 GHz

**Total Thread Count:** 1 Paused Time: 0s

## **Effective Physical Core Utilization:** 22.6% (0.904 out of 4)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor physical CPU cores utilization caused by:

- load imbalance
- threading runtime overhead
- contended synchronization
- thread/process underutilization
- incorrect affinity that utilizes logical cores instead of physical cores

Explore sub-metrics to estimate the efficiency of MPI and OpenMP parallelism or run the Locks and Waits analysis to identify parallel bottlenecks for other parallel runtimes.

## **Effective Logical Core Utilization:** 11.3% (0.904 out of 8)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor logical CPU cores utilization. Consider improving physical core utilization as the first step and then look at opportunities to utilize logical cores, which in some cases can improve processor throughput and overall performance of multi-threaded applications.

#### **Collection and Platform Info:**

**Application Command Line:** ./codecs/HM/decoder/TAppDecoderStatic "-b" "./bin/HM/encoder\_lowdelay\_main.cfg/CLASS\_C/ RaceHorses\_416x240\_30\_QP\_27\_HM.bin"

**User Name:** root

**Operating System:** 5.4.0-72-generic DISTRIB\_ID=Ubuntu DISTRIB\_RELEASE=18.04 DISTRIB\_CODENAME=bionic DISTRIB DESCRIPTION="Ubuntu 18.04.5 LTS"

**Computer Name:** eimon

Result Size: 12.5 MB

**Collection start time:** 07:41:32 19/04/2021 UTC

**Collection stop time:** 07:41:32 19/04/2021 UTC

**Collector Type:** Event-based sampling driver

CPU:

Name: Intel(R) Processor code named Kabylake

ULX

**Frequency:** 1.992 GHz

**Logical CPU Count:** 8

**Cache Allocation Technology:** 

Level 2 capability: not detected

**Level 3 capability:** not detected