

Elapsed Time: 0.047s

Application execution time is too short. Metrics data may be unreliable. Consider reducing the sampling interval or increasing your application execution time.

Clockticks:	48,060,000
Instructions Retired:	76,500,000
CPI Rate:	0.628
MUX Reliability:	0.899
Retiring:	42.1% of Pipeline Slots
Light Operations:	39.8% of Pipeline Slots
FP Arithmetic:	0.0% of uOps
FP x87:	0.0% of uOps
FP Scalar:	0.0% of uOps
FP Vector:	0.0% of uOps
Other:	100.0% of uOps
Heavy Operations:	2.3% of Pipeline Slots
Microcode Sequencer:	2.6% of Pipeline Slots
Assists:	0.0% of Pipeline Slots
Front-End Bound:	19.7% of Pipeline Slots
Front-End Latency:	11.2% of Pipeline Slots
ICache Misses:	0.0% of Clockticks
ITLB Overhead:	1.1% of Clockticks
Branch Resteers:	0.0% of Clockticks
Mispredicts Resteers:	0.0% of Clockticks
Clears Resteers:	0.0% of Clockticks
Unknown Branches:	0.0% of Clockticks
DSB Switches:	0.0% of Clockticks
Length Changing Prefixes:	0.0% of Clockticks
MS Switches:	0.0% of Clockticks
Front-End Bandwidth:	8.4% of Pipeline Slots
Front-End Bandwidth MITE:	33.7% of Clockticks
Front-End Bandwidth DSB:	0.0% of Clockticks
(Info) DSB Coverage:	36.4%
Bad Speculation:	2.8% of Pipeline Slots
Branch Mispredict:	0.0% of Pipeline Slots
Machine Clears:	2.8% of Pipeline Slots
Back-End Bound:	35.4% of Pipeline Slots

A significant portion of pipeline slots are remaining empty. When operations take too long in the back-end, they introduce bubbles in the pipeline that ultimately cause fewer pipeline slots containing useful work to be retired per cycle than the machine is capable to support. This opportunity cost results in slower execution. Long-latency operations like divides and memory operations can cause

this, as can too many operations being directed to a single execution port (for example, more multiply operations arriving in the back-end per cycle than the execution unit can support).

Memory Bound:	14.6% of Pipeline Slots
L1 Bound:	11.2% of Clockticks
DTLB Overhead:	1.1% of Clockticks
Load STLB Hit:	0.0% of Clockticks
Load STLB Miss:	1.1% of Clockticks
Loads Blocked by Store Forwarding:	0.0% of Clockticks
Lock Latency:	0.0% of Clockticks
Split Loads:	0.0% of Clockticks
4K Aliasing:	0.6% of Clockticks
FB Full:	0.0% of Clockticks
L2 Bound:	0.0% of Clockticks
L3 Bound:	0.0% of Clockticks
Contested Accesses:	0.0% of Clockticks
Data Sharing:	0.0% of Clockticks
L3 Latency:	0.0% of Clockticks
SQ Full:	0.0% of Clockticks
DRAM Bound:	0.0% of Clockticks
Memory Bandwidth:	0.0% of Clockticks
Memory Latency:	11.2% of Clockticks
Store Bound:	0.0% of Clockticks
Store Latency:	0.0% of Clockticks
False Sharing:	0.0% of Clockticks
Split Stores:	0.0% of Clockticks
DTLB Store Overhead:	1.1% of Clockticks
Store STLB Hit:	0.0% of Clockticks
Store STLB Hit:	1.1% of Clockticks
Core Bound:	20.8% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents how much Core non-memory issues were of a bottleneck. Shortage in hardware compute resources, or dependencies software's instructions are both categorized under Core Bound. Hence it may indicate the machine ran out of an OOO resources, certain execution units are overloaded or dependencies in program's data- or instruction- flow are limiting the performance (e.g. FP-chained long-latency arithmetic operations).

Divider: 0.0% of Clockticks
Port Utilization: 16.0% of Clockticks
 Cycles of 0 Ports Utilized: 11.2% of Clockticks
 Serializing Operations: 0.0% of Clockticks
 Mixing Vectors: 0.0% of uOps
 Cycles of 1 Port Utilized: 11.2% of Clockticks
 Cycles of 2 Ports Utilized: 5.6% of Clockticks
 Cycles of 3+ Ports Utilized: 11.2% of Clockticks
 ALU Operation Utilization: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Port 0: 11.2% of Clockticks
 Port 1: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Port 5: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Port 6: 33.7% of Clockticks
 Load Operation Utilization: 11.2% of Clockticks
 Port 2: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Port 3: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Store Operation Utilization: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Port 4: 22.5% of Clockticks
 Port 7: 0.0% of Clockticks
 Vector Capacity Usage (FPU): 0.0%
Average CPU Frequency: 1.141 GHz
Total Thread Count: 1
Paused Time: 0s

Effective Physical Core Utilization: 22.5% (0.899 out of 4)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor physical CPU cores utilization caused by:

- load imbalance
- threading runtime overhead
- contended synchronization
- thread/process underutilization
- incorrect affinity that utilizes logical cores instead of physical cores

Explore sub-metrics to estimate the efficiency of MPI and OpenMP parallelism or run the Locks and Waits analysis to identify parallel bottlenecks for other parallel runtimes.

Effective Logical Core Utilization: 11.2% (0.899 out of 8)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor logical CPU cores utilization. Consider improving physical core utilization as the first step and then look at opportunities to utilize logical cores, which in some cases can improve processor throughput and overall performance of multi-threaded applications.

Collection and Platform Info:

Application Command Line: ./codecs/hm/decoder/TAppDecoderStatic "-b" "/bin/hm/encoder_lowdelay_main.cfg/CLASS_C/RaceHorses_416x240_30_QP_32_hm.bin"

User Name: root

Operating System: 5.4.0-65-generic DISTRIB_ID=Ubuntu
DISTRIB_RELEASE=18.04 DISTRIB_CODENAME=bionic
DISTRIB_DESCRIPTION="Ubuntu 18.04.5 LTS"

Computer Name: eimon

Result Size: 9.7 MB

Collection start time: 09:40:58 10/02/2021 UTC

Collection stop time: 09:40:58 10/02/2021 UTC

Collector Type: Event-based sampling driver

CPU:

Name: Intel(R) Processor code named Kabylake
ULX

Frequency: 1.992 GHz

Logical CPU Count: 8

Cache Allocation Technology:

Level 2 capability: not detected

Level 3 capability: not detected