# Intel<sup>®</sup> oneAPI VTune<sup>™</sup> Profiler 2021.1.1 Gold

**Elapsed Time:** 0.048s

Application execution time is too short. Metrics data may be unreliable. Consider reducing the sampling interval or increasing your application execution time.

**Clockticks:** 49,860,000 **Instructions Retired:** 77,040,000

CPI Rate: 0.647 MUX Reliability: 0.923

**Retiring:** 62.3% of Pipeline Slots

A high fraction of pipeline slots was utilized by useful work. While the goal is to make this metric value as big as possible, a high Retiring value for non-vectorized code could prompt you to consider code vectorization. Vectorization enables doing more computations without significantly increasing the number of instructions, thus improving the performance. Note that this metric value may be highlighted due to Microcode Sequencer (MS) issue, so the performance can be improved by avoiding using the MS.

Light Operations:

FP Arithmetic:

FP x87:

FP Scalar:

FP Vector:

O.0% of uOps

0.0% of uOps

0.0% of uOps

0.0% of uOps

100.0% of uOps

**Heavy Operations:** 26.4% of Pipeline Slots

CPU retired heavy-weight operations (instructions that required 2+ uops) in a significant fraction of cycles.

**Microcode Sequencer:** 2.3% of Pipeline Slots 0.0% of Pipeline Slots

**Front-End Bound:** 29.8% of Pipeline Slots

Issue: A significant portion of Pipeline Slots is remaining empty due to issues in the Front-End.

Tips: Make sure the code working size is not too large, the code layout does not require too many memory accesses per cycle to get enough instructions for filling four pipeline slots, or check for microcode assists.

Front-End Latency: 10.8% of Pipeline Slots ICache Misses: 0.0% of Clockticks ITLB Overhead: 2.2% of Clockticks **Branch Resteers:** 0.0% of Clockticks Mispredicts Resteers: 0.0% of Clockticks Clears Resteers: 0.0% of Clockticks Unknown Branches: 0.0% of Clockticks **DSB Switches:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Length Changing Prefixes:** 0.0% of Clockticks MS Switches: 0.0% of Clockticks Front-End Bandwidth: 19.0% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents a fraction of slots during which CPU was stalled due to front-end bandwidth issues, such as inefficiencies in the instruction decoders or code restrictions for caching in the DSB (decoded uOps cache). In such cases, the front-end typically delivers a non-optimal amount of uOps to the back-end.

### Front-End Bandwidth MITE: 21.7% of Clockticks

This metric represents a fraction of cycles during which CPU was stalled due to the MITE fetch pipeline issues, such as inefficiencies in the instruction decoders.

Front-End Bandwidth DSB: 10.8% of Clockticks (Info) DSB Coverage: 33.3%

Issue: A significant fraction of uOps was not delivered by the DSB (known as Decoded ICache or uOp Cache). This may happen if a hot code region is too large to fit into the DSB.

Tips: Consider changing the code layout (for example, via profile-guided optimization) to help your hot regions fit into the DSB.

See the "Optimization for Decoded ICache" section in the Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Optimization Reference Manual. Bad Speculation:
Branch Mispredict:
Machine Clears:

10.8% of Pipeline Slots 0.0% of Pipeline Slots 10.8% of Pipeline Slots

```
Back-End Bound:
                           0.0% of Pipeline Slots
                              0.0% of Pipeline Slots
  Memory Bound:
     L1 Bound:
                                 10.8% of Clockticks
        DTLB Overhead:
                                    0.5% of Clockticks
           Load STLB Hit:
                                       0.0% of Clockticks
           Load STLB Miss:
                                       0.5% of Clockticks
        Loads Blocked by Store Forwarding: 0.0% of Clockticks
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Lock Latency:
        Split Loads:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        4K Aliasing:
                                    1.1% of Clockticks
        FB Full:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
     L2 Bound:
     L3 Bound:
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
        Contested Accesses:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Data Sharing:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        L3 Latency:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        SO Full:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
     DRAM Bound:
                                 10.8% of Clockticks
        Memory Bandwidth:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Memory Latency:
                                    10.8% of Clockticks
     Store Bound:
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
        Store Latency:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        False Sharing:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        Split Stores:
                                    0.0% of Clockticks
        DTLB Store Overhead:
                                    0.5% of Clockticks
           Store STLB Hit:
                                       0.0% of Clockticks
           Store STLB Hit:
                                       0.5% of Clockticks
  Core Bound:
                              0.0% of Pipeline Slots
     Divider:
                                 0.0% of Clockticks
     Port Utilization:
                                 24.3% of Clockticks
        Cycles of 0 Ports Utilized: 16.2% of Clockticks
           Serializing Operations:
                                       10.8% of Clockticks
           Mixing Vectors:
                                       0.0% of uOps
        Cycles of 1 Port Utilized:
                                    5.4% of Clockticks
        Cycles of 2 Ports Utilized: 10.8% of Clockticks
        Cycles of 3+ Ports Utilized: 21.7% of Clockticks
           ALU Operation Utilization: 24.4% of Clockticks
              Port 0:
                                          21.7% of Clockticks
                                          21.7% of Clockticks
              Port 1:
                                          21.7% of Clockticks
              Port 5:
                                          32.5% of Clockticks
              Port 6:
           Load Operation Utilization: 16.2% of Clockticks
              Port 2:
                                         21.7% of Clockticks
              Port 3:
                                         21.7% of Clockticks
                                         21.7% of Clockticks
           Store Operation Utilization:
              Port 4:
                                         21.7% of Clockticks
              Port 7:
                                          10.8% of Clockticks
        Vector Capacity Usage (FPU): 0.0%
Average CPU Frequency:
                           1.169 GHz
Total Thread Count:
                            1
```

**Paused Time:** 0s

## **Effective Physical Core Utilization:** 22.4% (0.895 out of 4)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor physical CPU cores utilization caused by:

- load imbalance
- threading runtime overhead
- contended synchronization
- thread/process underutilization
- incorrect affinity that utilizes logical cores instead of physical cores

Explore sub-metrics to estimate the efficiency of MPI and OpenMP parallelism or run the Locks and Waits analysis to identify parallel bottlenecks for other parallel runtimes.

## **Effective Logical Core Utilization:** 11.2% (0.895 out of 8)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor logical CPU cores utilization. Consider improving physical core utilization as the first step and then look at opportunities to utilize logical cores, which in some cases can improve processor throughput and overall performance of multi-threaded applications.

#### **Collection and Platform Info:**

**Application Command Line:** ./codecs/HM/decoder/TAppDecoderStatic "-b" "./bin/HM/encoder\_randomaccess\_main.cfg/CLASS\_C/ RaceHorses 416x240 30 QP 32 HM.bin"

**User Name:** root

**Operating System:** 5.4.0-72-generic DISTRIB\_ID=Ubuntu DISTRIB\_RELEASE=18.04 DISTRIB\_CODENAME=bionic DISTRIB\_DESCRIPTION="Ubuntu 18.04.5 LTS"

**Computer Name:** eimon

Result Size: 12.5 MB

**Collection start time:** 22:25:53 18/04/2021 UTC

**Collection stop time:** 22:25:53 18/04/2021 UTC

**Collector Type:** Event-based sampling driver

CPU:

Name: Intel(R) Processor code named Kabylake

ULX

Frequency: 1.992 GHz

**Logical CPU Count:** 8

**Cache Allocation Technology:** 

**Level 2 capability:** not detected

**Level 3 capability:** not detected

# Intel<sup>®</sup> oneAPI VTune<sup>™</sup> Profiler 2021.1.1 Gold

**Elapsed Time:** 0.026s

Application execution time is too short. Metrics data may be unreliable. Consider reducing the sampling interval or increasing your application execution time.

**Clockticks:** 47,700,000 **Instructions Retired:** 76,860,000

CPI Rate: 0.621 MUX Reliability: 0.981

**Retiring:** 36.8% of Pipeline Slots 40.3% of Pipeline Slots

 FP Arithmetic:
 0.0% of uOps

 FP x87:
 0.0% of uOps

 FP Scalar:
 0.0% of uOps

 FP Vector:
 0.0% of uOps

 Other:
 100.0% of uOps

**Heavy Operations:** 0.0% of Pipeline Slots **Microcode Sequencer:** 2.3% of Pipeline Slots **Assists:** 0.0% of Pipeline Slots

**Front-End Bound:** 22.6% of Pipeline Slots

Issue: A significant portion of Pipeline Slots is remaining empty due to issues in the Front-End.

Tips: Make sure the code working size is not too large, the code layout does not require too many memory accesses per cycle to get enough instructions for filling four pipeline slots, or check for microcode assists.

Front-End Latency: 11.3% of Pipeline Slots 0.0% of Clockticks ICache Misses: ITLB Overhead: 1.1% of Clockticks **Branch Resteers:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Mispredicts Resteers:** 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Clears Resteers: Unknown Branches:** 0.0% of Clockticks **DSB Switches:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Length Changing Prefixes:** 0.0% of Clockticks MS Switches: 0.0% of Clockticks Front-End Bandwidth: 11.3% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents a fraction of slots during which CPU was stalled due to front-end bandwidth issues, such as inefficiencies in the instruction decoders or code restrictions for caching in the DSB (decoded uOps cache). In such cases, the front-end typically delivers a non-optimal amount of uOps to the back-end.

### Front-End Bandwidth MITE: 34.0% of Clockticks

This metric represents a fraction of cycles during which CPU was stalled due to the MITE fetch pipeline issues, such as inefficiencies in the instruction decoders.

**Front-End Bandwidth DSB:** 0.0% of Clockticks **(Info) DSB Coverage:** 22.7%

Issue: A significant fraction of uOps was not delivered by the DSB (known as Decoded ICache or uOp Cache). This may happen if a hot code region is too large to fit into the DSB.

Tips: Consider changing the code layout (for example, via profile-guided optimization) to help your hot regions fit into the DSB.

See the "Optimization for Decoded ICache" section in the Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Optimization Reference Manual.

Bad Speculation:8.5% of Pipeline SlotsBranch Mispredict:0.0% of Pipeline SlotsMachine Clears:8.5% of Pipeline SlotsBack-End Bound:32.1% of Pipeline Slots

A significant portion of pipeline slots are remaining empty. When operations take too long in the back-end, they introduce bubbles in the pipeline that ultimately cause fewer pipeline slots containing useful work to be retired per cycle than the machine is capable to support. This opportunity cost results in slower execution. Long-latency operations like divides and memory operations can cause this, as can too many operations being directed to a single execution port (for example, more multiply operations arriving in the back-end per cycle than the execution unit can support).

**Memory Bound:** 0.0% of Pipeline Slots L1 Bound: 0.0% of Clockticks DTLB Overhead: 1.7% of Clockticks Load STLB Hit: 0.0% of Clockticks Load STLB Miss: 1.7% of Clockticks **Loads Blocked by Store Forwarding:** 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Lock Latency: Split Loads:** 0.0% of Clockticks 4K Aliasing: 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks FB Full: L2 Bound: 0.0% of Clockticks L3 Bound: 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Contested Accesses:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Data Sharing:** 0.0% of Clockticks L3 Latency: 0.0% of Clockticks SO Full: 11.3% of Clockticks **DRAM Bound: Memory Bandwidth:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Memory Latency:** 22.6% of Clockticks **Store Bound:** 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Store Latency:** 0.0% of Clockticks False Sharing: 0.0% of Clockticks **Split Stores: DTLB Store Overhead:** 0.0% of Clockticks Store STLB Hit: 0.0% of Clockticks 0.0% of Clockticks **Store STLB Hit: Core Bound:** 32.1% of Pipeline Slots

This metric represents how much Core non-memory issues were of a bottleneck. Shortage in hardware compute resources, or dependencies software's instructions are both categorized under Core Bound. Hence it may indicate the machine ran out of an 000 resources, certain execution units are overloaded or dependencies in program's data- or instruction- flow are limiting the performance (e.g. FP-chained long-latency arithmetic operations).

**Divider:** 0.0% of Clockticks **Port Utilization:** 15.5% of Clockticks

**Cycles of 0 Ports Utilized:** 17.0% of Clockticks **Serializing Operations:** 11.3% of Clockticks

Mixing Vectors: 0.0% of uOps

Cycles of 1 Port Utilized: 5.7% of Clockticks

Cycles of 2 Ports Utilized: 11.3% of Clockticks

Cycles of 3+ Ports Utilized: 17.0% of Clockticks

ALU Operation Utilization: 25.5% of Clockticks
Port 0: 22.6% of Clockticks
Port 5: 22.6% of Clockticks
Port 6: 22.6% of Clockticks
34.0% of Clockticks
28.3% of Clockticks

34.0% of Clockticks

Port 3: 34.0% of Clockticks
Store Operation Utilization: 22.6% of Clockticks
Port 4: 22.6% of Clockticks
11.3% of Clockticks

**Vector Capacity Usage (FPU):** 0.0%

**Average CPU Frequency:** 1.985 GHz

Port 2:

**Total Thread Count:** 1 Paused Time: 0s

## **Effective Physical Core Utilization:** 22.8% (0.913 out of 4)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor physical CPU cores utilization caused by:

- load imbalance
- threading runtime overhead
- contended synchronization
- thread/process underutilization
- incorrect affinity that utilizes logical cores instead of physical cores

Explore sub-metrics to estimate the efficiency of MPI and OpenMP parallelism or run the Locks and Waits analysis to identify parallel bottlenecks for other parallel runtimes.

### **Effective Logical Core Utilization:** 11.4% (0.913 out of 8)

The metric value is low, which may signal a poor logical CPU cores utilization. Consider improving physical core utilization as the first step and then look at opportunities to utilize logical cores, which in some cases can improve processor throughput and overall performance of multi-threaded applications.

### **Collection and Platform Info:**

**Application Command Line:** ./codecs/HM/decoder/TAppDecoderStatic

"-b" "./bin/HM/encoder\_randomaccess\_main.cfg/CLASS\_C/

RaceHorses 416x240 30 QP 32 HM.bin"

**User Name:** root

**Operating System:** 5.4.0-72-generic DISTRIB\_ID=Ubuntu

DISTRIB RELEASE=18.04 DISTRIB CODENAME=bionic

DISTRIB DESCRIPTION="Ubuntu 18.04.5 LTS"

**Computer Name:** eimon

Result Size: 12.2 MB

**Collection start time:** 07:46:25 19/04/2021 UTC

**Collection stop time:** 07:46:25 19/04/2021 UTC

**Collector Type:** Event-based sampling driver

CPU:

Name: Intel(R) Processor code named Kabylake

ULX

**Frequency:** 1.992 GHz

**Logical CPU Count:** 8

**Cache Allocation Technology:** 

**Level 2 capability:** not detected

**Level 3 capability:** not detected