

Neural Networks

Neal D. Nesbitt

October 4, 2016

1 Background

1.1 Neuronal Population Characteristics

To begin, let us consider some population of N neurons and give them each an index from an indexing set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. We can then define a potential vector $V = (V_1, \dots, V_N)$, where for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, V_i is the electric potential difference across the membrane of the i^{th} neuron.

Definition 1.1 ($\mathbf{v}(t) = \text{neuron potential vector}$). Given a population of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ neurons, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $V_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ be the electric potential difference (in volts, V) across the membrane of the i^{th} neuron at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define the potential difference, or voltage, vector $\mathbf{v}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ to be $\mathbf{v}(t) = (V_1(t), \dots, V_N(t))$.

In a normal resting state, each neuron sits at a particular equilibrium voltage given the neuron's characteristics. So let us also define a corresponding equilibrium voltage vector to account for these.

Definition 1.2 ($\mathbf{e}(t) = \text{equilibrium potential vector}$). Given a population of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ neurons, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $E_i \in \mathbb{R}$ be the equilibrium, or resting, potential difference (in volts, V) across the membrane of the i^{th} neuron at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define the equilibrium potential difference, or voltage, vector $\mathbf{e}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ to be $\mathbf{e}(t) = (E_1(t), \dots, E_N(t))$.

Now, with N total neurons, there are a possibility of N^2 inter-neural connections, or synapses. Each of these connections has an associated conductance which we idealize as constant. We set these conductances within a $N \times N$ matrix, where the columns index the sending neurons, and the rows index the receivers. Because of the directional nature of the connections, (received and delivered signals pass through separate channels) this matrix is not normally considered symmetric.

Definition 1.3 ($G(t) = \text{synapse conductance matrix}$). Given a population of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ neurons, let $G \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ where $G = [g_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$.

Then for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $i \neq j$, let $g_{ij}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ represent the conductance of the connection passing from the i^{th} neuron to the j^{th} neuron at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case that $i = j$, let $g_{ii}(t)$ be the conductance across the membrane between the i^{th} neuron and its immediate surroundings at time t .

1.2 Electrical Considerations

We now look to some properties of electrical circuits to give us the beginnings of a dynamic system. To start, imagine the membrane of each neuron as a capacitor that holds charged ions separated on either side of its surface. The capacitance is the ratio of the charge on either side to the corresponding potential difference:

$$C(t) = \frac{q(t)}{V(t)} \implies q(t) = C(t)V(t)$$

If we idealize the capacitance as a fixed physical constant for each neuron ($\forall t, C(t) = C$), then the resulting derivative collapses:

$$\dot{q}(t) = V(t)\dot{C}(t) + C(t)\dot{V}(t) = C\dot{V}(t)$$

And since current is defined as the change in charge over time, $I(t) = \dot{q}(t)$, then

$$C\dot{V}(t) = I(t)$$

We can track the current in and out of a neuron very well conceptually, so this is a convenient way to correlate synaptic activity to the potential in each cell. Let us formalize these definitions before we move on.

Definition 1.4 ($\mathbf{c} = \text{membrane capacitance vector}$). Given a population of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ neurons, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$ be the i^{th} neuron's membrane capacitance (in farads, F).

Define the capacitance vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ to be $\mathbf{c} = (C_1, \dots, C_N)$.

Definition 1.5 ($\mathbf{i}(t) = \text{incoming current vector}$). Given a population of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ neurons, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $I_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ be the net current entering the i^{th} neuron's membrane (in amperes, A) at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define the current vector $\mathbf{i}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ to be $\mathbf{i}(t) = (I_1(t), \dots, I_N(t))$.

If we apply Ohm's law ($V = IR \implies I = V/R = GV$) across each synapse, then

$$\mathbf{i}(t) = G\mathbf{v}(t)$$

giving us our differential equation

$$\mathbf{c} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) = G\mathbf{v}(t)$$

2 Equilibrium Dynamics

2.1 Self Regulation

We know that each neuron self regulates to maintain a certain voltage. So think about our previous equation:

$$\mathbf{c} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) = G\mathbf{v}(t)$$

If we consider

$$G(t) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11}(t) & \cdots & g_{1n}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{n1}(t) & \cdots & g_{nn}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $i \neq j$, each $g_{ij}(t)$ is the conductance across the synapse going from neuron i to neuron j .

It makes conceptual sense to add the currents coming from each attached neuron, but we must have the system account for the equilibrium state we see experimentally.

What if we considered an unconnected set of neurons?

$$G_0 = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & g_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The only non-zero are the diagonals, and these entries represent the conductance between the neuron and its surroundings. If $\forall i, g_{ii} \neq 0$, then the potential of each neuron will either grow or shrink unbounded. So we must have another term if we hope to maintain equilibrium.

Instead of regulating the conductance, consider that each neuron is covered in protein pumps. They allow for both active and inactive transport of ions, and thus potential and current. We can use the experimentally found equilibrium vector $\mathbf{e}(t)$ to model this self regulating activity by assuming that each connection is a channel by which the neurons may exert their particular equilibrium potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= G\mathbf{v}(t) - G\mathbf{e}(t) \\ \mathbf{c} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= G(\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{e}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Coupling Strength

It would be very nice if the conductance between each neuron was the same for all synapses of that type. The problem with this assumption is that each neuron may have more than one synapse connecting the same sender and receiver. To

separate out the conductance of the physical synapses, we must first account for the coupling strength w_{ij} that represents the number of synapses going from neuron i to neuron j .

Definition 2.1 ($W(t) = \text{coupling strength matrix}$). Given a population of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ neurons, let $W \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ where $W = [w_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$.

Then for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $i \neq j$, let $w_{ij}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ represent the number of synapses passing from the i^{th} neuron to the j^{th} neuron at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case that $i = j$, let $w_{ii}(t) = 1$.