

EXAMPLES OF RESOLUTIONS OF THE DIAGONAL IN WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACE

Below are computations of resolutions of the diagonal over $\mathbb{P}(1, 2)$ and $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. I highlighted the answers in blue if you want to just skip to them.

1. $\mathbb{P}(1, 2)$

Let x and y be the coordinates on $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(1, 2)$, with $|x| = 1$ and $|y| = 2$. Let \mathcal{K} denote the Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

on \mathbb{P} , indexed cohomologically, where \mathcal{O} is in degree 0. Following the notation in [CK08, Section 3], we define complexes $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{K}(-i)$ for $i = 0, -1, -2$ in the following way:

- $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{O}$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{-1} = \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{O}(1)$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(2)$.

In Canonaco-Kemp's resolution of the diagonal, the \mathcal{M}_i play the role that the powers of the cotangent bundle play in Beilinson's resolution of the diagonal on projective space.

Let x, y, x', y' be the coordinates on $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$, with $|x| = 1 = |x'|$ and $|y| = 2 = |y'|$. We start by setting

$$\mathcal{R}_0 := \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{O} \in D^b(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}).$$

Then we will build complexes \mathcal{R}_{-1} and \mathcal{R}_{-2} using an iterated mapping cone construction. The complex \mathcal{R}_{-2} will be our resolution of the diagonal.

We define a map

$$\alpha_{-1} : \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-1}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0$$

of complexes in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{-x'} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow -x & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(the sign in the top differential is coming from the shift by -1). Set $\mathcal{R}_{-1} := \text{cone}(\alpha_{-1})$. That is, \mathcal{R}_{-1} is the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ -x \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 .

Next, we define a map

$$\alpha_{-2} : \mathcal{O}(-2) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-2}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{-1}$$

to be given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x' & -y' \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(-2, 2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -x & 0 \\ 0 & -y \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ -x \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Our resolution of the diagonal is $\text{cone}(\alpha_{-2})$. Explicitly, it's the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' & 0 \\ -x & 0 & x' \\ 0 & -y & -x \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(-2, 2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 .

2. $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$

Let x, y, z be coordinates on $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$, with $|x| = 1 = |y|$ and $|z| = 2$. Let \mathcal{K} denote the Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-4) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

as above. This time, we have complexes $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{K}(-i)$ for $i = 0, -1, -2, -3$:

- $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{O}$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{-1} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(1)$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{-2} = \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(2)$,
- $\mathcal{M}_{-3} = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y & -z & 0 \\ x & 0 & -z \\ 0 & x & y \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(3)$.

Let x, y, z, x', y', z' be the coordinates on $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$, with the obvious degrees. As above, we set

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{O} \in D^b(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}).$$

We have a map

$$\alpha_{-1} : \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-1}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0$$

of complexes given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x' & -y' \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -x & -y \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

2

We set \mathcal{R}_{-1} to be $\text{cone}(\alpha_{-1})$, i.e. the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' \\ -x & -y \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 .

Next, we have a map

$$\alpha_{-2} : \mathcal{O}(-2) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-2}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{-1}$$

given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y' \\ -x' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x' & -y' & -z' \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(-2, 2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} y \\ -x \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -x & -y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' \\ -x & -y \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We take $\mathcal{R}_{-2} = \text{cone}(\alpha_{-2})$, which is the complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y' \\ x' \\ 0 \\ y \\ -x \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' & z' & 0 & 0 \\ -x & -y & 0 & x' & y' \\ 0 & 0 & -z & -x & -y \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(-2, 2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \\ & \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

concentrated in degrees $-2, -1, 0$.

Finally, we compute \mathcal{R}_{-3} , which is our resolution of the diagonal. We need to construct a map

$$\alpha_{-3} : \mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{-2}.$$

To shorten notation, let C_{-2}, C_{-1} , and C_0 denote the terms of \mathcal{R}_{-2} . Our map α_{-3} is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}^{-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}^0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{-3}^{-1} & & \downarrow \alpha_{-3}^0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{-2}^{-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{-2}^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{-2}^0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where

$$\alpha_{-3}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 & 0 \\ -x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x & -y \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\alpha_{-3}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -x & -y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Our resolution of the diagonal is $\text{cone}(\alpha_{-3})$. Explicitly, it's the complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}^{-2}) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{-2}^{-2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y' & -z' & 0 & 0 \\ x' & 0 & -z' & 0 \\ 0 & x' & y' & 0 \\ y & 0 & 0 & -y' \\ -x & 0 & 0 & x' \\ 0 & -x & -y & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & z & -x \end{pmatrix}} (\mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}^{-1}) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{-2}^{-1} \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' & z' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x & -y & 0 & x' & y' & z' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -z & -x & -y & 0 & x' & y' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z & -x & -y \end{pmatrix}} (\mathcal{O}(-3) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{-3}^0) \oplus \mathcal{R}_{-2}^0 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [CK08] A. Canonaco and R. L. Karp, *Derived autoequivalences and a weighted Beilinson resolution*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **58** (2008), no. 6, 743–760.