ECEN321 : Engineering Statistics Assignment 9 Submission

Daniel Eisen: 300447549

June 9, 2020

Hypothesis Tests

- 1. (Navidi 6.2.18) 98% lower bound = 50.1, $H_0: \mu \leq 50$, $H_1: \mu > 50$ That lower bounds tells us that there is a 2% (0.02) chance of obtaining a sample mean more that 50.1.
 - (a) Cannot determine if P < 0.01 as we only know that P < 0.02.
 - (b) AsP < 0.02 < 0.05 we can determine if P < 0.05.
- 2. (Navidi 6.3.8) n = 300, x = 12 and $H_0: p \ge 0.08, H_1: p < 0.08$

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}$$
 from above : $p_0 = 0.08$, $\hat{p} = x/n = 12/300 = 0.04$
$$z = \frac{0.04 - 0.08}{\sqrt{0.08(1 - 0.08)/300}} = -2.55377$$

$$P(Z < -2.55) = 0.0054$$

This is (I think) sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis and support the claim of less then 8% defective production.

3. (Navidi 6.4.4)

Ideal: 23

Sample: n = 10, $\bar{x} = 23.2$, s = 0.2

(a) Null Hypothesis can be that the population mean is 23 and the alternate, that it is not. $H_0: \mu=23, H_a: \mu\neq 23$

Test Statistic:
$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s / \sqrt{n}} = 0.2 / (0.2 / \sqrt{10}) = 3.162278 \ df = n - 1 = 9$$

- (b) From table with, a df of 9 and a t value of ~ 3.16 the two tailed P value is ~ 0.0115
- (c) As this value represents the probability that the population mean is 23, I think there is sufficient evidence to claim that the process needs recalibration.