Thesis O Proposal Evaluation Form

STI School: STI College Ortigas-Cainta	Thesis Adviser: John Michael Salen	
Title: Geeks vs Bug		
Group Members:		
Albania, Emmanuel Joi T.	Comedia, Jan Kevin G.	
Bautista, Auston Dave A.	Tadeo, Kurt Michael N.	

Bai	itista, Auston Dave A.	radeo, Kurt Michael N.		
RECOMMENDATION:				
□	Thesis proposal is <i>approved with no revisions</i> . Thesis proposal is <i>approved with revisions</i> *. (Ensure that all revisions are met to be accepted as thesis)			
	Thesis proposal is <i>disapproved</i> .			
	* Proposal is acceptable only if revised accordi	ng to the statements given below.		
*CORRECTIONS/REVISIONS:				
	Inappropriate thesis title.			
	The study was already conducted.			
☑Incompleteness or incorrectness of written research problems and objectives in any of the following (<i>Indicate reasons</i>):				
lacksquare Inappropriate statement of the research problems (form).				
${f oxedsymbol{oxed}}$ Problems incorrectly state what has to be solved by the study.				
Objectives state the incorrect solutions to the problem(s).				
☑Objectives of the study do not conform to S-M-A-R-T (Specific, Measurable, Attainable,				
Realistic and Time-bounded) criteria.				
✓The specific objectives do not state precise and definite details about the project.				
✓ The criteria cannot be quantified for measuring the accomplishment(s).				
	☐ The goals set by the proponents are no	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		_		
	☐ The proposed solution is not complex end			
	☐ It is not possible to time-bound the ob	ectives.		
	✓Others (Please specify)			
	·	n and what needs to be solved/enhanced by the		
	proposed project			
	*Specify beneficiary/beneficiaries of the pr			
	*Specify subject/s covered by your study was chosen as subject of the game	in the background of your study, rationale why it		
□ the	Scope did not discuss all important modules of development of the project.	or features of the study which were essential in		
\checkmark	Limitations of the study are incorrectly ident	ified which make the contents of the document		
inconsistent to what has to be covered. (Should provide justifications on the limitations)				
\checkmark	Discussions which are not the original ideas	are not properly cited and the bibliographical		
list	listing contains inadequate amount of references. (Do not simply copy from sources)			
	Others (Please specify)	•		
	*It should not only be entertaining, it sho	ould be educational.		
	*Follow prescribed documentation forma			

Note: Please provide a copy of this form in your Thesis 1 documentation.