



**University
of Dayton**

AEE 553 — Compressible Flow

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Homework 1

Author:

Evan Burke

Instructor:

Dr. Carson Running

5 September 2022

Contents

Nomenclature	3
Problem 1	4
(a)	4
Givens	4
Assumptions	4
Solution	5
(b)	5
Givens	5
Assumptions	5
Solution	8
(c)	8
Givens	8
Assumptions	8
Solution	9
(d)	9
Givens	9
Assumptions	9
Solution	10
(e)	10
Discussion	10
(f)	10
Discussion	10
Problem 2	11
(a)	11
Givens	11
Assumptions	11
Solution	13
(b)	13
Discussion	13
Problem 3	14

(a)	14
Discussion	14
(b)	14
Givens	14
Assumptions	14
Calculations	15
Figures	16
(c)	18
Givens	18
Solution	18
(d)	18
Givens	18
Assumptions	18
Solution	20
(e)	20
Givens	20
Assumptions	20
Discussion	21
Solution	22
(f)	22
Discussion	22
Appendix A Problem 1 Python Code	23
Appendix B Problem 3 Python Code	24

Nomenclature

A	=	amplitude of oscillation
a	=	cylinder diameter
C_p	=	pressure coefficient
C_x	=	force coefficient in the x direction
C_y	=	force coefficient in the y direction
c	=	chord
dt	=	time step
F_x	=	X component of the resultant pressure force acting on the vehicle
F_y	=	Y component of the resultant pressure force acting on the vehicle
f, g	=	generic functions
h	=	height
i	=	time index during navigation
j	=	waypoint index
K	=	trailing-edge (TE) nondimensional angular deflection rate

Problem 1

In an inviscid flow, a small change in pressure dp , is related to a small change in velocity, du , by

$$dp = -\rho u du,$$

which is referred to as Euler's equation and is derived from the conservation of momentum.

- (a) Using this relation, derive a differential relation for the fractional density change $d\rho/\rho$ as a function of the fractional change in velocity du/u , with the fluid's compressibility τ as a coefficient.

1. **Givens**

Euler's Equation

$$d\rho/\rho$$

$$du/u$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp}$$

2. **Assumptions**

Inviscid flow, small changes in pressure and velocity.

3. **Solution**

Given the definition of compressibility,

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp},$$

we can solve algebraically for an expression defining dp in terms of τ and the fractional change in density, $d\rho/\rho$:

$$dp = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$

Setting the LHS of this new equation equal to the RHS of Euler's equation and solving for $d\rho/\rho$:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho u du$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho \tau u du$$

Finally, we can express this equation in terms of the fractional change in velocity, du/u , by multiplying the RHS by u/u :

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho\tau u^2 \frac{du}{u}$$

(b) Show that τ for isentropic flows simplifies to

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\gamma p}.$$

1. **Givens**

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp}$$

2. **Assumptions**

Isentropic flow (therefore, adiabatic and reversible). Thermally perfect gas (TPG).

3. **Solution**

The 1st law of thermodynamics indicates that for a closed system containing a constant mass the specific internal energy can be defined as

$$de = \delta q + \delta w,$$

where δq and δw are the amount of heat entering the system and work being done on the system, respectively. To be considered isentropic, a system must be both adiabatic and reversible.

An adiabatic system is one where there is no heat transfer in or out of the system. Represented mathematically, the first law for an adiabatic system can be written as:

$$de = \delta w.$$

A reversible system is one where there are no dissipative phenomena present in the system (shear forces, mass diffusion, etc.). Represented mathematically, the first law for a reversible system can be written as:

$$de = \delta q - p d\nu,$$

where $-p d\nu$ represents reversible flow work.

The 1st law for isentropic process, by definition both adiabatic and reversible, can be expressed as:

$$de = -pd\nu$$

The 2nd law of thermodynamics tells us the direction that a process will occur. To discuss the 2nd law, we evaluate the change in specific entropy,

$$ds = \frac{\delta q}{T} + \delta s_{irreversible} ,$$

where $\delta s_{irreversible}$ represents lost or unrecoverable energy and is always ≥ 0 . Combining this definition of specific entropy with our 1st law representations of adiabatic processes, we obtain the following for adiabatic processes:

$$ds = ds_{irreversible}$$

$$ds \geq 0$$

For a reversible process, $\delta s_{irreversible}$ must, by definition, equal 0. Therefore, combining the 1st and 2nd law produces the following:

$$ds = \frac{\delta q}{T}$$

We have now defined both adiabatic and reversible processes according to the 1st and 2nd laws of thermodynamics. We can now revisit the definition of entropy and evaluate the implications of a system being both adiabatic and reversible.

$$ds = \delta q + \delta s_{irreversible}$$

$$ds = \overset{0, \text{adiabatic}}{\delta q} + \overset{0, \text{reversible}}{\delta s_{irreversible}}$$

$$\boxed{ds = 0}$$

A system that is both adiabatic and reversible must also be isentropic. With this understanding, the definition of compressibility, τ , can be revisited.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp} = -\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{d\nu}{dp}$$

Recall the isentropic 1st law representation of the change in specific internal energy, de :

$$de = -pd\nu$$

Assuming the working fluid to be a thermally perfect gas, this can be expressed as:

$$c_\nu dT = -pd\nu$$

$$\boxed{d\nu = -\frac{c_\nu dT}{p}}$$

Now, recall the definition of enthalpy:

$$h = e + p\nu$$

Taking the exact differential leads to:

$$dh = de + pd\nu + \nu dp$$

Replacing de with its isentropic 1st law representation:

$$dh = -pd\nu + pd\nu + \nu dp$$

$$dh = \nu dp$$

Assuming the working fluid to be a thermally perfect gas, dh can be expressed as:

$$dh = c_p dT$$

Therefore:

$$\boxed{\nu dp = c_p dT}$$

Plugging the boxed expressions back in to our original expression for τ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= -\frac{1}{(c_p dT)} \left(-\frac{c_\nu dT}{p} \right) \\ \tau &= \frac{c_\nu}{c_p} \frac{1}{p}\end{aligned}$$

The ratio of specific heats, γ , is defined as

$$\gamma = \frac{c_p}{c_\nu},$$

and can be substituted into the previous equation to arrive at the final result:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\gamma p}$$

- (c) The velocity at a point in an isentropic flow of air is $u = 63$ m/s traveling by a Cessna 172 prop aircraft. The density and pressure are 1.23 kg/m³ and 1.01×10^5 Pa, respectively. If the fractional velocity change is 0.01 , what is the fractional density change? Do not look up a value for τ_{air} . Instead, use your result from part (b). $\gamma = 1.4$ for air.

1. **Givens**

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 63 \text{ m/s} \\ \rho &= 1.23 \text{ kg/m}^3 \\ p &= 1.01 \times 10^5 \text{ Pa} \\ \frac{du}{u} &= 0.01 \\ \gamma_{air} &= 1.4 \end{aligned}$$

2. **Assumptions**

Isentropic flow, $\tau_{air} = \frac{1}{\gamma p}$.

3. **Solution**

From part (a), the fractional density change can be expressed as:

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho \tau u^2 \frac{du}{u}$$

Using the result from part (b), we can express the fractional density change in terms of γ and p :

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p} \right) u^2 \frac{du}{u}$$

From the problem statement, we now have all of the givens required to solve for $d\rho/\rho$:

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = - \left(1.23 \left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] \right) \left(\frac{1}{1.4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1.01 \times 10^5} \left[\frac{N}{m^2} \right]^{-1} \right) \left(63 \left[\frac{m}{s} \right] \right)^2 (0.01)$$

Performing dimensional analysis to confirm validity of equation:

$$\left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] \times \left[\frac{m^2}{N} \right] \times \left[\frac{m^2}{s^2} \right] \rightarrow \left[\frac{kg \cdot m}{s^2} \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{N} \right] \rightarrow \left[\frac{N}{N} \right] \checkmark$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -0.03\%$$

All calculations were performed in Python, see Appendix A for relevant code.

- (d) Repeat part (c) for a local velocity $u = 980$ m/s, representative of an SR-71 Blackbird. Allow the fractional velocity change to still be 0.01. You may still assume isentropic flow.

1. **Givens**

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 980 \text{ m/s} \\ \rho &= 1.23 \text{ kg/m}^3 \\ p &= 1.01 \times 10^5 \text{ Pa} \\ \frac{du}{u} &= 0.01 \\ \gamma_{air} &= 1.4 \end{aligned}$$

2. **Assumptions**

Isentropic flow, $\tau_{air} = \frac{1}{\gamma p}$.

3. **Solution**

From part (a), the fractional density change can be expressed as:

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho \tau u^2 \frac{du}{u}$$

Using the result from part (b), we can express the fractional density change in terms of γ and p :

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -\rho \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p} \right) u^2 \frac{du}{u}$$

From the problem statement, we now have all of the givens required to solve for $d\rho/\rho$:

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = - \left(1.23 \left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] \right) \left(\frac{1}{1.4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1.01 \times 10^5 \left[\frac{N}{m^2} \right]} \right)^{-1} \left(980 \left[\frac{m}{s} \right] \right)^2 (0.01)$$

Performing dimensional analysis to confirm validity of equation:

$$\left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right] \times \left[\frac{m^2}{N} \right] \times \left[\frac{m^2}{s^2} \right] \rightarrow \left[\frac{kg \cdot m}{s^2} \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{N} \right] \rightarrow \left[\frac{N}{N} \right] \checkmark$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = -8.35\%$$

All calculations were performed in Python, see Appendix A for relevant code.

- (e) Comment on the order-of-magnitude differences in the fractional density change between parts (c) and (d). What causes this large difference? Are both compressible flows?

1. **Discussion**

The fractional density change in part (d) is ~ 242 times larger than the fractional density change in part (c). Given that all other initial conditions and assumptions are identical, the primary driver of the observed difference in magnitude is the flow velocity. Despite the same fractional velocity change, the freestream velocity magnitude is the dominant factor due to the u^2 term in our expression for fractional density change. Taking the ratio of velocity squared u_d^2/u_c^2 results in the same ~ 242 ratio observed when comparing fractional density changes.

The conditions illustrated in part (c) are generally not considered to be compressible due to the extremely low fractional density change. With a rule of thumb of 5% density change as the boundary between compressible and incompressible, the density change in part (c) is too small by far to be considered compressible. In comparison, the conditions illustrated in part (d) are easily considered compressible due to the much larger density change. The air in both cases tends to become *less* dense, as illustrated by the polarity of $d\rho/\rho$, which is caused (generally speaking) by the increase in flow velocity the fluid experiences.

- (f) Use this finding to explain to a classmate why high-speed (i.e., supersonic, hypersonic) flows are inherently compressible.

1. **Discussion**

High speed flows are inherently compressible due to the magnitude of their freestream flow velocity. Relatively small changes in fractional velocity can result in significant density changes, as illustrated in parts (d) and (e). Larger changes in flow velocity as would be seen in a real-world application of an aerodynamic body in high speed flow would cause even more significant density changes than shown in part (d). As flow velocities grow larger, towards hypersonic conditions, the effect of the u^2 term in the fractional density change equation exerts more and more influence. Despite making relatively few assumptions about the flow (isentropic, TPG), we have arrived at a fairly simple model that demonstrates the reality of compressible flow and its sensitivity to small changes in initial conditions.

Problem 2

- (a) Derive the following equations for c_p and c_v (recall, $\gamma = c_p/c_v$).

$$c_p = \frac{\gamma R}{\gamma - 1}$$

$$c_v = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}$$

1. **Givens**

$$\gamma = \frac{c_p}{c_v}$$

2. **Assumptions**

Assume ideal gas, thermally perfect gas (TPG).

3. **Solution**

The specific heat at constant pressure, c_p , is defined as:

$$c_p = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial T} \right)_p$$

The specific heat at constant volume, c_v is defined as:

$$c_v = \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial T} \right)_v$$

For a thermally perfect gas, (TPG) these can be expressed as:

$$c_p = \frac{dh}{dT}$$

$$c_v = \frac{de}{dT}$$

Specific enthalpy, h , is defined as:

$$h = e + p\nu$$

For an ideal gas, h can be expressed as a function of T and ν :

$$h(T, \nu) = e(T, \nu) + p\nu$$

For a TPG, chemical reactions in the flow are neglected, and h can be expressed as a function of T only:

$$h(T) = e(T) + p\nu$$

Taking the exact differential:

$$dh = de + d\left(\frac{p}{\rho}\right)$$

Substituting using the ideal gas law:

$$\frac{p}{\rho} = RT$$

$$dh = de + RdT$$

Dividing through by dT :

$$\left(\frac{dh}{dT}\right) = \left(\frac{de}{dT}\right) + R$$

Recognizing that enthalpy and energy terms are in the forms of the previously defined specific heats and substituting gives us another useful equation:

$$c_p = c_v + R$$

$$\boxed{c_p - c_v = R}$$

Dividing through by c_p :

$$\frac{c_p}{c_p} - \frac{c_v}{c_p} = \frac{R}{c_p}$$

$$1 - \frac{c_v}{c_p} = \frac{R}{c_p}$$

Rearranging to solve for c_p :

$$c_p = \frac{R}{1 - \frac{c_v}{c_p}}$$

Substituting γ into the equation and rearranging:

$$c_p = \frac{R}{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

$$c_p = \frac{R}{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}$$

$$\boxed{c_p = \frac{\gamma R}{\gamma - 1}}$$

Recall the relationship between specific heats first derived:

$$c_p - c_v = R$$

Dividing through by c_ν :

$$\frac{c_p}{c_\nu} - \frac{c_\nu}{c_\nu} = \frac{R}{c_\nu}$$

Simplify and rearrange:

$$\gamma - 1 = \frac{R}{c_\nu}$$

Solve for c_ν :

$$c_\nu = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}$$

(b) For what assumptions are these equations valid?

1. Discussion

These equations are valid when TPG is assumed, and therefore also hold for calorically perfect gases (CPG). The ideal gas assumption alone does not fulfill the requirements for these equations to be valid because specific heats can vary with other parameters beside temperature. Making the TPG assumption implies that specific heats only vary with temperature, allowing for substitution into the enthalpy equation. Because a CPG is a specific subset of a TPG, the equations are also valid for those cases.

Problem 3

This problem focuses on the Air Force Research Laboratory's (AFRL) Mach-6 Ludwig Tube located at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

- (a) Researchers utilize isentropic relations to compare pressure, density, and temperature at various locations in the tunnel. They do this by experimentally measuring the air pressure at discrete points on the walls of the tunnel/nozzle. Make your case for why this is valid. In addition, make the case of a reviewer of this paper who might try to argue why this is not valid.

1. Discussion

Isentropic relations are valid for measuring state variables of a high speed flow in the Ludwig tube, although it is important to remember that they rely on assumptions to simplify real-world flow phenomena. The accuracy of the isentropic flow relations likely falls within the accuracy of the pressure sensors, so making an approximation that falls within an existing known uncertainty does not grossly affect confidence in the variance of data. In addition, the initial conditions of the flow in the Ludwig tube are well below the temperature limits where the TPG assumption would break down. The flow is non-reacting and non-dissociating due to its relative slowness and coldness.

An issue with the assumption of isentropic flow in the tunnel is that the measurements are being taken on the wall where there is a boundary layer. The boundary layer growth impacts the measurements taken by the transducers and does not paint an accurate picture of the freestream flow conditions. By measuring at the wall, viscous effects are introduced into the measurements; isentropic relations fundamentally assume that flow is inviscid, with no dissipative effects in the flow. Unless thoroughly validated with viscous modeling to ensure that isentropic relationships carry a sufficiently small error, it is questionable to use these assumptions.

- (b) Plot the pressure, density, and temperature of the flow in the test section versus the test time t , using data from the .xlsx file.

1. Givens

HW_1_Data.xlsx

2. Assumptions

Assume that these are set to $p = 1380$ kPa and $T = 500$ K and can be assumed constant throughout the duration of the test because the air there is more-or-less stagnant and does not move. Assuming ideal gas, isentropic flow.

3. Calculations

Given the starting temperature and pressure, the initial density of the upstream

air is easily calculated using the ideal gas law.

$$\begin{aligned}P &= \rho RT \\ \rho &= \frac{P}{RT} \\ \rho_{init} &= \frac{1380000 \text{ [Pa]}}{287 \left[\frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg K}} \right] 500 \text{ [K]}} \\ \rho_{init} &= 9.62 \left[\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Knowing the initial density and pressure of the fluid in the tunnel allows us to calculate the ratio P/ρ^γ which is equal to some constant value for an isentropic flow.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{P}{\rho^\gamma} &= C \\ \frac{P}{\rho^\gamma} &= 58028.46 \left[\frac{\text{Pa}}{\text{kg/m}^3} \right]\end{aligned}$$

The constant calculated above can be used in conjunction with the test section time history found in *HW_1_Data.xlsx* to calculate the time history of density in the test section using the following equation:

$$\rho_i = \left(\frac{P_i}{58028.46 \left[\frac{\text{Pa}}{\text{kg/m}^3} \right]} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

Once the density at every point in time is known, the thermodynamic state of the fluid in the Ludwig tube is fully defined and the temperature is easily found using the ideal gas equation of state:

$$T_i = \frac{P_i}{\rho_i R}$$

All calculations for the time histories were performed in Python, see Appendix B for relevant code.

4. Figures




Figure 1: Pressure vs. Time in Test Section




Figure 2: Temperature vs. Time in Test Section




Figure 3: Density vs. Time in Test Section

- (c) Generally useful wind-tunnel data is collected in the test section between $25 < t < 75 \text{ ms}$. How "steady" is the pressure during that period (i.e., what is the standard deviation about the mean value during that time?)

1. **Givens**

$$t = [25, 75] \text{ ms}$$

2. **Solution**

Using Python's *statistics* module, the mean and standard deviation of the pressure measurements between $25 < t < 75 \text{ ms}$ are easily calculated:

$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\text{pressure}} &= 729.27 \text{ Pa} \\ \sigma_{\text{pressure}} &= 23.56 \text{ Pa}\end{aligned}$
--

- (d) At $t = 50 \text{ ms}$, what is the entropy difference between the upstream and test-section air? Recall,

$$s_2 - s_1 = c_p \ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) - R \ln\left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right).$$

You may use $c_p = 1000 \text{ J/kg K}$ and $R = 287 \text{ J/kg K}$. Does this make sense? Why or why not?

1. **Givens**

$$c_p = 1000 \text{ J/kg K}$$

$$R = 287 \text{ J/kg K}$$

$$T_1 = 500 \text{ K}$$

$$p_1 = 1380 \text{ kPa}$$

2. **Assumptions**

Assuming isentropic flow for purposes of solving relations to get all needed values.

3. **Solution**

Given the previously calculated time histories for temperature and density, in conjunction with the pressure time history in the dataset, conditions at $t = 50 \text{ ms}$ are identified:

$$p_{50\text{ms}} = 766.99 \text{ Pa}$$

$$T_{50\text{ms}} = 58.74 \text{ K}$$

Recalling the discussion of the first and second law for reversible processes highlighted in [Problem 1](#), we begin by expressing the first law for a reversible process in terms of internal energy and enthalpy. By definition, for internal energy:

$$de = \delta q_{\text{reversible}} - p d\nu$$

Expressing the first law in terms of enthalpy:

$$\begin{aligned} dh &= de + p d\nu + \nu dp \\ dh &= \delta q_{reversible} - p d\nu + p d\nu + \nu dp \\ dh &= \delta q_{reversible} + \nu dp \end{aligned}$$

Now, we examine the second law for a reversible process:

$$\begin{aligned} ds &= \frac{\delta q_{reversible}}{T} \\ \delta q_{reversible} &= T ds \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation into the previous relations for dh and de yields the following, known as *Gibb's Equations*:

$$\begin{aligned} T ds &= de + p d\nu \\ T ds &= dh - \nu dp \end{aligned}$$

Note: To this point, only reversible assumptions have been made.

By making the assumption that the working fluid is a TPG, we can substitute specific heat relationships into the above equations, and additionally use the ideal gas equation of state to recast the final term of each equation in terms of a variable and its differential operator. Finally, we divide through by T to isolate ds .

$$\begin{aligned} ds &= c_\nu \frac{dT}{T} + R \frac{d\nu}{\nu} \\ ds &= c_p \frac{dT}{T} - R \frac{dp}{p} \end{aligned}$$

We now examine the topmost equation involving c_ν . Dividing through by dT and integrating the equation from state 1 to state 2 yields an expression for the change in entropy generated between two states of a reversible process of a TPG.

$$\begin{aligned} ds &= c_\nu \frac{dT}{T} + R \frac{d\nu}{\nu} \\ \int_1^2 ds &= \int_1^2 c_\nu \frac{dT}{T} + \int_1^2 R \frac{d\nu}{\nu} \\ s_2 - s_1 &= c_\nu \ln \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) + R \ln \left(\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Performing the same integration for the other Gibb's Equation yields the equation given in the problem statement:

$$s_2 - s_1 = c_p \ln\left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right) - R \ln\left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right).$$

Substituting known values into the given equation:

$$s_2 - s_1 = 1000 \left[\frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{K}} \right] \ln\left(\frac{58.74 [\text{K}]}{500 [\text{K}]}\right) - 287 \left[\frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{K}} \right] \ln\left(\frac{766.99 [\text{Pa}]}{1380000 [\text{Pa}]}\right)$$

$$s_2 - s_1 = 9.64 \text{ J/kg K}$$

This value of entropy change makes sense even in light of the isentropic assumption made during data analysis. There is a positive, non-zero entropy change due to the presence of a boundary layer and dissipative effects in the flow. In addition, transducer error can contribute to inaccuracies in flow measurements. Despite not being fully isentropic according to this calculation, the change in entropy is very small and indicates that the isentropic assumption is not unreasonable.

- (e) What do the isentropic relations show happens to the temperature of the flow as it expands to Mach-6? Look up the liquefaction temperature of air. What is it in Kelvin? With this knowledge, why do you think the air accelerated through high-speed wind tunnels is heated before every test?

With an upstream starting pressure of $p = 1380 \text{ kPa}$, calculate the upstream starting temperature for which the air temperature in the test section is equal to the liquefaction temperature at $t = 50 \text{ ms}$.

1. Givens

$$p_{init} = 1380 \text{ kPa}$$

2. Assumptions

$$T_{liquefaction} = 77 \text{ K}$$

$$P_{50\text{ms}} = 766.99 \text{ Pa}$$

$$\gamma = 1.4$$

3. Discussion

Isentropic relations indicate that the temperature of a flow *expanding* to Mach 6 will decrease. Recall the relationship between temperature and density at any 2 points in an isentropic flow:

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^{\gamma-1}$$

As ρ decreases in an accelerating, expanding supersonic flow, T will also drop, albeit not at a one-to-one rate.

The liquefaction temperature of air is $T_{liquefaction} = 77 \text{ K}$ according to [http:](http://)

<http://www.edubilla.com/invention/liquefaction-of-air/>. With this in mind, it makes sense that heating could be required for the supply air in a high-speed wind tunnel, to avoid accidentally generating condensation or liquid air in the tunnel that could compromise data collection.

4. Solution

Recall the relationship between temperature and pressure at any two points in an isentropic flow:

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}$$

Setting T_2 equal to $T_{liquefaction}$ and rearranging to solve for $T_{upstream}$:

$$T_{upstream} = \frac{T_{liquefaction}}{\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}}$$

Substituting in known values and solving:

$$T_{upstream} = \frac{77 \text{ [K]}}{\left(\frac{1380000 \text{ [Pa]}}{766.99 \text{ [Pa]}} \right)^{\frac{1.4-1}{1.4}}}$$

$T_{upstream} = 655.41 \text{ K}$

The result of this calculation, along with previously-solved-for temperature at $t = 50 \text{ ms}$ indicates that the air in the test section is *already below the temperature required for liquefaction*. The reason this calculation may still be valid is that the liquefaction temperature of 77 K is specifically for air under atmospheric pressure. The pressure in the tunnel test-section at $t = 50 \text{ ms}$ is substantially less than atmospheric pressure, making liquefaction unlikely under these conditions. To confirm the calculation of temperature for the air in the test section, we can utilize the form of the isentropic equations that relies on total/stagnation conditions.

$$\frac{T_0}{T} = 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M^2$$

In the equation above, T_0 represents the *total temperature* of the flow, or the temperature that the flow would achieve if it were isentropically brought to a halt. Because the upstream conditions are initially stagnant, we can assume that $T_{init} = 500 \text{ K}$ is equivalent to $T_0 = 500 \text{ K}$. In addition, we assume that the tunnel data taken from the .xlsx spreadsheet corresponds with a Mach 6 condition. With these assumptions and this equation, a notional static temperature, T_{static} , is calculated, representative of an isentropic flow with the given initial conditions expanding to exactly Mach 6.

$$T_{static} = \frac{T_0}{1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} M^2}$$
$$T_{static} = \frac{500 \text{ [K]}}{1 + \frac{1.4-1}{2} (6)^2}$$
$$T_{static} = 60.97 \text{ K}$$

This result compares well with the calculated temperature from the spreadsheet data, where $T_{50\text{ms}} = 58.74 \text{ K}$. Despite initially appearing to be a miscalculation, the temperature calculated from the data is validated by its relative closeness to the exact Mach 6 isentropic temperature for the given initial conditions.

- (f) A wind tunnel is used to simulate a flight vehicle flying through stagnant air. The difference is clearly the reference frame. If a hypersonic boost-glide vehicle is flying at Mach-6 at an altitude of 40 km where the air temperature is $\approx 250 \text{ K}$, do you think the air liquefies as it travels over the vehicle? Explain your reasoning.

1. Discussion

Air will not liquefy when traveling over a Mach 6 boost-glide vehicle despite the low ambient temperature. The main difference between the air in the Ludwig tube and the freestream air going over the Mach 6 vehicle hinges on the stagnation conditions of the flow. The air in the Ludwig tube is initially stagnant, with no velocity, and has to go through an expansion to achieve the Mach 6 condition. This expansion is what causes the massive pressure drop that can lead to liquefaction of the air in the tunnel. When a boost-glide vehicle is traveling at Mach 6, the freestream air surrounding the vehicle does not have to undergo such a massive expansion. In fact, the air is rapidly compressed by the vehicle's motion, causing shockwaves and temperature and density *spikes*.

The stagnation/total conditions of a flow are dependent on the reference frame used to define them. Wind tunnel experimentation can rarely kinematically match both the Reynolds and Mach numbers in a flow. Depending on whether the vehicle is fixed in the reference frame or a volume of air is fixed, the total conditions of the flow can vary. The disparity between achievable conditions in a wind tunnel and flight conditions largely hinges on the enthalpies achievable, which is why ground tests and computational modeling must work in conjunction.

Appendix A Problem 1 Python Code

```
1 #!/usr/bin/env python3
2 from operator import index
3 import pandas as pd
4 from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
5 import statistics
6 import numpy as np
7
8 rho = 1.23
9 p = 1.01e5
10 du_u = 0.01
11 gamma = 1.4
12
13 # Problem 1c
14 u_c = 63
15 drho_rho_c = -rho/(gamma*p)*u_c**2*du_u
16 print('Problem 1c:')
17 print(f'The fractional density change is {round(drho_rho_c*100,3)} %\n')
18
19 # Problem 1d
20 u_d = 980
21 drho_rho_d = -rho/(gamma*p)*u_d**2*du_u
22 print('Problem 1d:')
23 print(f'The fractional density change is {round(drho_rho_d*100,3)} %')
24
25 magnitude = drho_rho_d/drho_rho_c
26 print(f'The fractional density change in part (d) is {round(magnitude,0)}
    times that of part (c)\n')
```


Appendix B Problem 3 Python Code

```
1 # Problem 3b
2 p_init = 1380000 # Pa
3 T_init = 500 # K
4 gamma = 1.4
5 R_air = 287 # J/Kg K
6
7 # Assuming ideal gas (inherent b/c Isentropic), can use equation of state
  to get
8 # all initial conditions
9 rho_init = p_init/(T_init*R_air) # Convert kPa to Pa for dimension
  analysis
10
11 print('Problem 3b:')
12 print(f'Initial conditions:\n\tPressure = {p_init} Pa\n\tTemperature = {
  T_init} K\n\tDensity = {round(rho_init,2)} kg/m^3')
13
14 # Given initial conditions and assuming an isentropic process,
15 # can assume that  $P/\rho^{\gamma}$  is constant.
16
17 isentropic_constant = p_init/rho_init**gamma
18 print(f'Isentropic constant = {isentropic_constant}\n')
19
20 tunnel_data = pd.read_csv('../HW_1_data.csv')
21 times = tunnel_data["Test Time (ms)"]
22 pressures = tunnel_data["Test-Section Pressure (Pa)"]
23 times = times.values.tolist()
24 pressures = pressures.values.tolist()
25
26 densities = [(pressure/isentropic_constant)**(1/gamma) for pressure in
  pressures]
27 temperatures = [pressure/(density*R_air) for pressure,density in zip(
  pressures,densities)]
28
29 fig, ax = plt.subplots()
30 ax.plot(times,pressures,'.')
31 ax.set_xlabel('Time [ms]')
32 ax.set_ylabel('Pressure [Pa]')
33 plt.title('AFRL Ludwig Tube\nPressure vs. Time')
34 plt.savefig('../images/pressure_vs_time.png')
35 plt.close()
36
37 fig, ax = plt.subplots()
38 ax.plot(times,densities,'.')
39 ax.set_xlabel('Time [ms]')
40 ax.set_ylabel(r'Density  $[\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}]$ ')
41 plt.title('AFRL Ludwig Tube\nDensity vs. Time')
42 plt.savefig('../images/density_vs_time.png')
43 plt.close()
```

```
44
45 fig,ax = plt.subplots()
46 ax.plot(times,temperatures,'.')
47 ax.set_xlabel('Time [ms]')
48 ax.set_ylabel('Temperature [K]')
49 plt.title('AFRL Ludwig Tube\nTemperature vs. Time')
50 plt.savefig('../images/temperature_vs_time.png')
51 plt.close()
52
53
54 # Problem 3c
55 useful_pressures = [pressures[idx] for idx,time in enumerate(times) if (
    time >= 25) and (time <=75)]
56 mean = statistics.mean(useful_pressures)
57 deviation = statistics.stdev(useful_pressures)
58 print('Problem 3c:')
59 print(f'The standard deviation of pressure about the mean between',
60       f't = 25 ms and t = 75 ms is {round(deviation,3)} Pa')
61 print(f'The mean pressure between t = 25 ms and t = 75 ms is {round(mean
    ,2)} Pa\n')
62
63 # Problem 3d
64 # At t= 50 ms, what is the entropy difference
65 # between the upstream and test-section air?
66 cp = 1000 # J/kg K
67 R = 287 # J/kg K
68 T_1 = T_init
69 p_1 = p_init
70 closest_time = min(times, key=lambda x:abs(x-50))
71 idx_50 = [idx for idx,time in enumerate(times) if time == closest_time]
72 T_2 = temperatures[idx_50[0]]
73 p_2 = pressures[idx_50[0]]
74 rho_2 = densities[idx_50[0]]
75 ds_50 = cp*np.log(T_2/T_1) - R*np.log(p_2/p_1)
76 print('Problem 3d:')
77 print(f'T_1 = {round(T_1,4)}, T_2 = {round(T_2,2)}, p_1 = {round(p_1, 2)},
    p_2 = {round(p_2,2)} ')
78 print(f'Change in entropy = {round(ds_50,2)} J/kg K\n')
79
80
81 # Problem 3e
82 # For p_init = 1380 kPa, calculate the upstream starting temperature for
83 # which the air in the test section is equal to the liquefaction
    temperature
84 # at t = 50 ms
85 # Assuming that the pressure at t = 50 ms is still the temperature from
    the .xls file
86 print('Problem 3e:')
87 T_liq = 77 # http://www.edubilla.com/invention/liquefaction-of-air/
88 T_upstream = T_liq/(p_2/p_1)**((gamma-1)/gamma)
```

```
89 print(f'The upstream temperature required to cause liquefaction  
    temperature at t = 50 ms is {round(T_upstream,2)} K')
```

DRAFT!
DRAFT!
DRAFT!