Problem 1

Instead of the linear shape functions for a 1D bar element, the following shape function shave been proposed for an element with two nodes:

$$N_1 = \frac{-x(1-x)}{2}$$
 $N_2 = \frac{x(1+x)}{2}$

The resulting displacement field is $u = N_1 d_1 + N_2 d_2$.

- a) Develop the relation: $\varepsilon = [B]\{d\}$. That is, find the [B] matrix in terms of x.
- b) Develop the stiffness matrix, [K].
- c) Are these valid shape functions? Why or why not?

(a) Solution:

$$\{u\} = [N]\{d\}$$

$$\{\varepsilon\} = [\partial]\{u\}$$

$$\{\varepsilon\} = [\partial][N]\{d\}$$

$$[B] = [\partial][N]$$

$$\{\varepsilon\} = [B]\{d\}$$

$$[N] = [N_1 N_2] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-x(1-x)}{2} & \frac{x(1+x)}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[B] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-x(1-x)}{2} & \frac{x(1+x)}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[B] = [x - \frac{1}{2} & x + \frac{1}{2}]$$

$$\{\varepsilon\} = [x - \frac{1}{2} & x + \frac{1}{2}] \begin{cases} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon(x) = (x - \frac{1}{2}) d_1 + (x + \frac{1}{2}) d_2$$

Finite Element Analysis I

(b)
$$[K] = \int_{V} [B]^{T}[E][B] dV$$

$$A = dzdy$$

$$[K] = \int [B]^{T}[E][B] A dx$$

$$[K] = AE \int [B]^{T}[B] dx$$

$$[B]^{T}[B] = \begin{bmatrix} (x^{2} - x + \frac{1}{4}) & (x^{2} - \frac{1}{4}) \\ (x^{2} - \frac{1}{4}) & (x^{2} + x + \frac{1}{4}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\int [B]^{T}[B] dx = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} - \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x}{4}\right) & \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} - \frac{x}{4}\right) \\ \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} - \frac{x}{4}\right) & \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x}{4}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[K] = AE \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} - \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x}{4}\right) & \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} - \frac{x}{4}\right) \\ \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} - \frac{x^{2}}{4}\right) & \left(\frac{x^{3}}{3} + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x}{4}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[K] = \frac{AE}{12} \begin{bmatrix} (4x^{3} - 6x^{2} + 3x) & (4x^{3} - 3x) \\ (4x^{3} - 3x) & (4x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 3x) \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) If we say that node 1 is at an x location of x = -1 and node 2 is at an x location of x = 1, we see the following behavior of the shape functions:

$$N_1(x_1 = -1) = \frac{-(-1)(1 - (-1))}{2} \qquad N_2(x_1 = -1) = \frac{(-1)(1 + (-1))}{2}$$

$$N_1(x_1 = -1) = 1 \qquad N_2(x_1 = -1) = 0$$

$$N_1(x_2 = 1) = \frac{-(1)(1 - (1))}{2} \qquad N_2(x_2 = 1) = \frac{(1)(1 + (1))}{2}$$

$$N_1(x_2 = 1) = 0 \qquad N_2(x_2 = 1) = 1$$

The shape functions are equal to 1 at the node they are associated with and 0 at the other node. This is a valid shape function for these coordinates. However, if the node coordinates were different then the shape functions would need to be reformulated to ensure this behavior.