Project 1

Ove Haugvaldstad, Eirik Gallefoss

September 7, 2019

Abstract

Introduction

There is no denying the influence the computer has had on science. Computing has rendered scientist able to unravel the deeper mysteries of nature. Today for instance a scientist can explore the violent nature of a hurricane while at the same time enjoying a cup of coffee. With current rate in increase of computational performance, we are diminishing the computational limit. Still, to do computational problem solving both efficiently and with high precision requires both an deep understanding of the problem at hand, but also the inner workings of a computer.

Our aim is to demonstrate the benefits of understanding the problem at hand and to apply that knowledge to solve the problem in an efficient way. For our demonstration with we will look at a how to solve a second order differential equation, specifically the general one dimmensional Poisson's equation **??**.

$$f(x) = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \tag{1}$$

Numerical differentiation

Computers operate in discrete steps, which means that variables are stored as discrete variables. A discrete variable defined over a particular range, would have a step length h between each value and can not represent any values in between. This means that how well a discrete variable would approximate the continuous variable depends on the size of the step length. The step length h can either be set manually or it can be determined based on the start and end point of our particular range, $h = \frac{x_n - x_0}{n}$. Where n is the number of points we choose to have in our range.

The simplest way to compute the derivate numerically is to use what is called forward difference method eq.(**??**) or equivalently backward difference method (eq.**??**). If we include the limit $\lim_{h\to 0}$ we obtain the classic definition of the derivate.

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \tag{2}$$

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x-h) + f(x)}{h} \tag{3}$$

Since numerical differentiation always will give an approximation of the derivate, we would like to quantify our error. The error can be derived if we do a taylor

series expansion of the $f(x+h)$ term in around x.

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + h'f(x) + \frac{h^2 f''(x)}{2} + \frac{h^3 f'''(x)}{6} + \dots \tag{4}$$

If we next insert this taylor expansion into eq.(**??**) we get:

$$f'(x) = f'(x) + \frac{hf''(x)}{2} + \frac{h^2 f'''(x)}{6} + \dots \tag{5}$$

Our approximation of the derivate includes $f'(x)$ and some terms which are proportional to $h, h^2, h^3 \dots$ and since h is assumed to be small the h terms would dominate. The error is said to be of the order h.

To get a numerical scheme for the second derivate we would just take the derivate of **??** except for a slight modification. Instead of looking at $f''(x) \approx \frac{f'(x+h)-f'(x)}{h}$ we would use $f''(x) \approx \frac{f'(x)-f'(x-h)}{h}$, which are equivalent to each other [**mathexh**].

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x) - f(x-h+h) + f(x-h)}{h^2} \tag{6}$$

Then after a bit of a clean up we get an approximation for the second order derivate (**??**).

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} \tag{7}$$

Then to quantify the error we proceed as for the first order derivate, by expanding $f(x+h)$ and $f(x-h)$.

$$f(x-h) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{h^2 f''(x)}{2} - \frac{h^3 f'''(x)}{6} \dots \tag{8}$$

Next we substitute the two taylor expansion **??** and **??** into the expression for second order derivate **??**.

$$f''(x) \approx f''(x) + \frac{h^2 f^{(4)}(x)}{4!} + \frac{h^4 f^{(6)}(x)}{6!} + \dots \tag{9}$$

Then we see that leading error term is for the second derivate is $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

Methods

Building upon the previously described concepts of numerical derivatives, we will now describe how to solve our differential equation **??** numerically by rewriting it as a set of linear equations.

Explicitly, we will solve the differential equation:

$$-u''(x) = f(x), \quad x \in (0,1), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0$$

We will define the discrete approximation to $u(x)$ as v_i with grid points $x_i = ih$ in the range from $x_0 = 0$ to $x_{n+1} = 1$, and the step length is defined as $h = 1/(n+1)$. The boundary conditions is $v_0 = 0$ and $v_{n+1} = 0$. The second derivate we approximate according to **??** and also introducing the shorthand notation we get **??**.

$$g_i = -\frac{v_{i-1} - 2v_i + v_{i+1}}{h^2} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots, n \tag{10}$$

To see how **??** can be represented as matrix equation, we will first multiply each side by h^2.

$$v_{i-1} - 2v_i + v_{i+1} = g_i h^2, \quad \tilde{g}_i = g_i h^2$$

Next we represent the v_i's and the $\tilde{g}_i$'s as a vectors,

$$\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n], \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}} = [\tilde{g}_1, , \tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3, \ldots, \tilde{g}_n]$$

Then if we transpose our two vectors we only need to find the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and our matrix equation is complete. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ would in our case look like this.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \ldots & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \ldots & & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{A}v = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ would give us **??**, simply by doing the matrix multiplication. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ has some particular nice features, primarily it a tridiagonal matrix which means that we can use the efficient Thomas Algorithm to solve our linear system of equation, secondly it has constant values along the diagonals, which we'll exploit later.

Thomas Algorithm

The Thomas Algorithm quite straight forward to implement and based on the more general LU decomposition. The full implementation of the Thomas algorithm can be found on our github[1] here we will just outline the general idea.

[1] https://github.com/Ovewh/Computilus/tree/master/Project1/src/linalg.py

The Thomas algorithm consist of two steps. The first steps is to decompose the matrix **A** into an upper triangular matrix **U** and a lower triangular matrix **L** [**tridia**]. The first step is step is done with a forward iteration where we decompose the matrix and solve for L

Results



Figure 1: Comparison of analytic solution and numerical approximations

The thomas algorithm run time **??** is roughly linear with the matrix size.

The improved thomas algorithm **??** run time is in the same order of magnitude as the normal thomas algorithm, but consequently a bit faster.

Comparing the run times using LU decomposing and backward substituting (**??**) with run times using the thomas algorithm (**??**) we see that the thomas algorithm is roughly n times faster.

n	run time (s)
10	2.56e-05
100	2.55e-04
1000	4.09e-03
10000	2.60e-02
100000	2.59e-01
1000000	2.68e+00

Table 1: Run times of thomas algorithm for selected matrix sizes.

n	run time (s)
10	2.67e-05
100	2.16e-04
1000	1.22e-03
10000	1.17e-02
100000	1.22e-01
1000000	1.28e+00

Table 2: Run times of improved thomas algorithm when considering a töeplitz matrix.

log_{10}(h)	max(relative error)
-1.04	2.82e-02
-2.00	-1.50e-01
-3.00	-2.21e-02
-4.00	-3.24e-03
-5.00	-4.33e-04
-6.00	-5.43e-05

Table 3: Maximum relative error between analytic and numeric solution.

n	run time (s)
10	3.58e-04
100	1.45e-01
1000	1.23e+02

Table 4: Run time for solving $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ by LU decomposing and backward substituting.