

Table S1. Final model results and statistics for all main text models. Sample sizes are given as number of observations with number of studies in parentheses. Log-likelihood ratio tests (LRT) and p values in comparison to intercept-only null models are provided. Total model variance (σ^2), total unexplained heterogeneity (I^2) and R^2 are provided. Note that some models had extremely low heterogeneity (particularly Root Biomass), leading to R^2 of 100%. We thus calculated an alternative R^{2*} , which is the proportion of total variance in y explained by moderator (see Methods). Contrasts between factors (e.g., nativeness levels) are provided along with 95% confidence intervals, degrees of freedom, and p-values.

N articles (n observations)		Comparison to intercept-only (LRT, p)		Contrast±95%CIs	Total variance	Unexplained heterogeneity	Explained variance
Ecosystem - Bare Ground							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=5(3), Introduced=34(20)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.72, 0.398	0.53±[-0.76,1.82], df=21,21, t=0.85, p=0.404	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.85$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.82$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=89.37$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=88.78$	$R^2=3.75$, $R^2*=0.09$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=42(16), Introduced=34(20)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	3.06, 0.08	0.45±[0.93,-0.03], df=33,74, t=-1.85, p=0.068	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.62$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.52$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=86.3$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=83.92$	$R^2=8.78$, $R^2*=0.27$
Invasive	Native=42(16), Invasive=22(13)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.93, 0.165	0.34±[0.81,-0.13], df=26,62, t=-1.46, p=0.149	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.42$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.36$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=81.91$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=79.18$	$R^2=6.89$, $R^2*=0.12$
Ecosystem - CO2 Respiration							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=70(7), Introduced=5(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID, ~Time Series Experiment ID	0.87, 0.35	-0.66±[0.95,-2.28], df=8,8, t=0.95, p=0.372	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2<0.01$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2<0.01$	$I^2_{\text{null}}<0.01$, $I^2_{\text{model}}<0.01$	$R^2=1.36$, $R^2*=0.39$
Invasive	Native=70(7), Invasive=5(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID, ~Time Series Experiment ID	0.87, 0.35	-0.66±[0.95,-2.28], df=8,8, t=0.95, p=0.372	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2<0.01$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2<0.01$	$I^2_{\text{null}}<0.01$, $I^2_{\text{model}}<0.01$	$R^2=1.36$, $R^2*=0.39$
Ecosystem - Dead Vegetation							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=152(33), Introduced=47(17)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	3.47, 0.062	-0.35±[0.02,-0.71], df=48,48, t=1.89, p=0.064	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.4$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.37$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=73.3$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=71.58$	$R^2=5.47$, $R^2*=2.23$
Invasive	Native=152(33), Invasive=17(8)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	2.02, 0.155	-0.35±[0.14,-0.85], df=39,39, t=1.44, p=0.158	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.39$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.37$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=73.49$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=72.04$	$R^2=2.95$, $R^2*=1.59$
Ecosystem - Growth Rates							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=35(5), Introduced=20(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	2.62, 0.105	0.62±[0.1,2.25], df=8,8, t=2.29, p=0.051	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.29$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.15$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=59.34$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=43.23$	$R^2=37.36$, $R^2*=16.58$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=129(13), Introduced=20(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	3.11, 0.078	0.49±[1,-0.03], df=16,16, t=-2.02, p=0.061	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.31$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.26$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=70.52$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=66.31$	$R^2=9.65$, $R^2*=5.84$
Ecosystem - Litter Cover							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=91(28), Introduced=38(15)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	3.12, 0.077	-0.4±[0.05,-0.85], df=41,41, t=1.81, p=0.078	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.5$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.45$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=79.34$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=77.37$	$R^2=7.01$, $R^2*=2.97$
Invasive	Native=91(28), Invasive=9(6)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	1.76, 0.184	-0.43±[0.22,-1.08], df=32,32, t=1.36, p=0.184	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.5$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.46$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=80.69$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=78.89$	$R^2=3.23$, $R^2*=2$
Ecosystem - Microbe Abundance							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=29(7), Introduced=24(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.19, 0.664	-0.18±[0.72,-1.08], df=9,9, t=0.44, p=0.668	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.39$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.37$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=69.53$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=68.38$	$R^2=2.08$, $R^2*=1.63$
Invasive	Native=29(7), Invasive=22(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.08, 0.773	-0.13±[0.92,-1.19], df=8,8, t=0.29, p=0.777	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.44$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.42$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=71.75$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=71.01$	$R^2=1.05$, $R^2*=0.93$
Ecosystem - Root Biomass							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=39(7), Introduced=12(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.52, 0.218	-0.14±[0.12,-0.41], df=8,8, t=1.23, p=0.253	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2<0.01$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2<0.01$	$I^2_{\text{null}}<0.01$, $I^2_{\text{model}}<0.01$	$R^2=100$, $R^2*=1.24$

Table S1. Final model results and statistics for all main text models. Sample sizes are given as number of observations with number of studies in parentheses. Log-likelihood ratio tests (LRT) and p values in comparison to intercept-only null models are provided. Total model variance (σ^2), total unexplained heterogeneity (I^2) and R^2 are provided. Note that some models had extremely low heterogeneity (particularly Root Biomass), leading to R^2 of 100%. We thus calculated an alternative R^{2*} , which is the proportion of total variance in y explained by moderator (see Methods). Contrasts between factors (e.g., nativeness levels) are provided along with 95% confidence intervals, degrees of freedom, and p-values.

Table S1. Final model results and statistics for all main text models. Sample sizes are given as number of observations with number of studies in parentheses. Log-likelihood ratio tests (LRT) and p values in comparison to intercept-only null models are provided. Total model variance (σ^2), total unexplained heterogeneity (I^2) and R^2 are provided. Note that some models had extremely low heterogeneity (particularly Root Biomass), leading to R^2 of 100%. We thus calculated an alternative R^{2*} , which is the proportion of total variance in y explained by moderator (see Methods). Contrasts between factors (e.g., nativeness levels) are provided along with 95% confidence intervals, degrees of freedom, and p-values.

		N articles (n observations)	Random effect	Comparison to intercept-only (LRT, p)	Contrast±95%CIs	Total variance	Unexplained heterogeneity	Explained variance
Herbivore nativeness	Native=107(8), Introduced=10(4)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	1.64, 0.201	-0.49±[0.33,-1.31], df=10,10, t=1.33, p=0.213	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.6$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.54$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=68.88$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=66.11$	$R^2=3.37$, $R^2*=0.4$	
Invasive	Native=107(8), Invasive=10(4)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	1.64, 0.201	-0.49±[0.33,-1.31], df=10,10, t=1.33, p=0.213	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.6$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.54$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=68.88$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=66.11$	$R^2=3.37$, $R^2*=0.4$	
Ecosystem - Soil Total C								
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=30(6), Introduced=13(9)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	0.02, 0.888	0.04±[-0.54,0.61], df=13,13, t=0.14, p=0.89	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.26$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.25$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=73.97$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=73.93$	$R^2=0.12$, $R^2*=0.03$	
Herbivore nativeness	Native=119(28), Introduced=13(9)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	0.01, 0.919	-0.02±[0.39,-0.44], df=34,130, t=0.1, p=0.919	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.21$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.21$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=61.69$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=61.68$	$R^2=0.02$, $R^{2*}<0.01$	
Invasive	Native=119(28), Invasive=7(5)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	<0.01, 0.958	0.01±[0.57,-0.54], df=30,124, t=-0.05, p=0.958	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.23$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.23$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=64.01$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=64.01$	$R^2<0.01$, $R^{2*}<0.01$	
Ecosystem - Soil Total Ca								
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=12(3), Introduced=10(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.51, 0.219	-0.38±[-1.17,0.4], df=5,5, t=-1.26, p=0.265	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.42$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.38$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=81.06$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=79.23$	$R^2=9.21$, $R^2*=6.52$	
Herbivore nativeness	Native=30(10), Introduced=10(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	2.73, 0.099	-0.42±[0.12,-0.96], df=12,12, t=1.69, p=0.118	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.37$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.33$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=75.09$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=72.68$	$R^2=9.18$, $R^2*=5.83$	
Ecosystem - Soil Total N								
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=47(9), Introduced=19(10)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.26, 0.262	0.24±[-0.2,0.68], df=17,17, t=1.13, p=0.273	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.4$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.38$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=71.39$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=70.24$	$R^2=3$, $R^{2*}=1.09$	
Herbivore nativeness	Native=173(38), Introduced=19(10)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.2, 0.655	0.08±[0.44,-0.28], df=45,190, t=-0.45, p=0.654	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.26$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.26$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=60.02$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=59.88$	$R^2=0.23$, $R^2*=0.05$	
Invasive	Native=173(38), Invasive=13(7)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.33, 0.563	0.13±[0.56,-0.31], df=42,184, t=-0.58, p=0.561	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.24$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.23$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=56.98$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=56.76$	$R^2=0.46$, $R^2*=0.09$	
Ecosystem - Soil Total P								
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=46(9), Introduced=13(6)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.64, 0.423	-0.19±[-0.66,0.28], df=13,13, t=-0.85, p=0.409	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.22$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.21$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=62.01$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=60.38$	$R^2=2.74$, $R^2*=1.12$	
Herbivore nativeness	Native=60(14), Introduced=13(6)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.06, 0.304	-0.26±[0.25,-0.78], df=18,18, t=1.07, p=0.301	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.27$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.25$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=65.57$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=63.83$	$R^2=3.89$, $R^2*=1.7$	
Ecosystem - Soil pH								
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=13(4), Introduced=14(6)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.61, 0.436	0.21±[-0.41,0.84], df=8,8, t=0.78, p=0.455	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.33$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.32$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=70.94$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=69.68$	$R^2=3.59$, $R^2*=0.15$	
Herbivore nativeness	Native=48(14), Introduced=14(6)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.23, 0.635	0.25±[1.36,-0.86], df=18,18, t=-0.48, p=0.639	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=1.36$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=1.34$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=88.7$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=88.44$	$R^2=0.83$, $R^2*=0.22$	
Invertebrates - Detritivore Abundance								
Herbivore nativeness	Native=116(17), Introduced=16(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	2.71, 0.1	0.96±[2.17,-0.26], df=19,19, t=-1.65, p=0.116	$\sigma_{\text{null}}^2=0.96$, $\sigma_{\text{model}}^2=0.93$	$I^2_{\text{null}}=88.4$, $I^2_{\text{model}}=88.02$	$R^2=9.49$, $R^2*=10.23$	

Table S1. Final model results and statistics for all main text models. Sample sizes are given as number of observations with number of studies in parentheses. Log-likelihood ratio tests (LRT) and p values in comparison to intercept-only null models are provided. Total model variance (σ^2), total unexplained heterogeneity (I^2) and R^2 are provided. Note that some models had extremely low heterogeneity (particularly Root Biomass), leading to R^2 of 100%. We thus calculated an alternative R^{2*} , which is the proportion of total variance in y explained by moderator (see Methods). Contrasts between factors (e.g., nativeness levels) are provided along with 95% confidence intervals, degrees of freedom, and p-values.

	N articles (n observations)	Random effect	Comparison to intercept-only (LRT, p)	Contrast±95%CIs	Total variance	Unexplained heterogeneity	Explained variance
Invasive	Native=116(17), Invasive=11(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	3.22, 0.073	1.25±[2.72,-0.21], df=18,18, t=-1.8, p=0.089	$\sigma^2_{null}=1.08$, $\sigma^2_{model}=1.05$	$I^2_{null}=89.8$, $I^2_{model}=89.45$	$R^2=10.66$, $R^2*=12.77$
Invertebrates - Herbivore Abundance							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=6(3), Introduced=35(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.12, 0.29	0.38±[-0.45,1.21], df=6,6, t=1.11, p=0.308	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.21$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.15$	$I^2_{null}=50.59$, $I^2_{model}=42.32$	$R^2=10.53$, $R^2*=6.02$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=148(20), Introduced=35(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.03, 0.31	0.33±[0.98,-0.33], df=23,23, t=-1.02, p=0.317	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.41$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.39$	$I^2_{null}=75.9$, $I^2_{model}=75.2$	$R^2=4.05$, $R^2*=2.87$
Invertebrates - Invertebrate Abundance							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=39(9), Introduced=124(14)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.81, 0.367	0.17±[-0.22,0.55], df=21,21, t=0.91, p=0.375	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.15$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.14$	$I^2_{null}=61.22$, $I^2_{model}=60.11$	$R^2=3.41$, $R^2*=0.55$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=511(45), Introduced=124(14)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	0.81, 0.367	0.18±[0.56,-0.21], df=57,57, t=-0.91, p=0.368	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.41$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.4$	$I^2_{null}=80.54$, $I^2_{model}=80.29$	$R^2=1.19$, $R^2*=0.68$
Invasive	Native=511(45), Invasive=57(9)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	0.34, 0.559	0.14±[0.61,-0.33], df=52,52, t=-0.59, p=0.561	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.43$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.43$	$I^2_{null}=82.29$, $I^2_{model}=82.18$	$R^2=0.4$, $R^2*=0.23$
Invertebrates - Invertebrate Diversity							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=15(3), Introduced=49(7)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	0.01, 0.941	0.01±[-0.34,0.37], df=8,8, t=0.07, p=0.943	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.06$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.06$	$I^2_{null}=36.85$, $I^2_{model}=36.61$	$R^2=0.04$, $R^2*=0.01$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=111(19), Introduced=49(7)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.38, 0.536	0.21±[0.9,-0.48], df=24,24, t=-0.62, p=0.541	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.52$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.52$	$I^2_{null}=84.76$, $I^2_{model}=84.59$	$R^2=1.74$, $R^2*=0.83$
Invasive	Native=111(19), Invasive=6(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	0.01, 0.904	-0.06±[0.95,-1.07], df=20,20, t=0.12, p=0.905	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.61$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.61$	$I^2_{null}=87.58$, $I^2_{model}=87.58$	$R^2=0.03$, $R^2*=0.01$
Invertebrates - Predator Abundance							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=9(3), Introduced=18(6)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID, ~1 site_id	0.33, 0.567	0.13±[-0.29,0.56], df=7,7, t=0.73, p=0.487	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.04$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.02$	$I^2_{null}=22.06$, $I^2_{model}=11.31$	$R^2=18.32$, $R^2*=0.22$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=108(18), Introduced=18(6)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.18, 0.278	0.2±[0.55,-0.15], df=22,22, t=-1.19, p=0.246	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.09$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.07$	$I^2_{null}=38.36$, $I^2_{model}=34.41$	$R^2=6.36$, $R^2*=0.66$
Vertebrates - Bird Abundance							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=92(9), Introduced=23(4)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	1.07, 0.301	-0.25±[0.28,-0.77], df=11,11, t=1.04, p=0.319	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.35$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.34$	$I^2_{null}=85.6$, $I^2_{model}=85.35$	$R^2=2.84$, $R^2*=1.78$
Invasive	Native=92(9), Invasive=19(3)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	0.6, 0.44	-0.22±[0.41,-0.85], df=10,10, t=0.78, p=0.455	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.35$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.35$	$I^2_{null}=85.91$, $I^2_{model}=85.84$	$R^2=1.91$, $R^2*=1.23$
Vertebrates - Bird Diversity							
Herbivore nativeness	Native=39(7), Introduced=18(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.67, 0.196	-0.13±[0.09,-0.36], df=8,8, t=1.37, p=0.209	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.07$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.06$	$I^2_{null}=69.76$, $I^2_{model}=66.78$	$R^2=6.43$, $R^2*=1.1$
Vertebrates - Mammal Abundance							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=115(5), Introduced=27(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.61, 0.205	0.55±[-0.41,1.51], df=8,8, t=1.32, p=0.224	$\sigma^2_{null}=0.49$, $\sigma^2_{model}=0.42$	$I^2_{null}=71.05$, $I^2_{model}=67.67$	$R^2=10$, $R^2*=3.64$

Table S1. Final model results and statistics for all main text models. Sample sizes are given as number of observations with number of studies in parentheses. Log-likelihood ratio tests (LRT) and p values in comparison to intercept-only null models are provided. Total model variance (σ^2), total unexplained heterogeneity (I^2) and R^2 are provided. Note that some models had extremely low heterogeneity (particularly Root Biomass), leading to R^2 of 100%. We thus calculated an alternative R^{2*} , which is the proportion of total variance in y explained by moderator (see Methods). Contrasts between factors (e.g., nativeness levels) are provided along with 95% confidence intervals, degrees of freedom, and p-values.

	N articles (n observations)	Random effect	Comparison to intercept-only (LRT, p)	Contrast±95%CIs	Total variance	Unexplained heterogeneity	Explained variance
Herbivore nativeness	Native=151(14), Introduced=27(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID, ~Time Series Experiment ID	0.67, 0.414	0.28±[0.98,-0.43], df=17,17, t=-0.82, p=0.422	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.3$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.29$	$I^2_{null}=76.72$, $I^2_{model}=76.06$	$R^2=2.73$, $R^{2*}=0.84$
Invasive	Native=151(14), Invasive=24(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID, ~Time Series Experiment ID	0.68, 0.409	0.31±[1.11,-0.48], df=16,16, t=-0.83, p=0.418	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.33$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.31$	$I^2_{null}=78.2$, $I^2_{model}=77.5$	$R^2=3.04$, $R^{2*}=0.99$
Vertebrates - Small Mammal Abundance							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=115(5), Introduced=27(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.61, 0.205	0.55±[-0.41,1.51], df=8,8, t=1.32, p=0.224	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.49$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.42$	$I^2_{null}=71.05$, $I^2_{model}=67.67$	$R^2=10$, $R^{2*}=3.64$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=137(11), Introduced=27(5)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.94, 0.163	0.46±[1.15,-0.23], df=14,14, t=-1.42, p=0.177	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.3$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.26$	$I^2_{null}=72.52$, $I^2_{model}=69.94$	$R^2=9.96$, $R^{2*}=2.48$
Invasive	Native=137(11), Invasive=24(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.8, 0.179	0.5±[1.28,-0.29], df=13,13, t=-1.37, p=0.194	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.32$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.28$	$I^2_{null}=74.3$, $I^2_{model}=71.83$	$R^2=10$, $R^{2*}=2.66$
Vertebrates - Vertebrate Abundance							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=121(9), Introduced=54(9)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	<0.01, 0.956	0.02±[-0.59,0.62], df=16,16, t=0.06, p=0.952	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.58$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.58$	$I^2_{null}=80.19$, $I^2_{model}=79.87$	$R^2=0.01$, $R^{2*}=0.01$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=251(27), Introduced=54(9)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	2.19, 0.138	-0.28±[0.08,-0.64], df=34,34, t=1.57, p=0.126	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.39$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.38$	$I^2_{null}=83.95$, $I^2_{model}=83.47$	$R^2=2.94$, $R^{2*}=1.05$
Invasive	Native=251(27), Invasive=47(7)	~1 Citation / Species ID / Observation ID	1.93, 0.164	-0.29±[0.11,-0.69], df=32,32, t=1.48, p=0.15	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.4$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.39$	$I^2_{null}=84.39$, $I^2_{model}=83.96$	$R^2=2.81$, $R^{2*}=1.02$
Vertebrates - Vertebrate Diversity							
Africa Comparison	Intact Africa=14(3), Introduced=24(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	1.86, 0.173	-0.29±[-0.85,0.26], df=5,5, t=-1.37, p=0.228	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.25$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.23$	$I^2_{null}=80.9$, $I^2_{model}=79.1$	$R^2=8.24$, $R^{2*}=1.2$
Herbivore nativeness	Native=57(11), Introduced=24(4)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	3.28, 0.07	-0.17±[0.02,-0.36], df=13,13, t=1.88, p=0.083	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.06$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.05$	$I^2_{null}=61.01$, $I^2_{model}=55.91$	$R^2=10.74$, $R^{2*}=0.61$
Invasive	Native=57(11), Invasive=20(3)	~1 Citation / Observation ID	3.32, 0.068	-0.17±[0.01,-0.35], df=12,12, t=2.05, p=0.063	$\sigma_{null}^2=0.03$, $\sigma_{model}^2=0.02$	$I^2_{null}=46.1$, $I^2_{model}=38.71$	$R^2=19.33$, $R^{2*}=0.58$