

Lecture 4

Eric Tovar

Qualifying Prep Course – Numerical

07-07-2020



- Comments/review about last week's exam
- Note that January 2010 exam and August 2010 exam are the same
- Solution exercise



- 1 January 2010 Exam
 - Problem 1



Problem 1

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \phi &= f \\ u - \Delta \phi &= g \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

in the bounded smooth domain Ω , with boundary conditions $u = \phi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.



- (a) Derive a weak formulation of the system (1.1), using suitable test functions for each equation. Define a bilinear form $a((u, \phi), (v, \psi))$ such that this weak formulation amounts to,

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = (f, v) + (g, \psi). \quad (1.2)$$



- (a) Derive a weak formulation of the system (1.1), using suitable test functions for each equation. Define a bilinear form $a((u, \phi), (v, \psi))$ such that this weak formulation amounts to,

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = (f, v) + (g, \psi). \quad (1.2)$$

- (b) Choose appropriate function spaces for u and ϕ in (1.2).



- (a) Derive a weak formulation of the system (1.1), using suitable test functions for each equation. Define a bilinear form $a((u, \phi), (v, \psi))$ such that this weak formulation amounts to,

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = (f, v) + (g, \psi). \quad (1.2)$$

- (b) Choose appropriate function spaces for u and ϕ in (1.2).
(c) Show that the weak formulation (1.2) has a unique solution. Hint: Lax-Milgram.



- (a) Derive a weak formulation of the system (1.1), using suitable test functions for each equation. Define a bilinear form $a((u, \phi), (v, \psi))$ such that this weak formulation amounts to,

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = (f, v) + (g, \psi). \quad (1.2)$$

- (b) Choose appropriate function spaces for u and ϕ in (1.2).
(c) Show that the weak formulation (1.2) has a unique solution. Hint: Lax-Milgram.
(d) For a domain $\Omega_d = (-d, d)^2$, show that

$$\|u\|^2 \leq cd^2 \|\nabla u\| \quad (1.3)$$



- (a) Derive a weak formulation of the system (1.1), using suitable test functions for each equation. Define a bilinear form $a((u, \phi), (v, \psi))$ such that this weak formulation amounts to,

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = (f, v) + (g, \psi). \quad (1.2)$$

- (b) Choose appropriate function spaces for u and ϕ in (1.2).
(c) Show that the weak formulation (1.2) has a unique solution. Hint: Lax-Milgram.
(d) For a domain $\Omega_d = (-d, d)^2$, show that

$$\|u\|^2 \leq cd^2 \|\nabla u\| \quad (1.3)$$

- (e) Now change the second “-” in the first equation of (1.1) to a “+”. Use (1.3) to show stability for the modified equation on Ω_d , provided that d is sufficiently small.



Solution to (a)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces¹ and let $v \in X$ and $\psi \in Y$ be sufficiently smooth to make sense of the following computations. We proceed “informally” and make more precise statements later.

¹We use X and Y to save the letter V for later



Solution to (a)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces¹ and let $v \in X$ and $\psi \in Y$ be sufficiently smooth to make sense of the following computations. We proceed “informally” and make more precise statements later. We multiply the first PDE in the system by the test function v and the second equation by the test function ψ and integrate over Ω :

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(-\Delta uv - \phi v \right) dx \stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=}$$

¹We use X and Y to save the letter V for later



Solution to (a)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces¹ and let $v \in X$ and $\psi \in Y$ be sufficiently smooth to make sense of the following computations. We proceed “informally” and make more precise statements later. We multiply the first PDE in the system by the test function v and the second equation by the test function ψ and integrate over Ω :

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(-\Delta u v - \phi v \right) dx \stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \phi v \right) dx$$



¹We use X and Y to save the letter V for later

Solution to (a)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces¹ and let $v \in X$ and $\psi \in Y$ be sufficiently smooth to make sense of the following computations. We proceed “informally” and make more precise statements later. We multiply the first PDE in the system by the test function v and the second equation by the test function ψ and integrate over Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(-\Delta u v - \phi v \right) dx &\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \phi v \right) dx \\ \int_{\Omega} \left(u \psi - \Delta \phi \psi \right) dx &\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} \end{aligned}$$



¹We use X and Y to save the letter V for later

Solution to (a)

Let X and Y be real vector spaces¹ and let $v \in X$ and $\psi \in Y$ be sufficiently smooth to make sense of the following computations. We proceed “informally” and make more precise statements later. We multiply the first PDE in the system by the test function v and the second equation by the test function ψ and integrate over Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(-\Delta u v - \phi v \right) dx &\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \phi v \right) dx \\ \int_{\Omega} \left(u \psi - \Delta \phi \psi \right) dx &\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \psi \, ds + \int_{\Omega} \left(u \psi + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$



¹We use X and Y to save the letter V for later

Note that $u = \phi = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$.



Note that $u = \phi = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus, we suppose v and ψ vanish on the boundary as well



Note that $u = \phi = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus, we suppose v and ψ vanish on the boundary as well (**Q: what kind of BC is this called?**)



Note that $u = \phi = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus, we suppose v and ψ vanish on the boundary as well (**Q: what kind of BC is this called? A: essential BC**) and take $(v, \psi) \in X \times Y := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$.



Note that $u = \phi = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus, we suppose v and ψ vanish on the boundary as well (**Q: what kind of BC is this called? A: essential BC**) and take $(v, \psi) \in X \times Y := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Thus, we have the following bilinear form:

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) := \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx = (f, v) + (g, \psi), \quad (1.4)$$

where (f, v) denotes the inner product of f and v : $(f, v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$



Solution to (b)

Since u and ϕ vanish on the boundary and only up to their gradients show up in the bilinear form, it is sufficient to take $(u, \phi) \in V := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$.



Solution to (c)

We want to show that the weak formulation in (a) has a unique solution.



Solution to (c)

We want to show that the weak formulation in (a) has a unique solution. To show this, we will have to invoke the Lax-Milgram Lemma.



Solution to (c)

We want to show that the weak formulation in (a) has a unique solution. To show this, we will have to invoke the Lax-Milgram Lemma. Let $V := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$.



Solution to (c)

We want to show that the weak formulation in (a) has a unique solution.

To show this, we will have to invoke the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

Let $V := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. We want to show that the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous** and **elliptic**.



Solution to (c)

We want to show that the weak formulation in (a) has a unique solution.

To show this, we will have to invoke the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

Let $V := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. We want to show that the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous** and **elliptic**.

We must first define a norm for the space V . For $v \in V$ in the form (u, ϕ) , we define the norm as follows:

$$|||(u, \phi)|||_V = \sqrt{\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2} \quad (1.5)$$



Solution to (c)

We want to show that the weak formulation in (a) has a unique solution.

To show this, we will have to invoke the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

Let $V := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. We want to show that the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous** and **elliptic**.

We must first define a norm for the space V . For $v \in V$ in the form (u, ϕ) , we define the norm as follows:

$$|||(u, \phi)|||_V = \sqrt{||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + ||\phi||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2} \quad (1.5)$$

For notation purposes, we will drop the subscript V .



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous.



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous. Let $(u, \phi), (v, \psi) \in V$, then we have that

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx$$



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous. Let $(u, \phi), (v, \psi) \in V$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous. Let $(u, \phi), (v, \psi) \in V$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ (why?) &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) + \\ &\quad \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \end{aligned}$$



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous. Let $(u, \phi), (v, \psi) \in V$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx \\
 &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 &\quad + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 (\text{why?}) &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) + \\
 &\quad \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
 &\leq (\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)})
 \end{aligned}$$



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous. Let $(u, \phi), (v, \psi) \in V$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ (why?) &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) + \\ &\quad \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq (\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \sqrt{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \leq$
 $\sqrt{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} = \|(u, \phi)\|.$



Let us first show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous. Let $(u, \phi), (v, \psi) \in V$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi - \phi v \right) dx \\
 &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 &\quad + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 (\text{why?}) &\leq \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) + \\
 &\quad \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
 &\leq (\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) (\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)})
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) \leq 4 \| (u, \phi) \| \cdot \| (v, \psi) \|$$



HW: Show that the RHS $(f, \nu) + (g, \psi)$ is continuous.



HW: Show that the RHS $(f, v) + (g, \psi)$ is continuous.

We now want to show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive.



HW: Show that the RHS $(f, v) + (g, \psi)$ is continuous.

We now want to show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive. Recall that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive if there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $a(w, w) \geq \gamma |||w|||^2$ for all $w \in V$. Let us consider $a(w, w)$ where $w = (u, \phi)$:

$$a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + \cancel{u\phi} - \cancel{\phi u} \right) dx$$



HW: Show that the RHS $(f, v) + (g, \psi)$ is continuous.

We now want to show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive. Recall that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive if there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $a(w, w) \geq \gamma |||w|||^2$ for all $w \in V$. Let us consider $a(w, w)$ where $w = (u, \phi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + \cancel{u\phi} - \cancel{\phi u} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dx \end{aligned}$$



HW: Show that the RHS $(f, v) + (g, \psi)$ is continuous.

We now want to show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive. Recall that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive if there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $a(w, w) \geq \gamma |||w|||^2$ for all $w \in V$. Let us consider $a(w, w)$ where $w = (u, \phi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + \cancel{u\phi} - \cancel{\phi u} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dx \\ &= |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$



HW: Show that the RHS $(f, v) + (g, \psi)$ is continuous.

We now want to show that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive. Recall that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive if there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $a(w, w) \geq \gamma |||w|||^2$ for all $w \in V$. Let us consider $a(w, w)$ where $w = (u, \phi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + \cancel{u\phi} - \cancel{\phi u} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) dx \\ &= |u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$



We now want to use a Poincare inequality to get the $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ terms out.



We now want to use a Poincare inequality to get the $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ terms out. Since u and ϕ are both in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, it is easy (**HW**) to show that $c\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $c\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.



We now want to use a Poincare inequality to get the $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ terms out. Since u and ϕ are both in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, it is easy (**HW**) to show that $c\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $c\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c}{2}\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, c\} (\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &= \gamma |||(u, \phi)|||^2. \end{aligned}$$



We now want to use a Poincare inequality to get the $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ terms out. Since u and ϕ are both in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, it is easy (**HW**) to show that $c\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $c\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \frac{1}{2}|u|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c}{2}\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{c}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, c\} (\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &= \gamma \| (u, \phi) \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive.



Since we showed $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous and coercive, we can apply the Lax-Milgram Lemma to our setting:



Since we showed $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous and coercive, we can apply the Lax-Milgram Lemma to our setting:

Let $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, coercive bilinear form. Then for each $f \in V^*$ (here V^* is the space V equipped with the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_*$), the variational problem

$$a(u, v) = f(v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V,$$

has a unique solution $u \in V$. Furthermore, we have the a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_V \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|f\|_*.$$



Solution to (d)

We want to show that for $\Omega_d = (-d, d)^2$

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)} \leq cd^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)},$$

holds for any function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_d)$.



Solution to (d)

We want to show that for $\Omega_d = (-d, d)^2$

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)} \leq cd^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)},$$

holds for any function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_d)$. We proceed with a straight forward computation.



$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 = \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x,y)^2 \, dx \, dy$$



$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x, y)^2 \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x, y)^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \, d\xi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \, d\eta \right)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x, y)^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \, d\xi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \, d\eta \right)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
(\text{why?}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left((x + d) \int_{-d}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (y + d) \int_{-d}^y \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \right) \, dx \, dy
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x, y)^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \, d\xi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \, d\eta \right)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
(\text{why?}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left((x + d) \int_{-d}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (y + d) \int_{-d}^y \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&\leq d^2 \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \, dy + \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \, dx
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x, y)^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \, d\xi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \, d\eta \right)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
(\text{why?}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left((x+d) \int_{-d}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (y+d) \int_{-d}^y \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&\leq d^2 \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \, dy + \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \, dx \\
&= d^2 \int_{\Omega_d} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \, dy
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 &= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d u(x, y)^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} u(x, y)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \, d\xi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-d}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \, d\eta \right)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \\
(\text{why?}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{[-d, d]^2} \left((x + d) \int_{-d}^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (y + d) \int_{-d}^y \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&\leq d^2 \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} u(\xi, y) \right)^2 \, d\xi \, dy + \int_{-d}^d \int_{-d}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x, \eta) \right)^2 \, d\eta \, dx \\
&= d^2 \int_{\Omega_d} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= cd^2 ||\nabla u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$



Solution to (e)

We now want to change the second “-” in the first equation of (1) to a “+” and use part (d) to show **stability** of the modified equation on Ω_d (provided that d is sufficiently small).



Solution to (e)

We now want to change the second “-” in the first equation of (1) to a “+” and use part (d) to show **stability** of the modified equation on Ω_d (provided that d is sufficiently small).

Repeating the same process for this new set of equations (**HW: show details**), we arrive at the following bilinear form



Solution to (e)

We now want to change the second “-” in the first equation of (1) to a “+” and use part (d) to show **stability** of the modified equation on Ω_d (provided that d is sufficiently small).

Repeating the same process for this new set of equations (**HW: show details**), we arrive at the following bilinear form

$$a((u, \phi), (v, \psi)) = \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + u\psi + \phi v \right) dx$$



Q: What does it mean to be stable?



Q: What does it mean to be stable? Recall from last week: We say that the variational problem

Find $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v), \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

is **stable** (or well-posed) whenever

$$\inf_{v \in V} \sup_{w \in V} \frac{|a(v, w)|}{\|v\|_V \|w\|_V} =: \alpha > 0 \quad (1.6)$$



Q: What does it mean to be stable? Recall from last week: We say that the variational problem

Find $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v), \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

is **stable** (or well-posed) whenever

$$\inf_{v \in V} \sup_{w \in V} \frac{|a(v, w)|}{\|v\|_V \|w\|_V} =: \alpha > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

See also pg 163 eq (4.3) in Grossmann book for an alternative definition.



Q: What does it mean to be stable? Recall from last week: We say that the variational problem

Find $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v), \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

is **stable** (or well-posed) whenever

$$\inf_{v \in V} \sup_{w \in V} \frac{|a(v, w)|}{\|v\|_V \|w\|_V} =: \alpha > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

See also pg 163 eq (4.3) in Grossmann book for an alternative definition.

Let us assume for now that this is equivalent to showing that the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive. Need to work out the details



Notice that

$$a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) = \int_{\Omega_d} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy,$$



Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$



Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$



Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate from part (d): $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 \leq cd^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2$



Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate from part (d): $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 \leq cd^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2$

We now want to properly treat the terms $\frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi$.



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$.



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) \geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy$$



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + |u|^2 + |\phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \end{aligned}$$



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + |u|^2 + |\phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + (u + \phi)^2 \right) dx dy \end{aligned}$$



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + |u|^2 + |\phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + (u + \phi)^2 \right) dx dy \\ (why?) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 \end{aligned}$$



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\
 &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + |u|^2 + |\phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + (u + \phi)^2 \right) dx dy \\
 (why?) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{cd^2} (||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 + ||\phi||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\
 &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + |u|^2 + |\phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + (u + \phi)^2 \right) dx dy \\
 (why?) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{cd^2} (||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 + ||\phi||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2) \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{cd^2} \right\} (||u||_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + ||\phi||_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$



Let assume that $\frac{1}{2cd^2} \geq 1 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c} \geq d$. Then, we can continue the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a((u, \phi), (u, \phi)) &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2cd^2} (|u|^2 + |\phi|^2) + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\
 &\geq \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + |u|^2 + |\phi|^2 + 2u\phi \right) dx dy \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + (u + \phi)^2 \right) dx dy \\
 (why?) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(|u|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + |\phi|_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + \frac{1}{cd^2} (||u||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2 + ||\phi||_{L^2(\Omega_d)}^2) \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{cd^2} \right\} (||u||_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2 + ||\phi||_{H^1(\Omega_d)}^2) \\
 &= \tilde{\alpha} |||(u, \phi)|||^2
 \end{aligned}$$



Thus, since $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ and this holds for any $w \in V$, $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive for the modified problem.

