

No.S026696 Chilliwack Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

### SOCIETY OF FORT LANGLEY RESIDENTS FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

. Petitioner

TOWNSHIP OF LANGLEY

, Respondent

### NOTICE OF APPLICATION

Name of applicant: Society of Fort Langley Residents for Sustainable Development

To: The respondent And To: Its Solicitor

TAKE NOTICE that an application will be made by the applicant to the presiding judge or master at the courthouse at 46085 Yale Road, Chilliwack, in the Province of British Columbia on 09/09/2013 at 9:45 am for the order set out in Part 1 below.

### Part 1: ORDERS SOUGHT

- 1. that the following persons be joined as petitioners in these proceedings:
  - a) David M. Abreo
  - b) Vicky L. Fraser
  - c) Diane Erika. Morrison
- 2. that the petition be amended as shown in the proposed amended petition attached hereto pursuant to Supreme Court Civil Rule 6-2
- 3. the costs of this application be costs in the cause

### Part 2: FACTUAL BASIS

- 1. The petitioner has initiated a petition to, inter alia, seek a declaration or declarations respecting the validity of Heritage Alteration Permit no. 100685, issued of the council of the Township of Langley, as set out in the petition, pursuant to the Judicial Review Procedure Act.
- 2. The challenged Heritage Alteration Permit allows a developer, Statewood Properties Ltd., to develop lands and premises to create a three storey office/residential building on or at 9224 and 9202 Glover Road, in the Town of Fort Langley, in a Heritage Conservation area.
- 3. Without the Heritage Alteration Permit, the lands in question would not be capable of being developed as described by the developer.
- 4. The grounds for the challenge to the validity of the Heritage Alteration Permit are set out in the petition, and added to in the form of amended petition attached to this application.

- 5. The petition was commenced within a reasonable time.
- 6. The proposed petitioners wish to be joined to this matter to ensure that the issue of standing is not determinative of the issues raised in the petition.

### Part 3: LEGAL BASIS

- 1. Rule 6-2 provides a procedure, at any stage of a proceeding, for the court, on application by any person, to order that a person be added as a party if that person ought to have been joined as a party, or that person's participation in the proceeding is necessary to ensure that all matters in the proceeding may be effectually adjudicated on.
- 2. The additional petitioners are each electors of the municipality of the Town of Fort Langley.
- 3. The additional petitioners each have consented to be added as petitioners.
- 4. The originally filed petition has been served on the respondents in accordance with the requirements of the Supreme Court Civil Rules and the Community Charter.
- 5. The notice of this application was given to the respondent in accordance with the Civil Rules of Court.
- 6. The matter has not been scheduled for hearing.
- 7. If the order adding petitioners is granted, the originating petition will be amended in accordance with the Supreme Court Civil Rules with a reference to the order endorsed on the amended petition.
- 8. The amendments also include additional relief associated with the failure of the developer to provide materials to the respondent in its application which were mandatory and the absence of which ought to have resulted in the rejection of the application for a Heritage Alteration Permit.

### Part 4: MATERIAL TO BE RELIED ON

### Affidavits as follows:

- a) Affidavit #1 of David M. Abreo made the 2 day of August, 2013
- b) Affidavit #1 of Vicky L. Fraser made the 2, 5 day of August, 2013
- c) Affidavit #1 of Diane Erika Morrison made the 21 day of August, 2013
- 2. Petition filed herein on July 5, 2013
- 3. Rule 16-1(18), and 6-2(7) of the Supreme Court Civil Rules

The applicant estimates that the application will take one hour.

### [Check the correct box]

- [x] This matter is within the jurisdiction of a master.
- [] This matter is not within the jurisdiction of a master.

TO THE PERSONS RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF APPLICATION: If you wish to respond to the application, you must

- (a) file an application response in Form 33 within 5 days after the date of service of this notice of application or, if the application is brought under Rule 9-7 of the Supreme Court Civil Rules, within 11 days after the date of service of this notice of application, and
- (b) at least 2 days before the date set for the hearing of the application, serve on the applicant 2 copies, and on every other party one copy, of a filed copy of the application response and the other documents referred to in Rule 9-7 (12) of the Supreme Court Civil Rules.

Date: 20/08/2013

Signature of [] applicant [x] lawyer for applicant(s)
Roy J. Stewart, Q.C.

[B.C. Reg. 119/2010, Sch. A, s. 43]

### SCHEDULE A TO THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER

Amended by order of Justice		of the		
Supreme Court of British Columbia made on				
the day of	<u>, 2013</u>			
Original Petition filed July 5, 2013				

No.S026696 Chilliwack Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Between:

SOCIETY OF FORT LANGLEY RESIDENTS FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT, DAVID M. ABREO, DIANE ERIKA MORRISON, and VICKY L. FRASER

, Petitioners

And:

TOWNSHIP OF LANGLEY

, Respondent

Re: In the matter of the Judicial Review Procedure Act RSBC 1996, c. 241 and Township of Langley Heritage Alteration Permit no. 100685

### **AMENDED PETITION TO THE COURT**

ON NOTICE TO:

Township of Langley

This proceeding has been started by the petitioners for the relief set out in Part 1 below.

If you intend to respond to this petition, you or your lawyer must

- (a) file a response to petition in Form 67 in the above-named registry of this court within the time for response to petition described below, and
- (b) serve on the petitioner(s)
  - (i) 2 copies of the filed response to petition, and
  - (ii) 2 copies of each filed affidavit on which you intend to rely at the hearing.

Orders, including orders granting the relief claimed, may be made against you, without any further notice to you, if you fail to file the response to petition within the time for response.

### Time for response to petition

A response to petition must be filed and served on the petitioner(s),

 if you were served with the petition anywhere in Canada, within 21 days after that service,

- (b) if you were served with the petition anywhere in the United States of America, within 35 days after that service,
- (c) if you were served with the petition anywhere else, within 49 days after that service, or
- (d) if the time for response has been set by order of the court, within that time.

(1)	The address of the registry is: 46085 Yale Road, Chilliwack, B.C., V2P 2L8		
(2)	The ADDRESS FOR SERVICE of the petitioner(s) is: c/o Roy J. Stewart, Q.C., 1057 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue, Prince George, B.C., V2L 3E3		
	Fax number address for service (if any) of the petitioner(s): 250-960-2176 E-mail address for service (if any) of the petitioner(s):		
(3)	The name and office address of the petitioner's(s') lawyer is: Roy J. Stewart, Q.C., 1057 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue, Prince George, B.C., V2L 3E3		

### **CLAIM OF THE PETITIONERS**

### Part 1: ORDERS SOUGHT

- a declaration that the decision of council of the Township of Langley made on November 20, 2012 to issue Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685 be set aside because of error of law on the face of the record;
- 2. a declaration that Township of Langley Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685, issued November 20, 2012 is invalid due to it being inconsistent with the Fort Langley Community Plan Bylaw no. 2527 (the OCP);
- a declaration that the development contemplated by the Township of Langley Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685, located at 9202 and 9224 Glover Road in Fort Langley (the Development) is situated in the Heritage Conservation Area specified in the Fort Langley Community Plan;
- a declaration that the Township of Langley Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685 authorizes works and design as part of the Development contrary to the Fort Langley Building Design Guidelines, forming a part of the Fort Langley OCP (the Guidelines);
- a declaration that the Development does not comply with the Township of Langley Zoning Bylaw no. 2500 (the Zoning Bylaw);
- a declaration that the Township of Langley Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685
  authorizes works and design as part of the Development which impermissibly alters
  density of use restrictions associated with the Fort Langley OCP and the Zoning Bylaw;
- a declaration that the application for a Heritage Alteration Permit failed to comply with mandatory conditions precedent associated with its acceptance for processing, and is therefore a nullity;
- 8. a declaration that the respondent, Township of Langley unlawfully amended Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685 by permitting amendments to parking calculations based on revised plans being submitted by the developer without requiring the amendment to be processed in the same manner as an application for such a permit, as required by the

regulations, policies and requirements published by the respondent, Township of Langley;

- 9. an order setting aside Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685;
- 10. a mandatory injunction against the defendant, its servants and agents forbidding the issuance of any demolition permit associated with the Development until such time as the Development and any permits issued in respect of that Development comply with the OCP, the Guidelines and the Zoning Bylaw;
- 11. a mandatory injunction against the defendant, its servants and agents forbidding the issuance of any building permit associated with the Development until such time as the Development complies with the OCP, the Guidelines and the Zoning Bylaw;
- 12. a mandatory injunction forbidding any person from engaging in any demolition of any building or structure located on land described in the Development as 9202 and 9224 Glover Road in Fort Langley, British Columbia until further order of this court to be issued when the planned Development complies with the OCP, the Guidelines and the Zoning Bylaw;
- 13. an injunction forbidding any person from engaging in construction of a building or structure on land described as the Development as 9202 and 9224 Glover Road in Fort Langley, British Columbia until further order of this court to be issued when the planned Development complies with the OCP, the Guidelines and the Zoning Bylaw;
- 14. an order that any peace officer be authorized to arrest and remove from 9202 and 9224 Glover Road any person who the peace officer has reasonable and probable grounds to believe is contravening or has contravened the provisions of this order;
- 15. any peace officer who arrests and removes any person pursuant to this order be authorized to:
  - a) release that person from arrest upon that person undertaking in writing to appear before this court at such time and place as may be fixed in the notice for the purpose of bringing proceeded against for contempt of court or of fixing a date for such a proceeding and such other undertakings as the peace officer may deem appropriate;
  - b) where such person has refused to give such written undertaking, or where in the circumstances the peace officer considers it necessary, to bring forthwith such person before this court at the court house located in the Township of Langley, British Columbia, or such other place as the court may direct for the purpose of being proceeded against for contempt of court or of fixing a time for such proceedings, and a peace officer may, where circumstances dictate, detain such person until it is possible to bring such person before the court.

16. Costs.

### Part 2: FACTUAL BASIS

- 1. The petitioner, Society of Fort Langley Residents for Sustainable Development is a society incorporated pursuant to the laws of the Province of British Columbia, having its offices at 8944 Mackie Street, Fort Langley, V1M 2S1, the directors of which number five, four of which are electors resident in Fort Langley, British Columbia. A copy of the certificate of incorporation of the petitioner is attached as **Schedule 10**.
- 2. The petitioner, David M. Abreo is a resident, elector and tax payer in and of Fort Langley.

- 3. The petitioner, Vicky L. Fraser is a resident, elector and tax payer in and of Fort Langley.
- 4. The petitioner, Diane Erika Morrison is a resident, elector and tax payer in and of Fort Langley.
- 5. The respondent is a municipality incorporated pursuant to the provisions of the Local Government Act.
- 6. Certain property located at 9202 and 9224 Glover Road in Fort Langley is owned by Statewood Properties Ltd. (the "Applicant" and the "Land", respectively)
- 7. The Land is zoned Community Commercial Zone (C-2) under the terms of the Township of Langley Zoning Bylaw no. 2500. A certified copy of an extract from the Zoning Bylaw is attached as **Schedule 1**
- 8. The Land is situated within the Fort Langley Heritage Conservation Area.
- 9. The Applicant proposes to construct a three storey 43.5 foot high mixed use development with 12,906 square feet of retail at grade, 13,724 square feet of offices on the second floor and ten units of residential apartments on the third floor. A copy of the Application is attached as **Schedule 2**.
- 10. The Applicant was required to apply for a Heritage Alteration Permit.
- 11. The application submitted by the Applicant failed to include Schedules B and K, the inclusion of which schedules was mandatory and rendered the application unacceptable.
- 12. Despite not receiving a compliant application, the respondent accepted the application for processing.
- 13. The Permit allows for certain characteristics of the proposed development to be dealt with by council, but council cannot deal with land use or density with respect to the application.
- 14. The administration of the Township of Langley prepared a staff report which was tabled at the time of consideration of the application. A certified copy of the Staff Report is attached as **Schedule 3**.
- 15. The staff report stated:
  - "The current proposal, in staff's opinion, is inconsistent with the provisions of the Fort Langley Building Façade Guidelines included in the Fort Langley Community Plan and requires consultation by Council of variances to the Community Commercial (C-2) zone, forming part of the Permit"
- 16. The application was scheduled for consideration in a meeting held Monday November 19, 2012. A certified copy of the minutes of the council meeting of November 19, 2012 is attached as **Schedule 4**.
- 17. The staff report indicated, with respect to the Zoning Bylaw, that the current proposal requires three variances to the Zoning Bylaw: relating to height the proposed development since it would have a height which is inconsistent with existing regulations in this Zoning District; Site Coverage: site coverage regulations under the

Zoning Bylaw would be exceeded; Rear Yard Setback: the proposed development would not comply with setback requirements.

18. The staff report concluded

"Staff recommends that Council receive the information presented in this report for information and that prior to the required Heritage Alteration Permit being forwarded to Council for consideration that the current proposal be amended to further address the items outlined in this report."

- 19. The Fort Langley Building Design Guidelines (the Guidelines) are a part of the Fort Langley Community Plan. A certified copy of the Guidelines is attached as **Schedule** 6.
- 20. The Fort Langley Community Plan was enacted in 1987 under the statutory framework of the Municipal Act then in effect (FLOCP). A certified copy of the FLOCP is attached as **Schedule 5**.
- 21. The FLOCP contains the following policy statements:
  - "The community of Fort Langley is a place with a significant historical role
    which has retained much of the scale and character of a rural small town in
    the midst of the urbanization of the Fraser Valley...[ Introduction]
  - The Community Plan seeks to retain the features of the community, which makes it such a desirable place to live. [ibid]
  - "Fort Langley is a distinct community within the Township of Langley...Fort Langley has retained much of its historical land use pattern....Nearby, the commercial area is focused on the "main street", Glover Road, and surrounded by the community's residential area comprised almost entirely of single family homes." [2.1 Regional Role]
  - "The commercial area is generally comprised of small stores, with individual structures and identities, and primarily one and two storey's in height...There is also a significant stock of heritage buildings in Fort Langley...As a result of its distinctive scale and character and of its heritage structures, Fort Langley is in a special position to take advantage of its assets and attract tourists and tourist-related development. [ibid]
  - "The Fort Langley commercial core focuses on Glover Road between St.
     Andrews Street and the bridge across Bedford Channel... Key to the
     revitalization guidelines of Glover Road is the heritage building theme for
     commercial buildings fronting on Glover Road and Mavis Avenue. These
     theme guidelines call for the restoration of heritage buildings and older
     buildings with potential heritage interest and for treatment of contemporary
     and new buildings with elements to complement the heritage theme." [2.3.1
     Commercial Core]
- 22. In 1997 the Official Community Plan was amended to include the following:

"The Heritage Conservation Area shown on Map 4 will serve two important objectives: it will ensure that new development will respond to the heritage theme, thereby embracing the commercial core's attractiveness to tourists, and it will protect the area from the introduction of much larger new buildings in a style which could potentially affect the scale and character of the core area..." [2.3.1]

23. The FLOCP Implementation Plan in part 5 includes the following:

"General building design guidelines are as follows:...

- (b) new construction and infill projects should be designed to blend harmoniously with historic elements of the streetscape..."
- 24. In 1997 the FLOCP was amended to stipulate "Council shall adopt by resolution detailed building design guidelines for this heritage conservation area..."
- 25. The Township of Langley Council adopted building design guidelines in 1997 (the Guidelines)
- 26. In 1979 the Township of Langley adopted its Official Community Plan (TLOCP). A certified copy of the TLOCP is attached as **Schedule 7**.
- A goal specified for the TLOCP includes:
  - "6. Preservation and enhancement of the unique and character-defining aspects of Langley's historic sites, communities and cultural resources." [2.2 Official Community Plan Goals]
- 28. For purposes of dealing with Heritage aspects of the FLOCP, it includes the following:
  - "Langley's strategic goals for heritage align with the broader civic goals of economic, environmental and cultural sustainability.
  - Objectives:...
  - To preserve the unique and character-defining aspects of Langley's historic places and communities by protecting and celebrating significant heritage resources that illustrates Langley's wide range of heritage values.
  - To support sustainable development of our urban and rural areas through a
    heritage resource management program that assists in the development of a
    complete community by building on the character, amenities, and historic
    infrastructure of existing neighbourhoods....
  - To promote heritage conservation efforts that contributes to an advanced understanding and appreciation of historic resources in the Township...
  - Policies...
  - Ensure that plans are developed for all of Langley's historic communities to preserve the character of those communities...."
- 29. The Township of Langley Official Community Plan consists of, inter alia, Fort Langley Community Plan, Bylaw no. 2527, including the Guidelines.
- 30. The attachments forming part of the resolution of council relating to the Heritage Alteration Permit considered by council (schedules A through K) relating to building plans did not include design criteria capable of meeting the requirements of the Fort Langley Building Design Guidelines.
- 31. No Zoning Bylaw amendments were considered by council on or before November 20, 2012.
- 32. Council resolved to issue the Heritage Alteration Permit without requiring compliance with the Guidelines, processing Zoning amendments or considerations of density issues which contradicted or offended Zoning and Guideline requirements. A certified true copy of Heritage Alteration Permit No. 100685 is attached as **Schedule 8**.

- 33. The development contemplated by the Heritage Alteration Permit will result in the development and construction of a building or buildings located in the Heritage Conservation Area, contrary to the Guidelines, Zoning Bylaw and other regulatory requirements, irreparably offending the heritage preservation aspects of those regulations.
- 34. Council issued an Agenda to the public for purposes of explaining the process involving an Heritage Alteration Permit. Copy of that Agenda is attached as **Schedule 9**.
- 35. Subsequent to issuing the Heritage Alteration Permit, the respondent unilaterally without any public process amended the Permit to allow for a revised parking calculation based on new drawings or plans submitted by the developer.

### Part 3: LEGAL BASIS

- 1. The proposed development of the Lands does not comply with the Township's land use policies and the Heritage Conservation Area requirements as outlined in the Fort Langley Community Plan.
- 2. The proposed development of the Lands requires three variations to the Zoning Bylaw requirements for the use and development of the Lands.
- 3. The development would not meet several of the design guidelines for the Fort Langley area contained in the Guidelines and other bylaws.
- 4. Any zoning bylaw amendments processed to accommodate the proposal of the Applicant must be consistent with the FLOCP and the TLOCP. Given the policy considerations set out in those plans, the height, set back and site coverage required will not be capable of being consistent with those plans.
- 5. The Heritage Alteration Permit deals with certain development features for the property, but does not deal with the requirements set out in the Fort Langley Official Community Plan, which specifies that the development must be consistent with the Fort Langley Building Façade Guidelines.
- 6. The Official Community Plan was not amended and could not be amended by virtue of the issuance of the Permit. No bylaw amending the Fort Langley Official Community Plan to accommodate this development by amending those Guidelines exists. In the absence of any amendment of the FLOCP, the Permit is invalid since it purports to allow development inconsistent with the FLOCP and this is beyond the jurisdiction of council.
- 7. The Heritage Alteration Permit does not and can not deal with the required Zoning Bylaw variances relating to density required for this development. No bylaw enacted or proposed brings about variations for height limitations, set back restrictions or site coverage limitations which currently exist. As such the development cannot proceed until such matters are dealt with by council providing such variations.
- 8. Those variations could not be met by the issuance of a development variance permit since that permit system does not allow for alteration of density parameters specified in the Zoning Bylaw.

- 9. No future development of the Lands contemplated in the Heritage Alteration Permit can take place so long as these variations in density requirements are not dealt with through an amendment to the Zoning Bylaw.
- 10. Until such alterations to density requirements are formally enacted by Zoning Bylaw amendment bylaws, no building permit can be issued.
- 11. It is an error of law on the face of the record to issue a Heritage Development Permit:
  - a) which purports to permit development contrary to the requirements of the Official Community Plan, contrary to the Zoning bylaw and contrary to the Building Guidelines.
  - b) which was issued despite failure to comply with mandatory requirements which are conditions precedent to such application for permit being accepted by the respondent
  - c) which was amended without providing for its amendment using the same process and procedure as was used for its initial issuance.
- 36. Redevelopment of the Lands contemplated for redevelopment by the Heritage Alteration Permit would unalterably and irreparably undermine the Heritage policies for land located in the Heritage Conservation Area, to the detriment of the cultural and historical heritage of Fort Langley, contrary to the law.

### Part 4: MATERIAL TO BE RELIED ON

- Affidavit #1 of Harold Whittell made the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2013
   Affidavit #2 of Harold Whittell made the 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013
- 3. Affidavit #1 of Vicky L. Fraser made the 21<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2013
- 4. Affidavit #1 of Diane Erika Morrison made the 21<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2013
- 5. Affidavit #1 of David M. Abreo made the 21st day of August, 2013

The petitioner estimates that the hearing of the petition will take 2 days.

Date:

Signature of [] petitioner [x] lawyer for petitioner(s)

	Roy J. Stewart, Q.C.	
To be completed by the court only:		
Order made		
[] in the terms requested in paragraphs o	f Part 1 of this petition	
[] with the following variations and additional term	ms:	
- ·		
Date:		
	nature of [ ] Judge [ ] Master	

[B.C. Reg. 95/2011, Sch. A, s. 20]

### No. S026696

### CHILLIWACK REGISTRY

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

BETWEEN:

## SOCIETY OF FORT LANGLEY RESIDENTS

## FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

, Petitioner

### TOWNSHIP OF LANGLEY

And

, Respondent

# APPLICATION TO ADD PARTIES AND AMEND PETITION

ROY J. STEWART LAW CORPORATION
Barristers and Solicitors
Courtyard Lane 1057 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue
Prince George, B.C.
V2L 3E3 (250) 960-2175

File No. 2012030