

Sales Performance

Eka Pramudita

5/24/2021

Choose Library

```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.3.1 --

## v ggplot2 3.3.3      v purrr  0.3.4
## v tibble  3.1.1      v dplyr  1.0.6
## v tidyr   1.1.3      v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr   1.4.0      v forcats 0.5.1

## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()
```

```
library(olsrr)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'olsrr'

## The following object is masked from 'package:datasets':
##
##     rivers
```

Get Data

```
data <- read.csv("Raw Data - 4.csv")
sapply(data, class) # check data types
```

```
##              ID              join.date
##           "integer"           "character"
##    date.of.birth              gender
##           "character"           "character"
##              city      GMV..in.scale.
##           "character"           "character"
##    Count.of.Invoice    Count.of.Customer
```

```
##                "integer"                "integer"
##      X.GMV.of.cigarettes Total.Voucher.Discount..in.scale.
##                "character"            "character"
##      Count.of.active.days      Count.of.cancelled.order
##                "integer"            "integer"
##      Profit..in.scale.      Commission..in.scale.
##                "character"            "character"
##      Count.of.SKU      Count.of.Category
##                "integer"            "integer"
```

Data Cleaning

Change numerical columns that are still being character.

```
data$GMV..in.scale. <-
  as.double(gsub(',', '', data$GMV..in.scale.))
data$X.GMV.of.cigarettes <-
  as.double(gsub('%', '', data$X.GMV.of.cigarettes))/100
data$Total.Voucher.Discount..in.scale. <-
  as.double(gsub(',', '', data$Total.Voucher.Discount..in.scale.))
data$Profit..in.scale. <-
  as.double(gsub(',', '', data$Profit..in.scale.))
data$Commission..in.scale. <-
  as.double(gsub(',', '', data$Commission..in.scale.))
```

Data Slicing and Scaling

Select variables that will be included in the initialization model. The selected variables then will be scaled so that we can measure the effect of each variables.

```
scaled.data <- scale(data[c(7:15)], center = TRUE, scale = TRUE)
scaled.data <- cbind(data[6], as.data.frame(scaled.data))
```

Multiple Linear Regression (Initialization)

The scaled data will be modeled using multiple linear regression method.

```
model <- lm(GMV..in.scale. ~ Count.of.Invoice + Count.of.Customer
  + X.GMV.of.cigarettes + Total.Voucher.Discount..in.scale.
  + Count.of.active.days + Count.of.cancelled.order
  + Profit..in.scale. + Commission..in.scale. + Count.of.SKU,
  data = scaled.data)
summary(model)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = GMV..in.scale. ~ Count.of.Invoice + Count.of.Customer +
##      X.GMV.of.cigarettes + Total.Voucher.Discount..in.scale. +
##      Count.of.active.days + Count.of.cancelled.order + Profit..in.scale. +
##      Commission..in.scale. + Count.of.SKU, data = scaled.data)
```

```
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -285902  -30021    2477   23745  259804
##
## Coefficients:
##                                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                   92653      8135   11.390 < 2e-16 ***
## Count.of.Invoice             -58260     33769   -1.725  0.08981 .
## Count.of.Customer            -89707     44918   -1.997  0.05051 .
## X.GMV.of.cigarettes           21753      9479    2.295  0.02538 *
## Total.Voucher.Discount..in.scale. -21975     24238   -0.907  0.36833
## Count.of.active.days           59799     23141    2.584  0.01230 *
## Count.of.cancelled.order        1895      11120    0.170  0.86525
## Profit..in.scale.            136852     50460    2.712  0.00878 **
## Commission..in.scale.         163849     51970    3.153  0.00256 **
## Count.of.SKU                 -78808     27739   -2.841  0.00619 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 67080 on 58 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8722, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8524
## F-statistic: 43.99 on 9 and 58 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

We can see from the $\text{Pr}(>|t|)$ or p-value that there's still some variables that are not significant to the model. To find the optimal model, Stepwise Regression will be used.

Stepwise Regression

```
ols_step_both_p(model, pent = 0.05, prem = 0.3, details = FALSE)
```

```
##
##                                Stepwise Selection Summary
## -----
##                               Added/          Adj.
## Step      Variable      Removed      R-Square      R-Square      C(p)      AIC      RMS
## -----
## 1      Commission..in.scale.      addition      0.627      0.622      105.1480      1772.3984      107388
## 2      Count.of.Invoice      addition      0.781      0.775      37.2300      1738.1315      82882
## 3      X.GMV.of.cigarettes      addition      0.829      0.821      17.8060      1723.5928      73963
## 4      Profit..in.scale.      addition      0.842      0.832      13.5890      1719.9297      71507
## 5      Count.of.Customer      addition      0.852      0.841      10.9640      1717.3887      69714
## -----
```

```
model1 <- lm(GMV..in.scale. ~ Count.of.Invoice + Count.of.Customer
+ X.GMV.of.cigarettes + Profit..in.scale.
+ Commission..in.scale.,
data = scaled.data)
summary(model1)
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = GMV..in.scale. ~ Count.of.Invoice + Count.of.Customer +
##      X.GMV.of.cigarettes + Profit..in.scale. + Commission..in.scale.,
##      data = scaled.data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -295250  -28959    2747   23791  305435
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      92653      8454  10.960 3.84e-16 ***
## Count.of.Invoice    -66529      22176   -3.000 0.003884 **
## Count.of.Customer   -69496      33586   -2.069 0.042700 *
## X.GMV.of.cigarettes    33819       8909    3.796 0.000337 ***
## Profit..in.scale.    106495      46996    2.266 0.026950 *
## Commission..in.scale. 138154      47458    2.911 0.004999 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 69710 on 62 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8525, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8406
## F-statistic: 71.65 on 5 and 62 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

As we can see now we have model that all variables are significant with 0.05 significance level. If we want to measure importance, we can see the absolute value of coefficient. From the summary we can see that Commission become the most important variable since the absolute coefficient is the largest among all.