Week 3: Multiple Linear Regression

Ekarat Rattagan

July 28, 2025

Week 3: Multiple Variable Linear Regression

- A data set $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ that has N rows and d dimensions.
- $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$, a hypothesis or model.
- Notation: $x_{i,j}$ means a sample at row i, column j.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2104 & 460 \\ 1 & 1416 & 232 \\ 1 & 1534 & 315 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & x_{1,4} & y_1 \\ x_{2,1} & \cdots & \cdots & y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{N,1} & \cdots & \cdots & y_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Model Representation

Single variable:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$

Multiple variables:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_d x_d = \theta^T x$$

• Matrix Form:

$$\theta^T = [\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d], \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_d \end{bmatrix}$$

Cost Function and Batch Gradient Descent

Cost Function:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$

- **Goal:** Find best θ_i to minimize J
- Batch Gradient Descent (BGD):

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \eta \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j}$$

BGD: Loop Until Converge

Update parameters using all training samples:

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \eta \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,0}$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \eta \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,1}$$

 $\theta_d := \theta_d - \eta \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,d}$

• Result: $\theta^* = \arg \min (\text{half MSE})$

Feature Scaling

- Problem: $x_2 \gg x_1$ leads to slow/unstable gradient descent
- Solution: Standardize or normalize features
- Min-Max scaling: $x' \in [0,1]$
- Z-score: $x' = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$ to ensure $\approx [-3, 3]$
- Helps gradients move in balanced directions

Scaling Methods: Min-Max vs Z-score

S1: Min-Max Scaling

$$x_i' = \frac{x_i - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}$$

S2: Z-score Scaling

$$x_i' = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma}$$
 (use if data is normally distributed)

• Question: When to use each? Depends on data distribution.

BGD vs SGD

BGD: Uses full dataset in each iteration

$$heta_j := heta_j - \eta \cdot rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (h_{ heta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,j}$$

• SGD: Uses one sample per iteration

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \eta(h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,j}$$

- SGD introduces more noise, but converges faster
- Question: How to reduce SGD noise?



SGD: Loop Until Converge

• For random $i \in [1, N]$, update:

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \eta \cdot (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,0}$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \eta \cdot (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,1}$$
...

$$\theta_d := \theta_d - \eta \cdot (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \cdot x_{i,d}$$

• Result: θ^* minimizes half MSE



Learning Rate Scheduling

- \bullet Control step size η during training
- Reduce η over iterations:

$$\eta_{t+1} = \frac{\eta_0}{1 + \eta_0 \lambda t}$$
 where $t = 1, \dots, M$

• Example: Let $\eta_0 = 0.01$, $\lambda = 0.1$

$$\eta_2 = \frac{0.01}{1 + 0.01 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 1} = 0.0099$$

• Reference: Bottou (2012) - Stochastic Gradient Descent Tricks



BGD vs SGD vs Mini-batch GD

- **BGD**: Use all *N* samples
- **SGD**: Use one sample (b=1)
- Mini-batch GD: Use b samples, where 1 < b < N (e.g. b = 10)
- Performance:
 - BGD: stable path to minimum
 - SGD: fast but noisy
 - Mini-batch: compromise between stability and speed

Mini-batch GD smooths out noise while accelerating convergence.

Polynomial Regression

- Use higher-degree terms of input features
- Total number of terms: combination formula

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}, \quad n = \# \text{features} + \text{degree}, \quad r = \text{degree}$$

• Example: x_1, x_2 , degree d = 2

$$\binom{4}{2} = \frac{4!}{2!(4-2)!} = \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1} = 6$$

Polynomial hypothesis:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_1 x_2 + \theta_4 x_1^2 + \theta_5 x_2^2$$



Reference

 Uyanık, G.K., and Güler, N. (2013). A study on multiple linear regression analysis. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 106, 234-240