Measuring expressive power of HML formulas in Isabelle/HOL

Karl Mattes

9th February 2024

Contents

C	ontents	3
1	Introduction	5
2	Foundations	7
	2.1 Labelled Transition Systems	7

Chapter 1

Introduction

In this thesis, I show the corrspondence between various equivalences popular in the reactive systems community and coordinates of a price function, as introduced by Benjamin Bisping (citation). I formalised the concepts and proofs discussed in this thesis in the interactive proof assistant Isabelle (citation).

The term reactive system (citation) describes computing systems that continously interact with their environment. Unlike sequential systems, the behavior or reactive systems is inherently event-driven and concurrent. They can be modeled by labeled directed graphs called *labeled transition systems* (LTSs) (citation), where the nodes of an LTS describe the states of a reactive system and the edges describe transitions between those states.

The semantics of reactive systems can be modeled as equivalences, that determine wether or not two systems behave similarly. In the literature on concurrent systems many different notion of equivalence can be found, the maybe best known being (strong) bisimilarity. Rab van Glabbeek's linear-time-branching-spectrum(citation) ordered some of the most popular in a hierarchy of equivalences. -> New Paper characterizes them different... (HML beschreibung als erstes?!!)

- Reactive Systmes
- modelling (via lts etc)
- Semantics of resysts
- Verification
- different notions of equivalence (because of nondeteminism?) -> van glabbeek
- Different definitions of semantics -> HML/relational/...
- $-\!\!>$ linear-time–branching-time spectrum understood through properties of HML
- -> capture expressiveness capabilities of HML formulas via a function
- -> Contribution o Paper: The in (citation) introduced expressiveness function and its coordinates captures the linear time branching time spectrum..

- Isabelle:
- formalization of concepts, proofs
- what is isabelle
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ difference between mathematical concepts and their implementation?

Chapter 2

Foundations

In this chapter, relevant concepts will be introduced as well as formalised in Isabelle.

- mention sources (Ben / Max Pohlmann?)

2.1 Labelled Transition Systems

Definition 1 (Labeled transition Systems)

A Labelled Transition System (LTS) is a tuple $S = (Proc, Act, \rightarrow)$ where Proc is the set of processes, Act is the set of actions and $\rightarrow \subseteq Proc \times Act \times Proc$ is a transition relation.

In concurrency theory, it is customary that the semantics of Reactive Systems are given in terms of labelled transition systems. The processes represent the states a reactive system can be in. A transition of one state into another, caused by performing an action, can be understood as moving along the corresponding edge in the transition relation.

- Example?
- examples (to reuse later?)???

Some more Definitions

The α -derivatives of a process are the processes that can be reached with one α -transition:

end

- image finite? nötig?
- image countable? initial actions deadlock relevant actions? step sequence! -

Isabelle

Zustände: 's und Aktionen 'a, Transitionsrelation ist locale trans. Ein LTS wird dann durch seine Transitionsrelation definiert.

```
locale lts =
  fixes tran :: \langle s \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool \rangle
     (\_\mapsto\_\_ [70, 70, 70] 80)
begin
abbreviation derivatives :: \langle s \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow s 
\langle \text{derivatives p } \alpha \equiv \{ \text{p'. p} \mapsto \alpha \text{ p'} \} \rangle
Transition System is image-finite
definition image_finite where
<image_finite \equiv (\forall p \alpha. finite (derivatives p \alpha))>
definition image_countable :: <bool>
  where <image_countable \equiv (\forall p \alpha. countable (derivatives p \alpha))>
stimmt definition? definition benötigt nach umstieg auf sets?
definition lts_finite where
\langle lts\_finite \equiv (finite (UNIV :: 's set)) \rangle
abbreviation initial_actions:: <'s \Rightarrow 'a set>
  where
\forall initial_actions p \equiv \{\alpha \mid \alpha. (\exists p'. p \mapsto \alpha p')\} \rangle
abbreviation deadlock :: \langle s \Rightarrow bool \rangle where
\langle deadlock p \equiv (\forall a. derivatives p a = \{\}) \rangle
nötig?
abbreviation relevant actions :: <'a set>
\langle relevant\_actions \equiv \{a. \exists p p'. p \mapsto a p'\} \rangle
inductive step_sequence :: <'s \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool> (<_ \mapsto$ _ _>[70,70,70]
80) where
 |
p \mapsto  (a#rt) p''> if \exists p'. p \mapsto a p' \land p' \mapsto rt p''>
```

```
context lts
begin
Introduce these definitions later?
abbreviation traces :: \langle s \Rightarrow a \text{ list set} \rangle where
\langle traces p \equiv \{tr. \exists p'. p \mapsto \$ tr p'\} \rangle
abbreviation all_traces :: 'a list set where
all_traces \equiv \{tr. \exists p \ p'. \ p \mapsto \$ \ tr \ p'\}
<paths p [] p> |
<paths p (a#as) p''> if \exists \alpha. p \mapsto \alpha a \land (paths a as p'')
lemma path_implies_seq:
  assumes A1: ∃xs. paths p xs p'
  shows \exists ys. p \mapsto \$ ys p'
\langle proof \rangle
lemma seq_implies_path:
  assumes A1: \exists ys. p \mapsto$ ys p'
  shows ∃xs. paths p xs p'
\langle proof \rangle
Trace preorder as inclusion of trace sets
definition trace_preordered (infix <≤T> 60)where
\langle \text{trace\_preordered p q} \equiv \text{traces p} \subseteq \text{traces q} \rangle
Trace equivalence as mutual preorder
abbreviation trace_equivalent (infix \langle \simeq T \rangle 60) where
Trace preorder is transitive
lemma T_trans:
  shows <transp (\leq T) >
  \langle proof \rangle
Failure Pairs
abbreviation failure_pairs :: \langle 's \Rightarrow ('a list \times 'a set) set \rangle
  where
<failure_pairs p \equiv \{(xs, F) | xs F. \exists p'. p \mapsto \$ xs p' \land (initial_actions \} \}
p' \cap F = \{\}\}
Failure preorder and -equivalence
definition failure_preordered (infix <<F> 60) where
\langle p \lesssim F \ q \equiv failure\_pairs \ p \subseteq failure\_pairs \ q \rangle
```

```
abbreviation failure_equivalent (infix <~F> 60) where
\langle p \simeq F q \equiv p \lesssim F q \land q \lesssim F p \rangle
Possible future sets
abbreviation possible_future_pairs :: \langle 's \Rightarrow ('a list \times 'a list list)
set>
<possible_future_pairs p \equiv {(xs, X)|xs X. \existsp'. p \mapsto$ xs p' \land traces p'
= (set X)}>
definition possible futures equivalent (infix <2PF> 60) where
lemma PF_trans: transp (≃PF)
   \langle proof \rangle
isomorphism
definition isomorphism :: \langle ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow bool \rangle where
\texttt{`isomorphism f} \equiv \texttt{bij f} \ \land \ (\forall \texttt{p a p'. p} \mapsto \texttt{a p'} \longleftrightarrow \texttt{f p} \mapsto \texttt{a (f p'))} \texttt{`}
definition is_isomorphic :: \langle s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool \rangle (infix \langle s \otimes s \rangle 60) where
\langle p \simeq ISO | q \equiv \exists f. isomorphism f \land (f p) = q \rangle
Two states are simulation preordered if they can be related by a simulation
relation. (Implied by isometry.)
definition simulation
   where <simulation R \equiv
     \forall \, p \,\, q \,\, a \,\, p' \,. \,\, p \,\, \mapsto \, a \,\, p' \,\, \wedge \,\, R \,\, p \,\, q \,\, \longrightarrow \,\, (\exists \, q' \,. \,\, q \,\, \mapsto \, a \,\, q' \,\, \wedge \,\, R \,\, p' \,\, q') \,\, \rangle
definition simulated_by (infix <≲S> 60)
   where \langle p \lesssim S | q \equiv \exists R. R p q \land simulation R \rangle
Two states are bisimilar if they can be related by a symmetric simulation.
definition bisimilar (infix <>B> 80) where
   \langle p \simeq B | q \equiv \exists R. \text{ simulation } R \land \text{ symp } R \land R | p | q \rangle
Bisimilarity is a simulation.
lemma bisim sim:
   shows \langle \text{simulation } (\simeq B) \rangle
   \langle proof \rangle
end
end
theory HML_list
  imports
 Main
```

```
Transition_Systems
begin
datatype ('a, 'i)hml =
TT |
hml pos <'a> <('a, 'i)hml> |
hml\_conj 'i set 'i set 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'i) hml
inductive TT_like :: ('a, 'i) hml ⇒ bool
  where
TT_like TT |
TT_like (hml_conj I J \Phi) if (\Phi `I) = {} (\Phi ` J) = {}
inductive nested_empty_pos_conj :: ('a, 'i) hml \Rightarrow bool
  where
nested_empty_pos_conj TT |
nested_empty_pos_conj (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if \forall x \in (\Phi \ ] nested_empty_pos_conj x (\Phi \ ] = {}
inductive nested_empty_conj :: ('a, 'i) hml ⇒ bool
  where
nested_empty_conj TT |
nested\_empty\_conj (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if \forall x \in (\Phi `I). nested_empty_conj x \forall x \in (\Phi `J). nested_empty_pos_conj
inductive stacked_pos_conj_pos :: ('a, 'i) hml \Rightarrow bool
  where
stacked_pos_conj_pos TT |
stacked_pos_conj_pos (hml_pos _ \psi) if nested_empty_pos_conj \psi |
{\tt stacked\_pos\_conj\_pos\ (hml\_conj\ I\ J\ \Phi)}
if (\exists \varphi \in (\Phi \setminus I). ((stacked_pos_conj_pos \varphi) \land A)
                           (\forall \psi \in (\Phi \ \ \text{I}). \ \psi \neq \varphi \longrightarrow \text{nested\_empty\_pos\_conj}
ψ))) ∨
   (\forall \psi \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ I).\ \texttt{nested\_empty\_pos\_conj}\ \psi))
(\Phi \cdot J) = \{\}
inductive stacked_pos_conj :: ('a, 'i) hml \Rightarrow bool
  where
stacked_pos_conj TT |
stacked_pos_conj (hml_pos _ \psi) if nested_empty_pos_conj \psi |
{\tt stacked\_pos\_conj~(hml\_conj~I~J~\Phi)}
if \forall \varphi \in (\Phi \ \ I). ((stacked_pos_conj \varphi) \lor nested_empty_conj \varphi)
(\forall \psi \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ J). \text{ nested\_empty\_conj } \psi)
```

```
inductive stacked_pos_conj_J_empty :: ('a, 'i) hml ⇒ bool
  where
stacked_pos_conj_J_empty TT |
stacked_pos_conj_J_empty (hml_pos _ \psi) if stacked_pos_conj_J_empty \psi
stacked pos conj J empty (hml conj I J \Phi)
if \forall \varphi \in (\Phi \ ] . (stacked_pos_conj_J_empty \varphi) \Phi \ ] = \{\}
inductive single_pos_pos :: ('a, 'i) hml \Rightarrow bool
single_pos_pos TT |
single_pos_pos (hml_pos _ \psi) if nested_empty_pos_conj \psi |
single_pos_pos (hml_conj I J \Phi) if
(\forall \varphi \in (\Phi \ \ \ \ ). \ \ (single\_pos\_pos \ \varphi))
(\Phi \ ) = \{\}
{\tt inductive \ single\_pos \ :: \ ('a, \ 'i) \ hml \ \Rightarrow \ bool}
  where
single_pos TT |
single_pos (hml_pos _ \psi) if nested_empty_conj \psi |
single_pos (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if \forall \varphi \in (\Phi \ \ I). (single_pos \varphi)
\forall arphi \in (\Phi \ \ \ 	exttt{J}). \ 	exttt{single_pos_pos} \ arphi
primrec flatten :: ('a, 'i) hml \Rightarrow ('a, 'i) hml where
flatten TT = TT |
flatten (hml_pos \alpha \ \psi) = (hml_pos \alpha (flatten \psi)) |
flatten (hml_conj I J \Phi) = (hml_conj I J \Phi)
inductive flattened :: ('a, 'i) hml \Rightarrow bool
  where
flattened TT |
flattened (hml_pos _ \psi) if flattened \psi|
flattened (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if \forall x \in (\Phi \setminus I). (\exists \alpha \ \psi. \ x = (hml_pos \ \alpha \ \psi) \land flattened \ \psi)
\forall y \in (\Phi \hat{} J). (\exists \alpha \psi. y = (hml_pos \alpha \psi) \wedge flattened \psi) \vee
(\exists \texttt{I'}\ \texttt{J'}\ \Phi'.\ \texttt{y} = (\texttt{hml\_conj}\ \texttt{I'}\ \texttt{J'}\ \Phi')\ \land\ (\forall \texttt{x} \in \Phi'\ `\texttt{I'}.\ (\exists \alpha\ \psi.\ \texttt{x} = (\texttt{hml\_pos}))
\alpha \psi) \wedge flattened \psi))
                                                     \wedge (\Phi' `J' = \{\}))
```

```
context lts begin
primrec hml_semantics :: <'s \Rightarrow ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow bool>
(<_ ⊨ _> [50, 50] 50)
where
hml_sem_tt: \langle (\_ \models TT) = True \rangle |
\mathtt{hml\_sem\_pos} \colon \langle (\mathsf{p} \models (\mathtt{hml\_pos} \ \alpha \ \varphi)) = (\exists \ \mathsf{q}. \ (\mathsf{p} \mapsto \alpha \ \mathsf{q}) \ \land \ \mathsf{q} \models \varphi) \rangle \mid
\in J. \neg(p \models (\psi s j))))
lemma index_sets_conj_disjunct:
   assumes I \cap J \neq \{\}
   shows \forall s. \neg (s \models (hml\_conj I J \Phi))
\langle proof \rangle
definition HML_true where
\mathtt{HML\_true}\ \varphi \equiv \forall \mathtt{s.}\ \mathtt{s} \models \varphi
lemma
   fixes s::'s
   assumes HML_true (hml_conj I J \Phi)
   shows \forall \varphi \in \Phi ` I. \mathtt{HML\_true}\ \varphi
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma HML_true_TT_like:
   assumes TT_like \varphi
   shows {\tt HML\_true}\ \varphi
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma HML_true_nested_empty_pos_conj:
   assumes nested_empty_pos_conj \varphi
   shows HML_true \varphi
   \langle proof \rangle
Two states are HML equivalent if they satisfy the same formula.
definition HML_equivalent :: <'s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool> where
   \forall \mathsf{HML}_{\mathsf{quivalent}} \; \mathsf{p} \; \mathsf{q} \equiv (\forall \; \varphi :: (\mathsf{'a}, \; \mathsf{'s}) \; \mathsf{hml}. \; (\mathsf{p} \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\mathsf{q} \models \varphi)) \Rightarrow
An HML formula \varphi 1 implies another (\varphi r) if the fact that some process p
satisfies \varphi 1 implies that p must also satisfy \varphi r, no matter the process p.
definition hml_impl :: ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow bool (infix \Rightarrow
60) where
   \varphi 1 \Rightarrow \varphi r \equiv (\forall p. (p \models \varphi 1) \longrightarrow (p \models \varphi r))
\texttt{lemma hml\_impl\_iffI: } \varphi \texttt{l} \ \Rrightarrow \ \varphi \texttt{r} \ \texttt{=} \ (\forall \, \texttt{p. (p} \models \varphi \texttt{l)} \ \longrightarrow \ (\texttt{p} \models \varphi \texttt{r}))
   \langle proof \rangle
```

A HML formula $\varphi 1$ is said to be equivalent to some other HML formula φr (written $\varphi 1 \Leftarrow \varphi r$) iff process p satisfies $\varphi 1$ iff it also satisfies φr , no matter the process p.

We have chosen to define this equivalence by appealing to HML formula implication (c.f. pre-order).

```
definition hml_formula_eq :: ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow bool (infix \Leftrightarrow 60) where \varphi 1 \Leftrightarrow \varphi r \equiv \varphi 1 \Rightarrow \varphi r \land \varphi r \Rightarrow \varphi 1 \Leftrightarrow is truly an equivalence relation.

lemma hml_eq_equiv: equivp (\Leftrightarrow) \langle proof \rangle

lemma equiv_der: assumes HML_equivalent p q \exists p'. p \mapsto \alpha p' shows \exists p' q'. (HML_equivalent p' q') \land q \mapsto \alpha q' \langle proof \rangle

lemma equiv_trans: transp HML_equivalent \langle proof \rangle
```

A formula distinguishes one state from another if its true for the first and false for the second.

```
abbreviation distinguishes :: <('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool> where <distinguishes \varphi p q \equiv p \models \varphi \land \neg q \models \varphi \gt

lemma hml_equiv_sym: shows <symp HML_equivalent> \langle proof \rangle
```

If two states are not HML equivalent then there must be a distinguishing formula.

```
lemma hml_distinctions:
    fixes state::'s
    assumes \leftarrow HML_equivalent p q>
    shows \leftarrow \rightarrow distinguishes \varphi p q>
    \leftarrow proof>
end

inductive HML_trace :: ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow bool where
```

```
trace_tt : HML_trace TT |
trace_conj: HML_trace (hml_conj \{\}\ \psis)|
trace_pos: HML_trace (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_trace \varphi
definition HML trace formulas where
HML trace formulas \equiv \{\varphi \text{. HML trace } \varphi\}
translation of a trace to a formula
fun trace_to_formula :: 'a list ⇒ ('a, 's)hml
      where
trace_to_formula [] = TT |
trace_to_formula (a#xs) = hml_pos a (trace_to_formula xs)
inductive HML_failure :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ bool
      where
failure_tt: HML_failure TT |
failure_pos: HML_failure (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_failure \varphi |
failure_conj: HML_failure (hml_conj I J \psis)
if (\forall i \in I. TT_like (\psi s i)) \land (\forall j \in J. (TT_like (\psi s j)) \lor (\exists \alpha \chi. ((\psi s j))) \land (\forall j \in J. (
j) = hml_pos \alpha \chi \wedge (TT_like \chi)))
inductive HML_simulation :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ bool
      where
sim_tt: HML_simulation TT |
sim_pos: HML_simulation (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_simulation \varphi|
\mathtt{sim\_conj:} \ \mathtt{HML\_simulation} \ (\mathtt{hml\_conj} \ \mathtt{I} \ \mathtt{J} \ \psi \mathtt{s})
if (\forall x \in (\psi s `I). HML_simulation x) \land (\psi s `J = \{\})
definition HML_simulation_formulas where
{	t HML\_simulation\_formulas} \equiv \{\varphi. {	t HML\_simulation} \ \varphi\}
inductive HML_readiness :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ bool
      where
read_tt: HML_readiness TT |
read_pos: HML_readiness (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_readiness \varphi|
read_conj: HML_readiness (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if (\forall x \in (\Phi \ (I \cup J)). TT_like x \lor (\exists \alpha \ \chi. \ x = hml\_pos \ \alpha \ \chi \land TT\_like
\chi))
inductive HML impossible futures :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ bool
      if_tt: HML_impossible_futures TT |
      if_pos: HML_impossible_futures (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_impossible_futures
if_conj: HML_impossible_futures (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if \forall x \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ I). TT_like x \ \forall x \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ J). (HML_trace x)
inductive HML_possible_futures :: ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow bool
      where
```

```
pf_tt: HML_possible_futures TT |
pf_pos: HML_possible_futures (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_possible_futures \varphi
pf_conj: HML_possible_futures (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if \forall x \in (\Phi \ (I \cup J)). (HML_trace x)
definition HML_possible_futures_formulas where
\mathtt{HML}_{\mathtt{possible}} futures_formulas \equiv \{ \varphi . \ \mathtt{HML}_{\mathtt{possible}} futures \varphi \}
inductive HML_failure_trace :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ bool
  where
f_trace_tt: HML_failure_trace TT |
f_trace_pos: HML_failure_trace (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_failure_trace \varphi|
f_trace_conj: HML_failure_trace (hml_conj I J \Phi)
if ((\exists \psi \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ I)). (HML_failure_trace \psi) \land (\forall y \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ I)). \psi \neq y \longrightarrow
nested_empty_conj y)) \/
(\forall y \in (\Phi \ \ \text{I}). \ \text{nested\_empty\_conj} \ y)) \ \land
(\forall y \in (\Phi `J). stacked_pos_conj_pos y)
inductive HML_ready_trace :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ bool
  where
r_trace_tt: HML_ready_trace TT |
<code>r_trace_pos: HML_ready_trace (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_ready_trace \varphi|</code>
r_trace_conj: HML_ready_trace (hml_conj I J Φ)
if (\exists x \in (\Phi `I). HML_ready_trace x \land (\forall y \in (\Phi `I). x \neq y \longrightarrow single\_pos
y))
\forall (\forally \in (\Phi ` I).single_pos y)
(\forall y \in (\Phi \ ) \ J). \ single\_pos\_pos \ y)
inductive HML_ready_sim :: ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow bool
  where
HML_ready_sim TT |
<code>HML_ready_sim</code> (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if <code>HML_ready_sim</code> \varphi |
{\tt HML\_ready\_sim} (hml_conj I J \Phi) if
(\forall x \in (\Phi `I). \ HML\_ready\_sim \ x) \land (\forall y \in (\Phi `J). \ single\_pos\_pos \ y)
inductive HML_2_nested_sim :: ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow bool
  where
HML_2_nested_sim TT |
HML_2_nested_sim (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_2_nested_sim \varphi |
{\tt HML\_2\_nested\_sim~(hml\_conj~I~J~\Phi)}
if (\forall x \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ 1). HML_2_nested_sim x) \land (\forall y \in (\Phi \ \hat{}\ J). HML_simulation
y)
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{inductive} \ \texttt{HML\_revivals} \ :: \ (\texttt{'a, 's}) \ \texttt{hml} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{bool} \end{array}
revivals tt: HML revivals TT |
revivals_pos: HML_revivals (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) if HML_revivals \varphi |
```

```
revivals_conj: HML_revivals (hml_conj I J \Phi) if (\forallx \in (\Phi \hat{} I). \exists \alpha \chi.
(x = hml_pos \alpha \chi) \wedge TT_like \chi)
(\forall x \in (\Phi \ )\ J). \ \exists \alpha \ \chi. \ (x = hml_pos \ \alpha \ \chi) \ \land \ TT_like \ \chi)
end
theory formula prices list
  imports
    Main
    HML list
    HOL-Library.Extended_Nat
begin
primrec expr_1 :: ('a, 's)hml ⇒ enat
expr_1_tt: <expr_1 TT = 0> |
expr_1_conj: \langle expr_1 | (hml_conj I J \Phi) = Sup ((expr_1 \circ \Phi) ` I \cup (expr_1 \circ \Phi) ) \rangle
o Φ) `J)> |
expr_1_pos: <expr_1 (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) =
  1 + (expr_1 \varphi) >
primrec expr_2 :: ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow enat
expr_2_tt: <expr_2 TT = 1> |
expr_2_conj: <expr_2 (hml_conj I J \Phi) = 1 + Sup ((expr_2 \circ \Phi) ` I \cup (expr_2
o Φ) `J)> |
expr_2_pos: \langle expr_2 \pmod{p} = expr_2 \varphi \rangle
primrec expr_3 :: ('a, 's) hml \Rightarrow enat
 where
expr_3_tt: \langle expr_3 TT = 0 \rangle
 expr_3_pos: <expr_3 (hml_pos \alpha \varphi) = expr_3 \varphi> |
expr_3_conj: <expr_3 (hml_conj I J \Phi) = (Sup ((expr_1 \circ \Phi) ` I \cup (expr_3
\circ \Phi) ` I \cup (expr_3 \circ \Phi) ` J))>
fun pos_r :: ('a, 's)hml set \Rightarrow ('a, 's)hml set
  where
pos_r xs = (
let max_val = (Sup (expr_1 ` xs));
max_elem = (SOME \psi. \psi \in xs \land expr_1 \psi = max_val);
xs_new = xs - {max_elem}
in xs_new)
primrec expr_4 :: ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow enat
 where
expr_4_tt: expr_4_TT = 0
expr_4_pos: expr_4 (hml_pos a \varphi) = expr_4 \varphi |
expr_4_conj: expr_4 (hml_conj I J \Phi) = Sup ((expr_1 ` (pos_r (\Phi ` I)))
```

```
\cup (expr_4 \circ \Phi) ` I \cup (expr_4 \circ \Phi) ` J)
primrec expr_5 :: ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow enat
  where
expr_5_tt: \langle expr_5 TT = 0 \rangle |
expr_5_pos: \langle expr_5 \pmod{\varphi} = expr_5 \varphi \rangle
expr_5_conj: <expr_5 (hml_conj I J \Phi) =
(Sup ((expr_5 \circ \Phi) ` I \cup (expr_5 \circ \Phi) ` J \cup (expr_1 \circ \Phi) ` J))>
primrec expr_6 :: ('a, 's)hml \Rightarrow enat
  where
expr_6_tt: \langle expr_6 TT = 0 \rangle |
expr_6_pos: \langle expr_6 \pmod{\phi} = expr_6 \varphi \rangle
expr_6_conj: <expr_6 (hml_conj I J \Phi) =
(Sup ((expr_6 \circ \Phi) ` I \cup ((eSuc \circ expr_6 \circ \Phi) ` J)))>
\texttt{fun} \ \texttt{expr} \ :: \ (\texttt{'a, 's}) \texttt{hml} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{enat} \ \times \ \texttt{enat}
  where
\langle \expr \varphi = (\expr_1 \varphi, \expr_2 \varphi, \expr_3 \varphi, \expr_4 \varphi, \expr_5 \varphi, \expr_6 \varphi) \rangle
end
```