

# SIGNAL PROCESS, LINEAR SYS I (2014-2015 Summer)

Instructor: **Gibbons, Eric**  
Subject: **EE**  
Catalog & Section: **102A 01**

Enrolled: **5**  
Responses Incl Declines: **2**  
Declined: **0**

Response **1** of **2**

Area of study

## Overall Ratings

The quality of the course content	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
The instructor's overall teaching	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

## Instructor's Organization and Clarity

Set out and met clear objectives announced for the course	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Displayed thorough knowledge of course material	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Explained concepts clearly	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Distinguished between more important and less important topics	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Presented material at an appropriate pace	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

## Instructor's Ability to Engage and Challenge Students

Emphasized conceptual understanding and/or critical thinking	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Related course topics to one another	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

## Instructor's Interaction with Students

Demonstrated concern about whether students were learning	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Inspired and motivated student interest in the course content	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Was available for consultation outside of class	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

## Course Organization, Content, and Evaluation

Selected course content that was valuable and worth learning	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Organized course topics in a coherent fashion	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Chose assignments that solidified understanding	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

Explained clearly how students would be evaluated	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Designed and used fair grading procedures	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

### Section/Lab Integration

Section or lab was well integrated into course structure	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
--	----	----	---	---	---	-----

Please comment on the individual instructors with regard to effectiveness and attitude toward students:

Strengths	Well spoken instructor, respectful and is very organized with his lectures.
Suggestions for Improvement	N/A

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses, if any, of the textbook(s) and reading(s). What materials were most and least valuable? Why?

Strengths	Optional Textbook did not help throughout the course.
Suggestions for Improvement	N/A

Please comment on assignments and exams (difficulty, length, frequency, usefulness, and their success at testing conceptual understanding rather than recall):

Strengths	Exams were fair and well written, appropriate length, and were very well in evaluating students comprenson
Suggestions for Improvement	N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the course over-all?

Strengths	N/A
Suggestions for Improvement	N/A

## SIGNAL PROCESS, LINEAR SYS I (2014-2015 Summer)

Instructor: **Gibbons, Eric**  
Subject: **EE**  
Catalog & Section: **102A 01**

Enrolled: **5**  
Responses Incl Declines: **2**  
Declined: **0**

Response **2 of 2**

Area of study

### Overall Ratings

The quality of the course content	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
The instructor's overall teaching	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

### Instructor's Organization and Clarity

Set out and met clear objectives announced for the course	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Displayed thorough knowledge of course material	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Explained concepts clearly	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Distinguished between more important and less important topics	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Presented material at an appropriate pace	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

### Instructor's Ability to Engage and Challenge Students

Emphasized conceptual understanding and/or critical thinking	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Related course topics to one another	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

### Instructor's Interaction with Students

Demonstrated concern about whether students were learning	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Inspired and motivated student interest in the course content	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Was available for consultation outside of class	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

### Course Organization, Content, and Evaluation

Selected course content that was valuable and worth learning	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Organized course topics in a coherent fashion	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Chose assignments that solidified understanding	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

Explained clearly how students would be evaluated	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
Designed and used fair grading procedures	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A

## Section/Lab Integration

Section or lab was well integrated into course structure	Ex	Vg	G	F	P	N/A
--	----	----	---	---	---	-----

## Please comment on the individual instructors with regard to effectiveness and attitude toward students:

**Strengths** Eric is a very humorous and kind professor. Everytime you have questions in or off the class, he is glad to help you, even with a long explanation by the email. It is so moving to see that. Also he is very responsible one. He would stop at any time if you have questions and explain to you until you are OK.

**Suggestions for Improvement** Maybe the shortcoming is the Eric writes too much on the blackboard, so it is very difficult to make notes. Maybe he can try to use the project, easier than writing.

## Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses, if any, of the textbook(s) and reading(s). What materials were most and least valuable? Why?

**Strengths** The most valuable materials are the lectures. Nearlyly all the contents are in the pdf lectures. While the least valuable one is the text book. It is so expensive and useless. I hardly opened it after i bought it.

**Suggestions for Improvement** If there is no need to use the text book, the professor can tell tell us before the class. and if there is really something important in the textbook, the professor can make a handout from it can give us in the class. This will help us save some money.

## Please comment on assignments and exams (difficulty, length, frequency, usefulness, and their success at testing conceptual understanding rather than recall):

**Strengths** The exam is a little difficult for us. because the assignments and the practice in the class are easy and the exam has totally the different style from them, and it makes me not very comfortable. While the quality of the questions in the exam is very good and useful. maybe the only need is some more practise.

**Suggestions for Improvement** The professor can give us more problem sets like the questions in the exam. maybe then it will take us longer time to finish the homework, but it does help for the final!

## Do you have any additional comments on the course over-all?

**Strengths** It is a really good one to combine the lectures with a lab part about matlab. The lab part really helps me a lot and let me have a improvement in operating the matlab. For matlab is really a very important software for signal processing. So this is really good and helpful.

**Suggestions for Improvement** Maybe there is some unbalance about the content of the course. We spent much more time on the continous signal and its fourier, and less time on the discrete signal. While the questions in the final had a lot to do with the discrete signal. So i think it is good to pay more time on the discrete part.