# The Augmented Social Scientist

**Tips & Tricks** 

SICSS-Paris, June 2023

#### **Using an LLM to Annotate Text**

Using a supervised machine learning algorithm to automatically annotate text is easy But there are pitfalls you'd better avoid, and best practices

- ⇒ Last moment of this tutorial = a few tips and tricks
- 1. Defining Categories to Annotate
- 2. Creating an appropriate test set
- 3. Designing a Training Strategy
- 4. Active Learning
- 5. "What can I do if I have bad validation scores?"

You want to train an LLM to annotate automatically some text for you.

How do you decide on the categories you want to use?

- **Theory**: any category you deem relevant
- **Practice:** more complex, and depends on a set of parameters

1. Design a temporary coding scheme

- 1. Design a temporary coding scheme
- 2. Annotate a small (10-100) units of text, sampled at random
- 3. Revise coding scheme and annotate again

- 1. Design a temporary coding scheme
- 2. Annotate a small (10-100) units of text, sampled at random
- 3. Revise coding scheme and annotate again
  - a. Pause: Is it working? Note down issues, hesitations (w/ examples)

- 1. Design a temporary coding scheme
- 2. Annotate a small (10-100) units of text, sampled at random
- 3. Revise coding scheme and annotate again

Are you happy with your coding scheme?

- No: Start over
- **Yes**: Continue annotating + write detailed guidelines

**Question**: How refined can my categories be?

**Question**: How refined can my categories be?

- "It depends on the data", but
  - Do not limit yourself to the obvious (go for semantics, not lexicon)
  - Do not expect an algorithm to do better than a skilled human

 $\Rightarrow$  TRY!

(And ask yourself: could you easily convey the idea to a colleague?)

- "It depends on the data", but
  - A sentence is an obvious candidate, but you will lose lots of context

- "It depends on the data", but
  - A sentence is an obvious candidate, but you will lose context
  - A paragraph is a second obvious candidate, but
    - You do not always have paragraphs clearly delimited
    - It will take more examples to train a model

- "It depends on the data", but
  - A sentence is an obvious candidate, but you will lose context
  - A paragraph is a second obvious candidate, but
  - A longer text then seems great, but
    - It will take many more examples to train a model
    - The models "stop" reading after a few hundreds tokens

**Question**: One multi-class classifier, or several binary classifiers?

Question: One multi-class classifier, or several binary classifiers?

- "It depends on the data", but
  - Binary models will be easier to train
  - If you do a lot of binary classifiers instead of a multiclass,
    - You will get more refined...
    - Or more ambivalent results

**Question**: How much should you annotate?

- "It depends on the data", but
  - A binary classifier could only need a few dozen examples

**Question**: How much should you annotate?

- "It depends on the data", but
  - A binary classifier could only need a few dozen examples
  - Annotation is intellectually healthy

**Question**: How much should you annotate?

- "It depends on the data", but
  - A binary classifier could only need a few dozen examples
  - Annotation is intellectually healthy
  - There are shortcuts to save massive amounts of time ("active learning")
    - If your simple classifier does not work after 8h of annotation, reconsider

### 2. Creating an appropriate test set

- Should be representative of the corpus
- No intersection with the training set
- Double check it!

Training parameters

- Training parameters
  - Learning rate (Ir)

- Training parameters
  - Learning rate (Ir)
  - Number of epochs (n\_epochs)

- Training parameters
  - Learning rate (Ir)
  - Number of epochs (n\_epochs)
- Training set (downsampling/oversampling)

What to remember

- 1. No general rules, you need to try
- 2. No need to spend too much time

A very common problem: unbalanced dataset

e.g. 10% positive, 90% negative

Objective: obtain 300 positive sentences for training

- If random sampling -> manual annotation of 3000 sentences
- How to obtain more positive samples with less manual annotation?

Active learning: use intermediate models to find more positive samples

• How does it work?

Active learning: use intermediate models to find more positive samples

How does it work?

2 strategies of active learning

- Most probable (max probability)
- Most ambiguous (max entropy)

Active learning: use intermediate models to find more positive samples

How does it work?

2 strategies of active learning

- Most probable (max probability)
- Most ambiguous (max entropy)



1 1 Hold-out (representative) test set

## 5. What to do if you have bad validation scores

- Double check (again) the test set
- Add training data
- Read some model predictions to understand

#### Conclusion

- Diving into new sources of data
- With your own research questions + tagging scheme

#### Resources:

- Package: <a href="https://github.com/rubingshen/Replication-Augmented">https://github.com/rubingshen/Replication Augmented</a>
- Article (SMR, 2022)
- Google Colab tutorial