$$\hat{y} = 11.9524 + 0.0029 \cdot \hat{x}$$
, where  $\hat{y} = \ln(\text{price})$  and  $\hat{x} = \text{sqft\_living}^{0.78}$ 

## Explanation of the Model

## Intercept and slope

The model has the sample intercept of 11.9524 and the slope of 0.0029. To interpret the slope, we have to transform  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  towards original  $sqft\_living$  and price. Let  $x = sqft\_living$  and y = price for the derivation. We have  $\hat{x} = x^{0.78}$  and  $\hat{y} = \ln(y) \implies y = e^{\hat{y}}$ . Suppose the original  $sqft\_living$  is  $x_1$  and it moved up to  $x_2$ , then we have the following:

$$y_1 = e^{\hat{y}_1} = e^{11.9524 + 0.0029 \cdot x_1^{0.78}} = e^{11.9524} \cdot e^{0.0029 \cdot x_1^{0.78}}$$
$$y_2 = e^{\hat{y}_2} = e^{11.9524 + 0.0029 \cdot x_2^{0.78}} = e^{11.9524} \cdot e^{0.0029 \cdot x_2^{0.78}}$$

To understand the change in *price* in percents we will use the following formula:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{y_2 - y_1}{y_1} \right] = 100 \times \left[ \frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1 \right] = 100 \times \left[ \frac{e^{11.9524} \cdot e^{0.0029 \cdot x_2^{0.78}}}{e^{11.9524} \cdot e^{0.0029 \cdot x_1^{0.78}}} - 1 \right]$$
$$= 100 \times \left[ e^{0.0029 \left( x_2^{0.78} - x_1^{0.78} \right)} - 1 \right]$$

For example, if the sqft-living is 1000ft and we increase it to 1100ft, we will get the change in price of

$$100 \times \left[ e^{0.0029(1100^{0.78} - 1000^{0.78})} - 1 \right] \approx 5.02\%.$$

In this particular example 10% change in sqft\_living starting from  $x_1 = 1000 ft$  forces 5.02% change in price.

 $R^2$ 

The model has  $R^2 \approx 0.45$ . This means that our model explains about 45% of the variation by using  $sqft\_living$  as independent variable.

## **ANOVA**

Is our model with one explanatory variable better than the model with zero explanatory variables?

Our model has  $F - statistic = 1.737 \times 10^4$  and Prob > F is 0.000.

The Null Hypothesis: The slope=0

The Alternative Hypothesis: The slope  $\neq 0$ 

Our p-value for this model is  $p = 0.000 < 0.05 = \alpha$ . Thus, we have enough evidence to reject the Null Hypothesis at 5% level of significance and we conclude that the Test tells us, that our slope is not 0. Since our p-value is 0, there is a 0% probability that the improvements that we are seeing with our one independent variable model are due to random chance alone.