R^2

The model has $R^2 \approx 0.5$. This means that our model explains about 50% of the variation by using $sqtt_living$ as independent variable.

ANOVA

Is our model with many explanatory variable better than the model with zero explanatory variables? Our model has $F - statistic = 1.737 \times 10^4$ and Prob > F is 0.000.

The Null Hypothesis: The slope= 0

The Alternative Hypothesis: The slope $\neq 0$ Our p-value for this model is $p = 0.000 < 0.05 = \alpha$. Thus, we have enough evidence to reject the Null Hypothesis at 5% level of significance and we conclude that the Test tells us, that at least one of the coefficients is not 0. Since our p-value is 0, there is a 0% probability that the improvements that we are seeing with our independent variables model are due to random chance alone.