Explanation of the Model

Before I begin explain the coefficients, I notice that $P > |t|$ for the *floors* variable is 0.155, which makes *floors* insignificant for the analysis.

1. The model has the sample intercept of 10.2082. If we assume that all explanatory variables are zeros, this would mean that the price would be $e^{10.2082} \approx 27,124$
2. 0.3618 is the coefficient for *waterfront*. *Waterfront* is a categorical variable coded as 0 or 1, a one unit difference represents switching from one category to the other. 10.2082 is then the average difference in *price* between the category for which *waterfront* = 0 (no waterfront) and the category for which *waterfront* = 1 (the house has a waterfront). So compared to ln(*price*) of the house with no waterfront, we would expect the ln(*price*) for the house with waterfront to be 0.3618 higher, on average, if we fix all other explanatory variables.
   Let y_2 = price of the house with waterfront and y_1 = price of the house with no waterfront, then
   $$\ln y_2 - \ln y_1 = 0.3618 \implies \ln \frac{y_2}{y_1} = 0.3618 \implies \frac{y_2}{y_1} = e^{0.3618}$$
   To understand the change in *price* in percents, if we switch from the house with no waterfront to the house with waterfront while keeping all other variables the same, will use the following formula:
   $$100 \times \left[\frac{y_2 - y_1}{y_1}\right] = 100 \times \left[\frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1\right] = 100 \times \left[e^{0.3618} - 1\right] \approx 43.59\%$$
   Thus, switching from the house with no waterfront to the house with waterfront while keeping all other explanatory variables fixed, will increase the price by 43.59%.
3. -0.0160 is the coefficient for number of *bedrooms*. Let y_2 = price for the house with x_2 number of bedrooms and y_1 = price for the house with x_1 number of bedrooms, then
   $$\ln y_2 - \ln y_1 = -0.016x_2 - (-0.016x_1) = -0.016(x_2 - x_1) \implies \ln \frac{y_2}{y_1} = -0.016(x_2 - x_1) \implies \frac{y_2}{y_1} = e^{-0.016(x_2 - x_1)}$$
   If we increase the number of bedrooms by 1 while keeping the other variables fixed and use percents, we will get the following
   $$100 \times \left[\frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1\right] = 100 \times \left[e^{-0.016} - 1\right] \approx -1.58\%$$
   Thus, increasing the number of bedrooms by 1 while keeping the other variables fixed, will decrease the price of the house by 1.58%. Which is a strange result.
4. The same explanation we have for -0.0153 which a coefficient for number of bathrooms. If we increase the number of bathrooms by 1 while keeping the other variables fixed, will decrease the price of the house by 1.51%. Which is a strange result.
5. 0.1400 is the coefficient for *sqft_living*. Let y_2 = price for the house with x_2 *sqft_living* and y_1 = price for the house with x_1 *sqft_living*, then
   $$\ln y_2 - \ln y_1 = 0.1400x_2^{0.3} - 0.1400x_1^{0.3} \implies \ln \frac{y_2}{y_1} = 0.1400\left(x_2^{0.3} - x_1^{0.3}\right) \implies \frac{y_2}{y_1} = e^{0.1400\left(x_2^{0.3} - x_1^{0.3}\right)}$$
   If we increase the *sqft_living* from $1000ft$ to $1100ft$ while keeping the other variables fixed, we will get the following change in price in percents:
   $$100 \times \left[\frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1\right] = 100 \times \left[e^{0.1400\left(1100^{0.3} - 1000^{0.3}\right)} - 1\right] \approx 3.28\%$$
   If the *sqft_living* is $1000ft$ and we increase it to $1100ft$ while keeping the other variables fixed, we will get the change in price of 3.28%. In this particular example 10% change in *sqft_living* starting from $x_1 = 1000ft$ forces 3.28% change in price.
6. 0.1494 is the coefficient for the *view*. The coefficient for the *view* has the same explanation as the *sqft_living*. If we increase the *view* by 1 unit from 2 to 3 while keeping the other variables fixed, we will get the following:
   $$100 \times \left[\frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1\right] = 100 \times \left[e^{0.1494\left(3^{0.5} - 2^{0.5}\right)} - 1\right] \approx 4.86\%$$
   In this particular example if the *view* will increase from 2 to 3, the price will increase by 4.86%.
7. 0.0105 is the coefficient for the *grade*. The coefficient for the *grade* has the same explanation as the *sqft_living*. If we increase the *grade* by 1 unit from 2 to 3 while keeping the other variables fixed, we will get the following:
   $$100 \times \left[\frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1\right] = 100 \times \left[e^{0.0105\left(3^2 - 2^2\right)} - 1\right] \approx 5.39\%$$
   In this particular example if the *grade* will increase from 2 to 3 while other variables stay the same, the price will increase by 5.39%.
8. 0.1187 is the coefficient for the *sqft_living15*. Let y_2 = price for the house with x_2 *sqft_living15* and y_1 = price for the house with x_1 *sqft_living15*, then
   $$\ln y_2 - \ln y_1 = 0.1187 \ln x_2 - 0.1187 \ln x_1 \implies \ln \frac{y_2}{y_1} = 0.1187 \ln \frac{x_2}{x_1} \implies \frac{y_2}{y_1} = e^{0.1187} \frac{x_2}{x_1}$$
   The change in price in precent will be:
   $$100 \times \left[\frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1\right] = 100 \times \left[e^{0.1187} \frac{x_2}{x_1} - 1\right]$$
   If we increase the *sqft_living15* by 100 units from 1000 to 1100 while keeping the other variables fixed, we will get the following:
   $$100 \times \left[e^{0.1187} \times \frac{1100}{1000} - 1\right] \approx 23.87\%$$
   Thus, 10% increase in *sqft_living15* will lead to 23.87% increase in *price*.