Le ture 2 (9/2/21) The Maximum Principle We start with the Poisson Theorem (max principle) Let the domain SCCIRd be bounded and HE CO(5) nc2(52) satisfy - Du=f <0 mi s max u = max u Interpretation f < 0 is a smile Vroof of Theorem We proceed in three steps? 1. Care f <0 mi 2. We argue by contradiction Armune $X \circ \in \Omega$: $u(X \circ) = \max_{X \in \Omega} u(X)$. Thu Tu(x0)=0, 02 u(x0) < 0 This implies $0 > f(x_0) = -\Delta u(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow_{x_i} u(x_0) > 0$ Contradiction i=1 $= \rangle \max_{X \in \mathcal{X}} u(x) = \max_{X \in \mathcal{X}} u(x).$ 2. Bavour argument: let $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2d} |x-x_0|^2$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note $\Delta \varphi(x) = 1 \qquad \left(-\Delta \varphi \leq -1 \right)$ Consider auxiliary function and compute $v(x) = v(x) + \varepsilon \varphi(x)$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) -AN =- Du-EDY=f(x)-E <-E <0

```
Affly Step 1 to deduce
              \max v(x) = \max v(x) -
              XF32 XF32
3, Care f < 0:
      u(x) \leq \max_{x} v(x) = \max_{x} v(x) \leq \max_{x} u(x) + \epsilon \max_{x} \varphi(x)
                                        x (2)2
               XER XERR
                                                      2人EIR
       = u(x) \leq max u(x) + EA \forall \epsilon > 0
  Fake Elo to show
                u(x) \leq \max u(x) \qquad \forall x \in \Omega.
  Extension Consider general 2nd order Serator in
 Mondiverzuce John
  L[u] = -\frac{1}{2} a_{ij}(x) a_{ij}(x + \frac{1}{2} b_{j}(x) a_{j}(x + c(x)) u = f(x)
             A(x): Du
b(x), \nabla u
   (i) A is uniformly SPD, A ( C° ( T) )
(ii) b, c & c° ( T) , c > 0
  Theorem (max principle) If ut C°(I) n C2(I) and
  ratisfus 1 [u] = f < 0,
  (i) If c=0, then
  (ii) If c>0, then
                   max u < max {0, max u}
```

```
1. By rotation and corresponding change of coordinates,
    we can always write A(x_0); \Re(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \Re(x_0)
   n here di are e-values of A (xo) (check).
2. Barrier: \varphi(x) = e^{\lambda x}
L[4] \leq -1 for \lambda large 3. Min principle: L = \Delta
          f>0 => min u = min u
4. Harmonic function: f=0
              mm u(x) \leq u(x) \leq max u
Vrojontion (stability) Let I be founded, fEC°(IZ)
 g & C° (25) and let u & C° (5) n C2 (5) solve
 \begin{cases} L[u] = f \quad \Omega \\ u = g \quad \partial \Omega \end{cases}
Then there exists a constant C = C(\Omega) such that
          11 ull Loo (2) < 119 11 Loo (22) + C 11 fll Loo (22)
Proof Let q be the purious barrier, namely L[4] <-1,
             N(x) = U(x) + \Lambda \varphi(x) \qquad (A \in \mathbb{R})
     L[~] = L[u] + 1 L[4] & f -1 <0
  frovided \Lambda = \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, Affly MP to v to deduce
U(x) < U(x)+/4(x) < max u(x)+/ max (p(x) = max g+c 11fll
```

=) u(x) < 11911 Loo(25) + c(2) 11f11 Loo(22) Corollary ! (continuous defendence) Let ui solve

[L[ui] = fi \D, ui = gi \D i=1,2

Khun 11 41-42 11 [0(2) \le 1191-921 [0(32) + C(32) || fi-f2|| 00(2)

Corollary 2 (uniqueness) The rotation of L[u] = f 2,

U=9 on 32 is unique. The Energy Method Comider Poisson eq -Du=f I, u=g 35 (strong)

Annue g is defined in I. Multiply +DE by a

test function of such that N=0 on DI, and

integrate by rants integrate by parts $\int \int \nabla u \nabla v = \int \nabla u \nabla v - \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla v$ $\Rightarrow \int \nabla u \nabla v = \int \int \nabla u \nabla v + \int \nabla v \cdot \nabla v$ is the weak (or variational) formulation. Rumark The same weak John comes from a variation of mergy $I[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\Omega} fu$ (axeruse)

Proposition (energy estimate) There exists C=C(-2) s.t. $1|\nabla u|_{L^{2}(2)} \leq ||f||_{H^{-1}(L^{2})} + 2||\nabla g||_{L^{2}(2)}$ where 11 f 11 H (2) = SU/0 (2) (17011 12(2)

```
and Ho(se) is the space of L2- functions with 
L2-gradients that vanish on ser.
Proof We consider test function
   that vanishes on 252. Then
                               \int \nabla u \, \nabla (u-g) = \int f (u-g)
            => [ | \pi \n \p \p = ] f \rangle
                117012=5 10012=5 00 Dg + 5 for
                                                                   < 11 Dall 5(2) 11 Dall 5(2) + 11 th (2) F(2)
                                          CS Candy-Schwarz
   Concel 117011 2(1) to get
                               11 Doll 2(2) \ 11 Pg 112(2) + 11 f 11 H (2)
           I mally use triangle mig
                                     ||\nabla u||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{D})} = ||\nabla (u-g) + \nabla g||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{D})}
\leq 2||\nabla g||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{D})} + ||f||_{H^{2}(\mathcal{D})}
   Kemark If f(L'(2), Then
            <f, >> = \[ \frac{-2}{2} \frac{-2}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{
                                                                                                                                      € C(2) || V~ 1/2 (2) Pornicone
      =) ||f|| = sup = 1/2/2) < c(-2) ||f|| L2(-2)
```

Corollary 1 (continuous de fendence) Let ui solve 16 $-\Delta u_i = f_i \mathcal{R}, u_i = g_i \partial \mathcal{R}$ n=1,2. 117(41-42)112(2) < C(2) 11f1-f21/2(2)+2117(J1-g2)11/2(2) 11 fi-fz 11 H'(2) Corollary 2 (uniqueners) There exists only me sol of $-\Delta u = f \quad \mathcal{D}, \quad u = g \quad \partial \mathcal{D}.$ Stokes Equation Recall that stationary viscous fluids are governed by (1) $\begin{cases}
-\Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \mathcal{Z} \\
\text{our } u = 0 \quad \mathcal{Z}
\end{cases} \qquad (1)$ $u = 0 \quad \mathcal{Z} \qquad (2)$ $u = 0 \quad \mathcal{Z} \qquad (3)$ u relocity p pressure Multiply (1) by test function of and nitegrate by houts by parts $\nabla u : \nabla x - \gamma div x = \int_{\mathcal{A}} f \cdot x + \int_{\mathcal{A}} f \cdot x = 0$ (2) (4) Multiply (2) by of and nitegrate 5 gdir 1 = 0 + q (q & L²(12) Jemma I (estimate of u) There exists $c = c(2) \wedge t$. 11 Du 112(2) < c(2) 11 £ 112(2) Lemma 2 (estimate of p) If p has zero mean value 11pl/2(2) < C(2) 11fl/2(2) Proof Rewrite (4)

110011 [2(2) | 10011 [2(2) | 110011 [2(2) < 112/11/5(2) + c(2) 11 & 11/5(2) sup poliver < CII Ell [2(12) 3 11 p 11 2 (-2) in f - sup property Exercise Comider the Lagrangian L[u,p] := \ \frac{1}{2} | \varphi u|^2 - p div u - \frac{1}{2} u Where p is the dayrange multiplier to enforce the constraint di u = 0. Compute variational derivatives $\int_{\mathcal{U}} L[\underline{u}, p; \nabla] = 0 \implies \int_{\mathcal{U}} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{v} - p div \underline{v} = \int_{\mathcal{U}} f \cdot \underline{v}$ δp L [u,p; 9] =0 ⇒ -) q dw u = 0

There are the weak equation of the & toker system.