```
Lecture 16 (10/21/21)
 Proof of Banach-Wecas Pheorem
1. Existence of B: W > W For each NEW, consider
            B[r,·], W ->IR
 This is a continuous linear functional (i-e- an element
 mi W*=W). So three exists BrEW s.t.
          < B~, w>W = B[~,w] + w+ W
 MBNIW = 11 B [N, "] | WT = sup B[N, W]

WEW IIWIIW

Chica B is linear in the first argument, the operator
 B:W>W is also linear! Moreover
    = sub III weW IIWIIW
          = 1137=3 => B is continuous.
2. B has closed range R(B) Recall
        R(B)= { w 6 W: W=Br for some r + W} (w)
 The substace R(B) is closed if for any Couchy requerce \{W_n\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \subset R(B) that converges to W \in W we have
  We reinter put Condition (A)

~ IIVIIN & Sup SBA, W> = 11BAIIN

WEW IIVIIN
```

```
This numplies injectivity of B, namely
           Bu = 0 = > v=0,
For each n there exists a unique vint W sit.
                 W_n = B \sqrt{n}.
In addition,
X ||Nn-Nm || V ≤ || B (Nn-Nm) || W = || Wn-Wm || W nm >0

This means that {Nn} co is Counchy in V (Hibbert).
There exists a limit NOW
ania Bis continuous, we get
                W_n = B \wedge n \longrightarrow B \wedge \in R(B)
                WEIM
         WER(B). Or R(B) is closed.
3. B is merjective (i.e. R(B) = W). We misoler the
 Projection Theorem in Hilbert spaces
           W = R(B) \oplus R(B)^{\perp}
 Fris is true because R(B) is closed. We want to prove
             Q(B) = {0} (i.e. W= R(B))
Outfore 0 = Wo ER(B) + (W, Wo> = 0 + WER(B)
         (Br, Wo>=0 HrEW
                13 [~, Wo]
Afflijning Condition (B) we deduce
Therefore B is surjective and so mivertible, ie B:W>W
```

4. B'is continuous (i-e-Parperty (c)). (A) => < int 1 sub < Br, w> = 11B~11W = inf | 11 Br 11 W = inf _ || W|| W WEW _ || B W || W Sub IIB'IIW WEIW IIWIIW ==> ||B-1|| ≤ ± We conclude that B:W -> W is an isomorphism. $5. (c) \Rightarrow (A) \text{ and } (B)$ (c)=>(A) Revert Step 4. (c) => (B) Let WOEW be no that Wo fo. Let No = B WO EW Omice B is mi jective, No \$0, and B[50, Wo] = < Bro, Wo> = < Wo, Wo> = 11 Woll W 70. This concludes the proof. Theorem (Necas) - Let B: WXW -> IR be continuous and Vilmear. Then, fortlan 73 [u,w] = <f, w> \ \ \ \ \ \ \ admits a unique solution for all fow which defends continuously on f if and only if one of the following mif-sup conditions hold:

(1) (A) & (B) from Banach- Wecas Theorem, (2) int sub 33[N,W] >0, int sub 35[N,W] >0. (3) inf sub 3[1/w] = inf sub B[1/w] = x>0 Exercise Let B*: W > W le adjoint operator To B $\langle B_{N}, w \rangle = \langle B^{*}w, N^{*}\rangle_{W} \quad \forall N \in W, w \in W$ $\frac{1}{\|B^{-1}\|} = \frac{1}{\|(B^{*})^{-1}\|} = \infty.$ Lax-Milgram Thony (V=W) Corollary (L-M) Det B: WXW > R be bilinear, continuous and courcive, i.e

13 [x,v] > × ||v||2 + v ∈ W for x>0. Twee exists a unique volution of problem nen: 12[n'_] = <t'2> A2EN for all fEW* and 11 mll < = 1 11 fl / *. Vroof Covering unifier (A) and (B). Remark No symmetry of B is arrumed. If B is arrumed. If B is equivalent to a minimization problem in V. Find it! Briezzi's Thery Set V, Q be Hilbert spaces and a: WXW >IR, bEQXW >IR Le bilmier and continuous, and let FEW*, gER*.

Consider raddle fout problem i reele (u,p) & Wx Q st. 5 $(\#) \begin{cases} a[u,v] + b[p,v] = \langle f,v \rangle & \forall x \in \mathbb{N} \\ b[q,u] &= \langle g,q \rangle & \forall g \in \mathbb{Q} . \end{cases}$ We could write the extended bilinear form Yo[(u,p),(x,q)] = a[u,r]+b[p,r]+b[q,u]. Theorem (Brezzi) Problem (#) has a unique solution $(u,p) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{Q}$ for all $(f,g) \in \mathbb{V}^* \times \mathbb{Q}^*$, which defends continuously on data, if and only if there exist 2, 3>0(i) suf suf a[v,w] = suf suf a[v,w] = & velvo velvo 11v1/11w1/1/2 (ii) int sub <u>b[q,v]</u> = B qEQ vEV <u>||q||Q||v||</u> = B Wo={NEW: 6[q,N]=0 +qEQ}. In addition, there exists 8=8(00,3,11a11) set (|| u|| v + || p || Q) = > (|| f || v + || 3 || Q *) = 1. For extolers problem we have $a[u,v] = \int Pu: \nabla v$, $W = [H_o(2)]^d$ and $b[q,v] = \int q dv v$ $Q = L_o(2)$ do Vo = {NEW: SqdwN=0 + qt Q} = {NEW: div N=0} and Vo is the mbsface of divergence free velocities.

Note that (i) in plies via B-N that operator A induced by a is an isomorphism between We and itself. Omice a is courine in W, so is in Wo and ratisques 2. For Darcy's flow we have $\begin{cases} a[u,v] = \int uv & \forall u,v \in \mathbb{V} = H(div;52) \\ b[q,u] = \int qdv u & \forall q \in \mathbb{Q} = L^{2}(-2) \end{cases}$ Note that and a is courcive in Vo (but not in V), because 1121 = 1121 = 112(2) + 11 dir 2 112(2) 3. Continuity of beinflies existence of B: Q>V, B*: V>Q

3. Continuity of b surplies existence of B:W>W, B:W>W sit. $b[q,\sigma] = \langle Bq,\sigma \rangle = \langle B^*\sigma, q \rangle + q \in \mathbb{Q}, \sigma \in \mathbb{V}.$ Then $V_0 = \{ \sigma \in \mathbb{V} : \langle B^*\sigma, q \rangle = 0 \; \forall q \in \mathbb{Q} \} = \ker B^*.$