Lecture 15 (10/19/21) Quality Qual space of Wp (2) Wp(\O) = { l: Wp(\O) > IR: l linear and continuous } and operator noun  $\| \mathcal{L} \|_{W_{p}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} := \sup_{\mathcal{N} \in W_{p}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{|\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})|}{\|\mathcal{N}\|_{W_{p}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})}}$ Then this momed space is a Banach space. In particular, p=2, then  $W_2^{-1}(2) = H^{-1}(2).$ Examples Consider p=2. 1.  $L^{p}(2) \subset H^{1}(2)$   $p > \frac{zd}{z+d}$  (mote p > 1 for d = 2) Let f ( LP(12) and comfute for N + Ho (2)  $l(r) = \int fr \leq ||f||_{L^{p}(D)} \frac{||r||_{L^{q}(D)}}{||r||_{L^{q}(D)}}$ ≤ 11~11H'(2) Soboler emtedding sob (H1)=1-d > sob (19)=0-d=0-Pd  $\frac{\Rightarrow}{\text{check}} \qquad | \Rightarrow \frac{2d}{2+d}$ 2. 4) viae della  $\chi(x) = \langle \delta, x \rangle = \chi(0) \quad \text{for } C_{\infty}(x) \quad (0 \in \mathbb{Z})$ Omice N(0) is not well defined for N+ Ho (2), see deduce

l = dw q where  $9(x) = \begin{cases} 9(x) & x \in J_4 \\ 9(x) & x \in J_2 \end{cases}$ and armue gi (H'(szi) = 221 naz is dipodity. L(w):=-∫ 9.√~ Yw + H:(2) Green's Jomela = ( -4.3-42.3) ~ I have fore 1 (w) = ((dir 9, xe, +dir 9, xe)~ = 5 (92-91) 21 N [9.2] Jump of 9 +1 [33]~ or equivalently 1 l = ding, x2+ding, x2+[92] or Exercise Show & EH'(e) and estimate || l || He) Example Domider  $q(x) = \alpha(x) \nabla \phi(x)$  $\lambda(\omega) = -\int g \cdot \nabla \omega$  $\implies l = div(a(x)\nabla\phi(x))\chi_{1} + [a\nabla\phi]\delta_{\Gamma}$ 

```
Naviational Formulation of Elliptic PDELS
Example 1 Consider ICR Dipschitz and
(1) { L[u] = -dw (A(x) vu) + b. vu+cu = f(x)
(i) A is mi formly SPD mi 52 and La (2)
(ii) b, c ∈ L<sup>00</sup>(2), c≥0,
(iii) f ( H (-2).
The weak (or variational) foundation of (1) reads?
 U ← W = H'o(2): SA(x) PU-DN+ b. PUN+ CNN = < f, N > +r ∈ V
Exercise Formulate the Weumann and Polin BYP's
Example 2 ( biharmonic) This is a linear flate model
(Kvichhoff model)
         \int \Delta^2 u = \Delta \Delta u = f
                               22 (clamfed)
           u = 3u = 0
     W= Ho(2) = completion of Co(2) in H2-norm
                = { 2 (2) ; 2 = 52 2 = 0 22 }
Multiply (2) by of V and nitegrate by facts
```

 $UEW: \int \Delta u \, \Delta v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall \, v \in W$ 

```
Example3 (Maxwell or 3d Eddy avount egs)
 where u, k>0 and
    and = (3/3-3/2, 3/1-3/3, 2/2-3/1)
   W:=H(cord; 2)={2612(2; R3): cord ~612(2; R3)
    No={xEM: ~x3=0 303
 Multiply (3) by of the and integrate by farts
 MENO: ) M(x) coul u coul of + k(x) y. of = (f, of) + ve No
                    = 73 [u, x]
Example 4 (Darcy's flow) Becall u velocity, p premure
                      \Rightarrow (4) \left\{ dir \left( K(x) \nabla_{p} \right) = 0 - 2 \right.
p = q \Rightarrow 2
  M(x)^{-1}u+V_p=0
 and multiply by of and integrate by farts
     ) K(x) n. ~ - p div ~ + ) g ~ ~ 2 = 0
This deads to the variational formulation:
    W=H(dw; 2)={x+L2(2): dw x+L2(2)}
    Q = L2(-2)
 and ruck (u,p) & Wx Q s.t.
```

 $\frac{a(x,x)}{\int K(x)'u.x'-pdw'x'} = \int g'x'x'$   $\int dw'u q = 0 \qquad \forall q \in Q$ - b (q, u) This is a raddle fout frotlem:  $\begin{cases} a(u,v) + b(p,v) = F(v) & \forall v \in \mathbb{N} \\ b(q,u) = G(q) & \forall q \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ (5) (u,p) EWXQ = Kemark note that (4) and (5) we not coercive. Example 5 (Stokes system) Recall  $\int -\Delta u + \nabla p = f$   $\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} = 0$   $\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} = 0$   $\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} = 0$   $\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} = 0$ u velocity Sut W= Ho(e; IRd), Q= Lo(2) 1 yoro mean and variational formulation be: seek (u,p) E WxQ JUI: Pr - Pdir r = <f, => + r F W S dw 4 9 = 0 ¥q+Q -b(q,u)

This is again a saddle foint fortlem-

The Inf- Out Theory Let W, W be two Hilbert Macer with duals W, W. We identify W\* (and W\*) with W (and W) via Voluisz Refresentation Theorem. 'Sherrem (Banach-Wecas) Let B: WXW > IR be a contirmous bilmear form with norm 3 = 118371 = sup sup 3 [-1,w]
NEW WEIN 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | 11211 | Then there exists a linear operator BED(W, W) s.t. < Bx, w> = 3[x,w] +x+W, w+W, with perator norm 11B1=B. Moreover, the vilueour form B satisfus (A) ] <> 0: < sup (SEN) HATEN) (B) Y O ≠ W E W J J T E W : B [M W] ≠ O if and only if B: W is an iromorphism with (c) || B-1|| ≤ \( \frac{1}{2} \). Vernaur ks 1. Condition (A) is equivalent to < out sub 1/21/NIMIM 'This is responsible for the name inf-mt theory. 2. Condition (B) is equivalent to B[v,w]=0 + v ∈ W =) w=0.

3. Fake W=W and Bis coercive
(6) B[x,x]> \pi 112 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \tag{5.5} With that (6) implies (A) and (B) 4. Consider frollen: ziven f EW\* (=W) (7) NEW: B[N,W] = < f, W) W YWEW < B~,w> So (7) is equivalent to operator equation Thrown states that this has a unique solution and it is stable stability constant