

Project Report: Multi-Controlled U Gate Implementation

Ekrem Demirboga

October 13, 2025

Abstract

This report details the implementation of a Python function in Qiskit that constructs a multi-controlled unitary gate, denoted C^nU . This type of gate is a fundamental primitive in many advanced quantum algorithms, including Shor's algorithm and Grover's search. The implemented solution is based on the recursive decomposition method described by Barenco et al. (1995), which constructs a C^nU gate from lower-order controlled gates. The final code is verified to be functionally correct by comparing its unitary matrix against Qiskit's native implementations. We conclude with a detailed analysis of the algorithm's resource complexity, finding that the gate count and depth scale as $O(n^2)$, while the number of required ancilla qubits scales as $O(n)$, where n is the number of control qubits.

1 Introduction and Problem Definition

A multi-controlled unitary gate, C^nU , is a quantum gate that applies a single-qubit unitary operation U to a target qubit if and only if all n control qubits are in the state $|1\rangle$. If any control qubit is in the state $|0\rangle$, the gate acts as the identity. In other words,

$$C^nU |x\rangle_n |y\rangle_1 = \begin{cases} |x\rangle_n U |y\rangle_1, & \text{if } x = (1, 1, \dots, 1), \\ |x\rangle_n |y\rangle_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The project's goal was to write a Qiskit function that takes an integer n and a 2×2 unitary matrix U and returns a 'QuantumCircuit' object that correctly implements the C^nU operation. This requires decomposing the high-level gate into a sequence of more elementary gates that can be executed on quantum hardware.

2 Methodology: The Barenco et al. Decomposition

The core of the implementation is a recursive algorithm published in "Elementary Gates for Quantum Computation" by Barenco et al. (1995). The key insight is that a C^nU gate can be constructed from controlled gates with fewer controls.

Specifically, the decomposition relies on finding a unitary matrix V such that $V^2 = U$ (i.e., V is the matrix square root of U). The C^nU gate is then implemented via a five-step sequence involving singly-controlled V gates, their adjoints, and $(n - 1)$ -controlled gates. The general recursive step is as follows:

1. A singly-controlled V gate, with the n -th qubit as control and the target qubit as target.
2. A $C^{n-1}X$ gate (multi-controlled Toffoli), with the first $n - 1$ qubits as controls and the n -th qubit as target.
3. A singly-controlled V^\dagger gate, with the n -th qubit as control and the target qubit as target.
4. The same $C^{n-1}X$ gate as in step 2.
5. A $C^{n-1}V$ gate, with the first $n - 1$ qubits as controls and the original target qubit as target. This step is the recursive call.

This sequence ensures that if any of the first $n - 1$ controls are $|0\rangle$, the second and fourth steps do not execute, and the final step becomes the identity, causing the first and third steps to cancel each other out. The full U operation is only synthesized when all n controls are active.

3 Implementation Details

The methodology was implemented in the Python function `get_multi_controlled_u_circuit_corrected`.

3.1 Function Signature and Base Cases

```
def get_multi_controlled_u_circuit_corrected(n: int, U: np.ndarray) -> QuantumCircuit:
```

The function handles two base cases to terminate the recursion:

- **$n = 0$:** A gate with no controls is simply the U gate itself applied to the target.
- **$n = 1$:** A singly-controlled U gate can be created directly using Qiskit's built-in `.control(1)` method for efficiency.

3.2 Recursive Step

For ' $n \geq 1$ ', the function implements the five-step decomposition:

```
# Calculate the matrix square root of U
V = sqrtm(U)
V_dag = V.conj().T

# 1. Apply C(V) controlled by the last control qubit...
qc.append(UnitaryGate(V).control(1), [controls[-1], target])

# 2. Apply C^(n-1)X controlled by the first n-1 qubits...
qc.mcx(controls[:-1], controls[-1])

# 3. Apply C(V_dag) controlled by the last control qubit...
qc.append(UnitaryGate(V_dag).control(1), [controls[-1], target])

# 4. Apply C^(n-1)X controlled by the first n-1 qubits...
qc.mcx(controls[:-1], controls[-1])

# 5. Apply C^(n-1)V controlled by the first n-1 qubits... (recursive call)
c_n_minus_1_v_gate = get_multi_controlled_u_circuit_corrected(n - 1, V).to_gate()
qc.append(c_n_minus_1_v_gate, [*controls[:-1], target])
```

The use of high-level Qiskit methods like `qc.mcx()` and `.control()` is crucial, as they abstract away the complex and error-prone process of managing ancilla qubits and decomposing multi-controlled gates into hardware-native gates (like CNOTs and single-qubit rotations).

4 Verification

The correctness of the implementation was verified using Qiskit's `quantum_info.Operator` class, which computes the unitary matrix representation of a given circuit. The main test case was for $n = 2$ and $U = X$ (the Pauli-X gate), which should produce a Toffoli (CCX) gate. The resulting operator was compared against the operator of a reference circuit built using Qiskit's native `qc.mcx` gate. The `.equiv()` method, which checks for equality up to a global phase, confirmed that the generated circuit was correct.

5 Complexity and Resource Analysis

We analyze the gate count, circuit depth, and ancilla qubit requirements in the asymptotic limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

5.1 Gate Count ($G(n)$)

The decomposition constructs a $C^n U$ gate from one $C^{n-1} V$ gate, two $C(V)$ gates, and two $C^{n-1} X$ gates. The cost of a $C^k X$ gate, when decomposed using $k - 1$ ancillas, requires $O(k)$ elementary gates. The recurrence relation for the gate count $G(n)$ is:

$$G(n) = G(n - 1) + 2 \cdot G_{MCX}(n - 1) + C$$

where $G_{MCX}(k)$ is the gate count for a k -controlled MCX gate, which is $O(k)$.

$$G(n) = G(n - 1) + 2 \cdot O(n - 1) + C \approx G(n - 1) + c \cdot n$$

Unrolling this recurrence gives a sum of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n c \cdot i$, which is a triangular number. Therefore, the total gate count scales as:

$$G(n) = O(n^2)$$

5.2 Circuit Depth ($D(n)$)

Assuming gates on different qubits can be executed in parallel, the depth follows a similar recurrence. The depth of the standard MCX decomposition is also linear, $O(n)$.

$$D(n) = D(n - 1) + 2 \cdot D_{MCX}(n - 1) + C'$$

This leads to the same quadratic scaling as the gate count:

$$D(n) = O(n^2)$$

5.3 Ancilla Qubits ($A(n)$)

The recursive function itself does not declare ancillas. They are used internally by the `qc.mcx()` method. A standard decomposition of an MCX gate with k controls requires $k - 2$ clean ancilla qubits. In our circuit, the gates are applied sequentially, meaning ancillas can be reused. The largest requirement at any point comes from the $C^{n-1} X$ gate, which has $n - 1$ controls. This requires $(n - 1) - 2 = n - 3$ ancillas. Therefore, the number of ancillas required scales linearly with the number of controls:

$$A(n) = n - 3 = O(n)$$

6 Conclusion

The project successfully produced a working and verified Qiskit function for generating arbitrary multi-controlled U gates. The implementation correctly applies a well-known recursive decomposition, demonstrating its viability. The complexity analysis reveals that while powerful, this construction is resource-intensive, with gate count and depth growing quadratically with the number of controls. This highlights the significant overhead associated with implementing complex, high-level logical operations on quantum computers.