1. DATE - TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION
1 Jely 64 00,04.30Z	Bridgepart, Geliforn's
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION ALCONCLUSION ALCONCLUSION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	Witness believed objects to be Satellites. ECHC I and ECHO
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
Not Reported	Round bright object moving North to South, followed by a scaller diamer object. Balieved to be a satellite by witness were treeved of the satellite by witness.
6. TYPE OF OSSERVATION Tround-Visual	
7. COURSE	
South	
8. PHOTOS	
TEX No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	
O Yas D No	

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

AR

July 12, 1964

N. A. S. A. Tashington D.C.

Dear Sirs:

We have recently returned from a camping trip into the High Sierra at which time we observed a satelitte in orbit and we are wondering if, from the following description, you can tell us just what we saw.

We were near Bridgeport, Mono County, California, on the evening of July 1st - latitude, 38°, longitude, 119°, and at 9:30 p.m. (Daylight Savings Times) observed a round, bright object moving rapidly in the sky and travelling from Morth to South. It was followed by a smaller, dimmer object.

Our children are most anxious to know what they saw; we hope you can help us. Thank you very much.

Sincerely

Mira Alameda, Calif.

BEC'O HASA HE PIO

0

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO TELEW

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting, 1 Jul 64, Bridgeport, Mono County, California

27 JUL 1964

vo: Hq USAF SAFOI PB (Mrs Gaiser) Wash D C

- 1. Reference the attached letter from Mrs the requesting information on an unidentified object observed on the night of 1 Jul 64 by her children.
- 2. We have checked the satellite Echo I and II schedules and neither satellite was in the area at 9:30 pm on 1 Jul 64. These are the only observable satellites on which regualar schedules are published. It is possible that they observed one of the other less bright satellites, or a high flying aircraft. It is suggested that the Echo I and II schedules for San Francisco be forwarded to Mrs MacIntosh in order that her children may compare their original observation with those of known satellites.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Colonel, USAF

Deputy for Technology

and Subsystems

1 Atch

多

YOU - THE NUCLEUS OF SECURITY!

EC1) 0 II.

ECHO I

THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O

PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PER

BRIDGE FERT, CALL August 13, 1964 Dear Mrs. This is in reply to your letter of July 12, 1964, in which you requested information on a satellite observed during a camping trip to the High Sierres. A check was made of the ECHO I and II schedules for July 1st and it was found that neither satellite was in the area at 9:30 p.m. These two satellites are the only ones readily observed on which regular schedules are published. It is possible that you observed one of the other less bright satellites or perhaps a high flying aircraft. I regret that we cannot be more specific on this particular sighting. Sincerely, MASTON M. JACKS Major, USAF Public Information Division Office of Information Alameda, California