PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION
6 April 66 07/0500Z	Enid, Oklahoma (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Astro (METEOR)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Less than 1 Minute	Object was described as being 4 feet diameter, 6 feet long and round like a light bulb. Object appeared bright and appeared to be across the street but did not illuminate the area. The flight path was east to west with some slight descent. Investigating officer stated that several people in the area observed a meteor at the time of the observation and in the same relative position as described by the observer.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE E to W	
6. PHOTOS OXNo	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE O Yes OXNo	

FTD SEP 43 0-329 (TDE) Provious editions of this form mer be used

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS 3575TH PILOT TRAINING WING (ATC)
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA 73703



ATTN OF DOO-ILE

suspect Report of UTO

1 2 APK 1966

Mright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 151:33

The following information is submitted as required in paragraph 14,

- a. Description of the object:
 - (1) Shape: Round like a light bulb.
 - (2) Size compared to known object: L foot diameter, & foot long.
 - (3) Color: Thite.
 - (h) Shamber: One.
 - (4) Pormation, if more than one: Not applicable.
 - (6) and discernible features or details: Light bulk shape.
- side of chiect: This is included in the light with shape.
 - (3) Sound, if heard, describe sound: Not accompanied by sound.
- (9) Other pertinent or unusual features: Object appeared bright to the observer and just across the street, but light emitted did not illuminate the area.
 - b. Description of course of object:
- (1) The movement and brightness of the object attracted the observers attention.
- (2) Object appeared to be across the street from the observer and at telephone line heighth, nowing from left to wight (Bast to 19st) with some descent.
- (3) Object was still at approximately the same relative position except slightly lower than it disappeared.
- to lest) with some descent and was not accompanied by any other manerwars.

- (f) The object disappeared instantly to the might (jest) of the observer approximately one block.
 - (6) The object was visible to the observer less than one minute.
 - c. Manner of observation:
 - (1) Ground wishal.
 - (2) Optical aids mond: Mone.
 - (3) Not applicable, observer was standing on the ground.
 - d. Time and date of sighting:
 - (1) 0500# 7 April 1966.
 - (2). Light conditions: Night with moon.
- e. Location of observer: In driveway of home, Enid, Oklahoma, Latitude 3602111. Longitude 9705311.
 - f. Identifying information on observer:
- (1) Civilian, Mrs. Decupation: Part time haby sitter.
 Reliability of observer excellent.
 - g. Weather and winds aloft condition at time and place of sighting:
 - (1) Observer stated weather was clear.
- (2) Find direction and velocity in degrees and knots were as follows: Durface Oalm, 5,000! 2809 12 Thoms; 10,000! 3209 3% Knots; 16,000! 3209 63 Knots; 20,000! 3209 64 Thoms; 30,000! 3009 62 Knots; 10,000! 2009 9° Knots; 50,000! 2909 66 Knots; 80,000! (not available).
 - (3) Ceiling clear.
 - (h) Wisibility 15 miles.
 - (5) Amount of cloud cover: Hone.
 - (6) No thunderstorns in the area.
- (7) Verticle temperature madient: A shallow temperature inversion existed between 3,800' and 5,000' on the Tinker ATB Sounding.
 - h. Any other unusual activity or condition: None.
 - i. Interception or identification action taken: Mone.

- of any air traffic or balloon releases in the area: Mone.
- h. Position, title and comments of the preparing official: Chief, Operations Plans Tranch. On the evening and at the time of the observation other people observed a reteorite in the sky at the same relative position as described by the observer. The observer had spent the evening in the house vatching television at the home of a neighbor. As she opened the car door to ride home she observed the reported UFO. The only significant difference in her description and others can be explained by depth perception. The observer does not wear glasses and her descriptions do appear reliable. The depth perception error could be explained by the change from watching television inside and then coming outside suddenly into the darkness.
 - 1. Dristance of physical evidence: Mone.

FOR THE COMMANDER ..

THOMAS S REYNOLDS, 2011, USAF

Chief of Administrative Services