PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2 LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS
9 Apr 64	Ardmore, Oklahome. 4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION		D Was Balloon D Probably Bolloon D Passibly Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP			
Local 2010	XM Ground-Visual	D Ground-Rodor	Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft
GMT10/02104	D Air-Visual	D Air-Intercept Radar	
5. PHOTOS O Yes X P No	6. SOURCE Civilian		D. Probably Astronomical D. Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 10-15 Seconds	one one	P. COURSE Manuvered	Other
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING		11. COMMENTS	

to have manuvered after appearing in the West.
Impressi a was that object was extremely large.
Resembled xaxxxx an old blimp. Xxx appeared over trees in West. Made a sharp turn and 2188786664.
disintegrated.

possible a/c towing an auvertising banner. nequest for additional data not answered. Insuff data for evel.

Capt. Hector Quintanilla Jr.
Project Bluebook U.F.O.
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio


Dear Sir:


A recent United Press release by Emily R. Svellis mentioned your project.

I am enclosing a sketch made by me after seeing some type of object on 4-9-64 about 8:10 PM. I am not sure as to accuracy on number of windows or size. It was visible long enough to have counted, but the general overall dimensions and construction were so unusual I'm afraid I did'nt think on a scientific basis. It appeared much larger than object mentioned in article as seen in New Mexico by Mr. Zamora, more like the old type blimp as I remember them. The cloud in the sketch seemed very close, it appeared from back of trees in western sky over garage roof, from angle of object it must have been in process of sharp turn. The disintegration process of framework becoming fuzzy started while object was seemingly stationary, but process was quick and object moved on in same cirection, with framework clouding over when last visible.

If you tell me this was a weather or observation object belonging to the united States, it would make me very happy, since I find myself looking at each cloud with great suspicion. I would be glad to answer any questions if sketch seems of interest, but I would not like to have my name used in relation to the matter.

A stamped addressed envelope is enclosed and I would like to have sketch returned. The reason for sending it along was to avoid a wordy description. Thank you for your consideration.





HEADQUARTERS FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



ATTH OF: TOEW

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting, Ardmore, Oklahoma, 9 Apr 64

27 Jul 64

Wash D C 20330

- 1. Reference the attached letter from Misage requesting information on an unidentified flying object which she observed on 9 Apr 64. This letter is forwarded to your office for reply.
- 2. The information presented in Misself and etter contained insufficient data for evaluation. It is suggested that an FTD Form 164 be forwarded to her for completion.
- 3. The object cannot be associated with any known type of weather phenomena since app rently the object had definite shape and construction. The duration was omitted as well as motion of the object. The drawing indicates the probability of an aircraft towing a banner with electrical lights for advertising, with Miss Rollins observing only the banner. Similar sketches have been submitted by witnesses observing this phenomena. She could check the local airport and inquire as to various companies flying these advertising missions. They would no doubt keep a record of flights during Apr 64.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Colonel, USAF

Deputy for Technology and Subsystems l Atch a/s

3

YOU - THE NUCLEUS OF SECURITY!

Dear Mrs.

This is in reply to your letter of July 18, 1964, addressed to Captain Quintanilla at the Project Blue Book Office at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, on the subject of an Unidentified Flying Object you observed.

Inclosed is a Form 164 "U.S. Air Force Technical Information" questionnaire. It is requested that you complete this form and return it to this Headquarters. A self-addressed envelope is inclosed for your convenience. The information given in your letter was not sufficient enough to enable our Project Office to make an evaluation. The object you sketched cannot be associated with any known type of weather phenomena since it apparently had definite shape and construction. The drawing indicates the probability of an aircraft towing a banner with electrical lights for advertising. It may of course be possible that you observed only the banner. Similar sketches have been submitted by persons observing this phenomena. You might check your local airport and inquire as to various companies flying these advertising missions.

In the 164 questionnaire, would you list the duration of the sighting as well as the motion of the object, and complete the other questions in as much detail as possible.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Inclosure

Mrs.

Ardmore, Oklahoma

Modernous, Ekken

Ardmore, Ukla. 8-13-64

Major Maston M. Jacks, USAF Public Information Division Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

Thank you for acknowledging the sketch submitted. Since you state simular sketches submitted have been determined as advertising banners, I will accept this evaluation.

Due to lapse of time, and fact that I do not have a photographic or scientific mind, it does not appear that completion of your form 164 could be of much technical value.

Thank you for your consideration.

