PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

| 1. DATE . 24 July 1957 3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 14(30) GMT 5. PHOTOS GYes GINo | 2. LOCATION Norway 4. Type of observation C Ground-Visual D Air-Visual 6. SOURCE Civilian | N Ground-Radar | D Was Balloon D Probably Balloon D Possibly Balloon D Probably Aircraft D Probably Aircraft D Possibly Aircraft D Probably Aircraft D Possibly Aircraft D Possibly Astronomical D Probably Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical |
|---|---|---|---|
| 7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION | 8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS | 9. COURSE N/A | D Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown |
| Object noticed on photo after it had been developed. Obj was not seen at the time the photo was taken. Obj appears as doughout shape with terrific beam of light emanating fm the bottom. | | The obj appears to result fm diffusion os the sun's rays pass through an imperfection in glass. | |

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

UFO ANALYSIS CHEET

| Location Norway |
|--|
| Date 24 July 1957 Hour X 1430 |
| WX CLEAR |
| Description SEE PITOTOCKARY AND TRANSPARENCY |
| |
| |
| |
| Direction of Motion Nove - |
| Satellite: (AFCIN-4F3, Phone 69219) |
| |
| Astronomical Phenomena (Meteor, Comet, Planet, etc) |
| |
| Radar Analysis (AFCIN-4E1) |
| |
| Natural Phemomena (Ball Lightning, etc) |
| |
| Aircraft, Balloons, Airships, etc. |
| |
| Other This DOUECT APPEARS TO RESULT FROM AN |
| IMPERFECTION IN A ECASS THROUGH WHICH THIS PHOTO |
| Evaluation of Source Reliability Prosacy Groo- |
| |
| |
| Analysis and Conclusions: THE OSVECT MADERES TO RESULT FROM |
| DIFFUSION 95 THE SUN'S RAYS PASS THROUGH AN |
| IMPERECTION IN ELASS. THE OBJECT APPEARS OUT |
| OF FOCUS BEING MUCH CLOSER TO THE CAMBER THAN THE CANDSCAPE. THIS EDULD HAUS BEEN NOTHING MORE |
| - THE CAMERA |
| manufact of Spacetal Partition |
| COINTRACTOR TIME MINING |
| THE PHOTO'S THUEN THROUGH ELASS - Frein . |

In August 1959 an American tourist in Norway snapped a picture of a group of houses on a cliff above the seacoast, and was amazed to find some time later that the print showed a large white, doughnut-shaped object hovering in the sky above the coast. Puzzled by this apparent evidence of a flying saucer that was visible to the camera but not to her, she submitted the facts to ATIC investigators. Thorough study of the negative, the camera, possible sources of reflection in the landscape at the time the photograph was taken, all failed to account for the mysterious intruder. Obviously not a cloud, the image closely resembled a smoke ring, but the photographer had not been smoking and there were no sources of smoke in the neighborhood. The experts were bailled until one of them thought of a new possibility and again questioned the witness: had she by any chance been wearing a ring when she took the picture? She had-a sparkling diamond. If the angle of the sun, the direction she was facing, and the position of her ring finger in relation to the camera lens and to the sun had been exactly right, the annular image would have been reflected into the lens at the instant she snapped the picture. The resulting bright ring would look exactly like the UFO that appeared on the negative [1].

NORWAY

24 JULY 1957

of a find. The film was not developed for some time afterwards.


It was only after the film was developed that the witness noticed an unusual object. The witness had not observed the UFO while taking the picture. Also, the witness could not remember any sound.

The object appears to have resulted from diffusion as the sun's rays passed through an imperfection in glass. The object appears out of focus, being much closer to the camera than the landscape. This could have been nothing more than a flash to use photographer, as the camera passed through a specific position, but this coincided with the moment the photo was taken.

Saucer photographed over Norway

She never saw it!

O'NE DAY IN July, 1957. Mrs. William Felton Barrett, of Great Barrington, Mass., U.S.A. who was on a cruise in Norwegian waters with the Stella Maris, had gone ashore in Norway. Mrs. Barrett took a photograph of a fjord—she cannot now remember the name of it. The film was not developed for some time afterwards and then, to her amazement, this saucer, flying on edge with a terrific beam of light emanating from it, appeared on the print! Mrs. Barrett, who paid a visit to London recently, told flying saucer review that she had not seen the space ship visually, and all that she could see at the time was the actual view of the fjord and surroundings in the lens of the camera while taking the picture. Mrs. Barrett said there was no sound at all.



GLOWING OBJECT OVER GERMAN TOWN

A Renter report from Kaiserlanten, Germany, stated that people had seen a strange glowing object there on the night of September 2. One upon said he saw the object hovering to the night sky at a great height "right under the North Star for quite a while." A woman working in her kitchen reported "an object the size of a human list glowing red and very high up." Then the object shot up

at terrific speed emitting what looked like rays.


Her husband reported seeing a "noedle-like yellow point moving west at a very slow speed."


The staff duty officer at the United States air base at nearly Ranstein and "We have quite a few planes thought but none of them in this immediate area."





MAJOR LAWRENCE J. TACKER USAF
PUBLIC INFORMATION DIVISION
OFFICE OF INFORMATION SERVICES
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C.











EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

ROCHESTER 4. NEW YORK

LOCUST 2-5000

September 23, 1959

Dr. Allen Hynek Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory 60 Carden Street Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

Dear Allen:

GENERAL OFFICES

343 STATE STREET

Thank you for your confirming letter regarding our Corporate Membership. We might take you up on this business of exhibiting if we have something we think would be of interest to astronomers.

I am returning to you the 828 Kodachroma transparency which shows an unidentified image. Please note that we took the transparency out of its original cardboard frame. We did this to check on the edge marking of the film. This enabled us to tell the type of camera in which the picture was made. This is important because we wanted to know if the camera had a bellows. I am told that the camera was an 828 Bantam and that it does have a short bellows. The image, however, is not the type you would expect from a bellows leak.

We are quite sure the image is not an emulsion defect, nor is it a processing defect. It was obviously caused by light. There are verious opinions as to what could cause the image and, of course, none of these can be confirmed. It would be interesting to find out, however, whether the picture was taken through a car windshield, and if so, whether it was a highly curved windshield. Another possibility was reflection from a ring on a finger.

We have a number of people here who have been following the stories on "flying saucers". To them the photograph seems to verify all of the reports to date of size and shape. I'm airaid I haven't been of much help to you. We would be interested in the final outcome.

Best regards.

Yours very truly,

BCC

Manager

Special Sensitized Products Sales

WFSwann: FJL Enc.

No.

No.

Name
Oriet
Remarks
Retouched
Order Finished
Reorder
Reorder

SKY FARM - NORTH EGREMONT - MASSACHUSETTS

Sat.June 6th.1959

Secretary of Defense, Neil H.Mc Elroy Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Mc Elroy:

My friend and neighbor Mr. William H.Berridge, suggests I send you the enclosed photograph.

While in England last summer, I took the color transparency of this photograph to the Flying Saucer Review magzine; and the Editor, the Hon. Brinsley le Poer Trench was not only intensely interested, but used Fr the Nov. Dec. 1958 assue of their magazine.

Recently I received a letter from the Hon. Brimsley Trench asking if I would give a Mr. Armstrong of the firm of Neville Spearman Ltd. of 112 Whitfield St. London W.1., permission to reproduce this photograph on the book jacket of a book entitled "Contact with a Flying Saucer" by Dino Kraspedon which was published in Portuguese in Brazil in 1957 and is being translated in English by Mr. Armstrong's Firm.

I am also enclosing my one and only colored enlargement of the original transparency I took in Norway, so I would appreciate having it back. The transparency is at the photographers now, as I have promised several interested Beriodicals, colored copies.

I also quote from a letter received from PARTHENON-which is a non-profitting organization publishing "New-A@E"books, their address is PARTHENON, Cederatromsgatan 6, Halsingborg, Sweden. Quote: These lines are to ask you for the permission to reproduce the photo of a saucer flying on edge with a terrific beam of light taken in Norway in 1957, in the Sewdish edition on "Flying Saucers Fact or Fiction?" a booklet by Max Miller. Besides, we would like to make a lantern slide to add to our collection of slides of Flying Saucers. For this purpose we need a first class positive of the photo."

There seems to be so much interest in my snap-shot, I thought
I would follow Mr. Berridges advise and send you my colored print. I
have never been particularly interested nor believed in flying
saucers, but friends who are, atmare so excited about my photograph
I am beginning to believe you too might find it of some interest. At
any rate - I shall be interested in having your opinion on the subject.

Union Carbide & Carbon Corp. and was responsible for starting the Chemical division of that Co. As you probably snow he was also responsible for producing Helium Gas, used in dirigibles during the first world war. His record may be found in "Whos Who".

Sincerely yours

(Mrs.

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE ONIO

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: AFCIN-4E48

15 June 1959

SÜBJECT: Photograph of UFO (Mrs. Hard

TO: SAFIS-3 (Maj.

- 1. In order to assist the ATIC with evaluation and/or interpretation of the photo taken by Mrs. Barrett, the following information is requested:
 - a. Time.
 - b. Date.
 - c. Direction in which picture was taken.
 - d. Speed at which photo was taken.
 - e. Type camera.
 - f. Was witness alone or with others.

g. Latitude.

NICHOLAS POST

Assistant Deputy

Science and Components

1 Atch

Cy Ltr dtd 6 June 59

fm Mrs

B MURETT

RETUY TO

NOW OF: AFOIN-HELE

15 June 1959

SUBJECT: Photograph of UFO (Mrs. Wm. F. Barrett)

TO: AFU DI-HD

- 1. Attached is a photograph taken by Mrs. Water that of Great Barrington, Massachusetts. The witness states that when making the photo she saw only landscape in the finder. However, the picture when developed showed a bright circular image.
- 2. Your comments and/or analysis of this photograph are requested.
- 3. Mrs. Deliver has requested that we return the colored print to her on the completion of our study. It is requested that as much care as succeesful completion of your analysis will allow be given this photograph.

4. A copy of Mrs. Message letter is attached for additional

VINCENT G. RETHMAN Colonel, USAF AFCIN-AEA 2 Atch

1. Color Photo

2. Cy 1tr dtd 6 June 59 fr Mrs. Barrett

Robert Friend Major AFCID 950g 15 June 59

for Vincent C. Rethman, Cl USAF

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

24 June 1959

Dear Mrs. Parker

Your letter addressed to Secretary of Defense McElroy has been referred to the United States Air Force Air Technical Intelligence Center for analysis and/or evaluation.

In order to assist the ATIC in evaluating this photo, the following additional information is requested:

about 2 30 Pm -a. Time

Office July 20 - 457 -b. Date

Compared of the Character of the Character of the Continual State of the Character of t

As soon as the evaluation is completed, we will forward the results to you and return your photo.

Sincerely,

LAWRENCE J. TACKET

Major, USAF

Executive Officer

Public Information Division Office of Information Services

North Egremont, Massachusetts

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO

29 June 1959

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: AFCIN-4D4a

SUBJECT: Photograph of UFO (Mrs.

TO: AFCIN-4E43

1. The subject photography has been examined but no conclusion can be reached as to the cause of the apparent image. Possible causes are: defective photographic material, an accident of processing, an inadvertent double exposure, or some sort of reflection if the ewobniw a dgucadt nexas taken through a window,

2. It is suggested that the photograph be shown to experts at Eastman Kodak to assess the possibility of defective film, faulty processing, or lens reflections.

WILBER PRICE, JR. Miles

1. 11

AFC IN-4DA

1 Atch

1. Color Photo

Major Lawrence J. Tacker, USAF
Executive Officer
Fublic Information Division
Office of Information Services -- Office of the Secretary
Department of the Air Force
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing a letter written by you to Mrs. Massachusetts, with reference to her letter which was addressed to Secretary of Defense, McElroy.

Mrs. Parts has filled out, you will notice, the additional information, as requested. Since then, she has received a letter from the editor of the Swedish Magazine, PARTHENON Cederstromsgatan 6 Halsingborg, Sweden

he states that a friend of his during a vacation in Germanylast summer--1958--had a somewhat similar experience. One day he said he saw a circle of a strong beam of light in the sky.

In your letter you state that as soon as the evaluation is complete you will forward the results to Mrs. And and return your photograph. If your department cares to keep the enclosed photograph and film, you may do so, as I have had duplicates made of the original film.

Mrs. who is an intimate friend of my wife's, is now on her way to London, and therefore I should like to have you advise me about the evaluation, and I shall take pleasure in forwarding it to her.

Very truly yours,

Traces 3d. Quart

Frederic H. Curtiss

Enclosures: Letter to:
Film and photograph
FHC/g

This case contains 1 slide,

1 4"5" negative and 9 8"x 10"

photos.