PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION		12.	12. CONCLUSIONS	
14 Nov 60	Lake Ozark, Missouri		The second second	Was Balleon Probably Balleon	
Lessi CMT 15/0353Z S. PHOTOS CI YAN CI NA T. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	d. Type of observation Di Ground-Visual Ala-Visual S. SOURCE Civilian S. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	D Ground-Rodor D Air-Intercept Rodor	00 000 00		
Not given	one	N N. COMMENTS	1		
Object described as extremely brilliant with a large tail. Tail, or trail, was red with traces of blue and green. Object viewed as it crossed sky to N of witness. No sound heard in conjunction with sighting. Witness stated that it could have been a meteor.		Description matches that of a meteor of the "fireball" class. No evidence that this was not a meteor. Request to fill out questionnaire was sent, however no answer. Duration of sighting not included 1960 Theta I was reentering this date. It is possible that the object was a Satellite decay, however since the addit al information requested was not receive the case is listed as a meteor in view of the observers statement,			

ATIC FORM 329 (PRV 25 SEP 52)

17 January 1961

Dear Mr. N

Your letter reporting the sighting of an unidentified flying object contained insufficient information for a valid conclusion.

and your science teacher to complete in as such detail as possible and forward the completed document to the Aerospace Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Onio, Attention: AFCIN-4E2x for their analysis and/or evaluation.

Sincercly,

Incloaure

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Lt. Colonal, dSAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mr. Ji Lake Ozark, Missouri

> Comeback OI-3d Reader OI-1

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE OHIO

REPLY YO

AFCIN-4E2x

SUBSECT:

Request for Information on UFO

13 JAN 1983

vo: SAFOT-3d (L/Col Tacker)

It is requested that two ATIC Forms 164 (UFO Questionnaire) be forwarded to Mr. for completion by him and his science teacher.

Lake Ozark, Mo

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Colonel, USAF

Deputy for Science and Components


I am suguing about an much the Cobject which I some on Bour. 14 at about I minutes to ten. I don't believe in flying saucers fut decreed of take He Revail mare about which it some This object Souled like it could be a mileon but it seems to to be extremely Jarget its light from the tail, which had the colous of a bulliarband, 7. Trous of Alux Fyrun cound much of The sty to The north the didn't have a sound as at Mart I dich the hear seek. The and in read - some where that the object was seen in Cheo, Lidiana, & Memois about the rame server the was reported that no place or ballow was in the wien at that there, my Leur a tracher of austher stirdent white som this object the variety This you may hour to to me Las ford B. B. 3, 8 / dea 3016 :

APPEN-LEZE

Request for Information on Hro

SAFOT-34 (L/Sel Tagher)

for is requested that two ATTC Forms 164 (MTO Questionnaire) be forworded to it doors for completion by him and his science teacher.



FOR THE COMMANDIA:

PHILL' G. MVANS Colonel, USAF Deputy for Science and Components

U F O ANALYSIS SHEET				
DATE 14- NOV 1960 LOCATION LAKE OFARK, MO.				
DATE TIME GROUP LOCAL 8/55 GMT_15/0353				
PHOTO YES NO PHYSICAL SPECIMEN YES NO				
SOURCE MILITARY CIVILIAN TYPE OF OBSERVATION \/ SUAL_				
LENGTH OF OBSERVATION NUMBER OF OBJECTS COURSE				
SATELLITE: IN ORBIT: VERIFY YES: NO: V DECAY:				
ASTRONOMICAL PHENOMENA				
METEOR POSSIBLY FIREBALL				
STAR OR PLANET				
OTHER				
AIRCRAFT				
BALLOON				
INSUFFICIENT DATA				
OTHER				
REFLECTION INVERSION PRESENT PHOTO ANALYSIS				
SUN DOG LENTICULAR CLOUD PHYSICAL SPECIMEN ANALYSIS				
BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING: OBJECT DESCRIBED AS EXTREMSLY				
OR TRAIL WAS BED WITH TRACES OF BLUE.				
AND GREEN. CRIECT WAS VIEWED AS IT				
CEOSSED THE SKY NORTH OF THE WITMESS.				
THE WITHESS REPORTED THAT AND JOUNG WAS				
HEARD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS STENTING.				
WITHERS STATED THAT THE DEVECT COULD				
140 SE SECVE				

EVALUATION: CASE CATEGORY: TROSABLY METERS (FIREBALL)

CONCLUSION: THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS OBJECT MATCHES

THAT OF A CLASSIC METERS OF THE ELEKARL

CLASS. THESE IS NO EULOGNICE AUGILIABLE

WHICH WOLLD INDICATE THAT THE OBJECT

RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS SIGNIFICE WAS

NOT A METERS.