PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION
24 Oct 5.	Greenville - Ouis
. SOURCE	10. COMMELLISION
Civilian	INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
NUMBER OF CHIECTS	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
Unknown	Second hand report. Observer sent a 164 but it was not
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION >	returned.
N/A	
7. COURSE	
Unknown	
8. PHOTOS	
T Yes	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	
X No	

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

UFO Observation, 24 October 1967

Greenville, Ohio 45331 -

1. Reference your recent letter of October 25, 1967. With the information submitted, it would be extremely difficult to offer an explanation on your friend's observation. Essential data such as flight characteristics, maneuvers, and duration of sighting are quite important in a comprehensive evaluation. If your friend wishes to report his observation to the Air Force, he may do so by completing the attached FTD Form 164 and returning it in the envelope provided.

2. We did not receive any Uro reports from the Vandalia area on October 24, 1967. Thank you for bringing this observation to our attention.

MOMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF

1 Atch FTD Form 164 w/envelope I would like to inquire about an unusual sighting 15 miles north of Vandalia on October 24 between the hours of approximately 7 and 8 P.M. As you will recall, it was a very rainy, cloudy evening.

right as he was having some trouble with the picture on his television.

Then he looked up, he saw bright flashes, brighter than imaginable,

like those that are produced by the helium globes that sit at the end of
the runways. These bright flashes appeared about once every second. Even
with the use of binoculars, nothing but the bright flashes were visible.

The thought that this could be an airplane flying either very low and slow
or very high and fast; but he decided against this after considering that
there were no red or green taillights visible and that weather conditions
weren't favorable for flying. The flashes moved steadily to the south and
were not visible after three minutes, even with the use of the binoculars.

The then concluded that this was one of your weather balloons, Not being
one who believes instantly without proof in UNC's.

I would appreciate it if you would tell me if his conclusion was correct. Thank you.

