PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD 12. CONCLUSIONS 2. LOCATION 1. DATE Mewark, M.J. 17 Aug 52 Was Ballo in Probably Ballson Possibly believe 4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION 3. DATE-TIME GROUP Was Aircraft C Ground-Radar D Ground-Visual Local ___ 1500 D Probably Ameralt D Possibly Arctuit D Air-Visual D Air-Intercept Radar GNT. 5. Photos 6. SOURCE Was Astronomical Probably Astronomical CYes Attorney C Possibly Astronomical 8. NUMBER OF CBJECTS 1 9. COURSE 7. LENGTH OF COSERVATION III Insufficient Data for Evaluation

10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING

Brilliant silver color. Dirigible.

11. COMMENTS

Looked like blimp.

4 hrs since latest local balloon rel case from Mitchell AFB, AF

Unknown

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

VI. atean ACTION 20 Auc 52. 09 36: 20143 LINFOR INFORM WPD1 Si VDA 145 20 711 11:21 TVB15 TDC19F IPRE A2 9 DE JEPRB 71A R 1914 007 TO JEPHO! DIR OF INTEL HO USAF WASHINGTON D C JEDUP/ URI- PAT AFB OHIO JEDEN/ CG ENT AFE COLORADO SPRINGS COLO AIR TECH INTEL CEN ATTN ATIAA-2C MMI-8-1-E PD FLYOBRPT SIGHTING BY THE AT 157% HRS CHA 17 AUG 1952 CMA FROM NEWRK NJ GOLF COURSE OF BRILLIAMT SILVER OBJECT DIRIGIBLE SHAPED CHA PROCEEDING SOUTH AT HIGHT SPEED AT APPROXIMATELY 2000 FT ALTITUDE PD NO SOUND CHA NO VAPOR TRAIL PD NO DEFINITE INFO ON SIZE CHA SPEED OR ALTITUDE PD OBSERVER IS LOCAL ATTORNEY PD AF FORM 112 FOLLOWS PD/ END

19/19197 AUG JEPRE

Cef- 1

PROJECT 10073 WORKSHEET

I. GENERAL

| 1. DATE 2. | LOCATION | | 3. TIME |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------|
| ina in | 7 | | Zobra: 1500 |
| 4. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FRO | newack, 11. | 4. | Zebra: 1900 |
| | <i>V</i> | Maked Eye La Haked Eye La Binoculars Telescope La Theodolite | C) No |
| 5. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED BY | GROUND RADAR? | ☐ Yes ☐ By One Set ☐ By Two Sets ☐ By Three Sets | (i) No |
| 6. WAS OBJECT OBSERVED FRO | | A/C Observed C A/C Observed C Interception A No Intercept A | ttempted |
| 7. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLE | | A/C ScrambledA/C ScrambledVisual ContactA/I Contact Mad | Made de |
| E. DID OBJECT CHANGE DIREC | | Yes | [L]-No |
| 9. IF OBJECT WAS A "LIGHT" | , WAS IT: | [] Blinking [] Stoady | |
| 10. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGN | l'l': | [] 1-15 Seconds [] 1-5 Minutes [] Over 10 Minutes | unk. |
| 11. REPORTING AGENCY (Unit | | Address) | |
| | II. ASTRONOM | ICAL DATA | |
| 12. WHAT ASTRONOMICAL ACT | IVITY WAS NOTED? | | |
| 13. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO | ARCH DOWNWARD? | [] Yes | Elilo |
| 15. DID OBJECT HAVE A TAI | L? | [! Yes | · [E] No |
| 15. DID OBJECT APPEAR TO | DISINTEGRATE? | Yes | Litto |
| 16. TIME OF SIGHTING RELA | TIVE TO SUNRISE OR SU | SET (Data From Air Alman Sight Day Sunrise Sunset | ac) |
| | III. ATRCR | AFT DATA | |
| 17. WERE AIRCRAFT NOTED I | | Yes One Aircraft | Aircraft |
| 18. WAS ANY SOUND HEARD? | | 1 Yes | [I] No |
| 19. WERE THERE INDICATION | S OF HIGH BACKGROUND | NOISE? Yes | CENO |
| 20. WAS THE OBJECT VIEWED | ABOVE 450 ELEVATION? | T. Yes | Led No |

IV. BALLOON DATA

| a. Mittell b. C. d. (attach overlay) V. EVALUATION 21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: 22. DETAILS OF REPORT: Excellent | 1 | Location | Thomas | Launching Agency | Idghtad? | | | |
|--|-------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|--|--|
| c. d. (attach overlay) V. EVALUATION 21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: 22. DETAILS OF REPORT: Excellent | 8. | | Type | Laurencing highrey | 100 100 | 131,811,01113 | | |
| d. (attach overlay) V. EVALUATION 21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: 22. DETAILS OF REPORT: Excellent | | miliheel | | - | | | | |
| V. EVALUATION 21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: Excellent | 0. | | | | | | | |
| V. EVALUATION 21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: Excellent | c. | | | | | | | |
| V. EVALUATION 21. EVALUATION OF SOURCE: Excellent | d. | | | | | | | |
| Excellent | | | (attach ove | rlay) | | | | |
| Excellent Good Fair Poor Insufficient to Evaluate | | | | | | | | |
| Cood | 21. H | SVALUATION OF SOURCE: | 128 | . DETAILS OF REPORTS | | | | |
| Unreliable Extremely Doubtful Hoax 23. FINAL EVALUATION: Was Balloon Was Astronomical Probably Balloon Probably Astronomical Possibly Balloon Possibly Astronomical Was Aircraft Other: Probably Aircraft Insufficient Data For Evaluation Unknown Unknown | Cood | | | Fair Poor | | | | |
| □ Was Balloon □ Probably Ealloon □ Possibly Balloon □ Possibly Astronomical □ Was Aircraft □ Other: □ Probably Aircraft □ Other: □ Possibly Aircraft □ Insufficient Data For Evaluation □ Unknown | | Unreliable Extremely Doubtful Hoax | | | | | | |
| Probably Balloon Possibly Balloon Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft Thsufficient Data For Evaluation Unknown | 23. 1 | FINAL EVALUATION: | | | | | | |
| Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft Unknown | | Probably Balloon | | Probably Assertanical | | | | |
| Possibly Aircraft Unknown | | [] Was Aircraft | | Other: | | | | |
| | | | | I Insufficient | Data For E | aluation | | |
| 24. COMMENTS: | | | | Unknown | | | | |
| | 24. | COMMENTS: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

ATIC Test Form 334 (13 Aug 52)

PROJECT 10073 WEATHER DATA SH "

| ALTITUDE (feet) | VELOCITY (knots) | DIRECTION (degrees) | (feet) | VELOCITY (knots) | DIRECTION (degrees) | |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 0 | | | 25,000 | | | |
| 1,000 | | | 30,000 | | | |
| 2,000 | | | 35,000 | | | |
| 3,000 | | | 40,000 | , | | |
| 4,000 | | | 45,000 | | | |
| 5,000 | | | 50,000 | | | |
| 6,000 | | | 55,000 | | | |
| 7,000 | | | 60,000 | | | |
| 8,000 | | | 65,000 | | | |
| 9,000 | | | 70,000 | | | |
| 10,000 | | | 75,000 | | | |
| 12,000 | | | 80,000 | | | |
| 14,000 | | | 85,000 | | | |
| 16,000 | | | 90,000 | | | |
| 18,000 | | | 95,000 | | | |
| 20,000 | | | 100,000 | | | |
| | SION LAYER NOTE what altitude? | ED? | Yes | | C.] No | |
| . WERE ANY THU | NDERSTORMS NOT | | Yes | | [] No | |
| . CLOUD COVER: | (If yes, at what quadrant?) CLOUD COVER: tenths at feet tenths at feet. tenths at feet tenths at feet. | | | | 8. VISIBILITY WAS MILES. | |
| . COMMENTS: | | | | | | |