PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP	2. LOCATION			
10 Apr 67 19/0250%	Minneapolis, Minneseta (Multiple)			
a. source	10. CONCLUSION	III SALATI		
Civilian	BALLOON (Hot Air)			
1. NUMBER OF OBJECTS				
1-3				
S. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS			
40 minutes	Object was round. About the size of a breadbasket. Orang			
. TYPE OF OBSERVATION	These were sighted at one time. Occasionally			
Ground Visual	stream of flames or sparks. Flame was estimated at various			
7. COURSE	lengths. Travel was slower at lower distance. The orangle glow went out or appeared to vanish.	ge		
E-S-W				
8. PHOTOS				
n Yes				
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE				
II Yes				

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

Balloon Host air)

18 Apr 67 ". Misseapolis, Mico

Headquarters.

934th Troop Carrier Group, Medium (Reserve)
HNTHEDASTATES AIR FORCE
Minhapping-StrPaul International Airport
MNARAPPINGSMinheSota 55417
Minneapolis-S. Pa

	escription of the objects in the confunction
1.00	s Shape n Shape was round .
3	
4	. Color Orange glow
1	. Number wasted trom one object to as many as three
60	. Pormation if more than one Three were sighted at one time
2	
6	
S	
	estimated at various lengths up to 200 feet
100	■ 1000 (大きなない 1
1	Entit die aus verteilt van de
1.	Sirplane, and changed directions rather abruptly.
-	The street was a section of the sect
ע	esoription of course of objects
á	What first called the attention of observer to the object? Numerous sightings of the same objects were reported within a one hour period
	Angle: of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed aried from about 15° above the horizon
ь	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observatied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons
ь	Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in
0	Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in
b 0 d.	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it is disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west the southwest with occasional changes in direction.
b 0 d.	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observe Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance. In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange slow went out or
P 0 4 6	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it is disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange flow went out or appeared to regist.
P 0 4	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observe Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west by southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did, the object disappear? The grange flow went out or appared to resish. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was
P 0 4	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observe Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west by southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did, the object disappear? The orange glow went out or annaged to wants of the control of t
P 0 4 6 4	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west by southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange slow want out or appared to resish. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was
b o d : e 1	Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) _appeared to travel from northeast to west by southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? _The orange glow went out or _appeared to variety. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local timesoner of observations
b o d le ti	Varied from short 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with accasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The grange glow went out or appared to various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observations Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual
bod we man	Magle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange glow went out or appeared to variety. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visually or with his
bod we want	Magle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange glow went out or appeared to variety. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local times and of observation: Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visually or with his
bod of the Maria	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observe Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) Appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange glow went out or appeared to varies. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time annar of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visually of with his Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars) None used
DO OF TO THE ME TO OF	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observed from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooffous. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude)appeared to travel from northeast to west to southwest with accasional conges is direction. How did the object disappear?The orange alow went out orappeared to various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time arms of observations Method of observations Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.)Visual
D 0 4 10 4 10 0	Angle of elevation of object and azimuth of object when first observe Varied from about 15° above the horizon Angle of elevation of object upon disappearance In most bases it disappeared while at altitude, some lost it over rooftons. Description of flight path and maneuvers of object (elevations in azimuth, not altitude) appeared to travel from northeast to west in southwest with occasional changes in direction. How did the object disappear? The orange glow went out or appeared to varies. How long was the object visible? Reports indicate that it was visible in various parts of Minneapolis from 2010 to 2050 local time anner of observations. Method of observation (ground-visual, air-visual, radar etc.) Visual Mols Fall Gentral tower was unable to observe it visually or with his Statement as to optical aids (telescopes, binoculars) None used

* S. Greenwich date-time group of sighting and local time 13 pril 67 2010 to 2050 local (0210-02507)

		b. Light conditions (day, night, dawn, dusk) Night
-	5.	Location of observer (position with reference to a known landmark)
	6.	Identifying information on observer:
		a. Civilian: Name On file at 934th TCG Make Mailing address
		Occupation Mostly Stationis at M of M. Education Estimate of reliability Unable to judge reliability
		b. Military: Name None Grade
		Organization Duty Estimate of reliability
	7.	Weather and winds-aloft conditions at time and place of sightings;
		a. Observer's account of weather conditions Sky clear visibility good
		b. Report from nearest AWS of US Weather Bureau Office of wind direction and velocity in degrees and knots at surface, 6,000; 10,000; 16,000; 20,000; 30,000; 50,000; and 80,000 feet, if available. surface 080/09 3,000 050/10; 5,000 020/08; 10,000 320/14
		c. Ceiling Unlimited Visibility Unlimited Amount of cloud cover None Thunderstorms in area and quadrant in which located None
		d. Vertical temperature gradient Standard lapse rate (no inversion)
	8.	Any other unusual activity or condition, meterological, astronomical, or otherwise, that might account for the sighting a check with the M.S. ox Bureau, FAR Flight Service and Control Tower offered no specific cause of the sightings.
	9.	Interception or identification action taken (such action is authorized whenever feasible and in compliance with existing air defense directives)
	10.	Location, approximate altitude, and general direction of flight of any air traffic or balcon releases in the area that might possibly account for the signting: None reported
	12.	Position, title and comments of the preparing officer including his preliminary analysis of the possible cause of the sightings: I have investigated thru the agencies listed in item # 8 and therefore conclude that there objects were most likely hot air baloons with candles in the sact up by pranksters. UPO Project Officer: Capt John M. Wishos, 321th Tro., Carrier Group, Mpls St Paul Int'l art. Minneapplis, Minnesota 55117 Office Phone: Area cole 612 Pa 12915 Ex 533 AUTOVOM: 884-1400 Ext 533