PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS	
11 Feb 64	Brooklyn, New Yo	arc	Was Balloon Probably Balloon Dessibly Balloon Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft Probably Aircraft Probably Astronomical Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical Dessibly Astronomical	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local GMT	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual Air-Visual S. SOURCE	Ground-Radar D Air-Intercept Radar		
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION few seconds	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS multiple *(8)	9. COURSE South East		
buildings. Ran to corner disappear. Objects were and appeared to have substituted and appeared	in time to see them in a straight line oval shaped and white stance. About 3/4	Possibly a meter brief for evaluation	etions of some sort. eor (bolide). Data too	
Atmospheric Optics this up, because th	of 11 February would r group. Even at this 1 his chap Laufer said t ealize that his drawin	ate date, I think	a good one for the t we'll try to follow glad to supply any further ar to the original Arnold	

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

Carlo Church Shires Shire Date of L. after the other company of your 150 y 200 organia. or any comme i faryment

Brooklyn 30, N.Y. February 12, 1964

Office of the Secretary of the Air Force Washington 25, D.C.
Public Information Branch
Gentlemen;

On the night of February 11, 1964 I was walking home from a friend's house when I sighted what might have been a U.F.O. I was walking near a church behind which is a row of six story apartment buildings. At a minute or two before or after 11:15 P.M. I looked up and saw eight white objects travelling in a tight formation. Within a fraction of a second they passed MANAMAXEMS from over the edge of the church where I first saw them, to behind the apartment buildings. I ran to the corner of the block, in the direction the objects were travelling, just in time to see the KMMX objects disappear behind the apartment buildings across the street. Throughout all of this time the objects moved in a straight line toward the Southeast.

and drew the enclosed sketch. It is a representation of how to the scene locked from where I first sighted the objects. The objects themselves were oval shaped and white. They seemed very slightly fuzzy around the edges as though they might be glowing. I could see no surface features. They were about three-fourths the EKKE diameter of the moon and almost as bright as the full moon. I heard no sound as they passed over. They didn't emit any engine noise or such

I would like to know if any other reports were reported at the same time. What sort of explanation can you
suggest for this sighting?

I will be glad to supply any further information if necessary.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER. Contract to the second APAR MENT BOY CHORCH The track of the later Contract of the second TUES. 02/11/64 11-1500 Brooklyn, New York 11230

Office of the Secretary of the Air Force

AM -

Public Information Branch

Washington 25, D.C.

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, ONIO

ATTH OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting (11 Feb 64)

1364

Wash 25 D C (Mrs Gaiser)

- 1. Reference the attached letter from requesting information on a UFO Sighting.
- 2. The information in Manual letter, regarding his sighting of 11 Feb 64, contains most of the essential information necessary for evaluation. However, no positive identification can be made on a sighting this brief. There is a possibility of light reflections of some sort and while the objects did not have tails and appeared to be in two lines rather than one, a meteor cannot be ruled out. No other reports were received from the New York area at this time.

FOR THE COMMANDER

(Colonel, USAF

Deputy for Technology

and Subsystems

1 Atch

Ltr

March 3, 1964

Dear Mr

This is in reply to your letter of February 12, 1964, in which you reported an Unidentified Flying Object in the New York area on the night of February 11th.

The Air Force has been unable to make an evaluation of your observation because of the briefness of the sighting even though your letter contained most of the essential information necessary for evaluation. There is a possibility that you saw light reflections of some sort, and while the objects did not have tails and appeared to be in two lines rather than one, a meteor cannot be ruled out. No other reports were received from the New York area at this time.


We are inclosing the latest Fact Sheet on the Air Force program with respect to Unidentified Flying Objects. It is hoped that this Fact Sheet will be of interest to you and will help to explain why a sighting may remain "Unidentified" even though it contains all pertinent data necessary to suggest at least one valid hypothesis on its cause.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

1 Attachment


Brooklyn 30, New York



DEAR SIRS!

DEPARTMENT ABOUT SOME UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS I HAD SEEN. I HAVE HEARD NO. THING FROM YOUR DEPT. YOU MAY CONSIDER HY DIGHTING AND THE INFORMATION I SENT YOU TRIVIAL, BUT I DON'T. I ASKED A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS IN MY LETTER. I WOULD APPRECIATE A REPLY TO THEM.

WERE AND THERE ANY OTHER REPORTS OF SIGHTINGS THAT NIGHT (FEB. 11th)?
WHAT COULD YOU SUGGEST AS A CAUSE OF WHAT I SAW?



PROOKLYN 30, N.Y.



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

SAFOI