

# Emotion recognition impairment in Parkinson's disease patients without dementia

Elena Herrera <sup>a,\*</sup>, Fernando Cuetos <sup>a</sup>, Javier Rodríguez-Ferreiro <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Oviedo, Spain

<sup>b</sup> University of Barcelona, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 8 February 2011

Received in revised form 25 May 2011

Accepted 19 June 2011

Available online 12 July 2011

### Keywords:

Parkinson's disease

Emotion recognition

Neuropsychological assessment

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** Previous research has shown dementia and mild cognitive impairment to be present in some Parkinson's disease (PD) patients. Nevertheless, it is still not clear whether PD patients are also impaired on facial emotion recognition, nor it is whether this possible deficit is independent other cognitive impairment. The aim of this study is to assess the presence of emotion recognition deficits in a sample of PD patients with normal cognitive abilities, evaluated with several cognitive tasks widely used to detect cognitive impairment in this patient group.

**Method:** 40 non-demented (MMSE scores > 25) PD patients and 19 healthy older adults matched on demographic characteristics took part in the study. All of them were evaluated with a neuropsychological battery including tests aimed to assess the cognitive domains mainly affected by PD, as well as a facial emotion recognition task. **Results:** *t*-test analysis showed significant differences between PD and control groups in 6 cognitive tasks which were introduced in a sequential logistic regression analysis. The results confirmed the existence of a facial emotion recognition deficit in PD patients after controlling for demographic and cognitive characteristics of the participants.

**Conclusion:** Although none of the PD patients fulfilled criteria for dementia, many of them appeared to present deficits on recognition of facial emotions. This task should therefore be incorporated into future research to study the full range of early cognitive dysfunctions and non-motor symptoms presents in PD patients, and inclusion of this task in assessment protocols should be considered.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder typically diagnosed on the basis of the presence of motor symptoms (bradykinesia, rigidity and resting tremor). Those symptoms are the main consequence of the degeneration of dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra [1]. However, other non-motor characteristics like hyposmia [2], sleep disorders, anxiety, depression, memory, language, executive function impairments and even dementia [3–5] are also present in many PD patients. Recent neuropathological findings indicate that PD related pathology may extend to other brain areas, including the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus, the olfactory nuclei, the raphe nuclei, locus coeruleus, the reticular formation and some cortical regions [6] which could explain these non-motor symptoms. In addition, other symptoms like verbal and nonverbal communicative deficits remain partially unstudied, although they seem to be present in many PD patients. Thus, PD patients have been shown to present a reduced ability to produce spontaneous emotional expressions and co-speech gestures [7], as well as a specific impairment in recognizing facial emotions [8,9]. The results of these studies suggest that the original description of PD

should be updated into the concept of a multisystemic neurodegenerative disorder with multiple manifestations including non-motor symptoms such emotion recognition impairment [10].

The normal processing of facial emotions requires two different processes: perception and emotion recognition. Several brain areas situated in cortical and subcortical regions are related to the recognition of emotions from facial expressions, including the occipito-temporal cortex, the amygdala, the orbitofrontal cortex, the basal ganglia and the right parietal cortex [11]. Some of these areas, namely the nigrostriatal system, the amygdala and the insular cortex, have been demonstrated to be affected as a result of the PD-related pathology [6]. Recent neuroimaging studies have pointed out the relationship between gray matter loss in the orbitofrontal cortex and facial emotion recognition impairments in early PD patients [12]. Furthermore, a relationship between facial emotion categorization impairments and strategies of facial expression scanning has been found in PD patients [5]. In contrast, other studies have failed to demonstrate the existence of impairment in facial emotion recognition tasks in PD patients compared to healthy controls [11].

Previous research represents an important contribution to our knowledge about non-verbal deficits related to PD and has provided evidence for possible neuropathological substrates of these deficits. Thus, if only purely cognitive abilities are assessed, some information about non-motor symptoms related indirectly with cognitive process,

\* Corresponding author at: Facultad de Psicología, University of Oviedo, Plaza Feijóo s/n 33003, Oviedo, Spain. Tel.: +34 985103284; fax: +34 985104144.

E-mail address: [elenaherreragomez@gmail.com](mailto:elenaherreragomez@gmail.com) (E. Herrera).

like facial emotion recognition, could be ignored. Therefore, it seems necessary to design tasks that evaluate this nonverbal aspect of the patients that can affect their cognitive abilities and social behavior in order to establish the full range of deficits associated to the disease and to introduce adequate the therapy. The aim of this study was to assess the presence of emotion recognition deficits in a sample of PD patients with apparently normal cognitive abilities, assessed by means of several cognitive tasks widely used to detect cognitive impairment in this patient group. The appearance of some degree of emotion recognition impairment in our sample, even after demographic and cognitive characteristics have been controlled, would point out the existence of a specific and independent deficit related to facial emotion processing.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Participants

A group of 40 regular follow-up PD patients (14 females) with a mean age of 69.62 (range 55–85) and a group of 19 healthy seniors (8 females) with a mean age of 74.31 (range 57–81) participated in the study. All of them had Mini Mental State Examination [13] (MMSE) scores within the normal range (between 27 and 30) according to the Escribano-Aparicio norms for Spanish population [14]. The two groups of participants were matched on demographic characteristics (see Table 1). PD patients had been diagnosed according to the UK Parkinson's Disease Brain Bank criteria [15], prior to their participation in our study. All of them were studied on their dopaminergic treatment (Levodopa, agonists or both). Only patients that referred no subjective cognitive complaints or psychiatric and depressive symptoms (assessed with The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) [16] (HAD) took part in the study. In addition, information from their caregiver and their neurologist about cognitive functioning was also taken into account. Prior to the presentation of the experimental tests, the patients underwent a neuropsychological examination including the Tower of Hanoi, Stroop, and phonological (words beginning with the letter "p"), semantic (animals) and alternate fluency (a word beginning with the letter "p" and an animal, alternating both categories), as well as several tasks of the Barcelona Test-Revised [17], verbal and visual memory, attention, orientation, verbal comprehension and abstractions, to rule out dementia. Only native Spanish speakers with no history of alcohol abuse or neurological or psychiatric disorders other than PD were included in the study. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

### 2.2. Stimuli and tasks

The two groups of participants went through a test battery including facial emotion recognition tasks as well as other cognitive tasks frequently used with this kind of patients.

- Facial emotion recognition: participants were asked to categorize the emotions expressed in 18 photos of faces (half male, half female) selected from the MacBrain Face Stimulus Set [18]. The faces could express one of six different emotions: happiness, sadness, anger, surprise, disgust or fear.

In order to rule out severe cognitive impairment or dementia, we selected several tasks to assess other capacities known to be affected in PD patients even at the early stages of the disease. Both standardized tests and newly designed tasks were combined in order to examine six cognitive domains:

- Executive functions: the Go-nogo paradigm and Trail Making Test B (TMT-B), as well as, phonological (letter "F") and semantic ("tools") and actions fluency tasks were used.
- Memory: participants were orally presented with a list of ten words [19]. Immediately afterwards they were asked to recall as many words as possible, and once again after several minutes. In order to assess visual working memory, a grid including an increasing number of pseudorandomly distributed dots was presented for 10s. Then, the participant was asked to recall and mark the position of the dots. The task began with two dots and finished when the participant failed two consecutive items. This task was designed based on the visual memory task included in Wechsler's. [20]. In addition, verbal working memory was assessed using forward and backward versions of the digit span task [17].
- Attention: Trail making-A (TMT-A) and a visual search task where the participant had to mark the number 3 every time it appeared in a grid, including randomly distributed figures from 1 to 9, were used to assess attention. This task was an adaptation of the attention task from the Barcelona test [17].
- Visuospatial and constructive abilities: visuospatial abilities were assessed with dot and cube counting tasks from the Visual Object and Space Perception test [21] (VOSP). Participants were asked to count dots or cubes pseudorandomly distributed in a sheet of paper. Constructive abilities were examined by means of the cube copy task from the Montreal Cognitive Assessment test [22] (MOCA).
- Language: patients were asked to name 15 drawings of actions [23] using the infinitive form of a verb, and to produce the names of the famous persons from photographs of five national and five international celebrities.

### 2.3. Procedure

PD patients were recruited between October 2009 and March 2010 and completed the neuropsychological assessment in a soundproof room of the Álvarez-Buylla Hospital in Mieres, Asturias. Patients were evaluated in two sessions of about an hour that were scheduled 4 or 5 days apart. Each participant was run through the assessments individually.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

The scores of PD and control participants were compared by means of *t*-test analyses. Action and semantic fluency, visual search, action and famous faces naming as well as facial emotion recognition showed significant differences between the two groups. Then a sequential logistic regression analysis was conducted aimed to test whether a facial emotion recognition deficit was apparent in our PD sample, after controlling for the demographic and cognitive characteristics of the participants.

**Table 1**  
Summary of demographic characteristics of PD patients and healthy controls.

	n	No of females	Age mean (SD)	Years of education mean (SD)	MMSE (max. 30) mean (SD)	Years of disease evolution mean (SD)	Hoen and Yahr stage mean (SD)
Parkinson	40	14	69.50 (5.47)	8.07 (4.42)	28.57 (1.17)	7.22 (5.47)	1.56 (0.65)
Control	19	8	74.31 (8.22)	6.89 (3.03)	28.89 (0.73)	–	–

SD=Standard Deviation; MMSE=Mini Mental State Examination

**Table 2**

Summary of scores of Parkinson's disease patients and healthy controls in the neuropsychological battery.

	Task	Maximum score	PD Mean (SD)	HC Mean (SD)
Executive functions	Go-no-go	12	11.75 (1)	12 (0)
	TMT-B	25	19.82 (10.06)	24.68 (4.38)
	Phonological fluency	–	10.55 (4.23)	10.57 (1.86)
	Semantic fluency	–	11.2 (2.55)	10.47 (2.31)*
	Action fluency	–	12.45 (5.72)	11.89 (2.53)**
Memory	Immediate recall	10	4.72 (1.51)	5.15 (0.83)
	Delayed recall	10	3.15 (1.38)	3.89 (0.8)
Working memory	Visual WM	8	3.57 (1.5)	4.15 (0.68)
	Digit span forward	8	4.8 (0.91)	5.57 (1.01)
	Digit span backward	8	3.57 (1.08)	4.05 (0.62)
Language	Action naming	15	14.27 (1.03)	14.73 (0.56)*
	Famous people naming	10	6.65 (2.4)	8.21 (1.31)**
Attention	Visual search	10	9.07 (1.38)	9.84 (0.37)**
	TMT-A	25	24.17 (3.9)	25 (0)
Visuospatial/constructive skills	Point and cube counting	20	18.2 (1.85)	19.31 (0.74)
	Cube copy	3	1.62 (1.37)	2.10 (1.24)
Emotions	Recognition facial expressions	18	11.2 (2.01)	13.78 (0.91)**

PD = Parkinson's Disease; HC = Healthy Control; SD = Standard Deviation; Visual WM = Visual Working Memory; TMT = Trail Making Test.

\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .\*  $p < 0.05$ .

### 3. Results

The mean (SD) scores of the two groups of participants in the neuropsychological battery are presented in Table 2. A summary of the results of the analysis is presented in Table 3.

A sequential logistic regression analysis was conducted in order to establish whether facial emotion recognition differentiated between PD and healthy controls after controlling for demographic and

cognitive characteristics of the participants. The first step of the analysis included participants' socio-demographic characteristics, including age, sex and education ( $\chi^2(3) = 4.48$ ,  $p = .21$ ;  $R^2(\text{Nagelkerke}) = 0.1$ ). In the second step ( $\chi^2(4) = 17.63$ ,  $p = .001$ ;  $R^2(\text{Nagelkerke}) = 0.44$ ), scores obtained in the cognitive tasks that had been found to differ between the groups on  $t$ -test, namely semantic and action fluency, action naming and visual search task attention, were introduced in the analysis. The third step ( $\chi^2(1) = 10.57$ ,  $p = .001$ ;  $R^2(\text{Nagelkerke}) = 0.6$ ) included the scores obtained in the famous faces naming task, and finally, scores obtained in the facial emotion recognition task were included in the fourth step ( $\chi^2(1) = 19.19$ ,  $p < .001$ ;  $R^2(\text{Nagelkerke}) = 0.82$ ). A summary of the results of the logistic regression is presented in Table 3. There was a significant effect of the emotion recognition task even after controlling for all the other characteristics. Moreover, the model was able to accurately distinguish between healthy and PD participants in 91.5% of the cases. Thus, 94.7% of the healthy participants were classified correctly, whereas only 4 of the 40 PD participants were misclassified.

In order to provide a general description of the presence of cognitive deficits in the sample of PD patients studied, their scores were categorized as pathological if they were at least two standard deviations below the mean score of the control sample. Following this recent guidelines [24] 23 (57.5%) of the 40 patients were classified as cognitively intact, although 13 of them exhibited impaired emotion recognition. Taking into consideration the whole group of patients, 26 of them had an emotion recognition deficit (see Fig. 1).

### 4. Discussion


Only a few studies examining emotion recognition in Parkinson's disease patients have been conducted, and their results are far from being consistent. Therefore, carrying out an experiment aimed to detect emotion recognition deficits in PD patients with normal cognitive abilities was considered necessary. A sample of 40 PD patients and 19 healthy seniors were assessed with an extensive neuropsychological battery exploring all the cognitive domains generally found to be affected in PD such as executive functions, verbal fluency, attention, visuospatial abilities, memory and language. This allowed us to rule out dementia and severe cognitive impairment that could affect their performance on an emotion recognition task. On the basis of their results in the different tasks, the patients were classified as cognitively impaired or not. The cognitive impairment label was applied when their scores were two SD below the control mean. According to this criterion [21], our assessment revealed the

**Table 3**

Results of the sequential logistic regression.

	B (SE)	Odds ratio
Block 1		
Constant	4.57 (3.18)	
Age	−0.06 (0.04)	0.94
Years of education	0.03 (0.8)	1.03
Sex	0.32 (0.6)	1.4
Block 2		
Constant	35.56 (12.01)**	
Age	−0.07 (0.11)	0.93
Years of Education	0.12 (0.10)	1.13
Sex	1.07 (0.78)	2.92
Semantic fluency	0.07 (0.16)	1.07
Action fluency	0.02 (0.09)	1.02
Action naming	−0.78 (0.54)	0.45
Attention	−2.12 (0.8)5*	0.12
Block 3		
Constant	44.16 (16.84)**	
Age	−0.12 (0.06)	0.88
Years of Education	0.16 (0.14)	1.17
Sex	0.90 (0.85)	2.46
Semantic fluency	0.07 (0.22)	1.07
Action fluency	0.10 (0.11)	1.11
Action naming	−0.82 (0.86)	0.43
Attention	−1.96 (0.78)*	0.14
Famous faces naming	−0.87 (0.36)*	0.42
Block 4		
Constant	57.22 (26.70)*	
Age	−0.19 (0.13)	0.82
Years of Education	0.47 (0.32)	1.59
Sex	0.30 (1.22)	1.35
Semantic fluency	0.94 (0.62)	2.57
Action fluency	−0.13 (0.27)	0.87
Action naming	0.32 (1.03)	1.38
Attention	−2.41 (1.49)	0.90
Famous faces naming	−1.71 (1.01)	0.18
Emotion recognition	−2.49 (1.09)*	0.82

\*  $p < .05$ .\*\*  $p = .01$ .



**Fig. 1.** Percentage of PD patients and healthy controls with pathological scores in emotion recognition task.

existence of some degree of cognitive deterioration in 27 (42.5%) while 57.5% was considered as cognitively intact, a rate consistent with those obtained in previous studies [4]. It should be noted, however, that many of the allegedly non-cognitively impaired patients, presented a facial emotion processing deficit, to the point that impaired scores on this task were evenly distributed through the impaired and intact patient subgroups.

Therefore our data support the notion that some PD patients show an emotion recognition deficit in absence of other cognitive deficits that could explain it. This suggests that the emotion recognition deficit is independent from other cognitive impairments. The two tasks aimed to assess face processing (famous face naming and emotion recognition from facial expressions) revealed the existence of deficits not directly related to those cognitive capacities. Moreover, a sequential logistic regression showed that facial emotion recognition was able to distinguish between healthy and PD participants after demographic and cognitive characteristics of the participants had been controlled. This deficit had already been described in previous research [8,9], and possible links with early dopamine depletion in the nigrostriatal system [25] or atrophy of the orbitofrontal cortex and amygdala [10] have been suggested to explain it. A number of studies have described mild executive dysfunction in early PD patients and the role of impaired cortico-basal connections in determining them [26]. The fact that we have found facial emotion recognition deficits in PD patients with normal scores on executive function tasks, however, argues against attributing the emotional deficit to impaired cortico-basal connections. In contrast, our results are in line with data indicating that the amygdala could be damaged early during the course of Parkinson's disease [12].

In conclusion, the present study revealed the existence of an emotion processing impairment measured with facial emotion recognition in PD patients with normal global cognition and without subjective cognitive complaints.

According to our results, the assessment of face emotion recognitions should be incorporated into future research aimed to study the full range of non-verbal deficits present in PD patients in absence of pure dementia or severe cognitive impairment, and inclusion in assessment protocols should be considered in order to achieve a comprehensive description of the cognitive abilities present in PD patients.

## Acknowledgments

This investigation was funded by grant MCI-PSI2009-09299 from the Spanish Government.

## References

- [1] Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, Lees AJ. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;55:181–4.
- [2] Doty RL. Olfaction in Parkinson's Disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2007;13:225–8.
- [3] Elgh E, Domellöf M, Linder J, Edström M, Stenlund H, Forsgren L. Cognitive function in early Parkinson's disease: a population-based study. *Eur J Neurol* 2009;16(12):1278–84.
- [4] Rodríguez-Ferreiro J, Cueto F, Herrera E, Menéndez M, Ribacoba R. Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease without dementia. *Mov Disord* 2010;25(13):2136–41.
- [5] Aarsland D, Brønck K, Alves G, Tysnes O, Pedersen K, Ehrt U, et al. The spectrum of neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with early untreated Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;80(8):928.
- [6] Braak H, Tredici K, Rüb U, de Vos R, Jansen Steur E, Braak E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2003;24(2):197–211.
- [7] Gray HM, Tickle-Degnen L. A meta-analysis of performance on emotion recognition tasks in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychology* Mar 2010;24(2):176–91.
- [8] Clark US, Neagard S, Cronin-Golomb A. Specific impairments in the recognition of emotional facial expressions in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychologia* 2008;2300–9.
- [9] Ariatti A, Benuzzi F, Nichelli P. Recognition of emotions from visual and prosodic cues in Parkinson's disease. *Neurol Sci* 2008;219–27.
- [10] Assogna F, Pontieri FE, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G. The recognition of facial emotion expressions in Parkinson's disease. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2008;18(11):835–48.
- [11] Adolphs R. Neural systems for recognizing emotion. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2002;12(2):169–77.
- [12] Ibarretxe-Bilbao N, Junque C, Tolosa E, Martí MJ, Valldeoriola F, Bargallo N, et al. Neuroanatomical correlates of impaired decision-making and facial emotion recognition in early Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurosci* 2009;1162–71.
- [13] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12(3):189–98.
- [14] Escribano-Aparicio M, Pérez-Dívelly M, García-García F, Pérez-Martín A, Romero L, Ferrer G, et al. Validación del MMSE de Folstein en una población española de bajo nivel educativo. *Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol* 1999;34(6):319–26.
- [15] Fahn S, Marsden C, Calne D, Holstein N. Unified Parkinson's disease rating scale. Plurham Park, NJ: Macmillan Healthcare Information; 1987.
- [16] Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361–70.
- [17] Peña-Casanova J. Programa Integrado de Exploración Neuropsicológica – Test Barcelona. Masson, Barcelona; 2004.
- [18] MacBrain Face Stimulus Set. The Research Network on Early Experience and Brain Development.
- [19] Cueto-Vega F, Menéndez-González M, Calatayud-Noguera T. Descripción de un nuevo test para la detección precoz de la enfermedad de Alzheimer. *Rev Neurol* 2007;44(8):469–74.
- [20] Wechsler D. A standardized memory scale for clinical use. *J Psychol* 1945;19(1):87–95.
- [21] Warrington E, James M. The VOSP test of visual function. Windsor, UK: NFER; 1991.
- [22] Ziad SN, Natalie AP, Valérie B, Simon C, Victor W, Isabelle C, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53(4):695–9.
- [23] Druks J, Masterson J. An object & action naming battery. Psychology Press; 2000.
- [24] Dalrymple Alford JC, Livingston L, MacAskill MR, Graham C, Melzer TR, Porter RJ, et al. Characterizing mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2011.
- [25] Dujardin K, Blairy S, Defebvre L, Duhem Sp, Noddy I, Hess U, et al. Deficits in decoding emotional facial expressions in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychologia* 2004;239–50.
- [26] Levine BE, Katzen HL. Early cognitive changes and nondementing behavioral abnormalities in Parkinson's disease. *Adv Neurol* 2005;96:84–94.