## **Marking Guidelines for Assignment 1**

Mark	Overall Quality of Report (10%)	Specification (10%)	Analysis (10%)	Algorithm Design (10%)	Implementation (35%)	Robustness (5%)	Testing (20%)
90%-	all aspects covered; fluent and succinct presentation;	and knowledge	system constraints described succinctly but in enough detail	all aspects covered; appropriate design techniques used;	to problems encountered; a standard coding style followed strictly and throughout, the comments are clear and	robustness which can work in many different situations.	testing, all aspects
80%- 89%	structured; all	and knowledge	system constraints described succinctly but in	<i>'</i>	solutions to problems encountered; a clear coding style followed, the comments are clear and	robustness which can work in many different situations.	testing, all aspects
70%- 79%	structured; all aspects covered; fluent and succinct presentation; logically developed	understanding of functional requirements and knowledge with the ability to put the work into context and to	requirements, and system constraints	techniques used	solutions to complex problems encountered; coding style followed well; the comments are	which can work in several different situations.	Shows thorough testing, all aspects of system tested; well-chosen examples; system works as expected for all examples
60%- 69%	not be structured well; most aspects covered; mostly	functional requirements and knowledge, with no major	requirements, and system constraints described in sufficient detail	may not be structured; most aspects covered;	solutions to most problems encountered; coding style followed well; the comments are	which can work in several different situations.	Most aspects of system tested; most examples chosen are appropriate; system works as expected for most examples

Mark	Overall Quality of Report (10%)	Specification (10%)	Analysis (10%)	Algorithm Design (10%)	Implementation (35%)	Robustness (5%)	Testing (20%)
3117/0-	aspects omitted; satisfactory presentation; partly	understanding of functional requirements and knowledge, with the	and system constraints described in some detail	Design is satisfactory but may lack in depth or breadth; some aspects omitted; design techniques used are mostly appropriate	solutions to some problems encountered;	only work in some restricted situations.	Some aspects of system tested; some examples chosen are appropriate; system works as expected for some examples
	some faults; quite some aspects omitted; clumsy and repetitive	functional requirements and knowledge but very limited in depth or	and system constraints description displays some deficiencies and omissions	suitable design	to problems encountered; coding style followed not	only work in some restricted situations.	Some aspects of system tested; Only very few examples are chosen appropriately; system only works for a small portion of the examples
	large proportion of the report	fragmented understanding of	and system constraints described poorly	Suitable design methods are used to some extend but with flaws in use or omissions which negatively impacts on the work	solutions to problems encountered; coding style followed not completely; the comments missing or	poor robustness which can only work in very different case.	Many aspects of system not tested; examples chosen are not appropriate; system does not work for almost all examples
	deficiencies and omissions in a large proportion of the report	understanding of functional requirements	and system constraints described poorly	Serious lack of the use of suitable design methods and/or serious deficiencies and omissions in most of the design	no coding style applied; no comments used.	1 0	Almost no testing was described
0%- 9%	deficiencies and omissions in most of the report	evidence of		No use of design methods or virtually no design is given	understanding of material or virtually no realization	of the	No testing was described