

Aviation Investigation Final Report

Location: HOUSTON, Texas Accident Number: CEN20LA167

Date & Time: May 2, 2020, 02:03 Local Registration: N8375F

Aircraft: MD Helicopter 369 Aircraft Damage: Substantial

Defining Event: Loss of control in flight **Injuries:** 1 Fatal, 1 Serious

Flight Conducted Under: Public aircraft

Analysis

The pilot of the police helicopter reported that, while making a right orbit over a scene during a night flight, he felt a "strong vibration" in the controls, and the helicopter rotated rapidly to the right. The pilot recalled no unusual sounds, warning horns, or caution or warning lights before the event. According to the pilot, the helicopter was "spinning like [the] tail was not functioning," and he responded by performing the emergency procedure for "loss of tail rotor." He lowered the collective and pushed the cyclic forward "to gain forward airspeed and airflow over the vertical stabilizer." Automatic dependent surveillance data showed that the helicopter began a tight right turn as its groundspeed accelerated from 10 to 30 knots. The groundspeed remained at 30 knots for about 5 seconds before slowing to 20 knots. The right turn then continued and tightened, and the helicopter flew straight for the final 5 seconds of flight. The helicopter descended rapidly until it impacted an unoccupied building and terrain, which destroyed the helicopter.

Postaccident examination of the helicopter revealed no evidence of preimpact failures of the tail rotor control or drive systems. Further, there was no evidence found of a preimpact failure of the helicopter structure, main rotor system, cyclic and collective flight controls, or the engine. Based on the available data, the reason for the vibration described by the pilot could not be determined.

A video taken by a ground witness showed the helicopter in a rotating descent before impact. Evaluation of the video revealed that the helicopter's yaw rate increased from 146° to 178° per second while the helicopter was visible and that the helicopter's yaw was to the right, which was opposite the rotation of the main rotor blades. However, the video did not record the onset of the rotation.

A performance study considered whether a loss of tail rotor effectiveness (LTE) or a vortex ring state had occurred during the accident sequence. If the pilot's statement that he accelerated to

try to gain control of the spinning helicopter corresponds with the increase in speed from 10 to 30 knots, the yaw would have begun before that time and preceded the final tightening right turn. During the 30 seconds before the increase in speed, the helicopter was on a track where it would have encountered the reported winds as a right quartering headwind of low magnitude; this wind was not conducive to main rotor disc interference LTE, weathercock stability LTE, or tail rotor vortex ring state LTE. Further, the study determined that a vortex ring state was not consistent with the helicopter's apparent level flightpath at the likely onset of the spin, and a vortex ring state does not usually result in an uncontrolled spin.

Regarding loss of translational lift LTE, the performance study determined two factors that might have contributed to the uncommanded right yaw event: the increased anti-torque requirement when the helicopter was below the onset of translational lift and the right rolling moment induced by the introduction of translational lift when the helicopter was accelerating from low speed. However, the helicopter was not equipped with a flight recorder that could have provided additional data about when the yaw event began, the helicopter's attitude and power, and the pilot's inputs; therefore, the investigation could not determine the reason for the uncommanded right yaw.

Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

An uncommanded right yaw that occurred for reasons that could not be determined based on the available evidence, which resulted in a loss control.

Findings

Aircraft

Yaw control - Unknown/Not determined

Page 2 of 10 CEN20LA167

Factual Information

History of Flight

Maneuvering	Loss of control in flight (Defining event)	
Uncontrolled descent	Collision with terr/obj (non-CFIT)	

On May 2, 2020, about 0203 central daylight time, a MD 369E helicopter, N8375F, was destroyed when it was involved in an accident near Houston, Texas. The pilot sustained serious injuries, and the tactical flight officer sustained fatal injuries. The helicopter was operated as a Title 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 91 public aircraft flight.

The pilot reported that, before the flight, he performed and completed a preflight examination of the helicopter, a review of the maintenance records, a check of the weather conditions, and a safety assessment. The pilot stated that no anomalies or concerns were found. About 0104, the helicopter departed from William P. Hobby Airport (HOU), Houston, Texas, and began a patrol flight over the city of Houston. The pilot noted that, when flying over a scene, his normal procedure was to make right turns to orbit the scene to provide the tactical flight officer with, and allow the forward-looking infrared camera to capture, the best view of the scene. The pilot also noted that he generally flew the helicopter at an airspeed of at least 30 knots while orbiting.

The helicopter had successfully flown over several scenes before it approached a scene near the accident location and began orbiting to the right. The helicopter was completing its second orbit when it began an uncommanded rotation to the right. The pilot recalled that, just before the helicopter began to rotate, he felt a "strong vibration" in the controls. The pilot did not recall the helicopter's airspeed at the time, and he did not hear any unusual sounds or warning horns and did not see any caution or warning lights. According to the pilot, the helicopter was "spinning like [the] tail was not functioning," and he responded by performing the emergency procedure for "loss of tail rotor." He lowered the collective and pushed the cyclic forward "to gain forward airspeed and airflow over the vertical stabilizer." The helicopter continued to spin "very violently" so he also reduced power. He was wearing night vision goggles and began searching for a suitable landing area and any potential obstructions. The pilot's last memory of the event was maneuvering to avoid a building.

Automatic dependent surveillance-broadcast (ADS-B) data provided by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) showed that the helicopter approached the accident location just before 0200, slowed to a groundspeed between 40 and 60 knots, and descended to complete a right circling turn over the area at an altitude of 600 ft. One minute later, the helicopter began a right turn at an altitude of 500 ft. At 0202:20, the helicopter turned onto a southeasterly heading, and its groundspeed slowed to about 10 knots. At 0203:20, the helicopter began a tight right turn, and its groundspeed accelerated from 10 to 30 knots. The groundspeed

Page 3 of 10 CEN20LA167

remained at 30 knots for about 5 seconds before slowing to 20 knots. The right turn continued and tightened until 0203:39, and the helicopter flew straight for the final 5 seconds of flight.

A video taken by a witness on the ground showed the helicopter spinning from 0203:35 to 0203:44. The helicopter then impacted an unoccupied building and terrain.

Pilot Information

Certificate:	Commercial	Age:	35,Male
Airplane Rating(s):	Single-engine land; Multi-engine land	Seat Occupied:	Left
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	Helicopter	Restraint Used:	3-point
Instrument Rating(s):	Airplane	Second Pilot Present:	No
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed:	No
Medical Certification:	Class 2 Without waivers/limitations	Last FAA Medical Exam:	June 10, 2019
Occupational Pilot:	Yes	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	February 20, 2019
Flight Time:	(Estimated) 456 hours (Total, this make and model)		

Other flight crew Information

Certificate:	Private	Age:	35,Male
Airplane Rating(s):	Single-engine land	Seat Occupied:	Right
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	None	Restraint Used:	3-point
Instrument Rating(s):	None	Second Pilot Present:	No
Instructor Rating(s):	None	Toxicology Performed: Yes	
Medical Certification:	Class 3	Last FAA Medical Exam:	
Occupational Pilot:	No	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	
Flight Time:			

Page 4 of 10 CEN20LA167

Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

Aircraft Make:	MD Helicopter	Registration:	N8375F
Model/Series:	369 E	Aircraft Category:	Helicopter
Year of Manufacture:	2008	Amateur Built:	
Airworthiness Certificate:	Normal	Serial Number:	0586E
Landing Gear Type:	High skid	Seats:	2
Date/Type of Last Inspection:	March 18, 2020 Annual	Certified Max Gross Wt.:	3000 lbs
Time Since Last Inspection:	86 Hrs	Engines:	1 Turbo shaft
Airframe Total Time:	4787 Hrs at time of accident	Engine Manufacturer:	Rolls Royce
ELT:	Not installed	Engine Model/Series:	M250-C20B
Registered Owner:	City Of Houston	Rated Power:	420 Horsepower
Operator:	City Of Houston	Operating Certificate(s) Held:	None

Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

Conditions at Accident Site:	Visual (VMC)	Condition of Light:	Night
Conditions at Accident Site.	visual (VIVIC)	Condition of Light.	Nigit
Observation Facility, Elevation:	KIAH,105 ft msl	Distance from Accident Site:	3 Nautical Miles
Observation Time:	01:53 Local	Direction from Accident Site:	43°
Lowest Cloud Condition:	Few / 2500 ft AGL	Visibility	10 miles
Lowest Ceiling:		Visibility (RVR):	
Wind Speed/Gusts:	4 knots /	Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:	/
Wind Direction:	170°	Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:	/
Altimeter Setting:	30.03 inches Hg	Temperature/Dew Point:	20°C / 16°C
Precipitation and Obscuration:	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
Departure Point:	Houston, TX (HOU)	Type of Flight Plan Filed:	None
Destination:	Houston, TX (HOU)	Type of Clearance:	VFR flight following
Departure Time:	01:45 Local	Type of Airspace:	Class B

Page 5 of 10 CEN20LA167

Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	1 Fatal, 1 Serious	Aircraft Damage:	Substantial
Passenger Injuries:		Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:		Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	1 Fatal, 1 Serious	Latitude, Longitude:	29.947221,-95.395835(est)

The helicopter came to rest on its left side at the base of a building. The helicopter had initially impacted the roof of the building and subsequently fell to the ground. The wreckage area was compact with no significant debris trail and no evidence of a postcrash fire. All five main rotor blades were present at the accident site. The left skid tube was separated from the main fuselage, but the right skid remained attached. A longitudinal tear was observed along the skin and structure of the fuselage underside. The tailboom remained attached to the main fuselage, but the empennage (comprising the vertical fin, horizontal stabilizer, tail rotor gearbox, and tail rotor) was separated from the tailboom and came to rest on top of the right side of the helicopter near the engine bay. The tail rotor gearbox remained installed on the empennage, and both tail rotor blades remained attached to the tail rotor hub. A postaccident examination revealed no evidence of a preimpact failure of the helicopter structure, main rotor system, tail rotor system, cyclic and collective flight controls, or the engine.

The helicopter's fractured tail rotor driveshaft was examined by the National Transportation Safety Board's Material (NTSB's) Laboratory. The driveshaft had fractured in the middle of the shaft and adjacent to a cylindrical support sheath. The fracture was perpendicular to the axis of the shaft and had fracture features consistent with torsional overstress with some bending.

Medical and Pathological Information

Toxicology testing performed by the FAA's Forensic Sciences Laboratory identified ketamine and its metabolite norketamine in the tactical first officer's femoral blood. Medical records showed that ketamine had been administered during the attempted resuscitation of the tactical first officer after the accident.

Page 6 of 10 CEN20LA167

Evidence indicated that the pilot and the tactical flight officer were using three-point restraints at the time of impact. The pilot (in the left seat) was seriously injured as a result of compression spinal injuries and blunt force trauma injuries to his abdomen. The autopsy of the tactical flight officer (in the right seat) showed that his cause of death was multiple blunt force trauma, primarily to the abdomen. Both flight crewmembers wore flights helmets, but there was insufficient evidence to determine if the helmets reduced their head injuries.

Tests and Research

The NTSB conducted an aircraft performance study for this accident. ADS-B data and nearby weather observation information were used to examine the helicopter's performance. The data showed that the flight began from HOU about 0104 and lasted about 1 hour. During the flight, the helicopter's altitude varied between 400 and 700 ft mean sea level, and its groundspeed ranged between 20 and 130 knots.

The witness video of the accident helicopter was also evaluated. Although the helicopter could be seen spinning from 0203:35 to 0203:44, the video did not record the beginning of the spin. The video study determined the yaw rate was increasing from 146° to 178° per second while the helicopter was visible. The helicopter's yaw was to the right, opposite the rotation of the main rotor blades. The start of the yaw event could not be determined from the available video evidence. As previously noted, the pilot stated that he accelerated to try to gain control of the spinning helicopter. If the pilot's statement corresponds with the increase in speed from 10 to 30 knots at 0203:20, the yaw would have begun before that time.

The performance study considered whether a loss of tail rotor effectiveness (LTE) might have occurred during the accident sequence. LTE occurs when airflow through the tail rotor is altered such that there is no longer enough anti-torque thrust to keep the helicopter fuselage from yawing opposite the rotation of the main rotor blades. Helicopters with counterclockwise-rotating main rotor blades (such as the MD Helicopter 369) are at risk of LTE in low-speed flight when the wind is from the left or a tailwind exists. Between 0202:50 and 0203:20, the helicopter was on a track of about 137° and would have encountered the reported wind (4 knots from 170°) as a right quartering headwind of low magnitude. While on this track, the reported wind was not conductive to main rotor disc vortex interference LTE, weathercock stability LTE, or tail rotor vortex ring state LTE.

Page 7 of 10 CEN20LA167

The study also considered whether a vortex ring state might have occurred. A vortex ring state describes an aerodynamic condition in which a helicopter may be in a vertical descent with 20% to up to maximum power applied yet little or no climb performance. A vortex ring state was not consistent with the helicopter's apparent level flightpath at the likely onset of the spin, and a vortex ring state does not usually result in an uncontrolled spin.

FAA Advisory Circular 90-95, Unanticipated Right Yaw in Helicopters, discusses a type of LTE referred to as a loss of translational lift, which is lift due to the helicopter's forward motion. When a helicopter is at a speed below translational lift, more power is required for the helicopter to stay aloft, and the amount of anti-torque needed to maintain yaw control increases. Further, in slow forward flight, the air entering the tail rotor is disturbed by the main rotor and is less efficient. The transition to forward flight with translational lift typically occurs at a speed between 16 and 24 knots. During this transition, the anti-torque requirements change, and a pilot must make adjustments as needed.

As stated above, from 0202:50 to 0203:20, the helicopter was on a track of 137° with a 4-knot right quartering headwind. The helicopter's 10- to 15-knot groundspeed at the time along with the headwind would have made the helicopter susceptible to changing lift and power conditions; thus, the required anti-torque would also change. Without sufficient anti-torque, an uncommanded right yaw could have resulted.

In addition, as the helicopter accelerates and translational lift increases, it induces a right rolling motion, and, according to the FAA's *Helicopter Flying Handbook* (FAA-H-8083-21A), the helicopter pitches up. This pitch-up necessitates increased anti-torque and forward cyclic. Thus, if the helicopter experiences an uncommanded right yaw below the transition to translational lift, increasing power to increase speed could further contribute to the right yawing motion and need for anti-torque.

The performance study determined that the increased anti-torque requirement when below the onset of translational lift and right rolling moment induced by the introduction of translational lift when accelerating from low speed may have been factors contributing to the uncommanded right yaw event. However, without additional data about when the yaw event began, the helicopter's attitude and power, or pilot inputs, it is not possible to determine the reason for the uncommanded right yaw.

Additional Information

Page 8 of 10 CEN20LA167

The helicopter was not equipped, and was not required to be equipped, with a cockpit voice recorder or a flight data recorder. The helicopter was equipped with a Churchill navigation system, which is a recording device that allows airborne law enforcement vehicles to capture video and photographs from missions and augment the recordings with GPS data, including speed, heading, latitude and longitude position, and altitude. The last four videos on the system were examined as part of this investigation; no information relevant to the investigation was found. The last frame of the last video was recorded at 0128:23, about 35 minutes before the accident.

Page 9 of 10 CEN20LA167

Administrative Information

Malinowski, Edward	
Daniel Prince; Federal Aviation Administration; Houston, TX Joan Gregoire; MD Helicopters Inc; Mesa, AZ Nicholas P Shepler; Rolls Royce; Indianapolis, IN John J Hobby; Boeing Helicopter; Mesa, AZ Randy L. Martin (Sergeant); Houston Police Dept.; Houston, TX	
October 5, 2022	
Class 3	
The NTSB did not travel to the scene of this accident.	
https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=101226	

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, "accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person" (Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB's statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 United States Code section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 United States Code section 1154(b) is available here.

Page 10 of 10 CEN20LA167