

ANOVA table and F tests

1. For the model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$ we can write the ANOVA table as:

Source	df	SS	MS
Regression	k	$\hat{\beta}' X' Y - n \bar{y}^2$	SS_{Reg}/k
Error	$n - k - 1$	$\sum \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 = \hat{\epsilon}' \hat{\epsilon}$	$SSE_{\text{err}}/(n - k - 1) = s_\epsilon^2$
Total	$n - 1$	$\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = Y' Y - n \bar{y}^2$	

Note that $F = MS_{\text{Reg}}/MS_{\text{Error}}$ is a test of $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_k = 0 \mid \beta_0$.

2. To focus on the sequential fitting order of parameters, we can write the ANOVA table as, for example:

Source	df
$\beta_1 \mid \beta_0$	1
$\beta_2 \mid \beta_0, \beta_1$	1
\vdots	\vdots
$\beta_k \mid \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1}$	1
Error	$n - k - 1$
Total	$n - 1$

3. To test $H_0: C\beta = t$ we use an “additional sum of squares test.” The test has three steps.

- Fit the “full model”, which corresponds to the *alternative hypothesis*: get its SSE_{error} and df_{error}.
- Fit the “reduced model”, which agrees with the *null hypothesis*: get its SSE_{error} and df_{error}.
- Form the F -statistic and perform an F -test. Let

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{\text{error}_{\text{reduced}}} - SSE_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}}) / (df_{\text{error}_{\text{reduced}}} - df_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}})}{SSE_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}} / df_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}}}$$

The degrees of freedom for the F -test are $(df_{\text{error}_{\text{reduced}}} - df_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}})$ in the numerator and $df_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}}$ in the denominator.

The quantity

$$(SSE_{\text{error}_{\text{reduced}}} - SSE_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}})$$

in the numerator of the F -test is called the “additional sum of squares.” It refers to the change in the error sum of squares when a hypothesis is assumed to be true. The denominator of the F -test could also be called $MS_{\text{error}_{\text{full}}}$.

Residuals

There are several different definitions of residual. Here is a summary table of some of these definitions, including their names in R and SAS.

Definition	Type	R	SAS
$\hat{\epsilon}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$	raw	<code>residuals</code>	<code>residual</code>
$r_i = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i}{s_\epsilon \sqrt{1-h_{ii}}}$	internally studentized	<code>rstandard</code>	<code>student</code>
$t_i = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i}{s_{(i)} \sqrt{1-h_{ii}}}$	externally studentized	<code>rstudent</code>	<code>rstudent</code>

1. $\text{var}(\hat{\epsilon}_i) = \sigma_\epsilon^2(1 - h_{ii})$ where h_{ii} is from the “hat” matrix $H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$.

2. In simple linear regression,

$$h_{ii} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

3. In the formula for t_i , $s_{(i)}$ is the “leave one out” estimate of σ_ϵ based on the regression that is conducted on all the data except the i th case.

4. t_i can also be calculated as

$$t_i = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_{(i)}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{var}}(\hat{\epsilon}_{(i)})}}$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_{(i)}$ is the residual corresponding to the i th observation, but based on the regression calculated using all data except observation i .

In either case, t_i has a T distribution with $n - k - 2$ df. This suggests a formal test for an outlier:

- We identify the i th observation as an outlier if $|t_i| > T_{n-k-2, \alpha/2}$ where $T_{n-k-2, \alpha/2}$ satisfies $P(T_{n-k-2} > T_{n-k-2, \alpha/2}) = \alpha/2$.
- It is common in this situation to apply a Bonferroni correction and therefore use $\alpha = 0.05/n$.