Hypothesis Testing in GEEs

Recall GEE:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mathsf{D}_\mathsf{i}^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{V}_\mathsf{i}^{-1} (\mathsf{Y}_\mathsf{i} - \mu_\mathsf{i}) = \mathbf{0}$$

 $\Rightarrow \hat{oldsymbol{eta}} \sim \emph{N}(oldsymbol{eta}, oldsymbol{\Sigma})$ asymptotically.

$$\Sigma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}) (\mathbf{Y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i})^{T} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{i}\right\}$$
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{i}\right)^{-1}$$

where $\mathbf{D}_i = \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \beta^T}$, $\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{V}_{Mi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{V}_{Mi}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and \mathbf{R}_i is the working correlation matrix.

Wald Test

Full model:

$$g(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_1^T\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}^T}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$$

Reduced model:

$$g(\mu) = \mathbf{X}_1^T eta_1$$

Hypothesis:

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0$$
 v.s. $H_1: \beta_2 \neq 0$

Wald test:

$$\chi_W^2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2 \to \chi_q^2$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{\Sigma}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ are obtained under the full model.

Score Test (1)

Let
$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}_1 \\ \mathbf{U}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $\mathbf{U}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{D}_{1i}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mu_i)$,
$$\mathbf{D}_{1i} = \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \beta_1^T}$$
, and $\mathbf{U}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{D}_{2i}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mu_i)$, $\mathbf{D}_{2i} = \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \beta_2^T}$.
$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_i \mathbf{D}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_i$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i \mathbf{D}_{1i}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{1i} & \sum_i \mathbf{D}_{1i}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{2i} \\ \sum_i \mathbf{D}_{2i}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{1i} & \sum_i \mathbf{D}_{2i}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{2i} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Score Test (2)

$$\mathbf{B} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}) (\mathbf{Y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i})^{T} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{i}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11} & \mathbf{B}_{12} \\ \mathbf{B}_{21} & \mathbf{B}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{ik} = \sum \mathbf{D}_{ji}^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) (\mathbf{Y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{ki}$ for j, k = 1, 2. Then

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{s}}^2 = \mathbf{U}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1)^T \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1) \mathbf{U}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1) \quad \rightarrow \quad \chi_q^2$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{B}_{22} - \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{12} - \mathbf{B}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12} + \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{11} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12}.$

Likelihood Ratio Tests

Constructing a likelihood ratio test for GEE's is very difficult!

Main Reason: There may not be a unique objective function corresponding to the same GEE!

Approximate LR test: Hanfelt and Liang (1995, Biometrika, p461-477)

Pseudo Wald, Score, Likelihood Ratio Tests

(Rotnitzky and Jewell, 1990, Biometrika)

Key Results

- Study the asymptotic distributions of the naive Wald, Score, LR tests constructed by assuming the working correlation (e.g. Independence) is correct.
- The distributions of the naive Wald, Score and LR test statistics are asymptotically mixtures of chisquares.

Useful when the robust tests perform poorly (e.g. large number of observations within a cluster and few clusters)

Naive Wald and Score Tests

Hypothesis: $H_0: \beta_2 = 0 \text{ v.s. } H_1: \beta_2 \neq 0$

Naive Wald test:

$$\chi^2_{W*} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^T \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2$$

where
$$\mathbf{A} = (\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{D_i^T} \mathbf{V_i^{-1}} \mathbf{D_i^T})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Naive Score test:

$$\chi^2_{s*} = \mathbf{U}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1)^T \mathbf{A}_{22}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1) \mathbf{U}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1)$$

Asymptotic Distribution of Naive Tests

Theorem: Under H_0 , χ^2_{w*} and $\chi^2_{s*} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \chi^2_i$ where $\chi_1^2, \cdots, \chi_q^2$ are iid $\chi^2(1)$, and $c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_q$ are the eigenvalues of the limit of the matrix $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_0^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_1$ with

$$\mathbf{Q}_0 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_i$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) (\mathbf{Y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_i$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{i} = \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(1)} - \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(2)} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(2)^{T}} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(2)})^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(2)^{T}} \mathbf{V}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(1)})$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{i} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{D}_{i}^{(2)} \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c} n_{i} \times p \\ n_{i} \times q \end{array}$$

See Rotnitzky and Jewell Appendix 1 for full proof.



Remarks

- 1. The generalized Wald test $\chi^2_w = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2$ is a little bit more complicated to compute compared to the naive Wald test. However, its critical value is much easier to calculate.
- 2. The same is true for the score statistic.
- A special case of the naive Wald and score statistics is the independence working correlation.
- 4. One can use glm to calculate the naive Wald and score statistics and to calculate the critical values using the mixture of chi-squares.
- 5. If the working correlation is correct, then the distribution is just usual χ^2_{df} .
- Rotnitzky and Jewell also derive a naive LRT under working independence.

