

# Engineering Spring 2018 Course Evaluation Report for SP2018.E44.EECE.507.01 - Kinetics and Reaction Engineering Principles (Milorad Dudukovic)

Project Title: WashU Spring 2018 Course Evaluations

Project Audience: 13 Responses Received: 8 Response Ratio: 61.54%

#### **Report Comments**

Welcome to WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from the specified course/instructor pairing. In the case of multi-instructor courses, separate reports are available. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions, please contact evals@wustl.edu

Please Note: In order to protect student anonymity, reports are not generated for sections with fewer than 4 respondents.

Creation Date: Mon, Jul 02, 2018



#### **Course Administration**

Was a course syllabus or a course information sheet distributed or available online?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	6	75.00%
No	2	25.00%

Did the syllabus explain the content and administration of the course (e.g., office hours, grading)?


Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	7	87.50%
No	1	12.50%


Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	7	87.50%
No	1	12.50%

## **Rating Scale Responses**


		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
In retrospect, the syllabus was an accurate reflection of how the course was actually taught.	3.88	3.50	8	1.96	
The course matched the course catalog description.	5.00	5.00	8	1.51	






## **Instructor Evaluation**

Overall rating for teaching quality of Milorad Dudukovic.





#### Rating Scale Responses for Milorad Dudukovic


		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
The instructor made the course interesting.	3.43	2.00	7	2.30	
The instructor was enthusiastic about the course.	4.57	5.00	7	1.90	
The material was covered at a reasonable pace.	3.71	3.00	7	2.06	
The instructor was available to answer questions (through office hours, email, etc.).	4.86	5.00	7	1.77	
The instructor was well-organized and prepared for class.	3.29	2.00	7	2.29	
The instructor explained the course material so that you could understand it.	3.14	2.00	7	2.41	






# **Course Materials and Assignments**

## Overall rating of course content.






## **Rating Scale Responses**

		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures.	3.71	3.00	7	2.14	
Textbooks/readings were useful.	3.86	3.00	7	1.86	
Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course.	4.00	4.00	7	2.08	
There was reasonable time to complete assignments.	3.57	2.00	7	2.37	
Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time.	5.43	6.00	7	1.72	
Comments on graded work were helpful.	5.14	6.00	7	1.95	
Labs were an effective supplement to the course.	4.50	4.50	2	3.54	
The course material drew upon real world applications.	5.00	5.50	6	1.79	







# **Exams and Grade**

## Expected grade for this course.

Options	Count	Percentage
A	3	50.00%
В	2	33.33%
С	0	0.00%
D	0	0.00%
F	0	0.00%
P	1	16.67%

## **Rating Scale Responses**

		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
Exams reflected material taught.	4.00	5.00	7	2.31	
Adequate time was given to complete exams.	4.00	4.00	7	2.16	
Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material.	4.00	4.00	7	2.16	
The grading system was consistent and equitable.	3.86	4.00	7	2.27	





## **Overall**

About how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?

Options	Count	Percentage
0	0	0.00%
1-3	0	0.00%
4-6	3	50.00%
7-9	1	16.67%
10-12	1	16.67%
13-15	0	0.00%
Over 15	1	16.67%

## What percentage of the lectures did you attend?

Options	Count	Percentage
0%	0	0.00%
10%	0	0.00%
20%	0	0.00%
30%	0	0.00%
40%	0	0.00%
50%	0	0.00%
60%	0	0.00%
70%	0	0.00%
80%	1	16.67%
90%	4	66.67%
100%	1	16.67%

## Would you recommend this course to others?

