



# Engineering Spring 2018 Course Evaluation Report for SP2018.T81.INFO.509B.02 - Leading Teams and Projects (Lawrence Pionke)

Project Title: **WashU Spring 2018 Course Evaluations**

Project Audience: **7**

Responses Received: **5**

Response Ratio: **71.43%**

---

## Report Comments

Welcome to WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from the specified course/instructor pairing. In the case of multi-instructor courses, separate reports are available. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions, please contact [evals@wustl.edu](mailto:evals@wustl.edu)

Please Note: In order to protect student anonymity, reports are not generated for sections with fewer than 4 respondents.

---

Creation Date: **Mon, Jul 02, 2018**

## Course Administration

Was a course syllabus or a course information sheet distributed or available online?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	5	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

Did the syllabus explain the content and administration of the course (e.g., office hours, grading)?


Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	5	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?


Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	5	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

## Rating Scale Responses


Question	Score			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
In retrospect, the syllabus was an accurate reflection of how the course was actually taught.	7.00	7.00	5	0.00
The course matched the course catalog description.	6.80	7.00	5	0.45



1. In retrospect, the syllabus was an accurate reflection of how the course was actually taught.




2. The course matched the course catalog description.




## Instructor Evaluation

Overall rating for teaching quality of **Lawrence Pionke**.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5
Mean	5.80
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.84




## Rating Scale Responses for Lawrence Pionke


Question	Score			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The instructor made the course interesting.	6.00	6.00	5	0.71
The instructor was enthusiastic about the course.	6.80	7.00	5	0.45
The material was covered at a reasonable pace.	5.40	6.00	5	1.34
The instructor was available to answer questions (through office hours, email, etc.).	6.20	6.00	5	0.84
The instructor was well-organized and prepared for class.	6.60	7.00	5	0.55
The instructor explained the course material so that you could understand it.	6.20	6.00	5	0.84




1. The instructor made the course interesting.




2. The instructor was enthusiastic about the course.




3. The material was covered at a reasonable pace.




4. The instructor was available to answer questions (through office hours, email, etc.).



5. The instructor was well-organized and prepared for class.





6. The instructor explained the course material so that you could understand it.



## Course Materials and Assignments


Overall rating of course content.




## Rating Scale Responses

Question	Score			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures.	6.50	7.00	4	1.00
Textbooks/readings were useful.	6.25	6.50	4	0.96
Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course.	6.00	6.00	4	0.82
There was reasonable time to complete assignments.	5.60	6.00	5	1.52
Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time.	5.60	6.00	5	1.14
Comments on graded work were helpful.	7.00	7.00	5	0.00
Labs were an effective supplement to the course.	6.00	6.00	1	0.00
The course material drew upon real world applications.	6.00	6.00	4	0.82


### 1. Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures.




### 2. Textbooks/readings were useful.




### 3. Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course.




### 4. There was reasonable time to complete assignments.




### 5. Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time.




### 6. Comments on graded work were helpful.




### 7. Labs were an effective supplement to the course.




### 8. The course material drew upon real world applications.




1. Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures.




2. Textbooks/readings were useful.




3. Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course.




4. There was reasonable time to complete assignments.



5. Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time.




6. Comments on graded work were helpful.






#### 7. Labs were an effective supplement to the course.



#### 8. The course material drew upon real world applications.



## Exams and Grade


### Expected grade for this course.

Options	Count	Percentage
A	4	100.00%
B	0	0.00%
C	0	0.00%
D	0	0.00%
F	0	0.00%
P	0	0.00%


### Rating Scale Responses

Question	Score			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Exams reflected material taught.	5.80	6.00	5	0.84
Adequate time was given to complete exams.	5.60	6.00	5	1.14
Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material.	6.00	6.00	5	0.71
The grading system was consistent and equitable.	6.60	7.00	5	0.55


### 1. Exams reflected material taught.




### 2. Adequate time was given to complete exams.




### 3. Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material.




### 4. The grading system was consistent and equitable.




### 1. Exams reflected material taught.




### 2. Adequate time was given to complete exams.



### 3. Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material.



### 4. The grading system was consistent and equitable.



## Overall

About how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?

Options	Count	Percentage
0	0	0.00%
1-3	0	0.00%
4-6	4	80.00%
7-9	1	20.00%
10-12	0	0.00%
13-15	0	0.00%
Over 15	0	0.00%

What percentage of the lectures did you attend?

Options	Count	Percentage
0%	0	0.00%
10%	0	0.00%
20%	0	0.00%
30%	0	0.00%
40%	0	0.00%
50%	0	0.00%
60%	0	0.00%
70%	0	0.00%
80%	0	0.00%
90%	2	40.00%
100%	3	60.00%

Would you recommend this course to others?

