

Engineering Spring 2018 Course Evaluation Report for SP2018.E37.MEMS.350.02 - Engineering Mechanics III (Shaun Sellers)

Project Title: WashU Spring 2018 Course Evaluations

Project Audience: **27** Responses Received: **25** Response Ratio: **92.59**%

Report Comments

Welcome to WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from the specified course/instructor pairing. In the case of multi-instructor courses, separate reports are available. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions, please contact evals@wustl.edu

Please Note: In order to protect student anonymity, reports are not generated for sections with fewer than 4 respondents.

Creation Date: Mon, Jul 02, 2018



Course Administration

Was a course syllabus or a course information sheet distributed or available online?

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| Yes | 24 | 96.00% |
| No | 1 | 4.00% |

Did the syllabus explain the content and administration of the course (e.g., office hours, grading)?


| Options | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| Yes | 25 | 100.00% |
| No | 0 | 0.00% |


Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| Yes | 24 | 96.00% |
| No | 1 | 4.00% |

Rating Scale Responses


| | | Score | | | |
|---|------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Question | Mean | Median | Response Count | Standard Deviation | |
| In retrospect, the syllabus was an accurate reflection of how the course was actually taught. | 6.00 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.41 | |
| The course matched the course catalog description. | 6.04 | 6.00 | 24 | 1.33 | |






Instructor Evaluation

Overall rating for teaching quality of **Shaun Sellers**.





Rating Scale Responses for Shaun Sellers


| | | Score | | | |
|---|------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Question | Mean | Median | Response Count | Standard Deviation | |
| The instructor made the course interesting. | 5.08 | 5.00 | 25 | 1.61 | |
| The instructor was enthusiastic about the course. | 5.60 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.71 | |
| The material was covered at a reasonable pace. | 5.24 | 5.00 | 25 | 1.76 | |
| The instructor was available to answer questions (through office hours, email, etc.). | 4.96 | 5.00 | 25 | 1.86 | |
| The instructor was well-organized and prepared for class. | 5.50 | 6.00 | 24 | 1.69 | |
| The instructor explained the course material so that you could understand it. | 4.84 | 5.00 | 25 | 1.77 | |






Course Materials and Assignments

Overall rating of course content.






Rating Scale Responses

| | | Score | | | |
|---|------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Question | Mean | Median | Response Count | Standard Deviation | |
| Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures. | 5.48 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.83 | |
| Textbooks/readings were useful. | 5.44 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.73 | |
| Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course. | 5.84 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.62 | |
| There was reasonable time to complete assignments. | 5.52 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.78 | |
| Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time. | 5.48 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.61 | |
| Comments on graded work were helpful. | 4.17 | 4.00 | 24 | 1.74 | |
| Labs were an effective supplement to the course. | 3.50 | 3.50 | 6 | 2.43 | |
| The course material drew upon real world applications. | 5.08 | 5.00 | 24 | 1.64 | |








Exams and Grade

Expected grade for this course.

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| A | 8 | 33.33% |
| В | 12 | 50.00% |
| С | 4 | 16.67% |
| D | 0 | 0.00% |
| F | 0 | 0.00% |
| Р | 0 | 0.00% |

Rating Scale Responses

| | | Score | | | |
|--|------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Question | Mean | Median | Response Count | Standard Deviation | |
| Exams reflected material taught. | 5.92 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.38 | |
| Adequate time was given to complete exams. | 6.44 | 7.00 | 25 | 1.26 | |
| Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material. | 5.44 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.39 | |
| The grading system was consistent and equitable. | 5.68 | 6.00 | 25 | 1.49 | |





Overall

About how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 1-3 | 3 | 12.00% |
| 4-6 | 16 | 64.00% |
| 7-9 | 4 | 16.00% |
| 10-12 | 2 | 8.00% |
| 13-15 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Over 15 | 0 | 0.00% |

What percentage of the lectures did you attend?

| Options | Count | Percentage |
|---------|-------|------------|
| 0% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 10% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 20% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 30% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 40% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 50% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 60% | 1 | 4.00% |
| 70% | 1 | 4.00% |
| 80% | 1 | 4.00% |
| 90% | 11 | 44.00% |
| 100% | 11 | 44.00% |

Would you recommend this course to others?

