

Engineering Spring 2018 Course Evaluation Report for SP2018.E37.MEMS.205.C - Mechanics and Materials Science Laboratory (Shaun Sellers)

Project Title: WashU Spring 2018 Course Evaluations

Project Audience: 4
Responses Received: 4
Response Ratio: 100%

Report Comments

Welcome to WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from the specified course/instructor pairing. In the case of multi-instructor courses, separate reports are available. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions, please contact evals@wustl.edu

Please Note: In order to protect student anonymity, reports are not generated for sections with fewer than 4 respondents.

Creation Date: Mon, Jul 02, 2018



Course Administration

Was a course syllabus or a course information sheet distributed or available online?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	4	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

Did the syllabus explain the content and administration of the course (e.g., office hours, grading)?


Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	4	100.00%
No	0	0.00%


Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	4	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

Rating Scale Responses


		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
In retrospect, the syllabus was an accurate reflection of how the course was actually taught.	6.33	6.00	3	0.58	
The course matched the course catalog description.	6.00	6.00	2	0.00	






Instructor Evaluation

Overall rating for teaching quality of **Shaun Sellers**.





Rating Scale Responses for Shaun Sellers


		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
The instructor made the course interesting.	5.50	5.50	4	1.29	
The instructor was enthusiastic about the course.	5.50	5.50	4	1.29	
The material was covered at a reasonable pace.	5.75	6.00	4	1.26	
The instructor was available to answer questions (through office hours, email, etc.).	5.50	5.50	4	1.29	
The instructor was well-organized and prepared for class.	5.75	6.00	4	1.26	
The instructor explained the course material so that you could understand it.	5.75	6.00	4	1.26	






Course Materials and Assignments

Overall rating of course content.






Rating Scale Responses

		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures.	4.50	4.50	2	0.71	
Textbooks/readings were useful.	5.00	5.00	2	1.41	
Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course.	5.00	5.00	3	1.00	
There was reasonable time to complete assignments.	5.50	6.00	4	1.00	
Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time.	4.50	4.50	4	1.29	
Comments on graded work were helpful.	5.50	5.50	4	1.29	
Labs were an effective supplement to the course.	5.50	6.00	4	1.00	
The course material drew upon real world applications.	5.50	5.50	4	1.29	








Exams and Grade

Expected grade for this course.

Options	Count	Percentage
A	2	50.00%
В	2	50.00%
С	0	0.00%
D	0	0.00%
F	0	0.00%
Р	0	0.00%

Rating Scale Responses

		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
Exams reflected material taught.	5.50	5.50	2	2.12	
Adequate time was given to complete exams.	5.50	5.50	2	2.12	
Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material.	5.50	6.00	4	1.00	
The grading system was consistent and equitable.	5.00	5.00	4	1.15	





Overall

About how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?

Options	Count	Percentage
0	0	0.00%
1-3	0	0.00%
4-6	3	75.00%
7-9	0	0.00%
10-12	1	25.00%
13-15	0	0.00%
Over 15	0	0.00%

What percentage of the lectures did you attend?

Options	Count	Percentage
0%	0	0.00%
10%	0	0.00%
20%	0	0.00%
30%	0	0.00%
40%	0	0.00%
50%	0	0.00%
60%	0	0.00%
70%	0	0.00%
80%	1	25.00%
90%	0	0.00%
100%	3	75.00%

Would you recommend this course to others?

