

# Engineering Spring 2018 Course Evaluation Report for SP2018.E37.MEMS.3601.01 - Materials Engineering (Shankar Sastry)

Project Title: WashU Spring 2018 Course Evaluations

Project Audience: **8**Responses Received: **5**Response Ratio: **62.50%** 

#### **Report Comments**

Welcome to WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from the specified course/instructor pairing. In the case of multi-instructor courses, separate reports are available. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions, please contact evals@wustl.edu

Please Note: In order to protect student anonymity, reports are not generated for sections with fewer than 4 respondents.

Creation Date: Mon, Jul 02, 2018



#### **Course Administration**

Was a course syllabus or a course information sheet distributed or available online?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	5	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

Did the syllabus explain the content and administration of the course (e.g., office hours, grading)?


Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	5	100.00%
No	0	0.00%


Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	5	100.00%
No	0	0.00%

## **Rating Scale Responses**


		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
In retrospect, the syllabus was an accurate reflection of how the course was actually taught.	5.00	6.00	5	1.73	
The course matched the course catalog description.	5.60	6.00	5	1.14	






## **Instructor Evaluation**

Overall rating for teaching quality of Shankar Sastry.





#### Rating Scale Responses for Shankar Sastry


		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
The instructor made the course interesting.	3.80	4.00	5	1.48	
The instructor was enthusiastic about the course.	5.20	5.00	5	1.30	
The material was covered at a reasonable pace.	5.60	6.00	5	1.52	
The instructor was available to answer questions (through office hours, email, etc.).	5.60	6.00	5	1.52	
The instructor was well-organized and prepared for class.	5.00	5.00	5	2.45	
The instructor explained the course material so that you could understand it.	5.40	5.00	5	0.55	






# **Course Materials and Assignments**

## Overall rating of course content.






## **Rating Scale Responses**

		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
Textbooks/readings complemented the lectures.	4.80	5.00	5	2.28	
Textbooks/readings were useful.	4.80	5.00	5	2.28	
Assigned homeworks were helpful and relevant to the course.	4.80	5.00	5	1.30	
There was reasonable time to complete assignments.	4.60	5.00	5	1.82	
Assignments were returned within a reasonable period of time.	3.00	3.00	5	2.00	
Comments on graded work were helpful.	2.20	2.00	5	1.30	
Labs were an effective supplement to the course.	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
The course material drew upon real world applications.	6.20	6.00	5	0.84	








## **Exams and Grade**

## Expected grade for this course.

Options	Count	Percentage
A	2	40.00%
В	3	60.00%
С	0	0.00%
D	0	0.00%
F	0	0.00%
Р	0	0.00%

## **Rating Scale Responses**

		Score			
Question	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	
Exams reflected material taught.	4.40	4.00	5	2.30	
Adequate time was given to complete exams.	5.00	5.00	5	1.00	
Your grades to this point accurately reflect your understanding of the material.	4.60	5.00	5	1.67	
The grading system was consistent and equitable.	5.60	6.00	5	1.14	





## **Overall**

About how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of class?

Options	Count	Percentage
0	0	0.00%
1-3	1	20.00%
4-6	2	40.00%
7-9	2	40.00%
10-12	0	0.00%
13-15	0	0.00%
Over 15	0	0.00%

## What percentage of the lectures did you attend?

Options	Count	Percentage
0%	0	0.00%
10%	0	0.00%
20%	0	0.00%
30%	0	0.00%
40%	0	0.00%
50%	0	0.00%
60%	0	0.00%
70%	0	0.00%
80%	0	0.00%
90%	3	60.00%
100%	2	40.00%

## Would you recommend this course to others?

