

Entropy-Constrained Emergence of Intelligence and Knowledge: An Axiomatic Framework

Aslan Alwi^{1,2}, Munirah Munirah^{1,2}, and Almudaya Research Institute Team¹

¹Independent Researcher at Almudaya Research Institute, Yogyakarta,
Indonesia

²Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo, Indonesia, *Correspondence email:*
aslan.alwi@umpo.ac.id

January 2026

Abstract

Recent advances in non-equilibrium thermodynamics, complexity science, and artificial intelligence suggest deep connections between entropy, intelligence, and knowledge. While these connections are often discussed phenomenologically, a unified axiomatic formulation remains lacking. In this work, we propose an entropy-constrained axiomatic framework for the emergence of intelligent systems and knowledge. We introduce two postulates concerning the emergence of intelligence and knowledge from entropic conditions, followed by three entropy-dependent theorems relating entropy to (i) the probability of intelligent life, (ii) the maximal complexity of knowledge, and (iii) the realizability and complexity of artificial intelligence systems. The framework is explicitly probabilistic and conceptual in nature, aiming to clarify the scope, limits, and falsifiability of entropy-based emergence principles without overclaiming mathematical determinism.

1 Introduction

Entropy has historically been associated with disorder and degradation. However, developments in statistical mechanics and information theory have reframed entropy as a measure of uncertainty and possibility rather than mere disorder Jaynes, 1957; Shannon, 1948. In non-equilibrium systems, entropy gradients enable the emergence of stable, organized

structures—so-called dissipative structures—that persist by exporting entropy to their environment Nicolis and Prigogine, 1977; Prigogine and Stengers, 1984.

Parallel developments in artificial intelligence have revealed that intelligent behavior does not arise in deterministic or low-entropy environments, but rather depends on exposure to large, diverse, and noisy data distributions Bengio et al., 2013; Goodfellow et al., 2016. These observations motivate a foundational question: can the emergence of intelligence and knowledge be constrained by universal entropic principles?

This paper addresses that question by proposing an axiomatic framework linking entropy to the probability and complexity of intelligence and knowledge. The aim is not to provide mathematical proofs, but to formalize law-like relationships that are consistent with existing theory across physics, complexity science, and AI.

2 Scope and Epistemic Status

The statements presented in this work are not mathematical theorems in the strict formal sense. Instead, they are theoretical theorems: constraint-based propositions grounded in established results from thermodynamics, complexity theory, evolutionary biology, and artificial intelligence.

Entropy alone is neither sufficient nor deterministic in producing intelligence or knowledge. Rather, entropy imposes necessary conditions on the size and structure of the possibility space within which such phenomena may emerge. This probabilistic framing is consistent with Bayesian and information-theoretic perspectives on inference and learning Jaynes, 1957; Pearl, 1988.

Falsification of the framework would require demonstrating the sustained emergence of intelligence or complex knowledge in universes lacking sufficient entropic richness or structure. No such counterexamples are currently known.

3 Postulates

3.1 Postulate 1: Knowledge Emergence

All knowledge is emergent from structured stochasticity in the universe.

This postulate asserts that knowledge is not a primitive or a priori feature of reality. Instead, it arises from interactions between stochastic processes and structural constraints. Information theory formalizes this relationship by defining information as the reduction of uncertainty within probabilistic systems Shannon, 1948. Without stochasticity, there is nothing to learn; without structure, nothing can be retained or transmitted.

This view is consistent with Bayesian epistemology, in which knowledge is represented as probabilistic belief updated through evidence Pearl, 1988.

3.2 Postulate 2: Intelligence Emergence

All intelligent systems are emergent from the entropic conditions of the universe.

Intelligence—biological or artificial—requires environments that are neither fully deterministic nor maximally random. Environments with insufficient entropy permit behavior to be hard-coded, eliminating the adaptive advantage of intelligence. Environments with excessive entropy lack stable regularities, preventing learning and generalization.

This postulate aligns with results from complexity science indicating that adaptive computation emerges near the edge of chaos Kauffman, 1993; Langton, 1990.

4 Entropy-Constrained Theorems

4.1 Theorem 1: Entropy–Intelligence Emergence

The probability of the emergence of intelligent systems in a universe is a function of the universe’s accessible entropy, with maximal probability occurring in intermediate-to-high entropy regimes.

Intelligence becomes adaptive only when uncertainty exceeds the capacity of fixed rules. This relationship has been observed in computational systems operating near critical transitions between order and disorder Langton, 1990. Evolutionary and biological intelligence similarly arise in environments characterized by persistent variability and partial predictability.

4.2 Theorem 2: Entropy–Knowledge Complexity

The maximal complexity of knowledge attainable in a universe is bounded by the universe’s entropic structure.

Knowledge complexity depends on both the size of the possibility space and the stability of regularities within it. Algorithmic and evolutionary studies show that meaningful complexity arises between trivial compressibility and complete randomness Kauffman, 1993. Excessively low-entropy universes support only trivial knowledge, while excessively high-entropy universes cannot stabilize complex epistemic structures.

4.3 Theorem 3: Entropy–Artificial Intelligence Realizability

The realizability and complexity of artificial intelligence systems in a universe are constrained by the universe’s entropic richness.

Modern AI systems depend on high-entropy informational environments that provide diverse training data and probabilistic structure Bengio et al., 2013; Goodfellow et al., 2016. However, learning collapses in environments dominated by noise without stable regularities.

Artificial intelligence is therefore realizable only within specific entropic regimes that balance diversity and structure.

5 Interpretation and Consequences

Taken together, the postulates and theorems describe an entropy-constrained landscape in which intelligence and knowledge are contingent phenomena. Entropy does not guarantee intelligence, but it defines the conditions under which intelligence becomes probable and useful.

This framework is consistent with non-equilibrium thermodynamics, where adaptive structures arise as mechanisms for entropy dissipation England, 2013. Intelligence and knowledge may be interpreted as high-level strategies for navigating and exploiting entropic environments.

6 Conclusion

This paper has proposed an axiomatic framework linking entropy to the emergence and limits of intelligence and knowledge. By introducing entropy-constrained postulates and theorems, we have articulated how the probability, complexity, and realizability of epistemic systems depend on the entropic structure of the universe.

The framework reframes entropy not as the enemy of order or meaning, but as their enabling condition. Future work may formalize these ideas mathematically or explore their implications for cosmology, artificial intelligence, and the philosophy of knowledge.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the intellectual environment and collegial discussions provided by the Almudaya Research Institute and Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo. The author also thanks the broader research community in quantum information theory and causal modeling for foundational contributions that have influenced this work.

References

- Bengio, Y., Courville, A., & Vincent, P. (2013). Representation learning. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 35. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2013.50>
- England, J. L. (2013). Statistical physics of self-replication. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 139. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4818538>

- Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep learning*. MIT Press.
- Jaynes, E. T. (1957). Information theory and statistical mechanics. *Physical Review*, 106. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.106.620>
- Kauffman, S. A. (1993). *The origins of order*. Oxford University Press.
- Langton, C. G. (1990). Computation at the edge of chaos. *Physica D*, 42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789\(90\)90064-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(90)90064-V)
- Nicolis, G., & Prigogine, I. (1977). *Self-organization in nonequilibrium systems*. Wiley.
- Pearl, J. (1988). *Probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. (1984). *Order out of chaos*. Bantam Books.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x>