

# MINISTRY OF HEALTH National Ethics Committee for Health Research

# **Standard Operating Procedures**(SOP)

November 2008

#### **Preface**

National Ethics Committee for Health Research was first established by the Ministry of Health in 2002 to review all health research proposals to be conducted in the Kingdom of Cambodia. On November 8<sup>th</sup>, 2004, upon the request of the Ministry of Health, National Ethics Committee for Health Research was reestablished by the sub-decree No. 592.

Since 2002, the committee review research proposals based on a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), which was developed by the committee with the technical assistance from the consultants from World Health Organization (WHO). The document was developed based on the operational guidelines for ethics committee that review bio-medical research of WHO and inputs from a workshop on ethics in bio-medical research which was held from 8th to 10th of may 2002 at the National Institute of Public Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia.

The National Ethics Committee for Health Research in collaboration with WHO conducted a workshop to review the SOP from 13<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup>June 2006. The revised version of the SOP was published on 13<sup>th</sup>June, 2006.

This is the second revision to update the existing SOP with the support from the Forum for Ethical Review Committees in Asian and Western Pacific Region (FERCAP) and WHO.

This document is produced to guide the review practices of the NECHR as well as a guidance for researchers.

This document is effective from the signing date.

This document is subject to further revision whenever it is deemed necessary.

Phnom Penh, 18 November 2008

NECHR

Prof. ENG HUOT

# **Contents**

Objective	3
Scope	3
1. Role, Membership, Structure and office of NECHR	4
1.1. Role of NECHR	4
1.2. Membership of NECHR	4
1.3. Structure of NECHR	7
1.4. Offices	8
2. Review Requirements and Procedures	9
2.1. Application submission	9
2.2. Types of Review	10
2.3 Elements of Review	11
2.4. Follow up procedures	14
3. NECHR Meetings	15
3.1. Type of meeting	15
3.2. Quorum requirements:	15
3.3. Meeting procedure	15
4. Monitoring Protocol Implementation	19
4.1. Continuing Review Report Procedures	19
4.2. Final Study Report Review Procedures	20
4.3. Patient Feedback and Queries from Participants Procedures	21
4.4. Addressing Serious Adverse Events (SAE)	21
4.5. Field Site Monitoring Visits	23
4.6. Managing Study Termination	24
5. Management of Files and Records	26
5.1. Organize active study files	26
5.2. Keep and maintain files	26
5.3 Archiving documents	26

5.4. Re	etrieving documents	27
6. Audit .		28
	reparing for audit	
	uring an audituring an audit	
	fter the audit	
7.Revisio	on of SOPs and Preparing Guidelines	29
	evising SOPs	
	uideline Preparation	

# Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for National Ethics Committee for Health Research (NECHR) in Cambodia

# **Objective**

The objective of this SOP is to contribute to the effective functioning of the NECHR in Cambodia so that a quality and consistent ethical review mechanism for health and biomedical research is put in place.

# Scope

The SOP applies to all procedures related to the ethical review of protocols submitted to the NECHR and its operation and management. It covers the following sections:

- 1. Role, Membership, Structure and Office of NECHR
- 2. Review Requirements and Procedures
- 3. NECHR Meetings
- 4. Monitoring protocol implementation
- 5. Management of Files and Records
- 6. Audit
- 7. Revision of the SOP and Guideline Preparation

# 1. Role, Membership, Structure and office of NECHR

#### 1.1. Role of NECHR

NECHR will review and approve all types of research proposals involving human participants with a view to safeguard the dignity, rights, safety and well being of all actual or potential research participants. The goals of research, however important, should never be permitted to override the health and well being of the research subjects.

The NECHR will ensure that all the cardinal principles of research ethics viz. Respect for rights (Autonomy), Beneficence (Non-malfeasance) and Justice are taken care of in research protocols. For this purpose, it will look into the aspects of informed consent process, risk benefit ratio, distribution of burden and benefit and provisions for appropriate compensations wherever required. It will review the proposals before start of the studies as well as monitor the research throughout the study until and after completion by examining the annual reports and final reports. The committee will also examine whether all regulatory requirements and laws are complied with or not.

For the time being, NECHR is the only entrance for all research proposals to be reviewed. NECHR can seek advice from relevant departments or institutions. Later on, NECHR will consider setting up Institutional Review Boards (IRB) of reasonable field and number. NECHR will ensure that all registered IRB function well according to the NECHR guidelines.

## 1.2. Membership of NECHR

The membership of NECHR should include Epidemiologist(s), Sociologist(s), Layer(s), Statistician(s), Clinician(s), Microbiologist(s), Pharmacist(s). They should be proposed by the minister of Health and approved by the Royal Government of Cambodia based on their competencies and integrity, and could be

drawn from the Ministry of Health relevant departments and institutes. Experts from WHO and other organizations concerned can be invited by the committee as technical advisors.

NECHR membership should have:

- i. A Chairperson
- ii. Two Deputy Chairpersons
- iii. A secretary
- iv. 15 members from different Departments, Specialties, fields etc.

# 1.2.1. Authority under which NECHR is constituted:

NECHR is proposed by Minister of Health and nominated by the Royal Government of Cambodia.

# 1.2.2. Membership requirements:

- The members are proposed by the Ministry of Health. The members are drawn from different departments and specialties to give a multi-sectorial, multi-dimensional structure. Gender should be considered. Membership of NECHR consist of at least: Medical science, Non medical science and civil society organization
- Chairperson, vice chairpersons, members and a secretary are appointed by the Royal Government of Cambodia.
- A NECHR member can be replaced in the event of death or long-term assignments outside the country, absence for 5 consecutive meeting without any valid reason or for any misconduct deemed unfit for a member.
- A member can tender resignation from the committee with proper reasons, which should be acceptable to Chairperson and approved by the Royal Government of Cambodia.
- All members should maintain absolute confidentiality of all discussions during the meeting.
- All NECHR members should have training on human subject protection.

# 1.2.3. Role of Chairperson

- Chairs meetings
- Submits annual report to the Ministry of Health,
- Appoints the secretariat team members, independent consultants and other needed staff
- Assigns primary reviewers with appropriate expertise to review the protocol.
- 1.2.4. Role of Vice Chairperson— The first vice chairperson will replace the chairperson automatically in the absence of the chairperson.

#### 1.2.5. Role of Members

- Participate in meetings
- Review, discuss and consider research proposals and vote accordingly
- Monitor serious adverse event reports and recommend appropriate action
- Review the progress reports and monitor ongoing studies
- Evaluate final reports and outcomes
- Maintain confidentiality of the documents and deliberations
- Sign a confidentiality and conflict of interest agreement at the beginning of their appointment.
- Declare any conflict of interest during discussion and voting on protocols
- Participate in continuing education activities in biomedical ethics and biomedical research

# 1.2.6. Role of Secretary – heads the Secretariat

- 1.2.7. Updating knowledge of NECHR members
  - All relevant new guidelines to be brought to the attention of the members

- Members should attend initial training and be encouraged to attend national and international training programs in research ethics for maintaining quality in ethical review and to be aware of the latest developments in this area
- NECHR members who have attended research ethics training can provide training to other interested members.

1.2.8 Independent consultants can be invited in order to evaluate risk and benefit of specific research.

#### 1.3. Structure of NECHR

NECHR is chaired by Chairperson and vice chairpersons.

NECHR is administratively supported by a Secretariat. This Secretariat is headed by the Secretary of NECHR. Other Secretariat members are appointed by the Chairperson of NECHR.

# 1.3.1. Role of Secretariat

- Organizing an effective tracking procedures for protocols
- Preparing, maintaining and distributing study files
- · Organizing NECHR meetings
- Preparing meeting agenda and minutes
- · Maintaining documentation and archives
- Communicating the NECHR members and applicants
- Arranging training for personnel and NECHR members
- Organizing the preparation, review, revision and distribution of SOPs
- Providing the necessary administrative support for NECHR
- Providing updates on relevant and contemporary issues
- Receiving and distributing protocol for review based on expertise of individual members
- Reviewing protocol when appointed by the Chairperson but have no right to vote
- Declaring any conflict of interest

 Participating in continuing education activities in biomedical ethics and health research ethics.

# 1.3.2. Independent consultants

NECHR may call upon subject experts as independent consultants who may provide special review of selected research protocols, if need be. These experts may be specialists in ethical or legal aspects, specific diseases or methodologies, or represent specific communities, patient groups or special interest groups e.g. Cancer patients, HIV/AIDS positive persons or ethnic minorities. They are required to give their specialized views but do not take part in the decision making process which will be made by the members of the NECHR.

# Independent Consultants should be:

- Appointed by the Chairperson of the NECHR
- Providing assessment or advising on specific protocols
- Relevant professional qualifications required
- Willing to disclose and publicize full name, profession, and affiliation, financial accountability
- Willing to sign Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest Agreements regarding meeting deliberations, applications, information on research participants, and related matters.

#### 1.4. Offices

The National Institute of Public Health (NIPH) is the permanent office of the Committee.

# 2. Review Requirements and Procedures

# 2.1. Application submission

# 2.1.1. Application submission process

- All proposals are to be submitted in the prescribed application form, the details of which are given under the Documentation Section
- All relevant documents should enclose the application form
- 20 copies of the proposal along with the application in prescribed format to be submitted
- Electronic file of submitted proposal and related documents are required
- The date of meeting will be communicated to the researcher to be present, if necessary to offer clarifications.
- The decision will be communicated in writing. If revision is to be made, the revised document in 20 copies to be submitted.

# 2.1.2. Documents required for application submission

For a thorough and complete review, all research proposals are to be submitted with the following documents:

- Name of the applicant with designation
- Name of the Institute/ Hospital /Field area where research will be conducted
- Approval of the Director of the Institution where researcher is belong to
- Summary of the protocol in Khmer and English
- Full protocol of the proposed research
- Ethical issues in the study and plans to address these issues including statement describing compensation for study subjects for participation and/or study related injuries.
- Study tools : Questionnaires, follow up card, etc. in khmer

- Patient information sheet and informed consent form in Khmer
- For any drug/device trial, all relevant pre-clinical animal data and clinical trial data from other countries, if applicable.
- Curriculum vitae of all the investigators with relevant publications in last five years
- Any other relevant regulatory clearances and support
- Source of funding and financial requirements for the report.
- An agreement to report any serious side effects or adverse drug reactions to NECHR if applicable.
- · Statement of conflicts of interest, if any.
- Any other information relevant to the study
- The review fee of an equivalent amount of US\$ 400 for research proposals except research conducted by students (without sponsors) for education/academic purpose. In case the proposal needs to be revised, the next review will not be charged.

# 2.2. Types of Review

With the approval from the Chairperson of NECHR, secretariat determines what type of review is required for each protocol received.

# 2.2.1. Expedited Review

## 2.2.1.1. Eligibility criteria

- Non-significant risk protocols
  - Protocols that are non-confidential
  - Not likely to harm/to offend
  - Involve non-invasive/routine procedures (finger stick collection of blood samples but not use of xrays or microwaves)
- Protocols that use previously collected data/specimens
- Minor revision of previously approved protocol

# 2.2.1.2. Expedited Review Procedures

- The Chairperson nominates 2 or more NECHR members or secretariat team members to review the protocol (previous reviewers if resubmission)
- The reviewers review complete protocol.
  - Circulate protocol for comments or telephone discussion or meeting to arrive at a consensus.
  - In the absence of consensus or if NECHR member expresses concern, protocol is referred for full review.
- The reviewers forward the decision to the secretariat that notifies the chair.
- Communicate the decision to the investigators.

#### 2.2.2. Full Review Procedures:

- Chairperson assigns 2 or 3 primary reviewers (committee's members or secretariat team members) with appropriate expertise to review the protocol.
- The primary reviewers use the assessment form to review the protocol.
- All proposals for review will be sent to all members at least 2 weeks in advance.
- The primary reviewers report their assessment during the meeting.
- Independent consultants/Experts will be invited to offer their opinion on specific research proposals if needed
- Researchers will be invited to offer clarifications if needed.
- Decisions will be taken by majority vote
- The decisions will be recorded and Chairperson's approval taken in writing.

#### 2.3 Elements of Review

# 2.3.1. Review of new protocol

- 2.3.1.1 Primary reviewers review protocol and related documents:
  - Scientific design and conduct of the study.
  - Approval of appropriate scientific review committees.
  - Examination of predictable risks/harms.
  - Examination of potential benefits.
  - Procedure for selection of subjects: Exclusion/ Inclusion criteria.
  - Management of research related injuries, side effects, ADRs (Adverse Drug Reaction).
  - Provision for monitoring safety
  - Involvement of vulnerable participants
  - Justification for placebo in control arm, if any.
  - Availability of products after the study, if applicable.
  - Protection of privacy and confidentiality.
  - Involvement of the community, wherever necessary.
  - Plans for data analysis and reporting
  - Adherence to all regulatory requirements
  - Ownership of the research data and results (Ref. Article 12 &13 of the Ethical Guidelines for Health Research Involving Human Subjects, MoH)

#### Quotes:

"Article 12: Study reports and data

Any research carried out in the Kingdom of Cambodia, whether locally or externally sponsored or administered, should have a copy of the research report deposited at the National Institute of Public Health and their data belong to Cambodia.

Article 13: Release of the study results

Study results should be published without any interference from the sponsoring or administrative authorities".

- 2.3.1.2. Primary reviewers examine qualifications of investigators and staff
  - Related training and experience
  - Current license

- Track record
- Current involvement
- Disclosure of conflict of interest
- Role of team members (physician adviser)
- Adequate number of staff

# 2.3.1.3 Primary reviewers evaluate study sites

- Adequate staff support (Ex. Physician or staff on call)
- Number of current projects
- Adequate physical facilities
- Protection of privacy and confidentiality of data

# 2.3.1.4 Primary reviewers review the following in community research

- Community involvement and impact
- Community participation
- Local involvement
- Local capability building
- Benefit to local communities
- Availability of study results

# 2.3.1.5 Primary reviewers review the following elements in the consent form

- Statement that study involves research
- Explains purposes of research
- Expected duration of subject participation
- Description of procedures to be followed
- Identification of experimental procedures
- Description of foreseeable risks and discomforts
- Description of benefits to the subject and others
- Disclosure of alternative procedures
- Description of how extent of confidentiality or records will be maintained
- Compensation and medical treatment for possible injury
- New findings in the course of the research may affect subject's decision to continue

- Approximate number of subjects
- Study treatments and possible random assignments
- Additional information required by local laws
- Contact person for questions and research related injury
- Voluntary participation and no penalty or loss of benefit for non-participation
- Statement that particular procedure may involve unforeseeable risk
- Additional costs to subjects
- Consequence of early withdrawal

# 2.3.2 Reviewing Protocol Amendments

- Secretariat receives documents and checks completeness of submission
- Secretariat forwards documents to reviewers
- · Reviewers check if recommendations are followed.
- Reviewers report to the chair and recommends appropriate action.
- Chair takes action on the amendments.

# 2.4. Follow up procedures

Principal investigators are responsible to:

- Submit regular progress reports as specified in the approval document.
- ii. Submit final report at the end of study.
- iii. Report any serious side effects, adverse drug reactions and the interventions undertaken to be intimated.
- iv. Report protocol deviation, if any, to be informed with adequate justifications.
- v. Report any new information related to the study should be communicated.
- vi. Notify the NECHR about premature termination of study with reasons and summary of the studies done so far.

# 3. NECHR Meetings

# 3.1. Type of meeting

There are two types of meeting:

# A. Regular Meetings

The meeting of the NECHR will be held once in 2 months and the date will be the last Friday afternoon of each designated month. However, if needed, meetings can be held earlier as decided by the Chairperson.

# B. Adhoc Meetings:

- Scheduled outside of a regular full board meeting
- Scheduled to review or approve
- Any safety or life threatening issue
- New studies
- Additional investigators
- Continuing review
- Protocol amendments
- Other study activities that requiring full board review
- The Chairperson is responsible to call for this meeting
- A resource person may be invited to provide required information (Ex. A physician to give information about medical care given to patients.)

# 3.2. Quorum requirements:

The minimum of 50% +1 members are required to compose a quorum (absolute majority).

# 3.3. Meeting procedure

# 3.3.1. Preparation before a meeting

 Secretariat reviews all study applications for completeness and determines appropriate channel for each protocol.

- Primary reviewers are determined by the Chairperson and protocols and forms sent.
- Secretariat prepares agenda of the meeting
- Chairperson reviews and approves agenda

# 3.3.2. Agenda during a meeting:

- Chairperson determines the existence of a quorum.
- Secretariat reports on the minutes of previous meeting and presents agenda for discussion.
- Chairperson reminds members of rules being followed (confidentiality and conflicts of interest rules) and proceeds according to the agenda.
- All officers of the NECHR, secretariat members and independent consultants must sign a confidentiality and conflict of interest agreement.
- Reviewers present summary of their assessment about the protocol and present evaluation followed by discussion with other members.
- Investigators may be allowed during the meeting discussion and may be asked to answer questions.
- · Board takes action on
- · New protocols
- Protocol amendments
- Serious adverse events
- Continuing review reports
- Final report for the study
- Chairperson discusses other matters.
- Chairperson ends the meeting.

# 3.3.3. Activities after the meeting

- Secretariat team prepares the minutes that contains following:
  - Place and date of meeting
  - Attendance
  - Chair for the meeting

- Agenda items
- Quorum determination
- Voting results including reasons for disapproval and abstention
- Frequency of continuing review required
- Other matters taken up during the meeting
- Secretary checks correctness of minutes and has it approved by the Chairperson.
- Secretariat files the minutes.
- · Secretariat sends minutes to all committee members.
- Secretariat sends meeting results to persons concerned.

# 3.3.4. Decision-making

- Members will discuss the various issues before arriving at a decision.
- Decisions will be made only where quorum is complete.
- Only members can make the decision. The expert consultants will only offer their opinions.
- Decision may be to approve, disapprove or modify the proposals. Specific suggestions should be given for modifications.
- All approval decisions should indicate frequency of continuing review depending on how risks involved in the protocol. However, all investigators are required to submit a progress report at least once a year.
- Modified proposals may be reviewed by an interim review through identified members.
- Negative decisions should always be substantiated by appropriate reasons.
- Secretariat team does not participate in the committee decision making.
- All decisions should be taken up in meetings and not by circulation of project proposals

# 3.3.5. Voting Procedures

- Only board members without conflict of interest are allowed to vote on protocol approval.
- All observers and NECHR members with conflict of interest leave the room during the discussion and the voting.
- There should be a determination of quorum before voting takes place.
- A NECHR member makes a motion to recommend action on a protocol and is seconded by another member.
- · A motion is carried out based on majority vote.
- · Secretariat team has no right to vote.

# 3.3.6 Communicating the decision

All communication of NECHR's decision to Principal Investigators and their research team must be done in writing.

The secretariat prepares a letter to communicate the decision of the committee to the investigator. The letter should include:

- a) Listing of each approved document
  - protocol
  - patient information sheet
  - consent form and other study tools
- b) Frequency of continuing review
- c) Other obligations of the investigator
- d) Stamps approval and expiration date on every page of the consent form
- e) Obtains signature of Chairperson
- f) Sends action letter to investigator
- g) Keep record

# 4. Monitoring Protocol Implementation

The NECHR takes action and maintains records for any of the following deviation/non compliance:

- Investigators/institutes who do not follow procedures in approved protocols
- Fail to comply with national/ international guidelines for the conduct of human research
- Fail to respond to NECHR requests

#### General Procedures

- Secretariat includes details of non compliance of investigators in the NECHR agenda/meeting
- · Secretariat maintains a file of non compliant investigators
- Board may decide to do any of the following:
  - Suspend or withdraw approval of current study
  - o Refuse subsequent application for non compliance
- Secretariat notifies relevant parties about the board decision:
  - Investigator/ institute
  - Relevant national authorities
  - Sponsor
- Secretariat keeps records and follows up.

NECHR monitors protocol implementation through the following means:

- Requiring continuing review reports
- Requiring final report for the study
- Receiving patient feedback and answering queries from participants
- Analyzing Serious Adverse Events (SAE) reports received
- Making field site monitoring visits

## 4.1. Continuing Review Report Procedures

# 4.1.1. Secretariat

- Determines due date for continuing review report for each protocol
- Notifies investigator and sends the continuing reviewed form to be filled up.
- Receives and manages continuing review package
- Notifies NECHR members who will review the report
- Includes report in the next meeting agenda
- 4.1.2. Investigator submits continuing review report to NECHR with the following information:
  - Number of subjects needed as defined in the protocol
  - Number of subjects enrolled during the period
  - Number of subjects who continue to be in the study
  - Number of subjects who completed the study
  - Number of subjects withdrawn
  - Any changes/amendments (protocol/informed consent, investigator, funding, etc)
  - Any Serious Averse Events (SAE)
  - Any new information
  - Any complaint from subjects
  - Any community concerns
  - · Date of report and signature of investigator
- 4.1.3. Primary reviewers review the report and recommend action.

## 4.2. Final Study Report Review Procedures

# 4.2.1. Secretariat

- Determines due date for final study report for each approved protocol
- Notifies the investigator and sends the final report form to be filled up.
- Receives and manages the final study report
- Notifies NECHR members who will review the report

Includes report in the next meeting agenda

# After the meeting, the Secretariat

- Notifies the investigator of the decision and sends an acknowledgement letter
- Accepts and files the final study report
- · Notes in the minutes of the meeting
- Considers the study as "closed"
- · Archives the entire protocol with final study report
- 4.2.2. Primary reviewers review the report and recommend action.

# 4.3. Patient Feedback and Queries from Participants Procedures

- The Chairperson designates a staff member of the committee or secretariat to receive and take action on participant inquiries or requests.
- The assigned staff member receives the request, notes information needed, records request and refers request to NECHR Chairperson
- Chairperson takes any of the following action:
  - Provides advice
  - Informs other members
  - Follows up at the next meeting
  - Delegates task to investigate
- Secretariat records information
- Secretariat reports to the committee about action taken or outcomes.
- Secretariat keeps record and stores file.

# 4.4. Addressing Serious Adverse Events (SAE)

#### 4.4.1. Definition

Serious Adverse Event is an event characterized by any of the following:

- Death
- Life-threatening condition
- Hospitalization (initial/prolonged)
- Disability
- Congenital anomaly
- Requires intervention to prevent permanent impairment or damage

Unexpected Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR) is an adverse reaction the nature or severity of which is not consistent with the investigator's brochure.

#### 4.4.2. Serious Adverse Event Assessment Procedures

- a) The Serious Adverse Event is reported by investigators or sponsors within 7 days after the event.
- b) The Serious Adverse Event report form contains the following information:
  - Subject identification
  - Onset / date of event
  - Signs and symptoms
  - Diagnosis
  - Severity
  - Relationship to the study
  - Progression of Serious Adverse Event
  - Modification in the protocol

# c) The NECHR

- Makes sure the investigators are aware of the policies and procedures of reporting the Serious Adverse Event
- Reviews and addresses the Serious Adverse Event
- Takes action under appropriate circumstances
- d) The Secretariat or NECHR member screens and assesses the report
- e) During NECHR meeting, a determination is made if the Serious Adverse Event:

- Definitely, probably, possibly related
- Unexpected
- Resulting in changing of the protocol/informed consent
- NECHR discusses the Serious Adverse Event and similar experiences or advisories
- g) NECHR may call for:
  - Continue the study
  - Request an amendment
  - Request further information
  - Withdraw approval of the study
- h) The Secretariat sends a letter to notify the Investigator of the NECHR decision/action

# 4.5. Field Site Monitoring Visits

The NECHR Chairperson assigns a member / Secretariat members, or a qualified agent to perform on-site visit.

A site visit is done as a result of any of the following:

- o Reports of remarkable Serious Adverse Event
- Non-compliance or suspicious behavior
- Complaints from participants
- o Investigator has never been approved
- New study sites
- Number of studies at the sites
- o Frequently fail to submit documents as requirement

# 4.5.1. Field Site Visit Procedures

Before a site visit

- Death
- NECHR notifies the investigator and coordinates a time for a visit
- Secretariat makes appropriate arrangement
- · Review files for the study and site

 Copy some parts of the files for comparison with the site files

# During a site visit

- Get a checklist of site visit
- Visiting process
  - Review informed consent
  - Randomly review the subject files
  - Observe consent process, if possible
  - Observe facilities Review NECHR file
  - Collect views of the participant
  - Debrief/comments
  - Get immediate feedback

# After the site visit the site visit team will:

- Write a report within 2 weeks
- Prepare a copy for full board review
- Send a copy of report to the site
- Place the report in the correct files
- · Schedule the presentation in the meeting agenda
- · Present the results of on-site visit to the full board

# 4.6. Managing Study Termination

- Study termination is determined as when subject enrollment and follow up are discontinued before the scheduled end of the study
- Basis for protocol termination:
  - When safety or benefit of study participants is doubtful or at risk
  - Recommended by:
    - Local authorities
    - Scientists

## **Study Termination Procedures:**

Receive recommendation for study termination

- Inform investigator to submit a protocol termination package that includes: brief summary of protocol, results and accrual data
- Chairperson reviews reasons, results and data and calls for a meeting to approve termination.
- Secretariat completes documentation of termination.
- Notify the investigator.
- Store protocol documents

# 5. Management of Files and Records

# 5.1. Organize active study files

- Check the content of active files
- Original applications and any updates
  - Approval letters and correspondence
  - Approved documents
  - Investigator's brochure
- Assign identifiers
- Keep in a secure file cabinet
- Store the study files for at least 5 years after the study closure

# 5.2. Keep and maintain files

The Secretariat maintains the following files:

- o SOP file
- o Membership file
- o Protocol file to contain
  - Index
  - Protocol (all versions)
  - Amendment report
  - Annual report
  - Serious Adverse Event report
  - Approval letters
  - End of study reports
  - Miscellaneous related items
- Minutes and agenda file
- Communication records
- National and international guidelines

# 5.3. Archiving documents

#### Secretariat:

- Review the final report
- Move files from the active study filing area to archives
- o Verify all documents in an organized manner
- o Attach an archive number to the file

# 5.4. Retrieving documents

# The Secretariat:

- o Ensures and maintains confidentiality
- Retrieves upon request signed by NECHR chairperson or appointed person
- o Signs and dates the request in the log of request
- Retrieves
- o Returns the file back to its place
- o Records, signs and dates when the document is returned

## 6. Audit

The NECHR will prepare itself for audit by international organizations interested in its operations. The audit has to be approved by the Chairperson.

# 6.1. Preparing for audit

Secretariat will:

- Use a checklist to review records, documents and items required by the audit.
- o Focus on studies with problems.
- Organize and label records.
- o Reserve a meeting room with necessary facilities.
- Review SOPs and ensure compliance
- o Inform Board members/ staff who have to attend the meetings.

# 6.2. During an audit

Secretariat will:

- Meet with auditors
- Provide information and documents needed.
- Take note of comments and recommendations of auditors.

## 6.3. After the audit

Secretariat will:

- Review comments and recommendations made by the audit team
- Prepare a report to be approved by the Chairperson who calls for correction.
- Allocate time for correction and improvement.
- o Do an internal follow up audit.
- o Evaluate the outcome.
- o Keep records of proceedings.

# 7. Revision of SOPs and Preparing Guidelines

# 7.1. Revising SOPs

# The Chairperson

- o Assigns an SOP team
- Reviews and approves SOPs
- Signs and dates approved SOPs

#### The Secretariat

- Coordinates writing, reviewing, distributing and amending SOPs
- Maintains on file all current SOPs and list of SOPs
- o Maintains an up to date distribution list
- Distributes SOPs with receipts from all users
- o Ensures all NECHR members and staff have access to SOP
- Ensures that NECHR members and staff work according to current version of SOPs

#### NECHR members and staff

- o Sign and date SOPs they receive.
- Maintain a file of all SOPs received.
- o Return all out of date SOPs to the Secretariat
- Any member may request for SOP revision for various reasons (inconsistency, update, etc.)

# 7.2. Guideline Preparation

Guideline – any suggestion, rule or regulation intended for a specific practice (Example – Guidelines for genetic research or HIV/AIDS research)

- Purpose for consistency of action, transparency and good order
- Responsibility Secretariat or designated person(s) prepare the guidelines
- The Chairperson approves the guidelines

The secretariat should distributes copies and informs all members about any new or revised SOP or guidelines.

# **Appendices**:

- 1. confidentiality/conflict of interest agreement form
- 2. A. Application form for INITIAL Review
- 2. B. Contents of submitted packages
- 2. C. Assessment form (in Computer)
- 2. D. NECHR Decision form
- 2. E. Review of Resubmitted Protocol
- 2. F. Information Sheet and Consent form
- 3. A. NECHR Agenda form
- 4.A. Continuing Review Application form
- 4. B. Final Study Report form
- 4.C. Deviation/non-compliance/violation record
- 4.D. Participant request record form
- 4.E. Study termination form
- 4. F. SAE report
- 4.G. Checklist of Monitoring Visit
- 5.A. Document request form
- 5.B. Log of requests for copies of NECHR's documents
- 5. C. Database Requirements
- 6.A. Document History
- 6. B. Log of SOP recipients

Glossary of terms and definition

# 1. Confidentiality / Conflict of Interest Agreement Form

In recognition of the fact, that I.....member's name, and his/her affiliation.......herein referred to as the "Undersigned", has been appointed as a member of the NECHR has been asked to assess research studies involving human subjects in order to ensure that they are conducted in a humane and ethical manner, with the highest standards of care according to the applied national, local regulations, institutional policies and guidelines;

Whereas, the appointment of the undersigned as a member of the NECHR is based on individual merits and not as an advocate or representative of a home province/ territory/ community nor as the delegate of any organization or private interest;

Whereas, the fundamental duty of an NECHR member is to independently review both scientific and ethical aspects of research protocols involving human subjects and make a determination and the best possible objective recommendations, based on the merits of the submissions under review;

Whereas, the NECHR must meet the highest ethical standards in order to merit the trust and confidence of the communities in the protection of the rights and well-being of human subjects;

The undersigned, as a member of the NECHR is expected to meet the same high standards of ethical behavior to carry out its mandate.

This Agreement thus encompasses any information deemed Confidential or Proprietary provided to the Undersigned in conjunction with the duties as a member of the NECHR. Any written information provided to the Undersigned that is of a Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged nature shall be identified accordingly.

The Undersigned agrees not to disclose or utilize, directly or indirectly, any Confidential or Proprietary information belonging to a third party in fulfilling this agreement. Furthermore, the Undersigned confirms that his/her performance of this agreement is consistent with the institute's policies and any contractual obligations they may have to third parties.

### **Conflict of Interest**

It is recognized that the potential for conflict of interest will always exist but has faith in the NECHR and its Chairperson to manage the conflict issues so that the ultimate outcome is the protection of human subjects.

It is the policy of the NECHR that no member may participate in the review, comment or approval of any activity in which he/she has a conflict of interest except to provide information as requested by the NECRH.

The Undersigned will immediately disclose to the Chairperson of the NECHR any actual or potential conflict of interest that he/she may have in relation to any particular proposal submitted for review by the Committee, and to abstain from any participation in discussions or recommendations in respect of such proposals.

If an applicant submitting a protocol believes that NECHR member has a potential conflict, the investigator may request that the member be excluded from the review of the protocol.

The request must be in writing and addressed to the Chairperson. The request must contain evidence that substantiates the claim that a conflict exists with the NECHR member(s) in question. The Committee may elect to investigate the applicant's claim of the potential conflict.

When a member has a conflict of interest, the member should notify the Chairperson and may not participate in the NECHR review or approval except to provide information requested by the Committee.

Examples of conflict of interest cases may be any of the following:

- □ A member is involved in a potentially competing research program.
- □ Access to funding or intellectual information may provide an unfair competitive advantage.
- □ A member's personal biases may interfere with his or her impartial judgment.

#### **Agreement on Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest**

Please sign and date this Agreement, if the Undersigned agrees with the terms and conditions set forth above. The original (signed and dated Agreement) will be kept on file in the custody of the NECHR. A copy will be given to you for your records.

In the course of my activities as a member of the NECHR, I may be provided with confidential information and documentation (which we will refer to as the "Confidential Information"). I agree to take reasonable measures to protect the Confidential Information; subject to applicable legislation, including the Access to Information Act, not to disclose the Confidential Information to any person; not to use the Confidential Information for any purpose outside the Committee's mandate, and in particular, in a manner which would result in a benefit to myself or any third party; and to return all Confidential Information (including any minutes or notes I have made as part of my Committee duties) to the Chairperson upon termination of my functions as a Committee member.

Whenever I have a conflict of interest Chairperson not to count me toward a quantity of the count me toward me t	•
I,, hav terms and conditions as explained in this	1
Undersigned Signature	Date
Director of the INSTITUTE	

## 2. A. APPLICATION FORM for INITIAL REVIEW

	Protocol Title:				
	Protocol number:	Total P	articipants to be	included:	
S	TUDY TYPE: (Mark "✓" whichever apply  ☐ Survey ☐ Social ☐ Screening ☐ Observationa ☐ Clinical Trial : ☐ Phase I ☐ Genetic Study ☐ Retrospectiv	☐ Medical al ☐ Epidemi ☐ Phase II	iology 🔲 Interve Phase		☐ Individual based☐ Phase IV
S	TUDY POPULATION: Healthy	☐ Patient	☐ Vulner	able groups	
С	HARACTERISTICS of PARTICIPANTS P.	ARTICIPATED :			
	Age Range:	☐ 18 - 44 <u>y</u> ☐ < 1 yr ☐ Physica	☐ 1-3 yrs	5 yrs 5	
R	EQUESTED EXCLUSION OF P	ARTICIPANT	ΓS:		
	☐ None ☐ Male ☐ Female	Childre	en 🗌 Other (	specify)	)
S	PECIAL RESOURCE REQUIRE	MENTS (che	eck all that apply	<b>/</b> ):	
	☐ Intensive Care ☐ Pediatric Intensive Care ☐ Gene therapy ☐ Prosthetics ☐ Organ transplantation, s	Controlle	ion ed substances ( ogical services	Others,	an
I	ONIZING RADIATION USE (X-ra	ys, radioisoto	opes, etc):		
	☐ None	☐ Medical	ly indicated only	/	
11	NVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUG	(IND) / DEVI	ICE (IDE):		
	Name:. Sponso	) .:	Name: Sponsor:		
Ρ	ROCEDURE USE:	☐ Invasiv	e 🗌 Non-	invasive	
Ν	MULTI-SITE COLLABORATION:	☐ YES	□ NO		
F	INANCIAL DISCLOSURE:	☐ YES	□ NO		

INSTITUTE RESEARCH CONTACT	
Name:	·····
Address:	
Telephone:	
Fax:	
E-mail:	

### 2. B. Contents of a Submitted Package Protocol Number:.... Initial Review Submitted Package Protocol Summary Sheet or Memorandum Original Initial Review Application Form Protocol and Protocol-Related Documents information for subjects informed consent form □ case report forms (CRF) ☐ study budget ☐ investigator's brochure dothers..... Resubmission for Re-review Submitted Package Resubmission or "Correction" Memorandum Revised Protocol Summary Sheet (if submitted initially) Original Initial Review Application Form Protocol and Protocol-Related Documents information for subjects informed consent form □ case report forms (CRF) ☐ study budget ☐ investigator's brochure dothers..... Note: Changes made to the protocol and protocol-related documents should be clearly marked either with the underlining or highlighting feature of the document or the software package used to prepare the documents. Protocol Amendment Submitted Package Request for Amendment Memorandum Original Amendment Submission Form Protocol and Protocol-Related Documents Note: Changes made to the protocol and protocol-related documents should be clearly marked either with the underlining or highlighting feature of the software package used to prepare the document. Annual Continuing Review Package Request for Annual Continuing Review Memorandum Original Continuing Review Application Form Current Informed Consent Document (last approved by NECHR) Protocol Termination Package

Original Continuing Review Application Form (Termination Submissions are

Request for Termination Memorandum

contained on this form).

### 2. C. Assessment Form

# GUIDE FOR ETHICS EVALUATION OF RESEARCH WITH HUMAN PARTICIPANTS

TITLE:		
PROPONENT:		
1. Is research methodology clear?	YesNo	
2. Does the protocol present adequate informational background as to results of previous studies prior to human experimentation?	YesNo	
3. Is inclusion and exclusion criteria appropriate?	YesNo	
4. Does the research involve vulnerable participants?	YesNo	
5. Is there recruitment of participant voluntary and non-coercive?	YesNo	
6. Is there sufficient number of participants?	YesNo	
7. Is there a placebo arm?YesNe		
8. Are qualification and experience of researchers appropriate?		
9. Are facilitate and infrastructure of participating sites?YesNo		
10. Community research		
• Is there community consultation?	YesNo	
<ul> <li>Are local researchers involved?</li> </ul>	YesNo	
<ul> <li>Does it contribute to development capacity in research and treatment?</li> </ul>	YesNo	
• Is there benefit to local community?	YesNo	
• Is there provision to share research result?	YesNo	
11. Will blood/tissue sample be sent abroad?	YesNo	
12. Does the protocol include an adequate process for assuring that consent is voluntary?	YesNo	

13. Does the protocol adequately address the risk/benefit balance?		
What are the risks?	Yes	No
Are they minimal? More than minimal? High risk?		
• What are the benefits?		
14. Does the consent form contain the following elements?		
14.1 Purpose of the research	Yes	No
14.2 Expected duration of participation	Yes	No
14.3 Description of procedure to be followed	Yes	No
14.4 Random assignment to the trial treatments	Yes	No
14.5 Benefits to the participants	Yes	No
14.6 Alternative procedures/sources of treatment	Yes	No
14.7 Extent of confidentiality of records	Yes	No
14.8 Explanation of compensation and/or medical treatments in case of injury	Yes	No
14.9 Whom to contact for pertinent questions and/or for assistance in a research-related injury	Yes _	No
14.10 Explanation that refusal to participate or discontinuance of participation at any time will involve no penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is entitled	Yes _	No
15. Do you have other concerns? Please explain.	Yes _	No
RECOMMENDATION:		
ApprovalModification requiredDisapproval		
Reason(s) for disapproval:		
Signature over pri	inted nan	ne

### 2.D. NECHR's Decision Form

Meeting No.:/  Protocol number		. Assign	Date (D/M/Y) ned No.:				
Proto	ocol Title :						
Principal Investigators:							
Insti	tute:						
Elen	nents Reviewed (FF 01-008)	:	Attached		Not att	ached	
Revi	ew of Revised Application  Yes No	•	Date of Previou	us revi	ew:		
Decision of the meeting:		☐ Approved       ☐ Approved with Recommendation         ☐ Resubmission       ☐ Disapproved			ation		
<b>N</b> T	V. C. NECHE					cision	
				4 Th			
No.	Voting NECHR	a members		AP	AR	RES	DA
110.	voting NECHA	A members		AP	AR	RES	DA
110.	Voting NECTIV	Amembers		AP	AR	RES	DA
140.	Voting NECTIV	Amembers		AP	AR	RES	DA
110.	Voting NECTIV	Amembers		AP	AR	RES	DA
110.	Volling NECTIV	Amembers		AP	AR	RES	DA
110.	Volling INECTIVE	Amembers		AP	AR	RES	DA
Not	<u>te</u> : AP - Approved; AR – . RES – Resubmission for	Approved with re		AP	AR	RES	DA
Not	t <u>e</u> : AP - Approved; AR	Approved with recreview; DA – I		AP	AR	RES	DA

## 2. E. Review of Resubmitted Protocol

Protocol No.:		Application No.:	:
Protocol Title:			
Total Participants :		2 <sup>nd</sup> Review	3 <sup>rd</sup> Review 4 <sup>th</sup> Review
Principal Investigator:			Tel.:
Initial Review Date:		Last Review Da	ite:
in the meeting minute		changes or recom	anges or recommendation mendation need to be
Opinion of the reviewer:			
SIGNATURES: Date: Protocol Reviewer			
APPROVAL:			
Cha	airperson, I		Date:
COMPLETION:			Date:
Secretary, NECHR			

### 2. F. Information Sheet and consent form

#### INFORMATION SHEET

The information provided to the participants before getting the consent should be short, but clear enough for the participants to be able to rationalize their decision whether to participate in the study or not. It should be written in local language using simple words.

The information should include, but not limited to the followings:

- 1. Name of institution (s) and investigators conducting the study
- 2. Rationales of the study
- 3. Purposes of the study
- 4. Method of the study
- 5. The importance of the participants' participation
- Anticipated risks or discomfort to the participants, both physical and psychological risks
- 7. Measures to take care of foreseeable risks
- 8. Direct benefits to the participants that might reasonably be expected. If there are no direct benefits expected, the participants should be made aware of.
- 9. If payment for the participation is applied, the process of payment through the duration of study should be clearly described.
- 10. Duration of their participation
- Compensation or services provided for any risks or complications related to the study.
- 12. Any standard or alternative procedures or courses of treatment available, locally or elsewhere, that might be as advantageous to the participants as the procedures or treatment being tested
- 13. Indication that the participation is voluntary, and even if they have accepted to participate, the participants still have the rights to withdraw from the study at any

time without any punishment of changes to the services they would otherwise receive.

14. Name(s) and contact address of attended physician(s) or contact person(s) in case of needs or emergency.

### **The Consent Form**

The consent form can be separated from or combined with the information sheet. It should be made two copies, one for the participant and another one kept by the investigator. If written consent is considered unnecessary, the investigator should provide good reasons.

Below is an example of a consent form: Note that this is just an example of the consent form. The proponent is free to select any format as long as it contains essential statements and conveys the same meanings.

#### **INFORMED CONSENT**

You are invited to participate in the following study Title of protocol:

Name of Institution

**Investigators**:

Sponsor:

Please sign the form below to indicate your consent.

I, undersigned ......, have read the information provided. All my questions related to the study and the participation in the study have been satisfactorily answered and explained. I understand the risks and benefits that may result to me from my participation. I understand that the participation to the study is completely voluntary and I can withdraw myself from the study at any time without penalty or loss of benefits I would otherwise be entitled.

On my own win, I volunteer to participate in the study entitled				
(name of institution)				
Signatures:				
Person giving consent	Date			
1 <sup>st</sup> Witness		Date		
2 <sup>nd</sup> witness		Date		
Person obtaining conse	nt	Date		

### 3. A. NECHR AGENDA FORM

Date of	Meeting:			
Time:	3			
Venue:				
Items for	Discussion			
A. New Pr	rotocols for Discussion			
Protocol	Title of Protocol	Investigator	Sponsor	Reviewers
Number		<b>g</b>		
B. Protoco	ol Amendment			
Protocol	Title of Protocol	Investigator	Sponsor	Reviewers
Number	11110 01 1 1 010 00 1111111111111111111	in , estigator	~роноот	110 / 10 / / 01 5
- 14				
C. Expedi	ited Review Reports			
Protocol	Title of Protocol	Investigator	Sponsor	Reviewers
Number				
D. Advers	se Events Report			
Protocol	Title of Protocol	Investigator	Sponsor	Reviewers
Number			1	
E Continu	uing Study Reports			
Protocol	Title of Protocol	Investigator	Sponsor	Reviewers
Number			-	
			1	1
F. End of	Study Reports			
Protocol	Title of Protocol	Investigator	Sponsor	Reviewers
	11110 01 1 1 01000111111111111111111111	III ( COLIGIEO)	~ponsor	110,10,1019

Number		

### G. Other Matters

- 1.
- 2. 3.

# 4. A. Continuing Review Application Form

PROTOCOL No.:	ASSIGNED No.: /			
PROTOCOL TITLE:				
INVESTIGATOR:				
ACTION REQUESTED:  Renew - New participant accrual to continue Renew - Enrolled participant follow up only Terminate - Protocol discontinued  HAVE THERE BEEN ANY AMENDMENTS SINCE: LAST REVIEW? NO YES (Describe briefly in attached narrative)  SUMMARY OF PROTOCOL PARTICIPANTS: Accrual ceiling set NECHR New participants accrued since last revitoral participants accrued since protocobegan  ACCRUAL EXCLUSIONS NONE NONE MALE FEMALE OTHER (specify: IMPAIRED PARTICIPANTS None Physically Cognitively Both	NO  YES (Discuss in the attached narrative) HAVE ANY UNEXPECTED COMPLICATIONS OR SIDE EFFECTS BEEN NOTED SINCE LAST REVIEW?  NO  YES (Discuss in the attached narrative)  HAVE ANY PARTICIPANTS WITHDRAWN FROM THIS STUDY SINCE THE LAST NECHR APPROVAL?  NO  YES (Discuss in the attached narrative)  INVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUG/DEVICE  NONE  FDA No.  Name:  Sponsor:  Holder:			
HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES IN THE PARTICIPANT POPULATION, RECRUITMENT OR SELECTION CRITERIA SINCE THE LAST REVIEW				
NO YES (Explain changes in attached narrative)	HAVE ANY PARTICIPATING INVESTIGATORS BEEN ADDED OR DELETED SINCE LAST REVIEW?  NO Selection NO High Yes (Identify all changes in the attached narrative)			
HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES IN THE INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS OR DOCUMENTATION SINCE THE LAST REVIEW?  NO YES (Explain changes in attached narrative)	HAVE ANY NEW COLLABORATING SITES (INSTITUTIONS) BEEN ADDED OR DELETED SINCE THE LAST REVIEW?  NO YES (Identify all changes and provide an explanation of changes in the attached narrative)			

CHA	NONE DELETE:	/ESTIGATOR?	HAVE ANY INVESTIGATORS DEVELOPED AN EQUITY OR CONSULTATIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH A SOURCE RELATED TO THIS PROTOCOL WHICH MIGHT BE CONSIDERED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST?  NO YES (Append a statement of disclosure)
	SIGNA	TURES:	
			Data
		Protocol Chairperson (if applicab	Date:
		Frotocoi Chairperson (il applicad	
			Date:
		INSTITUTE Medical Adviso	
			Date:
		INSTITUTE Director	
			NECHR Comment/Decision:
	4.000.0	WAL 0	
	APPRO	OVALS	
			Date:
		Chairperson, NECRH	
		,	
	COMPL	LETION	
			Date:
		Secretary NECHR	

# 4. B. Final Study Report Form

Protocol No.:	Assigned No.: /
Protocol Title :	
Principal Investigator:	
ni i	E-mail address :
Phone number:	E-man address:
Sponsor's Name	
Address:	
	F   1
Phone:	E-mail:
Study site(s):	
Total Number of study participa	
<b>N</b> 1 0 (11 1 1	Arms:
Number of participants who i	received the test articles:
Study materials:	
Treatment form:	
Treatment form.	
Study dose(s):	
cau y aose(s).	
<b>Duration of the study</b>	
Objections	
Objectives:	

Results: (Use extra blank paper, if more space is required.)	
Signature of P.I.:	Date:

## 4. C. Deviation / Non-Compliance / Violation Record

Application Number: /	Date:
Study Title:	1
Investigator	Contact No.:
Institution:	Contact No.:
Sponsor:	Contact No.:
Deviation from protocol	☐ Non-Compliance
O Major O Minor	☐ Violation
Description:	
NECHR's Decision:	
Actions taken:	Outcome:
Found by:	Reported by:
D.	D 4

## **4.D. Participant Request Record Form**

Date Received:	
Received by :	
Request from :	□ Telephone call No. □ Fax No. □ Mailed letter / Date. □ E-mail / Date. □ Walk-in / Date / Time. □ Other, specify
Participant's Name:	
Contact Address: Phone:	
Title of the Participating Study	
Starting date of participation:	
What are requested?	
Action taken:	
Outcome:	

# 4. E. Study Termination Memorandum

PROTOCOL NUMBER:		ASSIGNED No.: /				
PROTOCOL TITLE:						
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGA	TOR:					
PHONE :	·		E-MAIL:			
INSTITUTE:						
SPONSOR:						
NECHR APPROVAL DATE:			DATE OF LAST REPORT:			
STARTING DATE:			TERMINATION DATE:			
NO. OF PARTICIPANTS:			NO. ENROLLED:			
SUMMARY OF RESULTS						
ACCRUAL DATA:						
P.I.SIGNATURE:				DATE:		

# 4.F. Serious Adverse Event Report

Principal Investigator:			Application No:        /     -      Protocol No.:
Name of the study medicine/device			Report Date :
Subject's initial/number:	Age	<del>)</del> :	☐ Male ☐ Female
Subject's history:		Laboratory t	findings:
SAE:		Treatment: Outcome: going	resolved on-
Seriousness:  Death Life Threatening Hospitalization -O initial O prolong Disability / Incapacity Congenital Anomaly Other.		☐ Not rela☐ Possibl☐ Probabl	y ly ely related
Changes to the protocol recommended? Changes to the informed consent form recommended?	n		Yes , attach proposal Yes , attach proposal
Reviewed by:			Date:

 Action.
7 Ction

# 4. G . Checklist of a Monitoring Visit

Application No.: /		Date of the Vis	sit:
Study Title:			
Principal Investigators:			Phone:
Institute:		Address:	
Sponsor:		Address:	
Total number of expected subjects:		Total subjects	enrolled:
Are site facilities appropriate?		Comment:	
Yes No			
Are Informed Consents recent?		Comment:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any adverse events found?		Comment:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any protocol non-compliance /violation?		Comment:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			
Are all Case Record Forms up to date?		Comment:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			
Are storage of data and investigating prod locked?	lucts	Comment:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			
How well are participants protected?		Comment:	
☐ Good ☐ Fair ☐ Not g	good		
Any outstanding tasks or results of visit?		Give details:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			
Duration of visit:hours Sta	arting fro	m: 1	Finish:
Name of NECHR member/			
representatives and companion:		r	
Completed by:		1	Date:

# **5.A.** Document Request Form

Name of Document i	Code:		
Requested by:			Date:
Chairperson	Secretariat	☐ NECHR Member	
Secretariat staff	Authority	Others	
Purpose of the request:			
Retrieved by:			Date:
Returned by:			Date:
Archived by:			Date:
Approved by:			Date:

## 5. B. Log of Requests for Copies of NECHR Documents

#	Documents requested	# of Copies	Name of Recipient	Signature of Recipient	Secretariat Initials	Date

### 5. C. Database Requirements

Files Required

- 1. SOPs and Guidelines
- 2. National and International Regulation
- 3. EC Membership Folder
  - a. Individual file for each member and staff
    - i. Appointment papers
    - ii. Terms of reference
    - iii. CV of NECHR member
    - iv. Training record
    - v. Confidentiality and conflict of interest agreement
- 4. Individual Protocol Folder
  - a. Original Protocol Submitted
  - b. Approved Revised Protocol
  - c. CV of investigators
  - d. Protocol related documents
  - e. SAE reports
  - f. Continuing review
  - g. Communication related to protocol
- 5. SAE Files
- 6. Minutes and agenda of meetings
- 7. Communication records

### 6.A.Document History

(the first draft 0.1 of the SOP History should be produced as the output of the first circulation of the document and the final version is the version after the approval by the Chairperson which is 01.0)

Author –	Version	Date	Describe the main change		
name	0.1	dd-Mmm-yy	first draft		
name	0.2	dd-Mmm-yy	Second draft		
name	01.0	dd-Mmm-yy	final version		
name	01.1	dd-Mmm-yy	Minor changes		
name	02.0	dd-Mmm-yy	Major changes		
name	02.0	dd-Mmm-yy	No change (routine review)		

## 6.B. Log of SOP Recipients

No.	Name of Recipients	SOP#	No. of Copies	Signature	Date
1	Chairperson	SOP/001/01.0 SOP/002/01.0 SOP/003/01.0			
2	Dr. XXXX	SOP/001/01.0 SOP/002/01.0 SOP/003/01.0			

## Glossary of terms and definition

### 1 Description of individual roles

#### Chairperson

A member of the NECHR who presides over a board meeting and is responsible for expedited approvals on behalf of the Board.

#### **Coordinator (Site)**

The person at the study site who is responsible for managing the study Sometimes, the Principal Investigator is also the site coordinator and manager.

#### **NECHR**

The NECHR is a body established to review and monitor health research involving human subjects. The primary purpose of such a review is the protection of the rights and welfare of the human subjects. In accordance with applicable national/international regulations, the NECHR has the authority to approve, require modifications to, or disapprove research.

The NECHR consists of at least one board with at least five regular members in addition to alternate members. Alternates are categorized and given equal status as regular members within the board (i.e., non-scientific or M.D, etc.). The composition of the membership must reflect a diversity of backgrounds sufficient to assure:

- expertise and experience to provide adequate review of research activities
- consideration of race, gender, and cultural backgrounds
- sensitivity to attitudes and concerns of the community and the patient population
- knowledge of applicable regulation, laws and standards of professional conduct and practice
- no member participates in the review process of any study project in which he/she has a conflicting interest
- no gender discrimination

### **NECHR Members**

Nonemployee individuals serving as regular and alternate members on ...INSTITUTE..'s operational board (i.e., the IEC membership). This board is constituted in accordance with the NECHR membership requirements. Individuals qualified to vote at a duly convened ...INSTITUTE.. NECHR meeting

#### **Investigational New Drug (IND)**

Investigational new drug means a new substance, antibiotic drug, or biological product that is used in a clinical investigation. The term also includes a biological product that is

used in vitro for diagnostic purposes. The terms "investigational drug" and "investigational new drug" are deemed to be synonymous for purposes of this part.

#### **Principal Investigator**

Individual responsible for implementing and coordinating an investigational study

#### Secretariat

The NECHR staff who are responsible for the day-to-day administrative functions and duties which support the activities and responsibilities of the IEC members.

#### **SOP Team**

A selected group of ...INSTITUTE.. members and administrative staff who oversee the preparation, review and periodic revision of the ..INSTITUTE.. SOPs

#### Vice Chairperson

A member of the .. INSTITUTE.. NECHR who assists the Chairperson as needed in conducting meetings and expedited review

#### Vulnerable subjects

A category of research participants that includes children, prisoners, pregnant women, handicapped or mentally disabled persons and economically or educationally disadvantaged persons who are likely inclined to coercion or undue influence

### 2. Definition of Terms

#### **Active study files**

Supporting and approved documents, records containing communications, and reports that correspond to each active (current) study approved by the NECHR.

#### Administrative documents

Documents include official minutes of Board meetings as described in SOP, NECHR meeting minutes and voting records and the standard operating procedures, both historical files and Master Files as described in the SOP, SOP distribution, implementation and file maintenance.

#### **Deviation**

Any instance in which the current approved NECHR. SOP cannot be or has not been followed.

#### **Expedited approval**

An IEC approval granted only by the Chairperson of the NECHR or a designated *NECRH* member (not the full Board) for "minor" changes to current NECHR approved research activities and for research which involves no more than minimal risk

#### Final report

An obligatory review of study activities presented as a written report to the *NECHR* after the last subject has completed all visits and all adverse experiences have been brought to appropriate resolution.

Complete, comprehensive written description of a completed trial that describes the experimental materials and statistical design, presentation and evaluation of the trial results and statistical analyses.

#### Historical file

A document file which was effectively used in the past and presently became obsolete or expired, but still had to be kept in a file for reference purposes.

#### **Inactive study files**

Supporting and approved documents (protocols, protocol amendments, informed consents, advertisements, investigator and site information), records containing communication and correspondence with the investigator, and reports (including but not limited to progress reports, IND Safety Reports, reports of injuries to subjects, scientific evaluations) that correspond to each study approved by NECHR for which a final report has been reviewed and accepted Inactive study files are archived for a minimum of three years following the completion of the study. These files can be retrieved as needed.

#### Investigational medical device

A medical device which is the object of clinical research to determine its safety or effectiveness

#### Master files

Original copies of documents such as SOPs, guidelines, instruction, manual with real signatures of preparers, reviewers and authorized persons are systematically stored in secured cabinets with limited access.

#### **Medical Device**

A medical device is any health care product that does not achieve any of its intended purposes by chemical action or by being metabolized. Medical devices include items such as diagnostic test kits, crutches, electrodes, prescribed beds, pacemakers, arterial grafts, intra-ocular lenses, and orthopedic pins. Medical devices also include diagnostic aids such as reagents and test kids for *in vitro* diagnosis of disease and other conditions, (for example, pregnancy).

#### Minutes

The official record of events, activities, and actions taken on agenda items presented to a duly constituted (quorum present) independent board review meeting. The minutes identify fully each protocol and/ or activity and record the outcomes of each voting action. The board votes separately on each collective set or each item submitted for review: protocol, consent form, investigator, and advertisement(s). The record notes the number for, number against, the number of abstaining votes, and the reason for the abstention(s), without identifying the individual member's names.

#### **New Study**

A study protocol including the informed consent, investigator's qualifications, information on the drug or device and advertisements (if applicable) presented to NECHR for approval for

the first time and not previously approved by this Board. This includes re-application for those studies denied approval by NECHR.

#### Non-compliance record

A list containing the identity of investigators who are considered by the Board to be non-compliant with national/international regulations or who fail to respond to the Board's requests, and the incident(s) justifying the reason for the determination of non-compliance.

#### Non-significant Risk Device (NSR)

A non-significant risk device is an investigational device that does not pose a significant risk.

#### **Progress Report**

An ongoing review of each investigator's study activities presented as a written report to obtain extended approval for the study from the NECHR. Generally, these reports are due annually with the NECHR sending a written notification reminding the investigator of this obligation. More frequent reports may be requested at the discretion of the NECHR.

#### **Protocol Amendment**

A change to the study protocol during the planning or course of the trial

The amendment is a foreseen change to the study plan that requires formal approval by the sponsor.

#### Quorum

Attendance required to arrive at a decision at any convened meeting of the board. If 5 is the minimum number of members prescribed in the SOP, 3 of the regular (or alternate) members, including at least one physician and one layperson constitutes a quorum and should be maintained throughout the discussions and voting portions of the meeting.

#### Significant Risk Device (SR)

A significant risk device is an investigational device that: (1) is intended as an implant and presents a potential for serious risk to the health, safety, or welfare of the subject, (2) is purported or represented to be for a use in supporting or sustaining human life and presents a potential for serious risk to the health, safety, or welfare of the subject, (3) is for a use of substantial importance in diagnosing, curing, mitigating, or treating disease, or otherwise preventing impairment of human health and presents a potential for serious risk to the health, safety, or welfare of the subject, or (4) otherwise presents a potential for serious risk to the health, safety, or welfare of the participants.