Introduction

The goal is to try to reduce both the number and severity of car collisions in Seattle. We are given a dataset and try to both qualitatively and quantitatively highlight the drivers of number and severity of car collisions as to help drivers avoid catastrophic situations.

This dataset can be used by a wide array of constituents. Individual citizens who are trying to be careful, public planning officials, and first responders.

<u>Data</u>

We start with the CSV file provided. The dependent variable is accident severity ranked 0 to 5 with 5 being the most severe. We will use all other columns as independent variables to predict 1) accidents and 2) severity of accidents.

The table contains far too many columns that could theoretically be independent variables. I picked 4 independent variables to analyze that seemed the most relevant:

- a) Road conditions
- b) Light conditions
- c) Weather
- d) Collison type

Methodology

I primarily used Pandas to convert the CSV file to a dataframe. I also used SKLearn to balance the severity outcomes as it was an unbalanced dataset leaning to less severe accidents.

First, I narrowed the table down to a smaller dataframe to evaluate only 4 independent variables.

In [46]: ▶		<pre>df1=df.filter(['SEVERITYCODE','WEATHER','LIGHTCOND','ROADCOND','COL df1.head()</pre>				
Out[46]:		SEVERITYCODE	WEATHER	LIGHTCOND	ROADCOND	COLLISIONTYPE
	0	2	Overcast	Daylight	Wet	Angles
	1	1	Raining	Dark - Street Lights On	Wet	Sideswipe
	2	1	Overcast	Daylight	Dry	Parked Car
	3	1	Clear	Daylight	Dry	Other
	4	2	Raining	Daylight	Wet	Angles

Then I started analyzing the dependent variable – accident severity with value counts and found the accidents were skewed to less severe (1) at 70%.

For further analysis (beyond the scope of this report), I downsampled the 1 severity to match the 2 cases.

Then, I ran the value counts for the 4 independent variables:

```
n [11]: M df['ROADCOND'].value_counts()
  Out[11]: Dry
                           124510
           Wet
                            47474
           Unknown
                           15078
           Ice
                            1209
           Snow/Slush
                            1004
           Other
                              132
           Standing Water
                              115
           Sand/Mud/Dirt
                              75
           Oil
                               64
           Name: ROADCOND, dtype: int64
```

Out[13]: Clear 111135 Raining 33145 27714 Overcast Unknown 15091 Snowing 907 832 Other Fog/Smog/Smoke 569 Sleet/Hail/Freezing Rain 113 Blowing Sand/Dirt 56 Severe Crosswind 25 Partly Cloudy 5 Name: WEATHER, dtype: int64

In [12]: M df['LIGHTCOND'].value_counts() Out[12]: Daylight 116137 Dark - Street Lights On 48507 Unknown 13473 Dusk 5902 Dawn 2502 Dark - No Street Lights 1537 Dark - Street Lights Off 1199 Other 235 Dark - Unknown Lighting 11

In [17]: M df['COLLISIONTYPE'].value_counts()

Name: LIGHTCOND, dtype: int64

Out[17]: Parked Car 47987 Angles 34674 Rear Ended 34090 Other 23703 Sideswipe 18609 Left Turn 13703 Pedestrian 6608 Cycles 5415 Right Turn 2956 Head On 2024

Name: COLLISIONTYPE, dtype: int64

Results

The 1st 3 independent variable outcomes did not pass the sense test as with most accidents, conditions were:

- Dry
- Clear
- Daylight

Discussion

However, the 4th variable collision type was the telling variable: it showed the most common collisions were with parked cars.

Accidents with parked cars are minor and are usually out of carelessness rather than a major contributing factor. This explains why the severity was skewed to less severe.

Conclusions

The dataset we were provided involves non-severe minor accidents that were likely out of carelessness or inexperience than some external condition.

For Seattle, they should run more data with severity skewed towards the more fatal accidents to see the contributing factors in order to assist city planning and promote driver awareness.