

Multiple Linear Regression

Instructor: Junghye Lee

Department of Industrial Engineering

junghyelee@unist.ac.kr

Contents

1

Introduction

2

Fitting the Multiple Regression Model

3

Statistical Inference on Multiple Regression Model

Probabilistic Model

y_i : Observed value of the random variable Y_i depends on $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ip}$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$: unknown model parameters

n : number of observations

i.i.d

$$\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Fitting the Model

- LS provides estimates of the unknown model parameters, $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ which minimizes Q

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip})]^2$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip})] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta_j} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip})] = 0$$

$$\text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

Goodness of Fit of the Model

- Residuals $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$)
- \hat{y}_i are the fitted values

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- An overall measure of the goodness of fit R^2

✓ r^2 is R^2 .

✓ It is satisfied only in simple linear regression.

Statistical Inference on Multiple Regression

- Determine which predictor variables have statistically significant effects.
- We test the hypotheses:

$$H_{0j}: \beta_j = 0, \quad H_{aj}: \beta_j \neq 0$$

- If we can't reject H_{0j} , then x_j is not a significant predictor of y .

Statistical Inference on Multiple Regression

- The steps are similar to ones of simple linear regression.

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \sigma^2 V_{11}), \quad SE(\hat{\beta}_1) = s\sqrt{V_{11}}$$

- What's V_{11} ? Why $\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \sigma^2 V_{11})$?

Statistical Inference on β s

1. Mean: Recall from simple linear regression, the least squares estimators for the regression parameters $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are unbiased. Here, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ of least squares estimators is also unbiased.

$$E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \begin{pmatrix} E(\hat{\beta}_0) \\ E(\hat{\beta}_1) \\ \vdots \\ E(\hat{\beta}_p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix}$$

Statistical Inference on β s

2. Variance: Constant Variance assumption: $V(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 I_n$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = cY$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Var}(cY) = c \text{Var}(Y) c^T \\ &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (\sigma^2 I_n) \left((X^T X)^{-1} X^T \right)^T = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Let V_{jj} be the j th diagonal of the matrix $(X^T X)^{-1}$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 V_{jj}$$

Statistical Inference on β s

- Derivation of confidence interval of β_j

$$P\left(-t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)} \leq t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P\left(\hat{\beta}_j - t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE(\hat{\beta}_j) \leq \beta_j \leq \hat{\beta}_j + t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE(\hat{\beta}_j)\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

- The $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for β_j is

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE(\hat{\beta}_j)$$

Statistical Inference on β s

- An α -level test of hypotheses

$$H_{0j}: \beta_j = \beta_j^0, \quad H_{1j}: \beta_j \neq \beta_j^0$$

$$P(\text{Reject } H_{0j} | H_{0j} \text{ is true}) = P(|t_j| \geq c) = \alpha$$

where $c = t_{n-(p+1), \alpha/2}$

- Reject H_{0j} if

$$|t_j| = \frac{|\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^0|}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)} > t_{n-(p+1), \alpha/2}$$

Prediction of Future Observation

- Having fitted a multiple regression model, suppose we wish to predict the future value of y^* for a **specified vector** of predictor variables

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (x_0^*, x_1^*, \dots, x_p^*)^T$$

- One way is to **estimate the mean response** $E(Y|\mathbf{x}^*)$ by a confidence interval.

$$E(Y|\mathbf{x}^*) = E(y^*) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1^* + \beta_2 x_2^* + \dots + \beta_p x_p^* = (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$\hat{E}(Y|\mathbf{x}^*) = \hat{E}(y^*) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1^* + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2^* + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_p^* = (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

Estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Variance of the estimate } \hat{y}^* &= \text{Var}[(\mathbf{x}^*)^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \text{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^*) \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{x}^*), \text{ where } \mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Prediction of Future Observation

- Replacing σ^2 by its estimate $s^2 = MSE$, which has $n - (p + 1)$ d.f., and using methods as in simple linear regression, a $(1 - \alpha)$ -level CI for $E(Y^*)$ is given by

$$\boxed{\hat{E}(y^*)} - t_{n-(p+1), \frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{(x^*)^T V(x^*)}} \leq \boxed{E(y^*)} \leq \boxed{\hat{E}(y^*)} + t_{n-(p+1), \frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{(x^*)^T V(x^*)}}.$$

Mean response distribution

- The predicted response distribution is the predicted distribution of the residuals between future response (y^*) and predicted response (\hat{y}^*) at the given point x^* .

$$E(y^* - \hat{y}^*) = 0, \text{Var}(y^* - \hat{y}^*) = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 (x^*)^T V(x^*)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{y}^* = \hat{E}(Y|x^*) = \hat{E}(y^*), y^* = (x^*)^T \beta + \epsilon^*$$

$$\hat{y}^* - t_{n-(p+1), \frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{(1 + (x^*)^T V(x^*))} \leq y^* \leq \hat{y}^* + t_{n-(p+1), \frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{(1 + (x^*)^T V(x^*))}$$

Predicted response distribution

F-Test for β_j s

- Consider:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{At least one } \beta_j \neq 0$$

Here H_0 is the overall null hypothesis, which states that none of the X variables are related to y . The alternative one shows at least one is related.

- How to Build a F -Test

- The test statistic $F = MSR/MSE$ follows F -distribution with p and $n - (p + 1)$ d.f. The α -level test rejects H_0 if

$$F = \frac{MSR}{MSE} > f_{p, n-(p+1), \alpha}$$

Relation between F and R^2

- F can be written as a function of R^2 .

- By using the formula:

$$SSR = R^2 SST; \quad SSE = (1 - R^2) SST.$$

- F can be as:

$$F = \frac{R^2[n - (p + 1)]}{p(1 - R^2)}$$

- We see that F is an increasing function of R^2 and test the significance of it.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

- The relation between SST, SSR and SSE:

$$SST = SSR + SSE$$

- where they are respectively equals to:

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

- The corresponding degrees of freedom (d.f.) is:

$$d.f.(SST) = n - 1; d.f.(SSR) = p; d.f.(SSE) = n - (p + 1).$$

ANOVA Table for Multiple Regression

Source of Variation (Source)	Sum of Squares (SS)	Degrees of Freedom (d.f.)	Mean Square (MS)	F
Regression	SSR	p	$MSR = SSR/p$	$F = MSR/MSE$
Error	SSE	$n - (p+1)$	$MSE = SSE/n-(p+1)$	
Total	SST	$n - 1$		

- This table gives us a clear view of analysis of variance of Multiple Regression.

Extra Sum of Squares Method for Testing Subsets of Parameters

- Before, we consider the full model with p parameters. Now we consider the partial model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_{p-m} x_{i,p-m} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

while the rest m coefficients are set to zero. And we could test these m coefficients to check out the significance:

$$H_0: \beta_{p-m+1} = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{At least one } \beta_j \neq 0$$

$$\text{where } j = p - m + 1, \dots, p$$

Extra Sum of Squares Method for Testing Subsets of Parameters

- Full model with p parameters

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- Now we consider the partial model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_{p-m} x_{i,p-m} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

while **the rest m coefficients are set to zero**. And we could test these m coefficients to check out the significance:

$$H_0: \beta_{p-m+1} = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{At least one } \beta_j \neq 0$$

$$\text{where } j = p - m + 1, \dots, p$$

Building F -test by Using Extra Sum of Squares Method

- Let $SSR_{(R)}$ and $SSE_{(R)}$ be the regression and error sums of squares for the partial model. Since SST is fixed regardless of the particular model, so:

$$SST = SSR_{(R)} + SSE_{(R)}$$

then, we have:

$$SSR_{(R)} + SSE_{(R)} = SSR_{(F)} + SSE_{(F)}$$

- The α -level F -test rejects null hypothesis if

$$F = \frac{(SSR_{(F)} - SSR_{(R)})/m}{SSE_{(F)}/[n - (p + 1)]} > f_{m, n-(p+1), \alpha}$$

Remarks on the F -test

- The numerator d.f. is m which is **the number of coefficients set to zero**. While the denominator d.f. is $n - (p + 1)$ which is the **error d.f. for the full model**.
- The MSE in the denominator is the **normalizing factor**, which is an estimate of σ^2 for the full model. If the ratio is large, we reject H_0 .

Control group
(You should do better than at least error)