Multiple Linear Regression

Instructor: Junghye Lee

Department of Industrial Engineering junghyelee@unist.ac.kr

Contents

1 Introduction

2 Fitting the Multiple Regression Model

3 Statistical Inference on Multiple Regression Model

Probabilistic Model

 y_i : Observed value of the random variable Y_i depends on $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ip}$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

 $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$: unknown model parameters

n: number of observations

i.i.d
$$\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Fitting the Model

• LS provides estimates of the unknown model parameters, $\beta_0,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_p$ which minimizes Q

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i - \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} \right) \right]^2$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i - \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} \right) \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta_j} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij} \left[y_i - \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} \right) \right] = 0$$
for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$

Goodness of Fit of the Model

- Residuals $e_i = y_i \hat{y}_i$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n)
- \hat{y}_i are the fitted values

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

• An overall measure of the goodness of fit R^2

- $\checkmark r^2$ is R^2 .
- ✓ It is satisfied only in simple linear regression.

Statistical Inference on Multiple Regression

- Determine which predictor variables have statistically significant effects.
- We test the hypotheses:

$$H_{0j}: \beta_j = 0, \qquad H_{aj}: \beta_j \neq 0$$

• If we can't reject H_{0i} , then x_i is not a significant predictor of y.

Statistical Inference on Multiple Regression

• The steps are similar to ones of simple linear regression.

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \sigma^2 V_{11}), \qquad SE(\hat{\beta}_1) = s\sqrt{V_{11}}$$

• What's V_{11} ? Why $\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \sigma^2 V_{11})$?

1. Mean: Recall from simple linear regression, the least squares estimators for the regression parameters $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are unbiased. Here, $\hat{\beta}$ of least squares estimators is also unbiased.

$$E(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \begin{pmatrix} E(\hat{\beta}_0) \\ E(\hat{\beta}_1) \\ \vdots \\ E(\hat{\beta}_p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix}$$

2. Variance: Constant Variance assumption: $V(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

$$Var(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 I_n$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T Y = c Y$$

$$Var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = Var(cY) = cVar(Y)c^T$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T (\sigma^2 I_n) \left((\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \right)^T = \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Let V_{jj} be the jth diagonal of the matrix $(X^TX)^{-1}$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_i) = \sigma^2 V_{ij}$$

• Derivation of confidence interval of β_i

$$\begin{split} P\left(-t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{SE\left(\hat{\beta}_j\right)} \leq t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) &= 1 - \alpha \\ P\left(\hat{\beta}_j - t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE\left(\hat{\beta}_j\right) \leq \beta_j \leq \hat{\beta}_j + t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) &= 1 - \alpha \end{split}$$

• The $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for β_j is

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}} SE(\hat{\beta}_j)$$

• An α -level test of hypotheses

$$H_{0j}$$
: $\beta_j=\beta_j^0$, H_{1j} : $\beta_j\neq\beta_j^0$
$$P\big(Reject\ H_{0j}\big|H_{0j}\ is\ true\big)=P\big(\big|t_j\big|\geq c\big)=\alpha$$
 where $c=t_{n-(p+1),\alpha/2}$

• Reject H_{0j} if

$$|t_j| = \frac{|\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^0|}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)} > t_{n-(p+1),\alpha/2}$$

Prediction of Future Observation

• Having fitted a multiple regression model, suppose we wish to predict the future value of Y^* for a **specified vector** of predictor variables

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (x_0^*, x_1^*, ..., x_p^*)^T$$

• First, you can see mean response $E(Y^*|x^*)$ where $E(Y^*|x^*) = (x^*)^T \beta$

$$\widehat{Y}^* = \widehat{E}(Y^* | \mathbf{x}^*) = (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

Estimator for the mean response $E(Y^*|x^*)$

$$E(\widehat{Y}^*) = E((x^*)^T \widehat{\beta}) = (x^*)^T \beta$$

Mean of the estimator (unbiased)

$$Var(\widehat{Y}^*) = Var[(x^*)^T \widehat{\beta}] = (x^*)^T Var(\widehat{\beta}) x^* = (x^*)^T \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} x^*$$

Variance of the estimator

$$=\sigma^2(\mathbf{x}^*)^T\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x}^*$$
, where $\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$

Prediction of Future Observation

• Replacing σ^2 by its estimate $s^2 = MSE$, which has n - (p+1) d.f., and using methods as in simple linear regression, a $(1-\alpha)$ -level CI for $E(Y^*)$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
(x^*)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & MSE & (x^*)^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
\widehat{E}(Y^*|x^*) - t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}} S\sqrt{(x^*)^T V(x^*)} \leq E(Y^*|x^*) \\
\leq \widehat{E}(Y^*|x^*) + t_{n-(p+1),\frac{\alpha}{2}} S\sqrt{(x^*)^T V(x^*)}. & \text{Mean response distribution}
\end{array}$$

- The predicted response distribution is the predicted distribution of the residuals between future response (Y^*) and predicted future response $(x^*)^T \widehat{\beta}$) at the given point x^* .
 - Definition of predicted response distribution
 - Predicted future response = Estimator of mean response

$$E(Y^* - (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = 0$$
, $Var(Y^* - (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 (\mathbf{x}^*)^T V(\mathbf{x}^*)$

F-Test for β_j s

Consider:

$$H_0$$
: $\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$

$$H_1$$
: At least one $\beta_j \neq 0$

Here H_0 is the overall null hypothesis, which states that none of the X variables are related to y. The alternative one shows at least one is related.

- How to Build a F-Test
 - The test statistic F = MSR/MSE follows F-distribution with p and n
 - -(p+1) d.f. The α -level test rejects H_0 if

$$F = \frac{MSR}{MSE} > f_{p,n-(p+1),\alpha}$$

Relation between F and R^2

- F can be written as a function of R^2 .
- By using the formula:

$$SSR = R^2SST$$
; $SSE = (1 - R^2)SST$.

• F can be as:

$$F = \frac{R^2[n - (p+1)]}{p(1 - R^2)}$$

• We see that F is an increasing function of \mathbb{R}^2 and test the significance of it.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The relation between SST, SSR and SSE:

$$SST = SSR + SSE$$

where they are respectively equals to:

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

• The corresponding degrees of freedom (d.f.) is:

$$d.f.(SST) = n - 1; d.f.(SSR) = p; d.f.(SSE) = n - (p + 1).$$

ANOVA Table for Multiple Regression

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square (MS)	F
 (Source)	(SS)	(d.f.)	, ,	
Regression	SSR	р	MSR = SSR/p	F= MSR/MSE
Error	SSE	n – (p+1)	MSE = SSE/n-(p+1)	
Total	SST	n – 1		

• This table gives us a clear view of analysis of variance of Multiple Regression.

Extra Sum of Squares Method for Testing Subsets of Parameters

• Before, we consider the full model with *p* parameters. Now we consider the partial model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_{p-m} x_{i,p-m} + \epsilon_i, \qquad i = 1,2,\dots, n$$

while the rest *m* coefficients are set to zero. And we could test these *m* coefficients to check out the significance:

$$H_0$$
: $\beta_{p-m+1} = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$
 H_1 : At least one $\beta_j \neq 0$
where $j = p - m + 1, ..., p$

Extra Sum of Squares Method for Testing Subsets of Parameters

• Full model with *p* parameters

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \epsilon_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Now we consider the partial model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_{p-m} x_{i,p-m} + \epsilon_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

while **the rest** *m* **coefficients are set to zero**. And we could test these *m* coefficients to check out the significance:

$$H_0$$
: $\beta_{p-m+1} = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$
 H_1 : At least one $\beta_j \neq 0$
where $j = p - m + 1, ..., p$

Building F-test by Using Extra Sum of Squares Method

• Let $SSR_{(R)}$ and $SSE_{(R)}$ be the regression and error sums of squares for the partial model. Since SST is fixed regardless of the particular model, so:

$$SST = SSR_{(R)} + SSE_{(R)}$$

then, we have:

$$SSR_{(R)} + SSE_{(R)} = SSR_{(F)} + SSE_{(F)}$$

• The α -level F-test rejects null hypothesis if

$$F = \frac{(SSR_{(F)} - SSR_{(R)})/m}{SSE_{(F)}/[n - (p+1)]} > f_{m,n-(p+1),\alpha}$$

Remarks on the F-test

- The numerator d.f. is m which is the number of coefficients set to zero. While the denominator d.f. is n-(p+1) which is the error d.f. for the full model.
- The MSE in the denominator is the **normalizing factor**, which is an estimate of σ^2 for the full model. If the ratio is large, we reject H_0 .

Control group
(You should do better than at least error)