## Homework 4

By: Eldor Bekpulatov [eb654]

## Problem 1

Part A

```
mul x1, x2, x3 (1-2) x1 \rightarrow RAW mul x4, x1, x5 (1-4) x1 \rightarrow WAW add x6, x7, x8 (2-4) x1 \rightarrow WAR mul x1, x2, x5 (3-5) \underline{x6} \rightarrow RAW (x6 as src0) add x6, x6, x9 (3-5) x6 \rightarrow WAW (x6 as dst)
```

Part B

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
mul x1, x2, x3	F	D	I	У0	Y1	Y2	Y3	W	С								
mul x4,x1,x5		F	D	i	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	I	Υ0	Y1	Y2	Ү3	W	С				
add $x6, x7, x8$			F	D	I	X	W	r	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	<b>†</b>	$\rightarrow$	С			
mul x1,x2,x5				F	D	i	$\rightarrow$	Ι	ΥО	Y1	Y2	Ү3	W	r	С		
add $x6, x6, x9$					F	D	i	$\rightarrow$	I	Х	W	r	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	С	

Part C

	i	Sta	age	!					RT									ROB		
#	D	I	W	С	<b>x</b> 1	<b>x</b> 2	<b>x</b> 3	<b>x4</b>	<b>x</b> 5	<b>x</b> 6	<b>x</b> 7	<b>x</b> 8	<b>x</b> 9	Free List	IQ	0	1	2	3	4
0					p0	р1	p2	рЗ	р4	р5	р6	р7	р8	p9,pA,pB,pC,pD						
1	1				1	I	-	I	-	-	1	I	ı	p9,pA,pB,pC,pD						
2	2	1			p9*	I			-	-	-	I	I	pA,pB,pC,pD	p9/p1/p2	p9*/x1/p0				
3	3				ı	l	_	pA*	-	-	-	I		pB,pC,pD	pA/p9*/p4	I	pA*/x4/p3			
4	4	თ			ı	l	_	_	-	pB*	-	I		pC,pD	pB/p6/p7	I	I	pB*/x6/p5		
5	5				pC*	l	_	_	-	-	-	I		pD	pC/p1/p4	I	I	I	pC*/x1/p9	
6		2	3		ı	ı	-	I	-	pD*	-	I	1		pD/pB*/p8	I	I	I	I	pD*/x6/pB
7		4	1		ı	ı	-	I	-	-	-	I	1			I	I	pB/x6/p5	I	I
8		5		1	ı	ı	-	I	-	-	-	I	1			p9/x1/p0	I	I	I	I
9					ı	l	_	_	-	-	-	I		р0			I	I	I	1
10			5		ı	I	-	I			-	I		р0			1	1	I	1
11			2		ı	ı	-	I	-	pD	-	I	1	р0			I	I	I	pD/x6/pB
12			4	2	ı	ı	-	pА	-	-	-	I	1	р0			pA/x4/p3	I	I	I
13				3	рC	ı	-	I	-	-	-	I	1	p0,p3				*	pC/x1/p9	I
14				4							-	ı		p0,p3,p5					*	I
15				5					-		-	ı		p0,p3,p5,p9						*
16									I			I		p0,p3,p5,p9,pB						

### Part D

	:	Sta	ıge	1					RT						ROB					
#	D	I	W	С	ж1	<b>x</b> 2	<b>x</b> 3	<b>x4</b>	<b>x</b> 5	<b>x</b> 6	<b>x</b> 7	<b>x</b> 8	<b>x</b> 9	IQ	0	1	2	3	4	
0																				
1	1																			
2	2	1			p0*									p0/x2/x3	p0*/x1					
3	3				_			p1*						p1/p0*/x5	I	p1*/x4				
4	4	3			_					p2*				p2/x7/x8	I	I	p2*/x6			
5	5				p3*					_				p3/x2/x5	I	I	I	p3*/x1		
6		2	3		_					p4*				p4/p2*/x9	I	I	I	I	p4*/x6	
7		4	1		_					_					I	I	p2/x6	I	1	
8		5		1	-			I		_					p0/x1	I	I	I	I	
9					_					_						I	I	I	1	
10			5		-			I		_						I	I	I	I	
11			2		-			I		р4						I	I	I	p4/x6	
12			4	2	-			*		_						p1/x4	I	I	I	
13				3	р3					_							*	p3/x1	1	
14				4	*													*	1	
15				5						*									*	
16																				

# Problem 2

#### Part A

		Br	anch I	30	Br	anch I	31	Branch B2				
i	src[i]	BHT	Р	А	ВНТ	Р	А	BHT	Р	А		
0	0	WT	Т	Т	WT	Т	Т	WT	Т	Т		
1	0	ST	Т	Т	ST	Т	Т	ST	Т	Т		
2	12	ST	T	NT	ST	T	NT	ST	T	Т		
3	15	WT	T	NT	WT	T	NT	ST	T	Т		
4	0	WNT	NT	Т	WNT	NT	Т	ST	T	Т		
5	0	WT	T	Т	WT	T	Т	ST	T	Т		
6	11	ST	T	NT	ST	T	NT	ST	T	Т		
7	17	WT	T	NT	WT	T	NT	ST	T	Т		
8	0	WNT	NT	Т	WNT	NT	Т	ST	T	Т		
9	0	WT	T	Т	WT	T	Т	ST	T	Т		
10	11	ST	T	NT	ST	T	NT	ST	T	Т		
11	13	WT	T	NT	WT	T	NT	ST	T	Т		
12	9	WNT	NT	Т	WNT	NT	NT	ST	T	Т		
13	0	WT	Т	Т	SNT	NT	Т	ST	Т	Т		
14	12	ST	Т	NT	WNT	NT	NT	ST	Т	Т		
15	15	WT	T	NT	SNT	NT	NT	ST	T	Т		
16	0	WNT	NT	Т	SNT	NT	Т	ST	Т	Т		
17	8	WT	T	Т	WNT	NT	NT	ST	Т	Т		
18	12	ST	T	NT	SNT	NT	NT	ST	Т	Т		
19	18	WT	T	NT	SNT	NT	NT	ST	Т	NT		

Part B

		В	ranch	. во		В	ranch	в В1		Branch B2					
i	src[i]	BHSRT	ВНТ	Р	А	BHSRT	ВНТ	Р	А	BHSRT	ВНТ	P	А		
0	0	000	WT	Т	Т	000	WT	Т	T	000	WT	Т	Т		
1	0	001	WT	Т	Т	001	WT	Т	Т	001	WT	Т	Т		
2	12	011	WT	Т	NT	011	WT	Т	NT	011	WT	Т	Т		
3	15	110	WT	Т	NT	110	WT	Т	NT	111	WT	Т	Т		
4	0	100	WT	T	Т	100	WT	T	T	111	ST	Т	Т		
5	0	001	ST	T	Т	001	ST	T	T	111	ST	Т	Т		
6	11	011	SNT	NT	NT	011	SNT	NT	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
7	17	110	WNT	NT	NT	110	WNT	NT	NT	111	ST	T	Т		
8	0	100	ST	Т	Т	100	ST	Т	Т	111	ST	Т	Т		
9	0	001	ST	T	T	001	ST	T	T	111	ST	T	Т		
10	11	011	SNT	NT	NT	011	SNT	NT	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
11	13	110	SNT	NT	NT	110	SNT	NT	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
12	9	100	ST	Т	Т	100	ST	Т	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
13	0	001	ST	Т	Т	000	ST	Т	Т	111	ST	Т	Т		
14	12	011	SNT	NT	NT	001	ST	Т	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
15	15	110	SNT	NT	NT	010	WT	Т	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
16	0	100	ST	Т	Т	100	WT	Т	Т	111	ST	Т	Т		
17	8	001	ST	Т	Т	001	WT	Т	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
18	12	011	SNT	NT	NT	010	WNT	NT	NT	111	ST	Т	Т		
19	18	110	SNT	NT	NT	100	ST	Т	NT	111	ST	Т	NT		

Part C

			Branc	h B0			Branc	h B1		Branch B2					
i	src[i]	BHSR	ВНТ	Р	А	BHSR	ВНТ	Р	А	BHSR	ВНТ	Р	А		
0	0	0	WT	Т	Т	1	WT	Т	Т	1	WT	Т	Т		
1	0	1	WT	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
2	12	1	ST	Т	NT	0	WT	Т	NT	0	WT	Т	Т		
3	15	1	WT	Т	NT	0	WNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
4	0	1	WNT	NT	Т	1	ST	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
5	0	1	WT	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
6	11	1	ST	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
7	17	1	WT	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
8	0	1	WNT	NT	Т	1	ST	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
9	0	1	WT	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
10	11	1	ST	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
11	13	1	WT	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
12	9	1	WNT	NT	Т	1	ST	Т	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
13	0	1	WT	Т	Т	1	WT	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
14	12	1	ST	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
15	15	1	WT	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	Т		
16	0	1	WNT	NT	Т	1	ST	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	Т		
17	8	1	WT	Т	Т	1	ST	Т	NT	0	ST	T	Т		
18	12	1	ST	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	T	Т		
19	18	1	WT	Т	NT	0	SNT	NT	NT	0	ST	Т	NT		

#### Part D

	Two-Bit FSM Accuracy	Two-Level Temporal Accuracy	Two-Level Spatial Accuracy
Branch B0	6/20 = 30%	18/20 = 90%	6/20 = 30%
Branch B1	10/20 = 50%	13/20 = 65%	17/20 = 85%
Branch B2	19/20 = 95%	19/20 = 95%	19/20 = 95%
All Branches	58.33%	83.55%	70%

For each predictor and each branch, discuss why the accuracy is better or worse than the other predictors on the same branch. Your answer should reflect your understanding of the temporal and spatial correlation in this example and how it impacts the various prediction accuracies.

**Branch BO'**s behavior is very predictable, it follows the sequence T T NT. Any scheme that takes advantage of temporal locality would do well with this branching sequence, since we can clearly outline the repeating pattern. As assumed, Two-Level Adaptive Branch Predictor does significantly better than other predictors.

**Branch B1'**s behavior is more complex than the rest, because it starts out with some repeating pattern and becomes less predictable later. The changes in the pattern in the latter part of B1 is somewhat predictable where the 4 sequence pattern in a way counts up in binary. This is what i mean:

Т	Т	NT N	T	NT	Т	NT	NT	Т	NT							
1	1	0 0		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The first three entries are in the B1's sequence and the 4th is simply my speculation. But there seems to be a spatial pattern here. So, Two-Level Adaptive Branch Predictor does much better than other predictors.

Branch B2 has the most simple behavior, where every entry in the sequence is T except the last one. This is because of its use as loop condition variable. Since it's pattern is very simple, all predictors do extremely well. Even fixed predictors, if set right, would be able to achieve this level of accuracy.