# CSE 151A - Discussion 05

# Quick Review

# Logistic Regression

Predict a probability  $H_{\vec{w}}(\vec{x}) = \sigma(\vec{w} \cdot Aug(\vec{x}))$ , with logistic function  $\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-t}}$ Goal: find the value of  $\vec{w}$  to maximize the log-likelihood  $f(\vec{w}) = log\mathcal{L}(\vec{w})$  using gradient ascent

## Maximum Likelihood

In general, the likelihood is :  $\mathcal{L}(\vec{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \vec{w} \cdot Aug(\vec{x}^{(i)})}}$  The log likelihood is :  $f(\vec{w}) = \log \mathcal{L}(\vec{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log \left[1 + e^{-y_i \vec{w} \cdot Aug(\vec{x}^{(i)})}\right]$ 

\*NOTE: Here we have assumed  $y_i = 1$  for a positive class label, and  $y_i = -1$  for a negative class label. You may encounter equations that look different to the ones used here, and this is likely due the use of  $y_i = 0$  rather than  $y_i = -1$  for a negative class label.

#### **Gradient Ascent**

Setting:  $f(\vec{w})$  is differentiable but we cannot explicitly solve for  $\vec{w}$  like before

Strategy: pick a starting guess  $\vec{w}^{(0)}$  and iterate  $\vec{w}^{(i)} = \vec{w}^{(i-1)} + \alpha \cdot \nabla f(\vec{w}^{(i-1)})$  until convergence, where

$$\nabla f(\vec{w}^{(i-1)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k \vec{x}^{(k)} H_{\vec{w}^{(i-1)}}(-y_k \vec{x}^{(k)})$$

## **Making Classifications**

Predict class 1 if  $H_{\vec{w}}(\vec{x}) > \tau$  can be thought of as a threshold probability (y-value of logistic function) Predict class 1 if  $\vec{w} \cdot Aug(\vec{x}) > t$  can be thought of as an x-value threshold on the logistic function

### Problem 1.

Consider the equation  $f(w) = -(x^2 - 5x + 4)$ .

- a) Show that this function is strictly concave. What does this tell us about the number of maxima?
- b) Use gradient ascent to solve for the value of x that maximizes f(x). For this problem, start with  $x^{(0)} = 0$ , and compute all intermediate  $x^{(i)}$  values up to  $x^{(4)}$ . Do this three times with the following values of  $\alpha : 0.3, 0.8, 1.2$ . For each, note any interesting findings and determine if the algorithm will eventually converge.

## Problem 2.

After running gradient descent, suppose that we have solved for  $\vec{w} = (0.5, 2, -1)^T$  that minimizes some convex function f.

We have the following validation set, consisting of four data points and their corresponding labels:

$x_1^{(i)}$	$x_2^{(i)}$	$y_i$
2	4	-1
3	2	1
0	-1	-1
-1	2	-1
	2 3 0	2 4 3 2 0 -1

<sup>\*</sup> Note : Don't forget to augment each  $\vec{x}^{(i)}$ 

- a) We will use the following rule: Predict class 1 if  $H_{\vec{w}}(\vec{x}) > \tau$ , else predict class -1. What is the classification accuracy over the above validation set when  $\tau = 0.5$ ?
- b) Is there a value of  $\tau$  that would result in 100% validation accuracy? If so, compute such a value.