

## 2024 History

### National 5

## **Question Paper Finalised Marking Instructions**

### © Scottish Qualifications Authority 2024

These marking instructions have been prepared by examination teams for use by SQA appointed markers when marking external course assessments.

The information in this document may be reproduced in support of SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is reproduced, SQA must be clearly acknowledged as the source. If it is to be reproduced for any other purpose, written permission must be obtained from permissions@sqa.org.uk.



#### General marking principles for National 5 History

Always apply these general principles. Use them in conjunction with the detailed marking instructions, which identify the key features required in candidates' responses.

- (a) Always use positive marking. This means candidates accumulate marks for the demonstration of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding; marks are not deducted for errors or omissions.
- (b) If a candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed marking instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your team leader.
- (c) Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these general marking principles and the detailed marking instructions for this assessment.
- (d) (i) For credit to be given, points must relate to the question asked. Where candidates give points of knowledge without specifying the context, these should be rewarded unless it is clear that they do not refer to the context of the question. For example, Some soldiers on the Western Front suffered from trench foot as they were unable to keep their feet dry. (1 mark for knowledge, even though this does not specify that it relates to the Scottish soldiers)
  - (ii) There are six types of question used in this question paper. Each assesses a particular skill, namely.
    - A. Describe . . .
    - B. Explain the reasons why . . .
    - C. To what extent or How important or How successful. . .
    - D. Evaluate the usefulness of Source X as evidence of . . .
    - E. Compare the views of Sources X and Y. . .
    - F. How fully does Source X describe/explain. . .
  - (iii) For each of the question types (in ii above), the following provides an overview of marking principles and an example of their application for each question type.

#### A Questions that ask candidates to *Describe* . . . (4 marks)

Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 4 marks for this question

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point
- a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example.

Ouestion: Describe the Liberal Welfare Reforms introduced between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals brought in a number of welfare reforms that were aimed at helping the poorest people in society. (1 mark for knowledge) They were particularly trying to help children and the elderly. (a second mark for development)

#### B Questions that ask candidates to Explain the reasons why . . . (6 marks)

Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons or a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 6 marks for this question

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point
- a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example.

**Question**: Explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their social welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals introduced a variety of reforms in order to help the poorest in society as it had been shown that this group was suffering particular hardships. (1 mark for a reason) Booth had identified that over 35% of Londoners were living in poverty. (a second mark for developing a reason)

# C Questions that ask *To what extent* . . . or How important . . . or How successful . . . (9 marks)

Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.

Give 1 mark for each relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors: up to a maximum of 5 marks. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge.

Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows.

- 1 mark for an introduction. (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)
- 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way. (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)
- 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement. (or overall summary)
- 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement. (a summary cannot be supported)

**Question:** To what extent was genuine concern for the poor the main reason why the Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914?

The Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914 for many reasons. One of these was genuine concern for the poorest in society but concerns about both national efficiency and the rise of the Labour Party were also clear motives. (1 mark for an introduction — factors)

Some historians think the Liberals passed their welfare reforms to help the poorest people. The National Insurance Acts helped keep workers out of poverty. (1 mark for knowledge) The introduction of old age pensions meant that families didn't have the burden of supporting the elderly. (1 mark for knowledge)

However, other historians think they were more concerned with fighting off the Labour Party. (1 mark for balance) Cutting the working day for miners was simply a way of buying their support. (1 mark for knowledge) The National Insurance Acts were targeted at working men who might be likely to support the Labour Party if they felt the Liberals didn't listen. (1 mark for knowledge)

Others believe it was about national efficiency. Churchill and Lloyd George argued that Britain needed its people to be fitter to be able to compete. (1 mark for knowledge) They were shocked by the state of the men who volunteered during the Boer War and wanted to solve this problem. (1 mark for knowledge)

Overall, the Liberals were more concerned about helping the poor. (1 mark for a judgement) Most of their reforms were directed at helping the poor and it is clear from their speeches that this was what motivated them most. (1 mark for supporting a judgement)

# D Questions that ask candidates to Evaluate the usefulness of a given source as evidence of . . . (5 marks)

Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by making separate evaluative comments on aspects such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 5 marks for this question

- a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.

# Example response (Evaluate the usefulness of Source A as evidence of the effectiveness of Liberal Welfare Reforms)

Source A is useful as it was written in 1910 which was at the time when the Liberals were introducing their main reforms. (1 mark for timing) It was written by the Prime Minister so it may be less useful as he has a personal interest in making the reforms sound successful. (1 mark for authorship) It says 'these reforms will make the lives of the poor infinitely better' which shows evidence of bias and makes it less useful. (1 mark for content) On the other hand it also says that the National Insurance Act would benefit working men by giving them unemployment benefits which is accurate so makes the source more useful. (1 mark for content) But it is less useful as it fails to mention that women would not really be covered by this Act. (1 mark for omission)

# E Questions that ask candidates to Compare the views of two given sources about . . . (4 marks)

Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 4 marks for this question

 A simple comparison will indicate what points they agree or disagree about and should be given 1 mark. A developed comparison will be supported by specific references to each source and should be given 2 marks.

# Example response (Compare the views of Sources A and B about the effectiveness of King Charles I as a ruler)

Sources A and B agree that King Charles I was an ineffective ruler. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

Source A agrees with Source B where it says 'the king failed to maintain control of parliament' and Source B says 'King Charles provoked his own people to rebel'. (a second mark for developing a comparison)

The sources disagree about how far Charles I created his own problems. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

The sources disagree about how much Charles I's problems were his own making, where Source A thinks Charles was a disaster as king, while Source B feels he was generally not bad but made some important mistakes. (2 marks for a developed comparison)

F Questions that ask *How fully does a given source explain/describe* . . . (6 marks)
Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 6 marks for this question

- candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement
- candidates should be given up to **4 marks** for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.

Example response (How fully does Source B explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms)

Source B explains the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms fairly well (evidence of judgement) as it mentions their growing fear of the Labour party. (1 mark, point from source). It mentions specifically the Welsh MPs such as Lloyd George who might lose their seats. (1 mark, point from source) It also deals with the raising of working-class expectations which the new Labour Party might bring. (1 mark, point from source) However, it fails to mention (evidence of judgement) their genuine concern for the masses which motivated many MPs.

(1 mark, significant omission) The poor state of health among volunteers for the Boer War had shocked many of them into demanding changes. (1 mark, significant omission) Others, such as Churchill, felt that poverty was holding back Britain's competitiveness as a nation and must be changed. (1 mark, significant omission)

Example response (How fully does source A describe how the Liberal reforms 1906-14 led to improvements in the lives of the young and the old?)

Source A describes how the Liberal reforms led to improvements in the lives of the young and old to a certain extent (evidence of a judgment) as it mentions that the Old Age Pension Act helped to keep the old out of poverty (1 mark, point from the source). It also mentions that children in schools were given free school meals to help them focus on schoolwork. (1 mark, point from the source). However, the source doesn't mention (evidence of judgment) that medical inspections in schools were also introduced to improve the lives of the young (1 mark, significant omission). The source also doesn't mention that the Children's Charter helped keep children out of the workhouses (1 mark, significant omission).

### Marking instructions for each question

### Section 1 — Scottish Contexts

### PART A - The Wars of Independence, 1286-1328

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question						
1.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development.	6	Point identified in the source:	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s):					
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.		Alexander had     no living     children to     succeed him.	Alexander had no children to take over the throne.					
	Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.		2. After his death, there was a strong fear of civil war.	Nobles were worried there would be civil war.					
	Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.							3. Fearing that Scotland would fall under English control, some Scottish nobles	Some nobles met in Scone because they were worried that England would take
	A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or		met in Scone to discuss the problem.	control of Scotland.					
	which refer only to the source.		4. It was decided that Alexander's only living relative, his three-year-old granddaughter, Margaret, Maid of Norway, would become Queen of Scotland.	Alexanders' heir Margaret Maid of Norway was only three years old.					

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. Scottish nobles feared a female monarch could lead to problems over choosing a future husband  6. six Guardians were chosen to rule Scotland  7. Margaret died on her way to Scotland  8. John Balliol, Robert Bruce and others claimed rights to the throne  9. Edward I was asked to choose a new king of Scotland  10. any other valid point of significant omission.

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion		
2.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a	5	Examples of aspectand relevant com			
		maximum of 5 marks.  Candidates must evaluate the		Aspect of the source	Possible comment		
		extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.		Author: John Balliol	Useful as he was an eyewitness and King of Scotland.		
				Type of Source: letter	Useful as it is likely to give an opinion about Edward's subjugation of Scotland.		
			Purpose: to inform	Useful as it provides a detailed account of Edward's subjugation of Scotland.			
			A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant	A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant		<b>Timing:</b> 1296	Useful as it was written during Edward's subjugation of Scotland.
				Content	Possible comment		
				You have entered the realm of Scotland and have committed violent acts against us and the defenceless people of Berwick.	`		
				In a warlike manner you have committed acts of brutal slaughter and burning in Dunbar.	Useful because it is accurate (Edward's army did attack Dunbar).		

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for th question	
			Content	Possible comment
			You have travelled north through Scotland, seizing castles and burning villages.	Useful because it is accurate (Edward's army did seize castles and burn villages).
			insignia 4. Edward I remove records and sym for example, Croof Destiny	ted English nobles nd ed many Scottish dians Balliol to bing him of his royal

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
3.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>Scots positioned on high ground giving them an advantage, for example, Abbey Craig</li> <li>chose battleground well, for example, knew marshy ground would not be suited to the English cavalry</li> <li>the Scots had good leadership, for example, Wallace, Moray</li> <li>the English were overconfident which meant that they underestimated the Scots</li> <li>the English were missing experienced commanders</li> <li>the English army got trapped in the loop of the river</li> <li>Cressingham rejected easier options for crossing the river, for example, the Ford of Drip</li> <li>Cressingham wanted the battle to be over quickly to save money which meant that they rushed decisions</li> <li>English start to the battle was chaotic, revealing their plans to the Scots</li> <li>the Scots attacked before all the English army had crossed the bridge</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mark	ing instructions for this question
4.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
		judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.	1	Victory at Bannockburn	<ol> <li>Bruce's victory consolidated his kingship in Scotland</li> <li>Bruce's defeat of a larger English force increased his support</li> </ol>
		Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.		Defeat of Scottish enemies	<ul> <li>3. Bruce killed main rival John Comyn in 1306</li> <li>4. Bruce defeated another main opponent, the Earl of Buchan, in 1308</li> <li>5. Bruce defeated</li> </ul>
					the MacDougalls in 1308, further consolidating his power
		Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)		Capture of castles	<ul> <li>6. Bruce damaged castles so that they couldn't be recaptured by the English</li> <li>7. by 1314, Bruce had managed to recapture all of the Scottish castles from the English, apart from Stirling and Berwick</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)</li> <li>1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).</li> </ul>		Defeat of English enemies	8. Bruce gained support in Scotland through defeat of small English forces, for example, Glen Trool, Loudoun Hill 9. death of Edward I who was Bruce's most formidable enemy

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question	
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
			Support from the Church	10. in 1309 Scottish bishops officially announced their support for Bruce in the Declaration of the Clergy
			Diplomatic successes	11. Declaration of Arbroath showed support for Bruce from Scottish nobles 12. The Treaty of Edinburgh — Northampton recognised Scotland as an independent country
			Other factors	13. any other valid point.

PART B - Mary Queen of Scots and the Reformation, 1542-1587

Que	estion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion
5.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or	6	Point identified in the source	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
		development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		<ol> <li>The         Archbishop of         St Andrews         passed         reforms which         increased the         authority of         Bishops but         did not do         enough to         solve many of         the problems         at a local         level.</li> <li>Despite being</li> </ol>	Attempts to reform the Church failed as they didn't address problems at parish level.  Many priests
				reprimanded, many priests continued to use money to support their wives and children.	continued to use Church money for their own families.
				3. The leaders of the Catholic Church were also reluctant to enforce the reforms.	The reforms were not successful as they were not fully enforced.
				4. These attempts ultimately failed as an increasing number of nobility were choosing Protestantism.	The reforms were limited as many leading people were choosing to follow the new Protestant faith.

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. pamphlets attempted to make religious faith easier to understand for ordinary people, but many people could not read  6. Church leaders had become accustomed to comfortable lifestyles and did not want to travel the country enforcing reforms  7. poorly educated priests struggled to preach the Catholic faith  8. many priests continued to use Church money to fund their own interests and luxurious lifestyles  9. the archbishops of St Andrews and Glasgow did not have a good relationship which meant a lack of cooperation when attempting to reform the Church  10. Mary of Guise needed the support of Protestant nobles and so offered little support for Church reform  11. any other valid point of significant omission.

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	_	ng instructions for this juestion
6.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of as and relevant co	pects of the source omments:	
		Candidates must evaluate the		Aspect of the source	Possible comment
		extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.	by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be	Author: John Knox	Useful as he was an eyewitness, one of the leaders of the Reformation in Scotland.
				Type of Source: leaflet	Useful as the leaflet would have been widely distributed.
				Purpose: to persuade	Useful as it is showing how Protestants tried to convince people of their faith.
			awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the	Timing: 1559	Useful as written at the beginning of Reformation.
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant		Content	Possible comment
	omission.		The Bishops and their accomplic condemned this popular preache to death, which increased sympathy for the Protestant faith	es is accurate (Catholic Bishops er did burn reformers who were spreading Protestantism	
				The Lords of the Congregation then united to promote the nefaith and demachanges to the Church.	is accurate (Scottish Lords w did unite to

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
			Content	Possible comment
			faith  2. George Wishart Protestant leade Knox  3. Scottish nobles of Guise's relations France, helping Protestantism  4. Protestant congrameet for worship	e bibles were cotland in the ing the Protestant inspired future ers such as John objected to Mary of ship with Catholic the growth of

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
7.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>Mary's marriage to Darnley caused nobles to rebel because he was an unpopular choice</li> <li>Mary's marriage to Darnley lost her the support of her half-brother James Stewart in governing Scotland</li> <li>Darnley's behaviour reflected badly on Mary, for example, drinking and infidelity</li> <li>Darnley and leading nobles were involved in Riccio's murder undermining Mary's authority</li> <li>Bothwell was believed to be responsible for Darnley's murder, and led to Mary being accused of being part of the conspiracy</li> <li>Mary married Bothwell very soon after Darnley's murder, which led to criticism</li> <li>the wedding was a Protestant ceremony which upset Catholics within the country and overseas</li> <li>despite being a Protestant, Bothwell was not popular among the nobility or the Protestant Lords, which caused tension</li> <li>the Protestant Lords raised arms against Mary and Bothwell, which placed Mary in danger, for example, Carberry Hill, Edinburgh, 1567</li> <li>Mary was taken prisoner and publicly humiliated, which damaged her authority</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
8.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
		Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)		Catholic Plots	Mary as the rightful	
	<ul> <li>outlines relevant factors)</li> <li>1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)</li> <li>1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)</li> <li>1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).</li> </ul>			queen, threatening Elizabeth  7. Mary claimed she had a stronger claim to the English throne than Elizabeth  8. Elizabeth did not trust Mary and refused to consider her as her heir		

Q	Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for thi question		
					Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
					Religion	<ul> <li>9. Protestants believed that Mary was a threat due to being a Catholic</li> <li>10. Mary's continued existence was a threat to Elizabeth as it was thought she would encourage Catholic opposition to Elizabeth in England</li> </ul>	
					Other factors	11. any other valid point.	

### PART C — The Treaty of Union, 1689-1715

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
9.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full	6	6	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)	
	description of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or	1. During the voyage to Darien, 44 at the beginning of the and many more passed away shortly after arrival in the colony.			
			interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission,			2. The Company wasted wasted money buying ships. money as they overpaid for the ships for the voyage.
				3. When they arrived, was a problem for settlers. limited drinking water as Darien had very little rainfall.		
				4. The area was full of fatal diseases which killed many settlers, including malaria and yellow fever.  Many settlers died from tropical diseases.		
				Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. the majority of ships were lost to the Scheme, for example, only 1 ship ever returned to Scotland  6. company directors were inexperienced in setting up colonies  7. there was no insurance for any of the ships		

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ul> <li>8. King William did not allow English or foreign investment</li> <li>9. the Scots had inappropriate goods to trade with on the first voyage</li> <li>10. the success of the Darien Scheme was hampered by the hostility of the Spanish</li> <li>11. any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ul>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king ir ques	nstructions for this stion
10.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.  Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.	5		Examples of aspects of the source and relevant comments:		
			Aspect of the source			
			Author: a supporter of Union	eyewi	l as it is an tness account of one who supported	
			Type of Source: letter		l as it is a nal account.	
			Purpose: to inform	to giv	l as it is intended e reasons for rting Union.	
			Timing: 1705	Useful as it is written when debates about Union were happening in Scotland.		
			Content		Possible comment	
			England has freedom and liberty, joini union with the is the best we secure the sa for Scotland	ing in hem ay to ame	Useful because it is accurate (some thought Union would enhance Scotland's freedoms).	
				I see no other of achieving future peaced by uniting outlingdoms in same parlian	than ur two the	Useful because it is accurate (some thought Union would keep peace with England).
					However, Scotland wor still benefit will gain free trade with the English color which will increase our riches.	as it e he nies,

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>Union would help to secure the Protestant succession</li> <li>Union would protect the Kirk</li> <li>Union would prevent an English invasion of Scotland</li> <li>Scottish ships would be protected by the English Navy</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
11.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:         <ol> <li>money was sent to Scotland from England to ensure the passing of the treaty, for example, the Earl of Glasgow</li> <li>many Scottish nobles were persuaded through personal gains, for example, pensions and promotions</li> <li>Queen Anne was determined to form a union between the two countries</li> <li>the Equivalent was appealing as compensation for taking on English debt</li> <li>MPs who had lost money in the Darien Scheme lobbied in parliament for the passing of the treaty</li> <li>the role of the Squadrone Volante helped to pass key articles</li> <li>the English army was stationed on the border which pressured the Scots</li> <li>Hamilton, the opposition leader, was weak in his opposition in Parliament</li> <li>Hamilton refused to walk out of Parliament which helped the bill being passed</li> <li>most Scottish Commissioners were from the Court Party who controlled the debates in Parliament</li></ol></li></ol>

()HOSTION		Max mark	Specific mar	king instructions for this question
12.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
		Religion	<ol> <li>Catholics wanted the return of a Catholic King in Scotland</li> <li>Episcopalians did not want the Presbyterian church to dominate Scotland</li> </ol>	
			Intimidation	3. some landowners used the threat of force to ensure their tenants supported the rebellion
	relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a		Dislike of the Union	<ul> <li>James Stuart (the 'Old Pretender') gained support as he promised to end the Union</li> <li>Union had not lead to economic prosperity for many</li> </ul>
	conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being		Self interest	<ul> <li>6. some had lost their positions after Union</li> <li>7. if the rebellion was successful, participants would receive land, money, and titles</li> </ul>
organised in suppor different factors)  • 1 mark for a conclu a valid judgement ( summary)  • 1 mark for a reasor support of the judg	<ul> <li>1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)</li> <li>1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be</li> </ul>		Foreign support	<ul> <li>8. Jacobites believed that the French would support a rebellion</li> <li>9. Jacobites believed that street disturbances in England showed support for rebellion</li> </ul>
			Other factors	10. any other valid point.

PART D - Migration and Empire, 1830-1939

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
13.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
	description of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	1. After 1830, thousands of poor Irish settled near where they landed. Glasgow after landing on the west coast.	
		2. Protestant Irish established themselves in shipbuilding communities along the Clyde.  Many Protestant Irish found work in shipyards.	
		oort their judgement.  um of 2 marks may be for answers in which no nt has been made or	3. They were joined by groups of Lanarkshire to work in the mines of Lanarkshire.
			4. Jewish communities were up their own established in Edinburgh, Aberdeen, Falkirk, Greenock and Ayr where they built their own businesses.
			Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the Gorbals in Glasgow was an area of settlement for many Jewish people

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>some immigrants settled in more affluent areas of Glasgow, for example, Pollokshields, attracted richer Jewish people</li> <li>other Irish found work on farms in places like Stirlingshire and Perthshire</li> <li>whole families of Irish immigrants often found employment working in factories, for example, textile factories in Dundee</li> <li>many immigrants settled in large communities, for example, Italians</li> <li>many immigrants were forced to live in the poorer parts of cities, for example, the East End of Glasgow</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for question									
14.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of aspects of the source and relevant comments:									
		Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful		Aspect of the source	Possible comment								
	by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.		Author: Irish Catholic priest	Useful as it is from an eyewitness to the Irish Catholic community in Scotland.									
			Type of Source: letter	Useful as it is likely to give a personal opinion of the importance of religion to the Irish.									
			Purpose: to inform	Useful as it provides a detailed account of the importance of the Church to Irish									
		omission.		Content	Possible								
					comment								
			To help, we he built Catholic churches to se our local communities a provide places worship and safety.	is accurate (Catholic churches were and built for the									
			Priests, like m have supporte families with finding accommodation	d is accurate (priests did assist families to find									

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
			Content	Possible comment	
			back home  2. the Catholic and Churches provide social gatherings  3. the Church helpe schooling for Cat  4. football teams w Catholic priests to	ers provided ith writing letters  Protestant ed a place for ed to provide cholic children vere formed by to raise money and munity together, tic	

Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
15.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>free or cheap land was offered to emigrants, for example, Canada and USA</li> <li>opportunity to own their own land, for example, Australia</li> <li>land agents promoted the benefits of moving abroad</li> <li>opportunities for social mobility, for example, American Dream</li> <li>better paid jobs abroad, for example, engineering, granite workers</li> <li>career opportunities, for example, administrators, civil servants</li> <li>letters from families and friends abroad encouraging emigration</li> <li>churches encouraged emigration to Christian communities, for example, Dunedin</li> <li>opportunities for Christian missionary work</li> <li>cattle and sheep farming attracted many</li> <li>many Scots were attracted to a better climate</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king instructions for this question
16.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
	Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.		Politics	<ol> <li>some Scots became important politicians, for example, Andrew Fisher, Australia</li> <li>Scots led policies that discriminated against indigenous people, for example, John</li> </ol>	
		Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)  1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)  1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).			Alexander MacDonald in Canada
				Education	3. Scots set up new schools and colleges, for example, John
					Dunmore Lang opened the Australian College
	L f			Finance	4. Scots developed banking, for example, George Smith in Chicago
				Agriculture	<ul> <li>5. Scots helped develop large scale sheep farming, for example, Australia and New Zealand</li> <li>6. Scots violently displaced indigenous people to acquire land, for example, Māori in New Zealand</li> </ul>
				Transport	7. establishment of railroads, for example, the Canadian Pacific Railroad in the 1880s was established by George Stephen

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
			Culture	<ul><li>8. influence of Scottish music and language</li><li>9. Scots led policies which attacked indigenous people's culture, for example, India</li></ul>	
			Industry	10. many Scots became involved in mining, for example, gold, silver, coal in Australia, the USA 11. some Scots established iron and steel companies, for example, Andrew Carnegie in the USA	
			Local population	12. Scots launched brutal assaults on Aboriginal Australians	
			Other factors	13. any other valid point.	

PART E - The Era of the Great War, 1900-1928

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
17.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)		
	description of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	1. One weapon was poison gas that blew into opposing trenches and forced soldiers out of their defences.  Gas was used to force opposing soldiers out of their trenches.			
		2. Mustard gas was used to used to injure soldiers.  Mustard gas was used to injure soldiers.			
			3. The invention of effective gas masks meant that gas became a less effective weapon on the Western Front.		
			4. The use of gas often failed if the wind changed direction and it blew back onto the troops that had released it.		
			Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the flame thrower caused terror among the enemy 6. machine guns could fire hundreds of bullets per minute 7. tanks were used to protect advancing soldiers		

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
			<ul> <li>8. tanks could cross No Man's Land</li> <li>9. aircraft were used to spot enemy positions</li> <li>10. artillery was used to bombard enemy positions</li> <li>11. any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ul>		

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mark		nstructions for this stion	
18.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.  Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful.	5	Examples of aspects of the source and relevant comments:				
		•		Aspect of the source		Possible comment	
				Author: Scottish shipyard worker	eye	ful as they were an witness to the crictions of DORA	
	identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be			Type of Source: diary	Useful as it will be a truthful account of their experience of the restrictions of DORA.		
			Purpose: to record anger at DORA restrictions	like	Iseful as it is not ikely to be xaggerated.		
relating source. <b>A maxi</b> awarde	awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant		Timing: 1918	writ peri	ful as it was tten during the iod of the crictions of DORA.		
	omission.				Content		Possible comment
			The Defence of the Realm Act (DORA) allows the governme to tell us work which employ we can work f	nt kers er	Useful because it is accurate (DORA did restrict where workers worked).		
			We could be made to move to different parts of Scotland depending on where there is a shortage of skills.		Useful because it is accurate (DORA did require some workers to move).		
				Also, we are banned from going on strike which is our right!	е,	Useful because it is accurate (workers lost rights such as the right to strike).	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  1. DORA restricted freedom of speech through censorship, for example, Vanguard and Forward magazines were restricted  2. DORA restricted the sale of alcohol  3. DORA banned the sale of certain items, for example, binoculars, fireworks  4. DORA restricted movement around railways and docks, for example, Forth Rail Bridge and Orkney  5. any other valid point of significant omission.

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
19.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:         <ol> <li>there was an increased demand for uniforms which led to a boom in wool production</li> <li>there was a shortage of agricultural workers which led to higher wages</li> <li>the war changed the crops that were grown, for example, less meat was produced</li> <li>many farms had a shortage of workers and so employed more women</li> <li>the navy took control of many fishing boats and restricted where boats could fish</li> <li>some fishing boats were converted into mine sweepers looking for sea mines, which meant fewer fish were caught</li> <li>German U-boats patrolled the North Sea which meant that fishing was reduced</li></ol></li></ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	_	ng instructions for this question																												
20.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:																												
		judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.	The suffragist campaign	1. suffragists used peaceful and legal campaigning methods to promote votes for women, for example the Women's Freedom League																													
	Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.		<ol> <li>suffragists had a large and more inclusive membership, giving them a powerful voice</li> <li>the suffragists were supported</li> </ol>																														
				by well-known Scottish MPs, for example, Keir Hardie																													
for presenting structured way conclusion which question, as for (which place its historical outlines relevant outlines relevant of the form of the for	presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)  1 mark for a conclusion with																																<ul> <li>4. some feel that suffragist tactics were too easy to ignore, for example, during the boycott of the 1911 Scottish census</li> <li>5. some well-known and effective suffragists made a big impact in the campaign for women's votes, for example, Dr Elsie Inglis</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>a valid judgement (or overall summary)</li> <li>1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).</li> </ul>		The suffragette campaign	6. the Suffragettes used violent campaigning methods to raise awareness																													

Ques	stion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for question	
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
					<ol> <li>some suffragette tactics were peaceful, such as organising marches. For example, the 1909 march along Princes Street in Edinburgh</li> <li>many suffragettes were arrested and force-fed, creating sympathy for their cause. For example, many were force-fed at Perth prison</li> <li>the suffragettes stopped their violent campaigning during the war, gaining support</li> </ol>
				Women's war work	10. women filled many key roles during the war, gaining respect as they provided a vital war service, for example in the munitions factory at Gretna

Q	Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for question	
					Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
					Improvements to women's education	11. women had been increasingly educated so the argument that they were not qualified to vote didn't work. For example, Chrystal Macmillan was one of the first women to graduate in Science from a Scottish university
					Other factors	12. any other valid point.

# PART A - The Creation of the Medieval Kingdoms, 1066–1406

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
21.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>William seized land from Anglo-Saxon landowners</li> <li>William gave land to his followers</li> <li>William built Motte and Bailey castles</li> <li>William replaced any religious leaders who opposed him</li> <li>William had Norman administrators take over government positions</li> <li>William dealt with rebellion severely, for example, the harrying of the North</li> <li>William ordered officials to count his possessions, for example, the Domesday book</li> <li>William introduced a high level of taxation</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king instructions for this question	
22.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
		Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.			Henry II's treatment of his sons	<ol> <li>Henry II's children had titles but wanted more power</li> <li>young Henry wanted more power and land as he was to become king</li> <li>young Henry was jealous of his brothers who had been given land and titles from their father, for example, John given 3 castles, Richard given Aquitaine, Geoffrey given Brittany</li> </ol>
		A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)  • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)  • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement		Relationship with Eleanor of Aquitaine  Other leaders	<ol> <li>Eleanor was jealous of Henry II's infidelity so wanted to reduce his power</li> <li>some of Eleanor's lands in France had been taken away without consulting her, for example, Gascony</li> <li>she had influence over her sons and encouraged their rebellion</li> <li>Louis VII's influence over young Henry (father-in-law to Young Henry) encouraging him to rebel</li> <li>Louis VII wanted to reduce the power</li> </ol>	
	support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).			of the Angevin dynasty as Henry II had more land and power than him		

Q	Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question		
					Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
						9. William the Lion wanted to regain the lands David had lost to Henry II	
					Baronial Policy	10. barons were angered by Henry II's policies which limited their power 11. barons wanted to regain their confiscated lands	
					Other factors	12. any other valid point.	

Ques	stion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	•	nstructions for this stion
23.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a	4	Possible points of cinclude:  Overall — the source problems Henry II for became king.  Source A  During the provious king's	es agree about the aced when he  Source B  Unlawful castles
	point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		previous king's reign there had been many illegal castles built by barons without royal permission.  Barons were also keeping fines collected from criminals instead of giving this	had been constructed and many barons refused to hand these over to the king.  Barons also refused to pay over money to the king that they had collected through	
			money to the Crown.  Some barons changed legal papers to support their claim that they were rightful heirs to lands which did not belong to them.	Barons were using forged documents to claim landownership.	

Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
24.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>faith in God was central to people's lives which was a comfort</li> <li>the main function of the Church was to enable people to get to heaven where they would be in bliss with God forever</li> <li>the Church conducted important ceremonies, for example, baptisms, marriages, funerals</li> <li>the Church performed the last rites for the dying which was an important end to a religious life</li> <li>the Church employed large numbers of people providing for the community</li> <li>the Church stored tithes in case of harvest failure so people would still have food</li> <li>the Church organised religious holidays for enjoyment, for example, saints' days and festivals</li> <li>the Church provided education for boys as a career in the Church was seen as a noble profession</li> <li>the Church was a political adviser to the king, which helped him maintain his rule</li> <li>the Church maintained national security for the King by raising an army for the Crown</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion
25.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full	6	Point identified in the source	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
	description or explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	1. By the 12 <sup>th</sup> century, towns were growing around castles and monasteries.	Towns grew around existing communities in castles and monasteries.	
			2. As more people began to live in towns, they became centres of trade and industry.	The economic importance of towns grew as more people lived there.
			3. Blacksmiths would make a range of goods to sell including clocks.	Blacksmiths would make clocks and other iron products.
			4. Wool became the most popular item that was traded in medieval towns.	Wool was the most common item to be bought and sold.
			weaving in York 7. towns could becof the Crown by Charter 8. towns grew near coast to transpo 9. markets selling a grew in popularitowns grow	n farmers had sell ame well known for goods, for example, ome independent purchasing a Royal waterways or the rt goods overseas products

# PART B - War of the Three Kingdoms, 1603-1651

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
26.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>belief that God bestowed on a king the right to rule</li> <li>the power of the monarchy was the most supreme authority on earth</li> <li>no-one could challenge the king's authority</li> <li>only God could punish a king</li> <li>the king did not need to follow the law of the land unless he chose to do so</li> <li>the king was not subject to the will of his people, for example, the aristocracy</li> <li>the king was not subject to the commands of the Church</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mai	rking instructions for this question
27.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
	judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.		Foreign policy failures	<ol> <li>a series of foreign policy failures infuriated Parliament, for example, Cadiz, Île de Ré, La Rochelle</li> <li>failures in foreign policy led to hatred towards Buckingham and his eventual</li> </ol>
	Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be		Character of Charles	assassination  3. Charles' strong belief and application of the Divine Right of Kings met with opposition in Parliament (some thought he was
	awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way leading to a			moving towards Absolutism) 4. Charles was not flexible politically, and hated debate from Parliament
	structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)  • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)  • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).	Religion	5. Charles was in favour of the Arminian section of the Church of England which the Puritan minded MPs in Parliament objected to (believed Arminians to be a threat to Protestantism due to the Catholic nature of their services) 6. Charles appointed leading Arminians into positions of power (Laud, Montagu)	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king instructions for this question
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
			Politics	7. Charles dismissed Parliament in 1626 over its attempts to impeach Buckingham 8. Petition of Right was put forward by Parliament in 1628 in response to the illegal taxes and government measures, for example, billeting, martial law, and imprisonment of MPs without a trial 9. the incident of the Three Resolutions of 1629 led Charles to dismiss the 1629 Parliament
			Finance	10. Charles collected tonnage and poundage even after Parliament voted it should be for one year only 11. those who opposed the Forced Loans of 1627 were taken to court, for example, Five Knights case 1627
			Other factors	12. any other valid point.

Q	uestio	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		instructions for this estion
28.	uestio	'n	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.	-		comparison may tes agree about the
	Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		bishops.  After the ceremony, the King returned to the palace to dine privately and did not attend the public events planned for him.	Further insults to the Scots came when Charles did not attend the pre-planned public celebrations after the coronation.		

Q	uestion	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
29.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>people resented forest fines that were imposed on people who lived on areas deemed to be former royal forests</li> <li>Distraint of Knighthood was detested by many</li> <li>nuisances (City of London) were seen as unfair</li> <li>people hated the reappearance of monopolies in different forms, for example, soap</li> <li>recusancy fines were highly enforced which were hated by Catholics</li> <li>merchants were angered when the Crown gave customs farmers the right to collect larger sums</li> <li>people disliked the Court of Wards which doubled its income</li> <li>Plantation of Ulster fines on the City of London were viewed as unfair (1632)</li> <li>continued collection of Ship Money, especially in inland areas was hated</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
30.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a	of ways up to a m of 6 marks.  tes must make a ent about the extent to ne source provides a full ion or explanation of a ent or development.  maximum of 6 marks in mark should be awarded	6	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
	given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		1. The New Model Army was made up of up of full-time, paid soldiers.  New Model Army was made up of professional soldiers.		
		significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or		2. It consisted of cavalry, dragoons, and infantry regiments with over a thousand men in each.	
				3. At the Battle of Naseby, the Royalists were beaten by Cromwell's well-trained cavalry and disciplined soldiers.  The Royalists were beaten by the Parliamentarians at Naseby.	
			4. Around 4500 Royalist soldiers were captured, and 1000 killed. There were many Royalist casualties and prisoners at Naseby.		
				Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. New Model Army was led by people with military knowledge and experience  6. the Self-Denying Ordinance stated that no commanders of the New Model Army could be an MP  7. Royalist forces were heavily outnumbered by the Parliamentarians at Naseby  8. at Naseby the Royalists (under Prince Rupert) failed to destroy the Parliamentarians' baggage train	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>at Naseby the New Model Army dragoons were concealed behind a long hedgerow, emerging to attack Royalist cavalry</li> <li>Parliamentarians suffered minimal losses at Naseby (around 200 men)</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

# PART C - The Atlantic Slave Trade, 1770-1807

Qı	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
31.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>ships sailed from Britain to Africa carrying manufactured goods</li> <li>slave ships mainly departed from Liverpool, Bristol and London</li> <li>manufactured goods were exchanged for enslaved Africans, for example, guns, alcohol, glass beads, pots and pans</li> <li>slave ships left West Africa carrying enslaved Africans to West Indies and the Americas (the Middle Passage)</li> <li>the Middle Passage could take from a few weeks to several months</li> <li>profits from slave auctions were then invested in sugar, coffee, cotton, tobacco</li> <li>ships carrying tobacco, sugar, molasses, cotton would sail back across the Atlantic</li> <li>cotton, tobacco, sugar, coffee could be sold on return to Britain for a large profit</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question	
32.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may
		judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded		Shipbuilding	include:  1. increased job opportunities for skilled craftsmen 2. Port cities became bigger and created new jobs as a result of shipbuilding, for example,
		for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a		Banking	3. growth of insurance companies, for example, Lloyds of London insured many slave voyages 4. banks financed slave voyages, for example, Barclays Bank and the Bank of England 5. made London the
		structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way	Tobacco industry	financial capital of the British Empire  6. tobacco merchants in Glasgow, which led to a new industry boosting the wealth of the city	
		<ul> <li>(with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)</li> <li>1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)</li> <li>1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).</li> </ul>		Textile industry	<ul> <li>7. orders from the trade in enslaved Africans increased profits in the textile industry</li> <li>8. cotton for mills came from plantations using enslaved Africans' labour allowing the industry to expand</li> </ul>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
			Sugar industry	9. the sugar industry developed providing many jobs, for example, Bristol opened sugar houses and a sugar refinery	
			Slave related industry	<ul> <li>10. chain-making and rope were boosted by the trade in enslaved Africans</li> <li>11. the need for manufactured goods such as glass beads and copper led to a growth of factories producing these goods</li> </ul>	
			Other factors	12. any other relevant point.	

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion		
33.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct	4	4	4	Possible points of cinclude:  Overall — the sourcimpact of the slave Caribbean islands.	es agree about the
	comparisons between sources.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).	Source A  The local peoples of the West Indies were almost all wiped out because they caught European diseases, such as the flu.  There was more	The Arawak people could not cope with the new diseases brought on slave ships and many died as a result.  The Caribbean				
			unrest on the islands after laws were brought in to make sure that enslaved people were severely restricted.	islands were made more violent as a result of the laws made to keep enslaved people under control.			
			Large areas of natural beauty were flattened to make space for plantations.	The Caribbean lost a great deal of its beautiful landscape after plantations were developed.			

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
34.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	Possible reasons may include:  1. enslaved Africans were often given poor quality food, so they were often malnourished  2. enslaved Africans lived in run-down accommodation which was often not weatherproof which led to ill health  3. enslaved Africans were often brutally punished causing them injury, for example, whipping when they did not work hard enough  4. enslaved Africans usually worked 12 hours or more per day which was exhausting  5. the intense heat of the Caribbean made working conditions extremely difficult  6. enslaved Africans could be threatened about the sale of family members which made them fear for the loss of their families  7. enslaved African women were often subjected to mistreatment from overseers and owners  8. enslaved Africans could be injured whilst working, for example, using sugar making equipment  9. enslaved African women had to give birth and return to work straight away, giving them no time to recover  10. any other valid reason.

Ques	stion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking in quest	
35.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up	6	Point identified in the source  1. Reports about the cruelty of slavery such as the story of the slave ship the Zong, were publicised by Granville	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)  Abolitionists published stories about the slave trade.
	to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		Sharp.  2. Some women helped the abolitionist cause by boycotting slave-produced goods.  3. Thomas Clarkson travelled an astonishing 35,000 miles around Britain lecturing about slavery.	Women boycotted slave products.  Clarkson travelled Britain telling people about the trade.	
			4. Petitions demanding an end to the slave trade attracted tens of thousands of names.  Possible points of si may include: 5. Olaudah Equiano speaking out aga 6. William Wilberford	published a book inst slavery rce put the	
			for 18 years 7. Sons of Africa gro	about the plight of I shackles were	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>many items were made by Wedgewood with the 'Am I not a man and a brother?' slogan</li> <li>Christian groups and individuals lobbied MPs asking them to stop slavery, for example, Quakers, Hannah More</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

# PART D — Changing Britain, 1760—1914

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
36.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>Edward Jenner developed the smallpox vaccination</li> <li>James Young Simpson developed anaesthetics</li> <li>Joseph Lister developed antiseptic procedures</li> <li>John Snow discovered the link between water contamination and cholera</li> <li>improvements made to cleanliness and safety in hospitals</li> <li>doctors and nurses had a greater understanding of the causes of disease</li> <li>piped water supply to towns and cities improved health</li> <li>improvements in sanitation reduced disease</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	•	ng instructions for this question		
37.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
	judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for revant points of knowledge.  A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  1 mark for the answer being	New laws	<ol> <li>no females were allowed underground</li> <li>no boys under 10 were allowed underground</li> <li>single shaft pits were outlawed</li> <li>pit managers had to have a certificate to show they were</li> </ol>			
			Improved safety	5. inspectors were appointed to enforce new laws 6. the work of inspectors was limited by their small number across the country 7. better supervision of pit winding gear which improved safety when lowering and raising miners 8. pit props lessened the risk of		
	presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)  1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)  1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).					New technology  Other factors

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for question	
38.	uestion				comparison may  es agree about e to working
	Combination of these).				

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
39.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:         <ol> <li>railways made cheap day trips possible which led to the development of seaside towns</li> <li>railways made possible the development of football leagues as supporters and teams could travel to matches</li> <li>newspapers could be delivered all over the country which led to a growth in interest in politics</li> <li>delivery of post became quicker allowing people to keep in touch</li> <li>fresh food could be transported more quickly, which improved diets</li> <li>canal companies could not compete with the speed and cost of the railway which led to their decline</li> <li>people could now travel greater distances for leisure and work, which led to the development of commuter towns</li> <li>railways created new jobs which improved employment opportunities</li> <li>rail timetables were standardised, which led to the creation of GMT which benefitted the economy because the whole country ran to GMT</li> <li>the development of railways created a demand for coal and iron, which meant a growth in those industries</li> </ol> </li> <li>factories could transport their goods to more markets, which led to an increase in profits</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question						
40.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)						
	description or explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.).	given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of		1. One such rally place on 16 happened on 16 August in Manchester, England.						
			2. The large crowd attending included a high proportion of women and children.  The rally was attended by a large number of people, including many women and children.							
		based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or	ge, t. be th no r							3. The radical speaker, Henry Hunt, began to make a speech calling for the reform of parliament.
			4. Local officials worried officials, who called on the alarmed by the size of the crowd and ordered the army to arrest the speakers immediately.							
				Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the militia on horseback charged into the crowd 6. the militia attacked anyone who tried to prevent them from arresting the speakers						

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>many people were killed and hundreds (400) injured</li> <li>the militia were trapped amongst the crowd and had to fight their way out</li> <li>the militia destroyed banners and flags in the crowd</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

# PART E - The Making of Modern Britain, 1880-1951

Q	uestion	1	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
41.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>friendly societies gave people the opportunity to save for future needs</li> <li>savings banks encouraged people to save money</li> <li>the Cooperative Movement allowed poor people access to goods at a cheaper price, making it easier to get food</li> <li>voluntary hospitals provided healthcare, for example, St Bartholomew's in London</li> <li>charities existed to help those in need, for example, Barnardo's for children</li> <li>many people were forced to rely on help provided by the workhouse or outdoor relief, for example, the local parish</li> <li>conditions in the workhouse could be difficult, for example, families could be split up</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king instructions for this question		
42.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a	9	Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
		Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.	The young	<ol> <li>free school meals made children healthier as this was often the only meal they received each day</li> <li>medical inspections introduced at school helped to</li> </ol>			
	Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge.		identify health issues earlier 3. Children's Charter improved welfare as children and young people would no longer be sent to adult prisons 4. some reforms had				
		A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.  Up to 4 marks should be given for					limitations, for example, free school meals were voluntary rather than compulsory
		presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)  • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)		The old	<ul> <li>5. pensions improved the lives of the elderly as it helped them to avoid the workhouse</li> <li>6. problems with pensions, for example, many people did not live until 70 so did not receive their pension</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary)</li> <li>1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).</li> </ul>		The sick	<ul> <li>7. National Insurance gave maternity grants following the birth of a child which eased poverty</li> <li>8. health insurance did not cover most hospital treatments</li> <li>9. families of workers were not covered by health insurance at all</li> </ul>		

Q	Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question	
					Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
					The unemployed	10. unemployed were helped by National Insurance as some workers received benefits, which helped them stay out of poverty 11. reforms were timelimited, for example, unemployment benefits only paid for 15 weeks 12. reforms were targeted at certain industries and did not benefit all workers
					Other factors	13. any other valid point.

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
43.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).	4	Possible points of include:  Overall — the source those groups in Britfalling into poverty  Source A  Evidence proved that children and young people suffered from high levels of poverty meaning that they were too hungry or too weak to learn in school.  The old were also a vulnerable group likely to become even poorer because they became too elderly to work.  The sick were also at risk of falling into poverty due to the fact that they could not afford medical help if they were ill.	comparison may tes agree about tain most at risk of	
				poverty.	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
44.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>evacuations showed the extent of poverty in Britain, for example, middle-class families were shocked at the physical condition of children from the cities</li> <li>the shared experience of serving in the armed forces influenced attitudes to poverty</li> <li>shared experience of war due to bombings increased mixing of social classes which raised awareness of the problems of the poor</li> <li>bombings highlighted the need for government intervention in housing</li> <li>rationing ensured an equal share for all which raised awareness of poverty</li> <li>the need for increased government involvement as a result of the war, meant attitudes began to change, for example, support for the warwounded</li> <li>greater acceptance of government control during wartime was accepted to tackle post-war problems</li> <li>Beveridge Report (1942) was popular and created the expectation of government intervention</li> <li>war brought people together and created the determination for a 'better Britain' which changed attitudes to poverty</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
45.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
	description or explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		1. One of their first tasks was to house the homeless by building prefabricated temporary housing.	
			2. Labour had some success with building these houses, managing to complete some 157,000 homes.  The Labour Government managed to build thousands of homes.	
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or		3. Various laws were passed, including the 1947 Town and Country Planning Act that gave councils more power to plan their community housing.
			4. However, by 1951, there was still a serious housing shortage in Britain, with 750,000 fewer homes than households.	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. New Towns Act (1946) allowed for the building of new towns throughout Britain, for example, East Kilbride  6. problems with the new towns, for example, they lacked amenities and transport links  7. the government's aim was to build 200,000 new homes per year, but they never achieved this target  8. success limited by fewer houses than households meant that some families had to live in former army barracks  9. shortage of building materials limited progress  10. shortage of labour limited progress  11. any other valid point of significant omission.

# PART A - The Cross and the Crescent: the Crusades, 1071-1192

Q	uestic	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
46.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>castles offered physical protection</li> <li>castles allowed lords to control their lands</li> <li>castles included a great hall, used by the lord to receive guests</li> <li>castles were a symbol of a lord's power</li> <li>castles acted as military bases</li> <li>castles were a centre of law and justice</li> <li>castles were economic centres, for example, markets</li> <li>castles were used to protect allies and trade</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion
47.	uestion	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of	-		comparison may tes disagree about
	detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		Many armies fought in a highly organised formation with their archers at the back.	often to be found on foot besieging castles.  Some armies did not have time to get properly organised and so archers fought outwith their normal battle position.	

Ques	tion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
48.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>the Crusading ideal meant that Crusaders were motivated and determined</li> <li>Crusading forces were more united than their opponents allowing them to work together</li> <li>Crusading forces often consisted of experienced and professional soldiers</li> <li>the Pope made sure that support for the Crusade was kept high back in Europe</li> <li>Crusading armies were well led allowing them to win key battles</li> <li>the Crusaders had support from allies helping them stay supplied</li> <li>Muslim lands were often vulnerable and not well defended</li> <li>Muslims were divided religiously so struggled to unite against the Crusaders</li> <li>Muslim armies were not politically united so they couldn't gather as one force to defeat the Crusaders</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification	6	Point identified in the source  1. Saladin was a skilled general who had worked out how to outwit the Crusaders.  2. The Crusaders could not decide on their tactics  Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)  Saladin had improved his tactics.  The Crusaders were divided, weakening their position.
development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up		skilled general who had worked out how to outwit the Crusaders.  2. The Crusaders could not decide on improved his tactics.  The Crusaders were divided, weakening their
to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up		could not were divided, decide on weakening their
of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or	e Ip on	their tactics and were divided between those who wanted to defend and those who wanted to attack.
which refer only to the source.		3. The Crusaders decided to march and meet the Muslim army, giving up their strong position.  The Crusaders made the mistake of giving up their strong position.
		4. The Crusaders marched to battle in the July heat with little access to water.  The Crusaders made the mistake of marching in the heat with little water.
		Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. Crusader forces were weakened by constant attacks from Muslim archers  6. Saladin's troops hid the movement of their army by burning the grass near the Crusaders  7. the Muslim army was larger than
	of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or	of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>the Crusader knights and infantry were easier to destroy because they became separated</li> <li>Crusaders had to surrender because they failed to break the Muslim lines</li> <li>Crusaders lost because of weak leadership, for example, Guy de Lusignan</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	_	ng instr Juestion	uctions for this	
50.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a	5	Examples of as and relevant co	•		
		maximum of 5 marks.  Candidates must evaluate the		Aspect of the source		Possible comment	
		extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be		Author: modern historian	be an Richar	as they will expert on d's roles in usades.	
		awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the		Type of Source: textbook	been v resear	ched.	
		source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.		Purpose: to inform	design detail about	ed to give ed information Richard's ry success.	
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.		Timing: 2019	writte	as it was n with the t of hindsight.	
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments		Content		Possible comment	
	relating to points of significant omission.		Richard I was a trained and experienced mi general with the skills needed to successfully lead soldiers in battle	e d	Useful because it is accurate (he was a successful general).		
				It seems that he had a natural ta for fighting and sources praise hindividual fightiskill.	alent many nis	Useful because it is accurate (he was a successful fighter).	
			He was able to motivate the so under his comm through his cou and force of personality, lead to victories in b	nand rage Iding	Useful because it is accurate (he did motivate soldiers).		
					Possible points of may include:  1. he secured book Cyprus to supprus to supp	ases in oport h	Sicily and is attacks in the

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
			<ol> <li>military skill was proved when he fought through Muslim lands between Acre and Jaffa</li> <li>won key battles like Arsuf</li> <li>he developed tactics that allowed him to keep his army supplied</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>	

PART B - 'Tea and Freedom': the American Revolution, 1774-1783

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
51.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>at the start of the war, the arrival of a British ship carrying tea sparked protests in Boston, for example, the Dartmouth</li> <li>the Sons of Liberty sent guards to make sure no tea was unloaded</li> <li>by December, two other ships had arrived, carrying tea from China</li> <li>a large group of colonists refused to pay taxes on the tea</li> <li>some Bostonians dressed up as Native Americans and boarded the ships at night</li> <li>many chests of tea were thrown overboard, for example, 342</li> <li>a large crowd gathered to watch</li> <li>a naval warship and troops stationed nearby did not intervene</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	•	instructions for this estion
Question 52.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.  A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.		Possible points of include:  Overall — the source the Townshend Duties raised unpopular taxes on the colonists, including duties on lead, paper and tea.  Britain decided to introduce the new duties to help cover the cost of salaries	comparison may tes disagree about
Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		paid to governors and judges in the colonies.  Townshend also moved British troops to the east, where the colonists were forced to provide free accommodation for them.	Local communities were offered a small fee for British officers being allowed to stay in their homes.	

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
53.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>radicals in Britain supported         American demands for reform as         they also wanted reform at home,         for example, no taxation without         representation</li> <li>radicals in Britain believed that         threats to American liberty also         threatened their own</li> <li>many radicals in Britain had close         friendships with colonists</li> <li>some British people were opposed         to war in general and disagreed         with the government taking up arms</li> <li>political pamphlets persuaded many         British people that the colonists         were justified, for example, Tom         Paine Common Sense</li> <li>some British people agreed with         American objections to raising taxes         for spending in Britain, for example,         Edmund Burke</li> <li>some argued against using force         against the colonists as they would         not surrender due to their love of         freedom</li> <li>some wanted to appease the         colonists rather than resorting to         conflict in order to protect trade,         for example, merchants</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
54.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)	
	development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their		1. At the start of the war, the militia were unreliable because militiamen only served for a few months at a time.  Local militiamen could not be relied upon to fight for long periods of time.	
	to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or		<ol> <li>They lacked the training and discipline of regular soldiers.</li> <li>However, Washington's army was often too small to challenge the British as he had no more than 20,000 men fighting at any one time.</li> <li>Militiamen were not professional soldiers so lacked military knowledge.</li> <li>American forces were at a disadvantage as they were much smaller than the British army.</li> </ol>
			4. The Americans were also at risk of being raided by Native Americans who fought with Britain during the War of Independence.  Americans American forces faced the threat of surprise attacks from Native American tribes who agreed to fight with the British.	
				Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. at the beginning of the war, the American army lacked an effective national government to co-ordinate their war effort  6. the American economy was disrupted by the war leading to shortages of military supplies, for example food, firearms, munitions

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>American soldiers remained loyal to their individual states and were reluctant to fight elsewhere</li> <li>a large number of Americans remained loyal to Britain, weakening the American cause</li> <li>Britain hired mercenary soldiers, putting American forces at a numerical disadvantage, for example, Hessians</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestio	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
55.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of and releva		of the source ents:
	Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.		Aspect of the source		Possible omment		
			Author: modern historian				
			Source: work will he information	tervention in			
			A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of		Purpose:	the War of Independ	
	relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be	A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be		to inform	to provide a balanced account of the impact of French intervention.		
			source.		<b>Timing:</b> 2015		it was written benefit of
					Cont	ent	Possible comment
				meant Britain had to move most of its military resources to defend its territories in Africa, India and the West Indies.  it is accurate (French intervention did cause Britain to divert attention to the protection in the protect		intervention did cause Britain to	
				French ship in Americal in 1778 whi protected A forces from British navy	n waters ch American I the	Useful because it is accurate (France did send ships to help the American colonists).	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question		
			Content	Possible comment	
			Spain joined the war as France's ally and threatened to invade Britain, which weakened the British navy's presence in America.  Possible points of sign may include:  1. France provided the with money to help fighting  2. France provided of assistance, for exagunpowder  3. the French navy m for Britain to resup America  4. the French tried to Britain by attempt possessions, for exagibraltar  5. any other valid po	Useful because it is accurate (Spain entered the war to support France and led to fears of invasion).  Inificant omission  The colonial forces of them continue ther military mple, troops and added it difficult oply its forces in the put pressure on ing to take British ample, Jersey,	
			omission.	onic or significant	

# PART C — USA, 1850—1880

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
56.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. mountains were difficult to cross 2. settlers experienced extreme weather conditions, for example, sandstorms or blizzards 3. wild animals could attack travellers 4. wagon trains often strayed off the trail and became lost 5. wagons often broke down 6. high death rate on journey 7. wagon trains were sometimes attacked, for example, by bandits from Mexico or Native Americans 8. rivers were difficult to cross 9. any other valid point of knowledge.

Questi	ion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion
57.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.	Possible points of oinclude:  Overall — the source the life of homeste	es disagree about		
			Source A	Source B	
Candidates are expected compare content directly point-by-point basis. The compare the details in the sources and/or compare viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will	A simple comparison will indicate		Homesteaders lived very isolated lives with their nearest neighbours often being many miles away.	Many community activities were organised for homesteaders, which meant that they were not lonely.	
		what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.  A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full		The Great Plains were usually very dry and it was difficult to get water, leading to droughts.	Many homesteaders used windmills to pump water from underground sources meaning they always had
	marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		There was often a lack of medical care as there were few doctors in the West, so many homesteaders died of diseases like cholera.	As more doctors began to move West, all towns had access to medical treatment, leading to fewer deaths from disease.	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
58.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>North and South disagreed over slavery, causing the war</li> <li>the South were worried that Lincoln would abolish slavery after his election, leading to war</li> <li>shots were fired at Fort Sumter leading to fighting</li> <li>many Southerners believed their state's rights were being infringed leading to war</li> <li>Southerners objected to the Republican Party election promises causing them to go to war</li> <li>secession made the war more likely as Southern states started to leave, for example, the role of South Carolina</li> <li>abolitionists increased tension over slavery, causing the Civil War, for example, John Brown's raid on Harpers Ferry</li> <li>economic differences created divisions between North and South causing war, for example, agriculture versus industry</li> <li>tension over the expansion of slavery into the West helped lead to Civil War</li> <li>Kansas-Nebraska increased tension in the 1850s</li> <li>legal disputes over slavery, for example, Dred Scott</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instruction question	
59.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Point identified in the source	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
		Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.	1. States like Mississippi wouldn't allow formerly enslaved people to own property, meaning they couldn't support themselves.	Formerly enslaved people could not own land.	
		Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		2. Previously enslaved people working for whites were made to sign labour contracts that included punishments for breaking them.	Formerly enslaved people had to follow strict rules in their job.
				3. Unemployed freedmen were punished with severe fines and made to work on plantations if they were unable to pay.	Formerly enslaved people could be fined.
				4. Orphaned children of formerly enslaved people were forced to enter into compulsory apprenticeships.	Orphans were forced into certain jobs.
				Possible points of sign may include:  5. African-Americans of from voting meaning getting equal rights  6. African-Americans of to carry arms meaning defend themselves	were prevented ng they were not s were not allowed

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>African-Americans were not allowed to hold meetings after dark meaning they were controlled in their free time</li> <li>African-Americans needed permission to leave their job meaning they were kept in employment they didn't like</li> <li>African-Americans were not allowed to move from place to place without a permit</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestio	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mark	ing in quest	structions for this tion
60.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of a and relevant		s of the source nents:
	Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.		Aspect of the source		Possible comment		
			Author: modern historian	well- abou confl settle	ul as they are a informed expert t reasons for ict between ers and Native		
			Source: restance textbook the Na the Purpose: to inform de the be	Useful as it is a well-researched account of the problems between Native Americans and the government.			
				detai the c betw	ul as it provides a iled account of causes of conflict reen settlers and re Americans.		
			Timing: 1984	writt	ul as it was en with the fit of hindsight.		
					Content		Possible comment
					In the 1850s, settlers and miners created tension by cro Native Americ territory on the way to Califor and Oregon.	ssing an eir	Useful because it is accurate (settlers crossing Native land caused friction).
				The native per depended on the buffalo for surfur but these were almost hunted extinction by settlers.	the vival e to	Useful because it is accurate (the Native Americans required buffalo to live causing conflict).	
				Native Americ were unhappy settlers began build farms on prairies which traditional buil land.	that to the was	Useful because it is accurate (land was taken from Native Americans making them angry).	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ul> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>6. Native Americans were put into reservations by the American government causing hostility</li> <li>7. Native Americans attacked railroads leading to the army attacking villages</li> <li>8. breaking of treaties by the American government caused conflict, for example, Laramie</li> <li>9. discovery of precious metals led to influx of miners into Native American territory, which caused conflict, for example, Black Hills</li> <li>10. any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ul>

# PART D — Hitler and Nazi Germany, 1919—1939

Qu	estion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
61.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>Germany was left with huge debts from the war</li> <li>Germany was given a reparations bill of £6.6 billion</li> <li>lack of production in the Ruhr as a result of the French occupation</li> <li>printing more money to pay striking workers contributed to hyperinflation</li> <li>value of savings was eroded</li> <li>hyperinflation caused huge problems for those on fixed incomes because their incomes became worthless</li> <li>in the mid-1920s reliance on USA loans made the economy vulnerable</li> <li>the Great Depression brought mass unemployment</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	•	instructions for this estion	
62.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the	mark 4	4	Possible points of a include:  Overall — the source the formation and a Weimar Republic.  Source A  Its new constitution was clear and easy to understand,	comparison may  tes disagree about characteristics of the  Source B  The constitution caused several problems for the new
	viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.  A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		strengthening the young democratic government.  Many welcomed the inclusion of Article 48 that gave the President the power to take control in a crisis.	government, not least because it was confusing.  A notable characteristic of the Republic was Article 48 — but this was criticised for giving too much power to the President in a crisis.		
			Others were happy about Proportional Representation because they felt that the electoral system was very fair.	People criticised the introduction of a proportional voting system because it allowed small anti-democratic parties into the Reichstag.		

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
63.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	Possible reasons may include:  1. the Nazis offered strong leadership which appealed to people  2. many were attracted by Hitler's speeches  3. the strength of the Nazis contrasted with the weakness of the Weimar Republic  4. Nazi Party ideas appealed to those with memories of Imperial Germany  5. many agreed with Nazi criticism of the Treaty of Versailles  6. the wealthy were attracted to their anti-Communist stance  7. many were impressed by the appearance of the SA  8. Nazi propaganda succeeded in winning many over  9. many were impressed by the displays put on at the Nuremberg rallies  10. many were convinced by the promise to provide jobs  11. racists were attracted by anti-Semitic rhetoric  12. the promise to strengthen Germany's military was popular among many  13. any other valid reason.

Qu	estion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
64.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		1. By burning books, they sent out a very clear message that opposing views were never acceptable.  The Nazis made it clear to everyone that different views were not tolerated.	
			2. The public were terrified about telling anti-Nazi jokes as the penalties were so severe.  Even private interactions were monitored.	
			3. People were pressured into supporting Nazi fundraising by having their name published in the newspaper if they didn't participate.  People were compelled to join in Nazi charity fundraising.	
			4. First Dachau and then a number of other concentration camps were established to imprison opponents of the Nazi Government.  Many were imprisoned in camps in which they were treated badly.	
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. the public were frightened that they would be taken away by the Gestapo  6. the Gestapo encouraged people to denounce others, which was intimidating	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ul> <li>7. Hitler Youth leaders encouraged young people to report nonconformity which frightened people</li> <li>8. banning and demonising other parties scared people off political opposition</li> <li>9. any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ul>

Q	uestion	1	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king in ques	structions for this tion
65.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of and relevan		s of the source nents:
			Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful		Aspect of the source		Possible comment
		by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source	as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must	Author: a modern historian	well-ir on you	as they are a nformed expert oth movements ducation in Nazi	
			and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.		Type of Source: textbook	have b	as it is likely to been thoroughly ched about youth
			A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.		Purpose:	educa Useful	as it is intended
			A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the		to inform	inform	e detailed nation about the movements and tion.
			A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant		Timing: 2011		as written with enefit of ght.
			omission.		Conten	t	Possible comment
					· ·	a very bject, ng hey a	Useful because it is accurate (Nazi racial theory was taught in schools).
					Teachers instructed students that ethnic minor were inferior especially gr such as Jews Slavs.	ities -, oups	Useful because it is accurate (Jews and Slavs were categorised as inferior races).
					During the N era, pupils walso taught N ideas in their youth organisations	ere lazi	Useful because it is accurate (young people were taught Nazi ideas).

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>humiliation of Jewish children in schools</li> <li>all teachers had to join the Nazi Teachers Association</li> <li>in the Hitler Youth boys were prepared for war</li> <li>in the League of German Maidens girls were taught domestic skills</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

# PART E - Red Flag: Lenin and the Russian Revolution, 1894-1921

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
66.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>Russification enforced Russian culture on national minorities living in Russia</li> <li>national minorities were made to use Russian in education</li> <li>Russification caused significant discontent among national minorities, for example, Finland</li> <li>regional centres of government and institutions were closed down</li> <li>the Russian Orthodox Church became more important than any other religion</li> <li>other religious groups objected to the suppression of their freedom to worship, for example, Catholics in Poland</li> <li>organised attacks (pogroms) took place against Jews and Jewish property</li> <li>the Tsar supported the Black Hundreds group which attacked national minorities who were seen as disloyal towards the Tsar</li> <li>Russian was made the language of the law, business and government</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		instructions for this estion
Question 67.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.  A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full	-		restion  comparison may  res disagree about tent.  Source B  It would be untrue therefore to say that the Tsar had limitless authority.  There were also some priests in the Russian Orthodox Church who did not
	marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		The army was also loyal to the Tsar and enthusiastic in their breaking up of anti-government demonstrations.	However, much of the Russian army was conscripted and therefore only supported the Tsar in putting down government opposition because they were forced to.

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
68.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>there was peasant unrest due to redemption payments</li> <li>bad harvests made the situation for peasants worse and caused discontent, for example, famine</li> <li>industrial workers were angry about poor wages</li> <li>workers were angry about working conditions, for example, long hours</li> <li>growing number of violent strikes increased opposition to the Tsar</li> <li>government repression made the situation worse, for example, by arresting leaders</li> <li>radical politics among university students caused further discontent</li> <li>defeat in the Russo — Japanese War led to unrest</li> <li>some sections of the military become discontented, for example, the Potemkin Mutiny</li> <li>events of Bloody Sunday in January 1905 led to discontent and strikes</li> <li>the setting up of the St Petersburg and Moscow Soviets challenged the power of the Tsar</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
69.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Possible comment which shows Point identified in the source has interpreted the key point(s)
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	1. In 1917, the war was going very badly for Russia and the Tsar was blamed for this failure.		
			2. Most Russians wished for the war to end, whereas the Tsar wished to continue to fight, which lost the Tsar support.  The Tsar lost support from the Russian people as a result of continuing the war.	
			3. Many Russian people were starving, and they did not believe the government were capable of ensuring there was enough food.  Russians did not believe the Tsar's government could solve food shortages.	
		4. By early 1917, even the Duma had stopped supporting the Tsar and refused to support him.		
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. many Russians resented that the Tsar had left the Tsarina in charge because they thought she was a German spy, which lost the Tsar support	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>the Tsarina allowed Rasputin to influence her decision making which discredited the Tsarist government</li> <li>continued shortage of weapons and ammunition during First World War further discredited the Tsar's leadership</li> <li>shortages and poor working conditions led to great discontent and many Russians blamed the Tsar for this</li> <li>war effort devastated the economy, and the Tsar was blamed for this</li> <li>the Tsar no longer had the support of the middle classes who now wished for a change in leadership</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	rking in ques	structions for this tion
70.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a	5	Examples of and relevan		ts of the source nents:
	maximum of 5 marks.  Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.		Aspect of the source		Possible comment	
			Author: modern historian	writte Lenin'	l as it has been en by an expert on s return and the Theses.	
			Type of Source: textbook	been v	l as it will have well researched to publishing.	
			Purpose: to inform	writte explai	as it has been in order to n the impact of s return.	
			Timing: 1994	writte	as it has been on with the it of hindsight.	
			Conten	nt	Possible comment	
			Lenin only returned to I in April 1917 he immediat issued the Ap Theses.	and ely	Useful because it is accurate (Lenin set out the April Theses as soon as he returned to Russia).	
				Lenin firstly demanded the complete rent of support for Provisional Government	moval or the	Useful because it is accurate (Lenin did call for the withdrawal of support to the Provisional Government).
			Another key demand was nationalisati all banks in F	on of	Useful because it is accurate (Lenin did call for banks to be taken over by the government).	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>Lenin returned to Russia on a sealed train provided by Germany</li> <li>Lenin demanded the setting up of Soviets to form the Government of Russia, for example, 'All Power to the Soviets'</li> <li>the confiscation of all landed estates</li> <li>Lenin called for an end to the war, for example, Land, Peace and Bread</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

# PART F - Mussolini and Fascist Italy, 1919-1939

Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
71.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>people liked the anti-Communist and anti-Socialist stance of the Fascists</li> <li>Fascism promoted traditional family values</li> <li>ex-soldiers were promised recognition of their service</li> <li>Fascism seemed to promise effective government</li> <li>Fascism appealed to those angry with the post war settlement</li> <li>Mussolini's oratory appealed to many</li> <li>Mussolini seemed like a strong leader</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	•	instructions for this estion	
72.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the	4	Possible points of include:  Overall — the source the opponents of F	ces disagree about	
	evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.		Source A	Source B	
	Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.  A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		However, disagreements among the trade unions meant they were unable to present a united front against Mussolini.	In 1919 and 1920, trade unions organised a series of strikes which further demonstrated that they were standing strong against the Fascists.	
				The Liberal and Socialist parties did not cooperate in opposing Fascism because they did not realise the significance of its threat.	The Liberals and Socialists did manage to work together against the Fascists on some issues, despite their differences.
				The Socialist party was also hopelessly divided amongst themselves and so unable to campaign against the Fascists.	The Socialists were able to offer the most effective alternative to the Fascists although they differed on key political ideas.

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
73.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>many disliked it when trade unions were outlawed</li> <li>revaluation of the lira in 1927 led to decline in exports</li> <li>revaluation of the lira had caused unemployment by 1933 causing unpopularity, for example, 2 million unemployed</li> <li>high tariffs restricted imports, so people were unhappy</li> <li>real wages fell as a result of Mussolini's policies, so people were unhappy</li> <li>sick pay and paid holidays were not introduced straight away, so people were unhappy</li> <li>the failure to make Italy self-sufficient caused anger</li> <li>the Battle for Grain in central and southern regions attempted more wheat production despite land being unsuitable, which was unpopular in these areas</li> <li>increasing government control of industry was resented</li> <li>tenants resented paying more after rent controls were abolished</li> <li>fruit farmers resented the emphasis on the production of grain</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
74.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Possible comment which shows Point identified in the source has interpreted the key point(s)
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their		1. Mussolini believed that Italy had been cheated out of land after the First World War so he felt entitled to seize territory.  Italy wanted to increase territory.
	judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		2. He was eager to get hold of raw materials like coal and oil and he needed to find a source for these supplies.  There was a desire to plunder African resources.
		awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made <b>or</b>	3. Mussolini was desperate to avenge Italian defeat at Adowa in 1896.  Revenge for past slights was a major factor.
			4. Abyssinia was already surrounded by the Italian states of Eritrea and Somaliland, which made it vulnerable to attack.
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. Mussolini wanted to show that Italy was an imperial power capable of acting like Britain and France  6. Mussolini believed that Britain and France would not act to stop him  7. a successful war abroad could rally support at home  8. Mussolini wanted to develop export markets for Italian businesses

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ul> <li>9. Mussolini wanted to prove to Hitler that Italy was a powerful country</li> <li>10. invasion could provide valuable practice for a possible war in Europe</li> <li>11. any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ul>

Q	uestion	1	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king inst questi	ructions for this on
75.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of and relevan		of the source
	Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must		Aspect of the source		Possible comment		
			Author: modern historian	a well-i about th	ns they would be informed expert ne crushing of ion to Mussolini.		
			identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.		Type of Source: textbook	would h thoroug	as the book ave been hly researched.
	A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.		Purpose: to inform	to give informa	s it is intended detailed tion about ni's Italy.		
			Timing: 2007		s it was written benefit of ot.		
			Conte	nt	Possible comment		
			In 1924 Social leader Giaco Matteotti wa murdered by Fascists after made a spee condemning Mussolini.	mo s the r he	Useful because it is accurate (Matteotti was killed).		
			In the aftern this killing M moved to est a dictatorshi banning othe political part	ussolini ablish p by er	Useful because it is accurate (Italy did become a one-party state).		
			Mussolini wa prepared to violence out Italy to silen opponents as by the murde the Rosselli I in France.	use with ce his s shown er of	Useful because it is accurate (The Rossellis were killed).		

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  1. hundreds of opponents of the Fascists were killed  2. the secret police (OVRA) made thousands of arrests  3. special tribunals produced summary judgements outside the normal court system  4. opponents were exiled to islands, for example, Lipari, Lampedusa  5. any other valid point of significant omission.

## PART G — Free at Last? Civil Rights in the USA, 1918—1968

Question		General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
76.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. the 'Open Door' policy was abandoned after the First World War  2. immigrants were taken to Ellis Island to be processed before entry to the USA  3. immigrants who were believed to be criminals were not allowed entry  4. immigrants who suffered from serious diseases were not allowed entry  5. literacy tests were introduced to restrict immigration  6. the Emergency Immigration Act established a quota system  7. the Immigration Act restricted eastern and southern Europeans and Asian immigrants  8. any other valid point of knowledge.

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		nstructions for this stion
77.	uestion			Possible points of cinclude:  Overall — the source the changing attitudinmigrants.  Source A  After World War I, there was now a concern in the USA as many immigrants were believed to be uneducated and unskilled.  Immigrants were often accused of breaking strikes, which changed attitudes towards them because working rights and pay deteriorated.  There was now a growing fear that immigrants would take jobs from American	es disagree about des towards  Source B  During the 20 <sup>th</sup> century, some immigrants moved to the USA to expand their businesses, so were often liked as they were skilled workers.  Increasingly, they were respected as they took part in the trade union movement, which fought for better wages for all workers.  However, concerns about immigrants stealing American jobs

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
78.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>to escape the poverty experienced in the southern states, for example, sharecroppers</li> <li>to flee the violent actions of the KKK</li> <li>to avoid the discrimination of the segregation laws, for example, separate schooling</li> <li>many black Americans were restricted from voting in the South</li> <li>the agricultural depression caused many black Americans to lose their jobs</li> <li>they were encouraged by relatives already living in the North</li> <li>believed that housing in the Northern cities was a better standard</li> <li>wages were higher in the industrial jobs in the North</li> <li>more jobs were available in the factories in the North, for example, car manufacture</li> <li>opportunity for more engagement in politics</li> <li>the increase of a new black culture in the North, for example, the Harlem Renaissance</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Que	stion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking inst questi	
79.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Point identified in the source	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
		Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.		1. During that time, he established a civil rights group, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC).	King set up a civil rights group.
		Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		2. Many Northern white Americans disapproved of the South's open racism, so assisted King's campaigns with money, moral support, and political pressure.	King was supported by many white Americans.
				3. King received a lot of publicity after he was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1964.	King became popular internationally.
				4. King attained a position of prestige and influence due to his excellent public speaking skills.	King was an excellent speaker.
				Possible points of sign may include: 5. Martin Luther King violence created s civil rights protest 6. his leadership led protests, for exam Montgomery Bus B	's use of non- upport for his s to successful ple, the

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>his protests encouraged Presidents to become involved in civil rights</li> <li>his speeches led to a lot of media attention, for example, 'I have a dream' in Washington D.C.</li> <li>MLK developed campaigning networks making his protests more effective</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king in ques	structions for this tion
80.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of and relevan		ts of the source nents:
	Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful		Aspect of the source		Possible comment	
		by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be		Author: modern historian	expert	as they have the knowledge of letto riots.
	omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which		Type of Source: textbook	inform	as it contains nation which is esearched.	
		shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be		Purpose: to inform		as it provides ed information.
	A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.				as it has the it of hindsight.	
			Conten	t	Possible comment	
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant		The Harlem of 1964 are viseen as begind a wave of rid that lasted fixears.	widely nning ots	Useful because it is accurate (the Harlem riots started the unrest).
			These urban were mostly unplanned, attacking proof white-own businesses.	operty	Useful because it is accurate (most city riots were mostly spontaneous).	
			Groups such Black Panthe Party used the riots to camp for control of resources in communities	er nese paign f local Black	it is accurate (the Black Panthers tried	
				may include:	were a exampl ad looti	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>some riots required thousands of police to bring areas under control, for example, the Watts riot in Los Angeles</li> <li>police were targeted during the riots as causes of racial inequality</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

## PART H - Appearement and the Road to War, 1918–1939

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
81.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>it was associated with the unpopular Treaty of Versailles</li> <li>the aims of the League were too ambitious</li> <li>not every country was a member when the League was set up, for example, Germany and Russia</li> <li>it did not have an army to enforce its decisions</li> <li>all members had to agree on decisions</li> <li>the USA refused to join the League</li> <li>power of veto made it hard to get things done</li> <li>its sanctions were ineffective</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question General marking instruction this type of question	ns for Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question	
Candidates can be credited number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret to evidence and make direct comparisons between source.  Candidates are expected to compare content directly or point-by-point basis. They recompare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will in what points of detail or view the sources agree or disagree and should be awarded 1 marks of detail or overall viewpoint should be award second mark.  Candidates may achieve ful marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).	in a 4  ne es. a hay  dicate //point e on ark. the ed a	Possible points of include:  Overall — the source the impact of the T  Source A  The main consequence of the Treaty was that Germans blamed the Allied nations for the problems in Germany.  Germany claimed they could not afford the reparations demanded by the Treaty.  After the war, pressure was put on the German economy because the Weimar Government had to immediately start paying reparations.	comparison may  tes disagree about reaty of Versailles.  Source B  Ultimately, the Treaty of Versailles led to Germans blaming their own government for their difficulties.  The reparations set by the Treaty were an amount that Germany could easily pay.  The impact of the reparations bill on the

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
83.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>Hitler wanted to abolish the Treaty of Versailles, which severely limited German armed forces</li> <li>increasing the armed forces would restore the pride of the German people</li> <li>re-armament would make him popular with army leaders</li> <li>re-armament would help reduce unemployment in Germany by providing thousands of jobs</li> <li>Hitler wanted to make Germany safe from invasion by other countries</li> <li>powerful armed forces would enable him to defy Britain and France over Versailles</li> <li>Hitler wanted to make Germany a great world power and needed strong military forces to do this</li> <li>Hitler wanted to secure Lebensraum and needed powerful armed forces to do this</li> <li>Hitler planned to attack Russia because he hated Communism</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Qı	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
84.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Possible comment which shows Point identified in the source has interpreted the key point(s)
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		1. Appeasement was necessary because money could not be found for re-armament to fight another war.  Britain couldn't afford to go to war with Germany.	
			2. Some people now thought that given the harsh treatment of Germany at Versailles, Hitler's demands were reasonable.  The public thought that Germany's demands over Versailles were reasonable.	
			3. Chamberlain was in favour of face-to-face talks with Europe's leaders and believed he could negotiate directly with Hitler.	
			4. The British Government took the view that Communist Russia was the real threat to peace in the world. Communist Russia was perceived to be more of a threat.	
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. Britain had no reliable allies in the event of war, for example, Empire uncertain, France was not trusted, and USA was isolationist	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>the British public were still traumatised by memories of World War I</li> <li>many British were unwilling to support military action</li> <li>chiefs of the armed forces advised that the British military was unprepared for war</li> <li>the British people wanted to spend money on housing and social care, not re-armament</li> <li>by appeasing Hitler, Britain bought itself time to re-arm and strengthen the military</li> <li>there was fear of war due to the likely destruction caused by bombing from the air</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestio	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		,	
85.	candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	number of ways up to <b>a</b>		Examples of aspects of the source and relevant comments:				
			Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful		Aspect of the source		Possible comment	
	by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be		Author: modern historian	a we	ul as they would be ll-informed expert pland and the aration of war.			
			Type of Source: textbook	Source: been thor researche reasons w	ul as it would have thoroughly arched on the ons why Germany ared war on			
			Purpose:	Polar Usefi	nd. ul as it is intended	=		
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments		to inform	to give detailed information about the declaration of war.			
		source.	source.	Timing: 2008		ul as it was written the benefit of sight.		
								J
					Content	:	Possible comment	
			After World one, the cre of Poland me that large ar of land had taken from Germany, when they wanted back.	ation eant eas been nich	Useful because it is accurate (Germany wanted to regain land taken away from them with the creation of Poland).			
			It didn't help that millions Germans wer forced to live under Polish which angere Hitler.	of re e rule	Useful because it is accurate (Hitler disliked Germans living under Polish rule).			

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for the question	
				Content	Possible comment
				Germany wanted to take back the city of Danzig that had been taken away from them and run by the League of Nations.	Useful because it is accurate (Germany wanted the return of Danzig).
				which angered n  2. the Nazi-Soviet I     to attack Poland     repercussions  3. Hitler did not be     would help Polan     war  4. Hitler believed t     able to negotiate	or divided East rest of Germany nany Germans Pact allowed Hitler without elieve that Britain and in the event of

## PART I - World War II, 1939-1945

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
86.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>the town of Dunkirk was surrounded by German troops during the Battle of France</li> <li>Allied troops were evacuated from Dunkirk, for example, more than 300,000 soldiers</li> <li>military vessels from different countries helped with the evacuation</li> <li>a fleet of civilian ships was sent from Britain to help with the evacuation</li> <li>air battles took place between the RAF and German Luftwaffe</li> <li>some troops were killed on the beach whilst waiting to be evacuated</li> <li>not all British and French troops were evacuated</li> <li>the British military had to abandon most of their weapons and equipment</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark		instructions for this estion
87.	87.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the	4	Possible points of include:  Overall — the source Operation Barbaros	es disagree about
		evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.		Source A	Source B
	Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.		Germany's attack on Russia during Operation Barbarossa was not as fast as the Nazis had expected.	German army leaders were correct in predicting that Operation Barbarossa would enable Germany to advance quickly into Russia.	
		A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple		The Russians had made defensive plans for the protection of their country in the event of a German attack.	In addition, the Russians were completely unprepared for the German advance.
	comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		Also, the Russian army had some military advantages over Germany, including having more tanks.	This was thanks to Germany's armed forces being stronger in all aspects compared to their Russian rivals.	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
88.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:</li> <li>POWs were often held in small, cramped cells which made it difficult to move</li> <li>prisoners faced harsh punishments for minor reasons, for example, not saluting prison guards</li> <li>some prisoners were tortured by the prison guards</li> <li>POWs were expected to do hard labour, for example, the Burma railway</li> <li>prisoners were susceptible to disease, for example, cholera</li> <li>prisoners were forced to work in incredibly hot conditions</li> <li>some prison guards thought that captive soldiers were dishonourable so treated them badly</li> <li>sick prisoners of war were not given proper medical treatment</li> <li>people in the camps were not given enough water by the Japanese</li> <li>prisoners in the camps were not given enough food by their captors</li> <li>Red Cross parcels were often not passed on to prisoners</li> <li>escape was almost impossible for the POWs, for example, location of camps</li> <li>any other valid reason.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
89.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s)
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		1. Some people hid Jewish people because they believed the Nazis' anti-Semitism was morally wrong.  People resisted because they did not agree with the Nazis' treatment of Jews.	
			2. Many Jews themselves also fought back to try and stop the persecution of their people.  Jewish people resisted in the hope of ending the Nazis' anti-Jewish actions.	
			3. Communist groups hated Nazi ideas and worked to undermine Nazi rule wherever it existed.	
			4. Sometimes acts of resistance were led by people that had escaped Nazi imprisonment and wanted to stop others suffering this fate.  Some former prisoners resisted in the hope of saving others.	
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. some people resisted to help ensure that the Nazis were defeated in the war  6. resistance occurred as many people were angry at the Nazis invading their country  7. people resisted whose family and friends had been harmed by the Nazis	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>countries encouraged anti-Nazi resistance, for example, Britain, Free French</li> <li>many people in occupied countries were impoverished by Nazi rule</li> <li>introduction of forced labour laws led to widespread resistance to Nazi rule</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	king in	structions for this tion
90.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	Examples of and relevan	•	s of the source nents:
		Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful		Aspect of the source		Possible comment
	by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must		Author: a modern historian	well-ir	as they are a nformed expert Normandy gs.	
		identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.		Type of Source: textbook	thorou accour	as it would be a alghly researched nt of the andings.
		A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.		Purpose: to inform	accour	as it is a factual nt of the andy landings.
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source.		Timing: 1997		as it was written he benefit of ght.
		A maximum of 2 marks may be		Conten	t	Possible comment
	awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.		When D-Day began, the Normandy co from east to was filled wi thousands of carrying sold who would lathe beaches.	west th ships liers and on	Useful because it is accurate (Allied soldiers did disembark boats and land on the beaches).	
				Allied minesweeper boats tried to clear a safer for these shi	o path	Useful because it is accurate (minesweepers did clear away mines).
			To assist with landings, Alli parachute ur were droppe Normandy ar other parts of France.	ied nits d into nd	Useful because it is accurate (Allied paratroopers did land in France to help with the invasion).	
				may include: 1. many Allie	ed soldi	gnificant omission ers were killed as o land on the

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ol> <li>aerial battles took place between the Luftwaffe and RAF</li> <li>tanks were landed on the beaches and used to provide cover for soldiers</li> <li>many paratroopers missed their targets</li> <li>any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

## PART J - The Cold War, 1945-1989

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
91.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	4	<ol> <li>Possible points of knowledge may include:</li> <li>the USSR was a Communist society</li> <li>Soviet leaders said they wanted an equal society</li> <li>the workers were meant to control the USSR's resources</li> <li>the Soviet state rejected religion</li> <li>ownership of private property was generally not allowed</li> <li>the USSR aimed to spread Communism around the world</li> <li>the Soviet Union's political beliefs were partly based on the writings of Karl Marx</li> <li>key industries were nationalised, for example, electricity, agriculture</li> <li>any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for th question		
92.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the	4	Possible points of include:  Overall — the source the setting up of N	ces disagree about	
	evidence and make direct comparisons between sources.		Source A	Source B	
	Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark.  A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark.  Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).		The decision to set up NATO was very popular and welcomed right across member countries.	Joining NATO was not universally welcomed with at least one country having a major riot protesting against membership.	
			The key purpose of NATO was to protect Western Europe from Soviet attack.	Many Soviets felt that NATO's main aim was to invade the USSR.	
			Despite initial reluctance, the Americans had kept their army in Europe after World War Two which led to NATO being established in 1949.	The Soviets believed that the USA had always wanted to leave its soldiers in Europe to maintain an American military presence.	

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
93.	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed.  Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).	6	<ol> <li>Possible reasons may include:         <ol> <li>Hungarians were angry at the Soviet military presence in their country</li> <li>many Hungarians had been sent to prison for opposing Soviet control, leading to public anger</li> <li>the Hungarian secret police (the AVH) caused fear throughout the country and people hoped revolution would end this</li> <li>religious Hungarians were driven to revolt by limits on the practice of their faith</li> <li>crop failures and food shortages in Hungary led to public anger and hopes for change</li> <li>Hungarians wanted Imre Nagy back in power as Hungarian leader</li> <li>limits on freedoms increased demands for change and reform</li> <li>Hungarians felt their culture was being undermined leading to public anger, for example, Russian language signs</li></ol></li></ol>

Q	uestion	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
94.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development.	6	Possible comment which shows Point identified in the source has interpreted the key point(s)
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement.  Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.		1. They feared the rise of anti-American groups as a result of the collapse of French control in south-east Asia.  USA needed to get involved in Vietnam to stop anti-US groups coming to power.	
				2. The USA was also driven by a desire to stop the spread of world Communism.  USA wanted to ensure Communism did not develop around the world.
		A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or		3. The so-called 'Red Scare' in America meant the government wanted to be seen to be taking anti-Communist actions.  The US government intervened in Vietnam because it wanted to act against Communism.
				4. At first the American public encouraged their government to take action to support democracy in Vietnam.  Public backing for US intervention in Vietnam prompted the government to take action.
				Possible points of significant omission may include:  5. the USA joined the war because of belief in the 'Domino Theory'  6. China becoming Communist (1949) increased US fears concerning Communism's spread in Asia and elsewhere  7. USSR and Chinese support for North Vietnam forced the USA to back South Vietnam

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			<ul> <li>8. US success in stopping the spread of Communism in Korea encouraged similar action in Vietnam</li> <li>9. the Gulf of Tonkin incident (when the US claimed North Vietnam attacked its ships) caused US anger and led to increased American military involvement</li> <li>10. successful intervention in Vietnam would allow the USA to demonstrate their global strength and power</li> <li>11. any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ul>

Q	uestior	n	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific mar	_	nstructions for this stion	
95.			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks.	5	5	Examples of and relevan		ts of the source ments:
			Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful		Aspect of the source		Possible comment	
			by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be		Author: a modern historian	modern an expert on atten	pert on attempts	
			awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which		Type of Source: textbook	resea	l as a well- rched account of étente era.	
			shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.  A maximum of 4 marks can be		Purpose: to educate	accou	ll as a detailed int of the reasons étente.	
		awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments			Timing: 1994		Il as it was written the benefit of ight.	
	relating to the content of the source.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.		Conten	t	Possible comment			
			America's fa to win in Vie caused US fe about their a to beat the Soviets.	tnam ars	Useful because it is accurate (America's failure to win in Vietnam meant it wanted to reduce tension with the USSR).			
			This war and other conflict the time cau huge anti-war protests in the USA, which the tamerican government wanted to st	ts at sed ar ne he	Useful because it is accurate (anti-war protests forced the US government to seek peace).			
			The Soviet U was concerned that attempt control revol Eastern Euro were using u their limited resources.	ed s to ts in pe p	Useful because it is accurate (the USSR's own internal problems left them seeking to improve US relations).			

Question	General marking instructions for this type of question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:  1. the growing influence of China forced the Soviets to try and maintain their global power and influence  2. the USA and USSR both feared nuclear war and took steps to reduce tensions  3. huge military spending by both sides could not be sustained  4. the 1970s oil price crisis forced both sides to seek peace due to the economic problems they faced  5. any other valid point of significant omission.

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]