

# 2018 History

### National 5

# **Finalised Marking Instructions**

#### Scottish Qualifications Authority 2018

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is reproduced, SQA should be clearly acknowledged as the source. If it is to be used for any other purpose, written permission must be obtained from permissions@sqa.org.uk.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Assessment team may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These marking instructions have been prepared by examination teams for use by SQA appointed markers when marking external course assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.



#### General marking principles for National 5 History

This information is provided to help you understand the general principles you must apply when marking candidate responses to questions in this paper. These principles must be read in conjunction with the detailed marking instructions, which identify the key features required in candidate responses.

- (a) Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these general marking principles and the detailed marking instructions for this assessment.
- (b) Marking should always be positive. This means that, for each candidate response, marks are accumulated for the demonstration of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding: they are not deducted from a maximum on the basis of errors or omissions unless the candidate has not carried out the correct process.
- (c) If a candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed marking instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your team leader.
- (d) i. For credit to be given, points must relate to the question asked. Where candidates give points of knowledge without specifying the context, these should be rewarded unless it is clear that they do not refer to the context of the question. eg Some soldiers on the Western Front suffered from trench foot as they were unable to keep their feet dry. (1 mark for knowledge, even though this does not specify that it relates to the Scottish soldiers)
  - ii. There are six types of question used in this question paper. Each assesses a particular skill, namely:
    - A. Describe . . . (KU1)
    - B. Explain the reasons why . . . (KU2)
    - C. To what extent or How important or How successful. . . (KU3)
    - D. Evaluate the usefulness of Source X as evidence of . . . (SH1)
    - E. Compare the views of Sources X and Y . . . (SH2)
    - F. How fully does Source X describe/explain . . . (SH3)
  - iii. For each of the question types (in ii above), the following provides an overview of marking principles and an example of their application for each question type.

#### A Questions that ask candidates to *Describe* . . . (4 marks)

Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 4 marks for this question:

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point
- a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example

Question: Describe the Liberal Welfare Reforms introduced between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals brought in a number of welfare reforms that were aimed at helping the poorest people in society (1 mark for knowledge). They were particularly trying to help children and the elderly (a second mark for development).

#### B Questions that ask candidates to Explain the reasons why . . . (6 marks)

Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons or a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 6 marks for this question:

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant reason
- a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example

**Question**: Explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their social welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals introduced a variety of reforms in order to help the poorest in society as it had been shown that this group was suffering particular hardships. (1 mark for a reason) Booth had identified that over 35% of Londoners were living in poverty. (a second mark for developing a reason)

C Questions that ask To what extent...or How important...or How successful...(9 marks) Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.

Give 1 mark for each relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors: up to a maximum of 5 marks. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge.

Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:

- 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors).
- 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors).
- 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary).
- 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).

**Question:** To what extent was genuine concern for the poor the main reason why the Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914?

The Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914 for many reasons. One of these was genuine concern for the poorest in society but concerns about both national efficiency and the rise of the Labour Party were also clear motives. (1 mark for an introduction - factors)

Some historians think the Liberals passed their welfare reforms to help the poorest people. The National Insurance Acts helped keep workers out of poverty. (1 mark for knowledge) The introduction of old age pensions meant that families didn't have the burden of supporting the elderly. (1 mark for knowledge)

However, other historians think they were more concerned with fighting off the Labour Party. (1 mark for balance) Cutting the working day for miners was simply a way of buying their support. (1 mark for knowledge) The National Insurance Acts were targeted at working men who might be likely to support the Labour Party if they felt the Liberals didn't listen. (1 mark for knowledge)

Others believe it was about national efficiency. Churchill and Lloyd George argued that Britain needed its people to be fitter to be able to compete. (1 mark for knowledge) They were shocked by the state of the men who volunteered during the Boer War and wanted to solve this problem. (1 mark for knowledge)

Overall, the Liberals were more concerned about helping the poor. (1 mark for a judgement) Most of their reforms were directed at helping the poor and it is clear from their speeches that this was what motivated them most. (1 mark for supporting a judgement)

# D Questions that ask candidates to Evaluate the usefulness of a given source as evidence of ...(5 marks)

Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by making separate evaluative comments on aspects such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 5 marks for this question:

- a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.

# Example response (Evaluate the usefulness of Source A as evidence of the effectiveness of Liberal Welfare Reforms):

Source A is useful as it was written in 1910 which was at the time when the Liberals were introducing their main reforms. (1 mark for timing) It was written by the Prime Minister so it may be less useful as he has a personal interest in making the reforms sound successful. (1 mark for authorship) It says 'these reforms will make the lives of the poor infinitely better' which shows evidence of inaccuracy and so makes it less useful. (1 mark for content) On the other hand it also says that the National Insurance Act would benefit working men by giving them unemployment benefits which is accurate so makes the source more useful. (1 mark for content) But it is less useful as it fails to mention that women would not really be covered by this Act. (1 mark for omission)

# E Questions that ask candidates to *Compare the views of two given sources about...* (4 marks)

Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 4 marks for this question:

 A simple comparison will indicate what points they agree or disagree about and should be given 1 mark. A developed comparison will be supported by specific references to each source and should be given 2 marks.

Example responses (Compare the views of Sources A and B about the effectiveness of King Charles I as a ruler):

Sources A and B agree that King Charles I was an ineffective ruler. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

Source A agrees with Source B where it says 'the king failed to maintain control of parliament' and Source B says 'King Charles provoked his own people to rebel'. (a second mark for developing a comparison)

The sources disagree about how far Charles I created his own problems. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

The sources disagree about how much Charles I's problems were his own making, where Source A thinks Charles was a disaster as king, while Source B feels he was generally not bad but made some important mistakes. (2 marks for a fully developed comparison)

F Questions that ask *How fully does a given source explain/describe...*(6 marks) Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development.

#### Up to the total mark allocation of 6 marks for this question:

- candidates should be given **up to 3 marks** for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement
- candidates should be given **up to 4 marks** for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source

# Example response (How fully does Source B explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms):

Source B explains the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms fairly well (some evidence of judgement) as it mentions their growing fear of the Labour party. (1 mark, point from source). It mentions specifically the Welsh MPs such as Lloyd George who might lose their seats. (1 mark, point from source) It also deals with the raising of working class expectations which the new Labour Party might bring. (1 mark, point from source) However, it fails to mention (evidence of judgement) their genuine concern for the masses which motivated many MPs. (1 mark, significant omission) The poor state of health among volunteers for the Boer War had shocked many of them into demanding changes. (1 mark, significant omission) Others, such as Churchill, felt that poverty was holding back Britain's competitiveness as a nation and must be changed. (1 mark, significant omission) In conclusion, Source B only explains the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms quite fully. (clear evidence of a judgement)

#### Marking codes to be used for Question Paper

- √ indicates a relevant piece of evidence/knowledge has been credited (MI number should also be used)
- DP indicates a developed point has been credited
- R indicates that recalled knowledge has been credited (useful in SH3)
- S indicates that evidence from the source has been correctly selected/credited (useful in SH3)
- X indicates irrelevance (this section of the answer should be underlined as well)
- SE indicates a serious error (this section of the answer should be underlined as well)
- NR indicates no relevant recalled knowledge has been presented (particularly useful in SH3)
- P indicates that the required process is apparent (useful in KU2)
- WP indicates that the required process is suspect or weak (useful in KU2 and SH1)

- NP indicates that the required process is non-existent (useful in KU2 and SH1)
- B indicates that balance has been provided/different factors have been presented (useful in KU3)
- NB indicates that no balance has been provided/only one factor has been presented (useful in KU3)
- AUT indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the authorship of the source (useful in SH1)
- TYP indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the type of source (useful in SH1)
- PUR indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the purpose of the source (useful in SH1)
- TIM indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the origin/timing of the source (useful in SH1)
- CON indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the content of the source (useful in SH1)
- SOM indicates a point of significant omission has been made in evaluating the source (useful in SH1)
- SC indicates a simple comparison has been made (useful in SH2)
- DC indicates a developed comparison has been made (useful in SH2)
- OC indicates an overall comparison has been made (useful in SH2)
- NC indicates that an attempted comparison is not valid (useful in SH2)
- J indicates that the required judgement has been made (useful in KU3 and SH3)
- SR1 indicates that a reason has been provided in support of the required judgement (useful in KU3)
- WJ indicates that the judgement is suspect or weak (useful in KU3 and SH3)
- NJ indicates that the required judgement has not been made (useful in KU3 and SH3)
- OS indicates that the candidate has just provided an overall summary as a conclusion (useful in KU3)
- REP indicates that the candidate has repeated a point already made previously in their answer (useful in every question type)

### PART A – The Wars of Independence, 1286-1328

Q			Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
1.	uestic	on	-	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. Margaret was a child - problematic as others would have to rule or lead on her behalf/an adult ruler would be better/problematic as many children died before adulthood  2. Margaret was female - problematic as many thought a king would be a stronger ruler/better able to control the nobles/better able to lead an army in to battle  3. Margaret would need a husband - problematic as a Scottish husband could cause rivalry in Scotland/a foreign husband could mean that Scotland was controlled by a foreign country  4. Some nobles did not support Margaret - problematic as the monarch needed the support of the nobles to be able to have strong government  5. Margaret was from Norway which meant that some saw her as a	
				<ul> <li>could cause rivalry in Scotland/a foreign husband could mean the Scotland was controlled by a foreign country</li> <li>4. Some nobles did not support Margaret - problematic as the mona needed the support of the nobles to be able to have strong government</li> </ul>	

Q	uestio	n	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
2.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks</b> . Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.	
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.	
				A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:	
				John's parliament agreed that homage and fealty should be withdrawn	
				<ol> <li>they (Scotland) concluded a treaty with France</li> <li>the Scots invaded England</li> </ol>	
				4. Edward slaughtered most of the citizens of Berwick	
				Possible points of significant omission may include:	
				<ul><li>5. John Balliol had accepted Edward I as his overlord</li><li>6. John Balliol was bullied by King Edward (eg summoned to pay wine bill)</li></ul>	
				7. John Balliol refused King Edward's order to join him in the war against France	
				8. King Edward defeated John Balliol at Dunbar/pursued Balliol north 9. King Edward forced John Balliol to surrender to him (at Montrose) 10. King Edward stripped John Balliol of his crown and title.	
				Any other valid point of significant omission.	

Que	estion	Max mark	Spec	ific marking instructions for this question
3.		9	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion be on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each poir (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge. A furt 4 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way a coming to a reasoned conclusion.	
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
			English mistakes	<ol> <li>the English were overconfident which meant that they underestimated the Scots/victory at Dunbar created the belief that they were superior</li> <li>the English were missing experienced commanders/Hugh de Cressingham had little military experience/Earl of Surrey was in poor health</li> <li>English army was smaller than the army they had at Dunbar which helped the Scots</li> <li>poor planning - Cressingham rejected the option of crossing using a ford/crossing by the bridge was a difficult manoeuvre</li> <li>Cressingham wanted the battle to be over quickly to save money which meant that they rushed decisions</li> <li>choice of battlefield not suited to cavalry</li> <li>plans chaotic - two false starts revealed plans to the Scots/English slow to get organised/slept in/knighting ceremony</li> <li>army forced into a bottleneck/bridge too narrow for cavalry and no chance of escape</li> </ol>
			Scottish strengths	<ul> <li>9. Scots positioned on high ground giving them an advantage/Abbey Craig</li> <li>10. tactics - schiltrons impossible to break with cavalry charge/moved as a unit/mobility a surprise for the English</li> </ul>

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
		Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
		leadership of Wallace and Murray	<ul> <li>11. chose battleground well/surveyed land/knew marshy ground would not be suited to the English cavalry</li> <li>12. timing was superb - attacked quickly so English were trapped/if had attacked too early vanguard would not have got across bridge</li> </ul>		
		any other relevant factor	13. any other valid reason.		
	Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the questi as follows:  1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its histori context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of		roduction (which places the question in its historical es relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being ructured way (with knowledge being organised in		

Qı	~		Max mark	\$	Specific marking ins	structions for this question
4.			5	5 marks. Car useful by cor purpose, tim candidate months which shows  A maximum to the author 2 marks may of the source	ndidates must evaluated menting on evidencing, content or omisust identify an aspect why this aspect male of 4 marks can be graph of evaluated by the given for evaluated marks.	number of ways up to a maximum of ate the extent to which a source is ce such as the author, type of source, sion. For a mark to be given, the ct of the source and make a comment kes the source more or less useful.  Given for evaluative comments relating arpose and timing. A maximum of tive comments relating to the content marks may be given for evaluative ignificant omission.
			Examples o	•	rce and relevant comments:	
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)
				Author	Scottish writer	Useful as he lived during the time of King Robert/collected stories about King Robert.
				Type of source	Chronicle	Useful as they tend to be detailed.
				Purpose	To record	Less useful as may have been written to glorify King Robert and therefore be exaggerated for dramatic effect.
				Timing	1335	Useful as primary source from the 14 <sup>th</sup> century.
					Cambant	Danible commonts
					Content	Possible comment(s)
				with ladders	urried to the castle s and secretly er the stone wall	Useful as it is accurate (Bruce and his followers did capture castles held by the English).
				Then they s found.	laughtered all they	Useful as it is accurate (Bruce and his followers did kill many English soldiers who were garrisoned in Scotland/treated English brutally).
				broken dow well and the castle/move	d the castle wall n, destroyed the en the whole ed onto Perth with d soon set siege to	Useful as it is accurate (Bruce did destroy castles so that the English could not return to them).

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Possible points of significant omission may include:
		<ol> <li>King Robert used guerrilla tactics (eg ambushed groups of English soldiers)</li> <li>King Robert won the support of powerful nobles (eg Angus MacDonald)</li> <li>English soldiers were demoralised by the capture of garrisons</li> <li>King Robert defeated the English at Bannockburn.</li> </ol>
		Any other valid point of significant omission.

PART B - Mary Queen of Scots, and the Scottish Reformation, 1542-1587

Q	uestion	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
5.		6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each
			accurate, relevant reason, and a <b>second mark</b> should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).
			Possible reasons may include:
			<ol> <li>the Church could be easily influenced through bribery by rich nobles which affected the authority of the Church</li> <li>Abbots and Bishops insisted that funds from parishes were sent to them (often abroad) which meant that local churches suffered</li> <li>local parish priests were often poorly educated which meant they lacked knowledge of scripture and were not able to say mass accurately</li> <li>some of the clergy were married with children which caused criticism as they were supposed to be celibate</li> <li>illegitimate children of the nobility and royalty were appointed to offices within the Church which caused resentment (eg James V's illegitimate sons)</li> <li>attempts at reform before the 1560s were criticised for being too limited</li> <li>senior clergy spent Church finances to fund luxurious lifestyles which many people saw as corrupt</li> <li>Protestant ideas were growing in Scotland which was a threat to the practices of the Catholic Church (eg sale of pardons)</li> </ol>
			<ol> <li>9. the Lords of the Congregation, a group of Protestant Lords, united against Mary of Guise and were planning to establish a Protestant Reformation in Scotland, which challenged the authority of the Catholic Queen and Church</li> <li>10. the Catholic Church faced condemnation for its persecution of Protestant followers (eg George Wishart who was burned as a heretic in 1546)</li> <li>11. the Catholic Church was closely connected to France which aroused resentment in Scotland.</li> </ol> Any other valid reason.

Qı	uestion	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
6.	uestion		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
			<ol> <li>Possible points which may be identified from the source include:</li> <li>[Moray] had to cope with the fact that Mary still had supporters who wanted to return her to the throne even after her abdication</li> <li>Moray spent his time securing Protestantism in Scotland by passing laws to strengthen the religion</li> <li>in 1572 Morton became regent and also strongly supported Protestantism</li> <li>he forced ministers to declare loyalty to the King as Governor of the Kirk</li> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>Moray passed laws of the Reformation Parliament in 1560 which favoured the Kirk</li> <li>Moray took strong action against Catholic priests</li> </ol>
			<ol> <li>Moray was murdered in Linlithgow in 1570 by one of Mary's supporters</li> <li>Morton had strong support from Elizabeth I for his religious policies</li> <li>Morton was a strong regent who restored law and order and increased taxes</li> <li>Morton was executed in 1581 due to his complicity in the murder of Darnley.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	uestic	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
7.			9	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.			
				Possible Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:			
				relations with the nobility	<ol> <li>many nobles were suspicious of Mary on her return from France as they thought she would replace them with Frenchmen</li> <li>the nobles resented taking orders from a woman</li> <li>during her first few years, Mary used her half-brother, Lord James Stewart, and Maitland of Lethington to help govern Scotland which annoyed their rivals</li> <li>in March 1565, Moray, Argyll and Châtelherault agreed to rebel because of Mary's marriage to Darnley, resulting in the Chaseabout Raid</li> </ol>		
				marital relationships	<ol> <li>Darnley's behaviour of drinking and womanising reflected badly on Mary</li> <li>Mary's relationship with Riccio was under suspicion, some suspected they were having an affair/he was a foreign spy</li> <li>Mary was suspected of involvement in Darnley's murder</li> <li>Mary's marriage to Bothwell was heavily criticised and lost Mary support because he was a suspect in Darnley's death</li> </ol>		
				religious policy	<ol> <li>Scotland was a Protestant country and when the Catholic Mary returned from France the new Kirk was suspicious that she may wish to return Scotland to a Catholic country</li> <li>John Knox never believed that Mary accepted the Protestant religion in Scotland and encouraged opposition to her amongst Protestant followers</li> </ol>		

Q	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
				relationship with Elizabeth	Mary was undermined by Elizabeth's support of Scottish nobles in rebellion against her     Mary's religion encouraged English Catholics to plot against Elizabeth which further undermined their relationship		
				any other relevant factor	13. any other valid reason.		
					should be given for presenting the answer in a , leading to a conclusion which addresses the question,		
				1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).			

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question					
8.			5	5 marks. Cuseful by copurpose, till candidate rwhich show A maximum to the auth 2 marks may of the source comments in	andidates must evaluate ommenting on evidence ming, content or omissions identify an aspect was why this aspect make or, type of source, purpay be given for evaluations. A maximum of 2 morelating to points of signary in the maximum of 2 morelating to points of signary in the maximum of 2 morelating to points of signary in the maximum of 2 morelating to points of signary in the maximum of 2 morelating to points of signary in the maximum of 2 morelating to points of signary in the maximum of 2 more in the maximum of 2	umber of ways up to a maximum of the extent to which a source is a such as the author, type of source, ion. For a mark to be given, the of the source and make a comment as the source more or less useful.  I wen for evaluative comments relating pose and timing. A maximum of ive comments relating to the content parks may be given for evaluative gnificant omission.			
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)			
				Author	Lady-in-waiting to Mary	Useful because she was an eyewitness.			
				Type of source	Diary	Useful because it is an honest, personal account.			
				Purpose	To record	Useful because it is a private account (and likely to be truthful).			
				Timing	1567	Useful because it was written at the time of Mary's execution.			
				(either /or)	1567	Not useful because it was not written at the time of Mary's execution.			
					Content	Possible comment(s)			
				great cour	n quickly, and with age, knelt down and osigns of faltering.	Useful because it is accurate (Mary did show courage during her execution).			
				interruptir she was ev	tioner kept ng her prayers/when ventually finished she ead on the block.	Useful because it is accurate (the executioner did attempt to interrupt Mary's prayers).			
				great blow	tioner struck her a on the neck, which owever, entirely	Useful because it is accurate (the first blow failed to cut off her head).			

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		<ol> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>Mary removed her black dress to reveal a red petticoat which was a symbol of Catholic martyrdom</li> <li>two blows were needed to cut off Mary's head</li> <li>the executioner raised up her head to show the crowd and her wig came away to reveal her grey hair cut short to her scalp</li> <li>Mary's small dog was found under her skirts soaked in her blood/the dog had to be removed by force then it laid on her shoulder.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of significant omission.

# PART C - The Treaty of Union, 1689-1715

Q	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
9.				Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. no one involved in setting up the scheme had ever been to Darien to carry out research and so were unaware of just how unsuitable for a colony the site was  2. the very high temperatures made things difficult for Scots who were not used to such heat  3. Darien has heavy rainfall/200 inches a year and this proved not to be conducive to the establishment of a settlement  4. Darien was full of diseases which posed dangers to the settlers (eg malaria, yellow fever)  5. the failure to take enough food on the journey contributed to the high casualty rate (eg 44 died during voyage) during the initial voyage  6. on the second expedition the casualty rate was even higher (eg 160 died during the voyage because of unclean water/rotting food)  7. not enough investment was made in the scheme as King William did not allow English or foreign investment  8. when the Scots got into difficulty King William forbade nearby English colonies to offer assistance  9. the Spanish attacked the settlement and forced the Scots to surrender  10. the Scots asked for high prices and so failed to trade
				<ul><li>11. the Scots took the wrong goods for trading (eg Bibles, wigs, heavy cloths).</li><li>Any other valid reason.</li></ul>
			]	Any other valid reason.

Questio	on Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
Question 10.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.  Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.  A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.  Possible points which may be identified from the source include:  1. its opponents said that taxes would be sure to rise if the Union went
		<ol> <li>ahead</li> <li>they also claimed that as Scots would be in a minority in a new British Parliament/their voices would always be drowned out by the English</li> <li>some Presbyterians warned that Union would force unwelcome changes on the Church of Scotland</li> <li>opponents of the Union also argued that Union would give the English too much control over Scotland's trade</li> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>it was argued that in the aftermath of Union, Scottish businesses would not be able to compete with stronger English businesses</li> <li>Episcopalians in Scotland opposed Union as it would secure the Hanoverian succession (and only a return to the Stuart dynasty could restore episcopacy to the Scottish church)</li> <li>Union would lead to the end of Scotland's identity as an independent nation</li> <li>Union would be wrong as a majority of the Scottish people opposed it</li> <li>Royal burghs feared the end of their special privileges</li> <li>many claimed Scotland's distinctive legal system would be under threat.</li> </ol>
		Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qu	estion	Max mark	Spe	cific marking instructions for this question
11.		9	9 marks. Candid which different impact. They are influence of different on the evidence.  Up to 5 marks of knowledge used (but one marks least two factors 3 marks should 4 marks can be	be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of dates must make a judgement about the extent to factors contributed to an event or development, or its re required to provide a balanced account of the ferent factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based expresented.  The provided a provided a presented conclusion based as presented.  The provided a presented conclusion based are presented.  The provided a presented conclusion based are presented.  The provided a presented conclusion based are presented conclusion based are presented.  The provided a presented conclusion based conclusion.
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
			support of Squadrone Volante	<ol> <li>role of the Squadrone crucial as had they failed to support Union it might have failed in Parliament given the opposition of the Country Party</li> <li>many in the Squadrone supported Union as they were Presbyterians and believed this was the best way to protect the Kirk</li> <li>many in the Squadrone were motivated by greed as they believed support for Union would give them control over the distribution of the Equivalent</li> </ol>
			pressure from England	<ul> <li>4. England used the Aliens Act to make it clear there would be severe economic difficulties for Scotland should they resist Union</li> <li>5. Queen Anne was determined for Union to take place and was ably assisted by political figures (eg Queensberry)</li> <li>6. English troops were on the border during the negotiations to focus the mind of the Scots/fear of English army after recent victories (eg Blenheim, Ramillies)</li> </ul>
			bribery	<ul> <li>7. pensions, promotions and jobs were all offered to encourage support for Union (eg the Earl of Glasgow distributed £20,000 to encourage support for Union)</li> <li>8. Hamilton may have been bribed by the Court Party</li> </ul>

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
		Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
		division among the opposition	<ol> <li>disagreement amongst opponents of Union (eg Catholics and extreme Presbyterians) meant they were unable to act together to prevent it</li> <li>the Opposition was poorly led by the unpredictable Hamilton/he refused to participate in planned walkout of parliament</li> </ol>		
		any other relevant factor	11. any other valid reason.		
		structured way as follows:  1 mark for an context or outl presented in a support of diffe judgement (or	should be given for presenting the answer in a r, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, introduction (which places the question in its historical lines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being structured way (with knowledge being organised in erent factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the summary cannot be supported).		

Q			Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
12.			5	5 marks. Causeful by corpurpose, time candidate methods. A maximum to the authods marks may of the source comments results.	ndidates must evaluated mmenting on evidence on ing, content or omissions identify an aspect why this aspect maked of 4 marks can be given, type of source, pury be given for evaluating to points of signature. A maximum of 2 marks and the signature of signature is a maximum of 2 marks and the signature of signature.	umber of ways up to a maximum of the the extent to which a source is a such as the author, type of source, ion. For a mark to be given, the of the source and make a comment as the source more or less useful.  The such as the author, type of source, ion. For a mark to be given, the of the source and make a comment as the source more or less useful.  The such as the		
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)		
				Author	Scottish Lord	Useful as it is a first-hand account by a Scottish Lord in Parliament who wanted to end Union.		
				Type of source	Parliamentary Journal (speech)	Useful as this is part of an official account.		
				Purpose	To record	Useful as it provides detail of Scottish grievances.		
				Timing	June 1713	Useful as it was written soon after the Union (by which time Scots were able to judge its effects).		
					Content	Possible comment(s)		
					should be given to ill to end the Union.	Useful as it is accurate (many Scots did desire to end Union at this time).		
				Rights and I what they h	om should have its Privileges restored to nad been at the time nion was first	Useful as it is accurate (many Scots were annoyed at the infringement of their rights).		
				Tax is in vio	otland with a Malt plation of the 14th ne Treaty of Union.	Useful as it is accurate (many Scots were angry at the levying of this tax in contravention of the terms of Union).		

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		<ol> <li>Possible points of significant omission may include:</li> <li>there was dissatisfaction with the length of time it took to pay the Equivalent</li> <li>anger over higher customs duties led to an increase in smuggling</li> <li>anti-Union feeling important in explaining the level of support for the Jacobites in 1715</li> <li>there was anger after the Scottish Privy Council was abolished in 1708.</li> <li>Any other valid point of significant omission.</li> </ol>

## PART D — Migration and Empire, 1830-1939

Q	Question		Max mark		Specific marking inst	ructions for this question
13.			5	5 marks. Cuseful by copurpose, ticandidate rwhich show. A maximum to the auth 2 marks may of the sourcements	andidates must evaluate ommenting on evidence ming, content or omissimust identify an aspect was why this aspect make or of 4 marks can be giver, type of source, puray be given for evaluating to points of signals.	umber of ways up to a maximum of the the extent to which a source is a such as the author, type of source, ion. For a mark to be given, the of the source and make a comment as the source more or less useful.  The for evaluative comments relating pose and timing. A maximum of the comments relating to the content thanks may be given for evaluative entificant omission.
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)
				Author	Peter Rusgis	Useful as he was the son of an immigrant so he would have first-hand knowledge of why people immigrated to Scotland.
				Type of source	Interview	Useful because they tend to be factual and detailed.
				Purpose	To record/inform	Useful as he would want to record his father's story as accurately as possible.
				Timing	1910	Useful because it was written when immigrants were coming to Scotland from Eastern Europe.
					Content	Possible comment(s)
				forced into	them wanted to be the Russian army as have meant they from home for ars.	Useful as it is accurate (young men did flee from Lithuania to escape conscription into the Russian army).
				conditions	e both frightened as were bad in the my/Scotland seemed tination.	Useful as it is accurate (people did flee from places like Lithuania because of fear).

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
		Content	Possible comment(s)			
		My father had also heard that there were plenty of jobs in Scotland.	Useful as it is accurate (many immigrants did come to Scotland as there were plenty of jobs available).			
		cheap/wages were higher in So 2. Italian immigrants came to Sco family businesses/to escape di Italy	hunger/because Scotland was onnections/fares to Scotland were cotland otland to escape poverty/to work in rought/to earn money to send back to cape persecution/pogroms in Russia			
		Any other valid point of significa	nt omission.			

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
14.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>Italians established many popular businesses (such as ice-cream parlours, cafés and fish and chip shops)</li> <li>there was tension between the Catholic Italians and the Protestant Scots (eg Italian cafés being open on a Sunday)</li> <li>the café owners were also criticised by local people who claimed the cafés sometimes encouraged unruly behaviour</li> <li>there was little integration between the Scots and Italians</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ul><li>5. immigrants were unpopular with the Scottish workers as they were accused of taking jobs/being strike breakers/keeping wages down</li><li>6. immigrants and Scots competed for available housing/pushed housing costs up</li></ul>
				<ul><li>7. Catholic Irish faced hostility from the Church of Scotland</li><li>8. Protestant Irish assimilated more easily as they shared the same</li></ul>
				religion with the Scots  9. Jews set up businesses which provided services for the Scots (eg watchmakers, tobacconists)
				10. Jews did face some anti-Semitism.
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Spe	cific marking instructions for this question				
15.	. 9			Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 9 marks.</b>					
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which diffactors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. Th required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.					
				knowledge used (but one mark s least two factor 3 marks should 4 marks can be	can be given for relevant, factual, key points of to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point should be deducted if the process is not clear in at rs). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of be given for relevant points of knowledge. A further given for providing the answer in a structured way and soned conclusion.				
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:				
				attractions of new lands	the promise of cheap land/opportunity to own land/more fertile land				
					<ol> <li>climate perceived as better to that in Scotland</li> <li>employment opportunities/higher wages</li> <li>encouragement from relatives/friends</li> </ol>				
				the clearances	<ul><li>5. many people evicted from their homes/made homeless so had to move</li><li>6. some landlords assisted tenants by paying their passage if they agreed to leave</li></ul>				
				difficulties of earning a living	<ul> <li>7. collapse of kelp industry/fall in demand for black cattle/collapse of herring trade after Russian Revolution in 1917</li> <li>8. overpopulation led to the subdivision of land/not enough good land to support family/pay rent</li> <li>9. failure of potato crop/blight/hunger</li> </ul>				
				poor living standards	<ul> <li>10. rural housing - blackhouses/croft houses offer primitive accommodation</li> <li>11. urban housing - tenements often squalid. Lacking basic amenities in 1920s and 1930s</li> </ul>				
				Government	12. Government assistance to emigrate/assistance of charities (eg Barnardos)				
				any other relevant factor	13. any other valid reason.				

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
Question  16.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. Scots who emigrated often had skills which were in demand in their homeland which meant they prospered (eg they were experienced farmers/granite workers/handloom weavers/seamen)  2. Scots were often relatively well educated which meant they could often adopt leadership roles in their new communities which meant they did well (eg education/politics/finance)  3. Scots gained a reputation as being hardworking which meant that employers were often keen to hire them  4. many Scots had an entrepreneurial attitude and set up their own businesses which meant that there were examples of Scots who became very rich  5. Scots were innovative and played key roles in the modernisation of their new homelands (eg developing railroads/telegraph systems/introducing new farming methods)  6. many Scots moved to areas where there were existing Scots communities which meant they had families and friends to support them/felt at home/were able to settle quickly (eg Otago in New Zealand and Nova Scotia)  7. Scots were experienced in finance and banking which meant that these skills were much in demand in their new homelands  8. many Scots were experienced miners which meant they were successful in the Australian Gold Rush  8. the Canadian Fur Trade was dominated by Scots so Scots were recruited for Hudson Bay Company which meant that other Scots were given key positio
		<ul><li>9. the Presbyterian church in New Zealand was able to offer support/was familiar to them which helped Scots create a stronger community than other immigrants.</li><li>Any other valid reason.</li></ul>

### PART E — The Era of the Great War, 1900-1928

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
9 Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a m 9 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to whe factors contributed to an event or development, or its impleare required to provide a balanced account of the influence different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key point knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not cleast two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximal 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge 4 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structure coming to a reasoned conclusion.		st make a judgement about the extent to which different outed to an event or development, or its impact. They oprovide a balanced account of the influence of ours and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the ented.  can be given for relevant, factual, key points of d to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point should be deducted if the process is not clear in at ors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of d be given for relevant points of knowledge. A further e given for providing the answer in a structured way and		
		Possible Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
		machine gun	<ol> <li>machine gun's casualty rate was much higher than that of regular rifles/the machine gun had the capability to fire 400-600 rounds per minute</li> <li>the machine gun had a longer range than regular rifles/the use of cross fire made the machine gun deadly</li> <li>it was the most effective defensive weapon in the trenches/but lacked mobility</li> <li>at times less effective as barrel overheated and jammed</li> </ol>	
		the tank	<ul> <li>5. destroyed barbed wire defences/crossed no man's land easily</li> <li>6. bullet proof/shielded infantry during an attack on enemy trenches</li> <li>7. very slow/often got stuck in the mud or broke down</li> </ul>	
		gas	<ul><li>8. (chlorine/phosgene/mustard) - created panic and killed/wounded many by choking/blinding</li><li>9. less effective as it was weather dependent/impact of gas masks</li></ul>	
		artillery	10. killed/wounded the most men from long range	

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
				rifle	11. crucial ever-present infantry weapon/useful due to its mobility when the soldiers were attacking	
				aeroplanes 12. some success in reconnaissance/bombing enemy trenches		
				any other relevant factor 13. any other valid reason.		
				Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:  1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in		
				support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).		

Question Max mark			Specific marking instructions for this question		
18.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.	
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.	
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.	
				Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.	
				A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:	
				<ol> <li>it stated that no-one was allowed to talk about the navy or the army in public places</li> <li>you were also not allowed to spread rumours about military matters</li> <li>you could not trespass on railway lines or bridges</li> <li>British Summer Time was introduced to give more daylight hours for</li> </ol>	
				extra work.  Possible points of significant omission may include:	
				<ol> <li>no-one was allowed to melt down gold or silver</li> <li>no-one was allowed to light bonfires or fireworks</li> <li>no-one was allowed to use invisible ink when writing abroad</li> <li>no-one was allowed to ring church bells</li> <li>the government could censor newspapers/letters</li> <li>opening hours in pubs were cut/beer was watered down/customers in pubs were not allowed to buy a round of drinks.</li> </ol>	
				Any other valid point of significant omission.	

Question Max mark		-	Specific marking instructions for this question				
19.			5	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 5 marks.</b>			
			Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful.				
				A maximum of <b>4 marks</b> can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of <b>2 marks</b> may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of <b>2 marks</b> may be given for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.			
				Examples	Aspect Aspect	e and relevant comments:  Possible comment(s)	
				Author	David Lloyd George	Useful as he is the PM and has first-hand/eyewitness knowledge of the work done by women.	
				Type of source	Newspaper interview	Useful as interviews tend to be both factual and detailed.	
				Purpose	To inform/persuade	Useful as it praises the work done by women/provides detailed account of women's war work.	
				Timing	22 August 1918	Useful as it was written in the last year of the war.	
					Content	Possible comment(s)	
				female volunteers came forward to work in administrative offices of all kinds.		Useful as it is accurate (women did volunteer to do various jobs on the home front).	
				women wo	orking in hospitals.	Useful as it is accurate (many women did become nurses).	
					nes who have flocked to nd the front lines as e drivers.	Useful as it is accurate (many women did work behind the front lines).	

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Possible points of significant omission may include:
		<ol> <li>women worked in munition factories</li> <li>the land army/on the land</li> <li>on the trains as railway guards and ticket collectors/buses and tram conductors/emergency services like police/firefighters</li> <li>some women also worked heavy or precision machinery in engineering/shipyards.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question Max mark			Specific marking instructions for this question		
		mark	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. militancy created lots of bad publicity which made achieving the vote much less likely/portrayed women as unfit to vote 2. Suffragettes smashed shop windows which angered business owners 3. Suffragettes interrupted political meetings which annoyed politicians 4. Emily Davison's actions at the Derby outraged the nation 5. Suffragettes slashing paintings upset many people 6. attacking politicians made many people view Suffragettes as too immature to vote 7. chaining themselves to public buildings made many view Suffragettes as a nuisance 8. arson attacks (eg racecourses, post boxes) turned many against the cause 9. use of letter bombs made many think women were not responsible enough to vote		
				enough to vote  10. acid attacks (eg golf clubs) turned many against the cause  11. some Suffragettes viewed as unpatriotic for not supporting the war effort.  Any other valid reason.	

# PART A - The Creation of the Medieval Kingdoms, 1066-1406

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
21.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. the king kept some land for himself and gave the rest to the nobility/other important members of society  2. barons received land from the king and in return provided knights to fight in the king's army  3. knights received land from the barons and in return fought for the king on behalf of the barons  4. peasants received some land and in return worked on their overlord's land/or provided other services  5. barons had to pay homage for their land  6. knights could pay money to their lord instead of providing military service (eg Scutage)  7. the Church was also part of the feudal system and could be asked to provide services in return for land.		
				Any other valid point of knowledge.		

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
22.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 4 marks.</b> Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons			
					xpected to compare content directly compare the details in the sources erall.		
				sources agree or disagree on and sh	I comparisons (or by a combination vinclude:		
				Source A	Source B		
				The knights attempted to arrest Becket but he refused to leave, claiming he was ready to die for God.	The knights attempted to seize Archbishop Becket but he would not move, stating he was willing to be a martyr for the Church.		
				Becket was dragged from the altar and in the scuffle that followed, was attacked by the knights.	Becket was thrown to the floor and assaulted by the knights.		
(In an act of horror) of knights drew his sword		(In an act of horror) one of the knights drew his sword and sliced off the crown of Becket's head.	To make sure he was dead, one of the knights held Becket down and cut off the top of his head.				

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
23.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>monasteries played a vital role in the wool trade (creating work for people and boosting the economy)</li> <li>monasteries were also centres of learning (especially for boys preparing for a career in the Church)</li> <li>pilgrims often stayed there overnight</li> <li>the sick were also frequent visitors (hoping to be treated at the monastic infirmary)</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>key places of worship (eg prayed for the souls of the dead)</li> <li>monastic libraries held chronicles which were of great historical importance</li> <li>monastic fields were used to grow herbs for medicine/only source of medical treatment for many people</li> <li>monasteries involved in developing other industries (eg brewing, fishing)</li> <li>monasteries provided food for the needy.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question		on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
24.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
clear, for example by showing connections between relationships between events or ideas. These should		Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.		
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).
				Possible reasons may include:
				<ol> <li>the increase in population meant there was a greater demand for manufactured goods made in towns</li> <li>the workforce needed somewhere to live and so moved to towns</li> </ol>
				3. peasants moved to towns because they could improve their social position there
				4. towns were supported by kings which helped them to grow (eg right to hold a market)
5. kings/bard			encouraged their growth	
				<ol><li>towns were supported by barons which helped them to grow (eg did not stop peasants from moving there/rented land instead)</li></ol>
				7. towns were attractive to people because it was safer to live together inside a town's walls
				Any other valid reason.

Q	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
25.			9	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which differed factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.				
				Possible Key points of knowledge to support this factor may factors: include:				
				Black Death	<ol> <li>the peasants were unhappy because the Statute of Labourers law in 1351 said that no peasant could ever be paid more than he was before the Black Death</li> <li>the peasants were angry because since the Black Death some peasants had been able to buy their freedom from their lord but many were still villeins and were not free</li> </ol>			
				The Hundred Years' War	<ul> <li>3. England had been at war with France for nearly 50 years (the peasants were tired of paying for the war)</li> <li>4. the war had been going badly since 1369, the peasants feared the French might invade</li> </ul>			
				King Richard II	<ul> <li>5. King Richard II was still young (the peasants believed he was being badly advised by his commissioners)</li> <li>6. the peasants disliked the King's commissioners because they were enforcing the collection of new taxes (eg in Essex and Kent)</li> </ul>			
				poll taxes	<ul> <li>7. the peasants were worried because they could not pay the new poll taxes (which had been introduced in 1377, 1379 and 1381)</li> <li>8. the peasants were angry with the 1381 poll tax because every person over 15 had to pay 4d</li> </ul>			

Q	Question		x rk	Specific marking instructions for this question			
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
				the Church	9. the peasants resented having to work on the Church's land for free 10. the peasants were angry when John Ball, a priest who supported them, was jailed		
				any other relevant factor	11. any other valid reason.		
				Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:			
				1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).			

PART B - War of the Three Kingdoms, 1603-1651

Questio	on Max mark	Spe	cific marking instructions for this question	
26. 9		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion base on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the correct process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.		
		Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
		religion	<ol> <li>Millenary Petition (1603) which requested changes to practices in Church of England was rejected by James</li> <li>licensing of Bancroft's Canons (brought changes to clergy and Prayer Book) annoyed the clergy</li> <li>James gave Bishops more control in the Church which worried Puritans (Direction of Preachers 1622)</li> </ol>	
		revenue/ finance	<ol> <li>Parliament viewed James as being extravagant with money (eg spending on favourites/spending on coronation)</li> <li>Parliament was dismissed in 1610 because of arguments over finances</li> <li>arguments over impositions (extra customs tax) and subsidies led to parliament's dismissal in 1614</li> <li>quarrels over the sale of monopolies</li> </ol>	

Q	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:		
				King's personality	<ul> <li>8. many in parliament were offended by James' belief in the Divine Right of Kings</li> <li>9. James was criticised for neglecting the business of government in favour of leisure pursuits</li> </ul>		
				any other relevant factor	10. any other valid reason.		
				Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:			
	1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of tigudgement (a summary cannot be supported).			ines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being structured way (with knowledge being organised in erent factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the			

Qu	•		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
27.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of <b>2 marks</b> may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>the King's plans were undermined by lack of funds</li> <li>the Scottish forces organised themselves quickly and efficiently</li> <li>English forces lacked experienced commanders</li> <li>the English army that finally gathered on the Scottish border in mid-1639 was untrained and poorly equipped.</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>there was a lack of support for the war among the King's subjects</li> <li>in 1640, King Charles attempted a second campaign against the Scots, but once again, the army he raised was inadequate</li> <li>many of the English soldiers deserted on the march to the north because they were untrained and poorly-disciplined</li> <li>by August 1640, the King's forces had mustered in Yorkshire and Northumberland, most of them poorly-armed, unpaid and underfed</li> <li>the Earl of Strafford coerced the Irish parliament into granting funds to raise an Irish army but it was not ready in time to take part in the campaign against Scotland</li> <li>the Scots were able to occupy Newcastle/morale in the English army was shattered.</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
28.	estic	on		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. King Charles I believed in the Divine Right of Kings which annoyed Parliament because they objected to the power it gave him 2. Charles' period of Personal Rule (1629-1640) increased opposition because he ruled without consulting Parliament for 11 years 3. Charles' personality caused problems because he was considered extravagant and Parliament accused him of wasting money 4. the foreign policy of Charles I (eg war with Spain was unpopular and caused opposition in Parliament because they mostly failed) 5. the money raising methods of Charles (forced loans, Ship Money, Tonnage and Poundage) led to opposition as they were deemed unfair 6. Charles attempted to reform the Church by introducing religious policies which were opposed by Parliament and Puritans because they believed they were returning to more Catholic-like ceremonies 7. Charles showed favouritism to some individuals at court (eg Buckingham, who was distrusted by Parliament leading to distrust of Charles).
				Any other valid reason.

Question		n	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
29.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. on 23 July 1637 in St Giles Cathedral, the Sunday service used the New Prayer Book introduced by Charles I  2. the Dean of the Cathedral began to read from the book but was shouted down by some women in the congregation (shouting 'the mass has come amongst us')  3. the Bishop of Edinburgh climbed the pulpit to try and appease the crowd  4. members of the congregation began to throw Bibles at him  5. Jenny Geddes threw a stool at the Bishop (shouting 'how dare you say mass in ma lug')  6. bailiffs attempted to restore order and threw the rioters out  7. the service continued but rioters were banging on doors outside and throwing stones at the windows.		
				Any other valid point of knowledge.		

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
30.		4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be given 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be given a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by a combination of these).  Possible points of comparison may include:  Overall the sources agree on the reasons why people joined sides on					
				the outbreak of the civil war.				
				Source B  The King's supporters included the gentry because they saw him as defender of the social order.  Source C  However, for some religing matter, they were more by the Parliamentarians' to challenging the class so				
				Others supported him because of religious reasons with more conservative Protestants and some Catholics defending his religious policies.  The Parliamentarians we the King's religious policies many Puritans joined the against changes to the conservation.				
				Then there were those who joined up purely because they sincerely believed in the cause of the King, which was to maintain his royal authority.  A few joined the civil war of make money but the major believed in the royal cause.				

# PART C - The Atlantic Slave Trade, 1770-1807

Qı	uestic	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
31.	31.		9	9 marks.  Candidates mus different factor impact. They ar influence of different factor on the evidence.  Up to 5 marks of knowledge used (but one marks in at least two factor) a marks should further 4 marks.	t make a judgement about the extent to which is contributed to an event or development, or its re required to provide a balanced account of the ferent factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based is presented.  It is awarded for relevant, factual, key points of the support factors, with 1 mark given for each point should be deducted if the correct process is not clear factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. As can be awarded for providing the answer in a and coming to a reasoned conclusion.			
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:			
				tribal conflict	<ol> <li>Africans became slaves by being captured as prisoners of war</li> <li>African chiefs captured slaves from rival kingdoms to trade for goods (eg cloth, iron tools, weapons and alcohol)</li> <li>Kingdom of Dahomey sent raiding parties to capture slaves to sell onto Europeans</li> <li>demand for more slaves led to increased hatred and violence between tribes/within tribes/made worse by the introduction of guns</li> </ol>			
				destruction of society	<ul> <li>5. the loss of the youngest men and women in society meant that Africa fell behind the rest of the world (estimated that around 10 million people were transported from Africa over the eighteenth century)/villages often destroyed/deserted</li> <li>6. farm land or hunting areas being abandoned because it was too far from the village to be safe/impact on food supply</li> <li>7. parents were encouraged to sell children to pay family debts</li> <li>8. African chiefs changed laws to make being sold into slavery a more common punishment</li> <li>9. Slave trade led to loss of/destruction of culture</li> </ul>			

Q	uestion	Max mark	Spe	ecific marking instructions for this question
			Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
			slave factories	<ul> <li>10. development of European 'factories' on the coast to control the slave trade led to terrible treatment for captured Africans</li> <li>11. captured Africans deemed unfit were often left abandoned at slave factories</li> </ul>
			any other relevant factor	12. any other valid reason.
				should be given for presenting the answer in a , leading to a conclusion which addresses the question,
			context or outling presented in a support of differ judgement (or other present).	ntroduction (which places the question in its historical ines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being structured way (with knowledge being organised in erent factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the lummary cannot be supported).

Qu	estic	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
32.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				slave produced goods such as sugar and coffee were imported into     British ports helping them to become rich and powerful trading     centres
				work was provided in many ports as men were employed as sailors, shipbuilders and dock workers
				3. the profits made from the slave trade were also invested in the development of other British industries
				4. wealthy colonial families built huge mansions in many of the British cities where they traded
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>many important government buildings in British cities were constructed using the profits of the slave trade</li> <li>Glasgow's economy benefited from the tobacco trade</li> <li>slave cotton provided work for the mills of Lancashire</li> <li>the slave trade had raised struggling ports to rich and prosperous trading centres (eg Bristol, London, Liverpool)</li> <li>banking and insurance businesses grew.</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
33.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 4 marks.</b> They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.	
				Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.	
				1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).	
				Possible points of knowledge may include:	
				<ol> <li>flogging with a whip</li> <li>beatings (for working slowly)</li> <li>branded with hot iron</li> <li>forced to wear heavy iron chains</li> <li>iron muzzles</li> <li>thumbscrews</li> <li>execution (as an example to other slaves, eg burning slaves alive)</li> <li>mutilation (eg cutting off feet of runaways).</li> </ol>	
				Any other valid point of knowledge.	

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
34.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum</b> 4 marks.				
				between sources. Candidates are	dence and make direct comparisons expected to compare content directly ay compare the details in the sources rerall.			
				A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full m by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or any combination of these).				
				Possible points of comparison ma	y include:			
				<b>Overall</b> the sources agree about the abolitionists.	ne methods that were used by the			
				Source B	Source C			
				(Personal accounts changed public opinion as) the dreadful experiences of the slaves during the Middle Passage were told by survivors.	Some slaves (such as Olaudah Equiano) published autobiographies sharing their experiences (and changing the views of the public).			
				Many slavers backed these up, giving similar accounts about the horrors of the trade.	John Newton, former slaver, published a pamphlet outlining the horrific conditions on the slave trade and confirming slave accounts.			
				Abolitionists such as Clarkson toured the country with equipment used on slaves (to show the public how badly they were treated).	Clarkson travelled around Britain with instruments such as manacles and thumbscrews (to gain support for the cause).			

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
35.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. the slave trade brought wealth to Britain, so was popular with those who were wealthy 2. the slave trade brought employment to Britain (eg shipyards, ports, mills, manufacturing) so was supported by many involved in these industries 3. cities profited from the slave trade (eg Bristol, Liverpool and Glasgow), so many in these cities wished to see slavery continue 4. the products of the slave trade were in great demand (eg cotton, tobacco and sugar) and many believed that slavery was needed in order to meet demand for these products 5. involvement in the slave trade helped Britain to remain a world power, so many continued to support slavery 6. the slave trade was seen as a valuable training ground for the Royal Navy, so it was supported 7. many MPs had financial interests in the slave trade, so wished to see it continue 8. many MPs were being bribed to ensure that they continued to give their support for the continuation of the trade 9. the slave trade still enjoyed the support of the King 10. taxes from slave produced goods were essential to fund the war with France.  Any other valid reason.
			1	Any other rand reason.

## PART D — Changing Britain, 1760-1914

Questic	on Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
36.	9	9 marks.  Candidates mus different factor impact. They are influence of different factors.  Up to 5 marks of knowledge used (but one marks) least two factors 3 marks should further 4 marks.	be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of at make a judgement about the extent to which its contributed to an event or development, or its re required to provide a balanced account of the ferent factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based is presented.  Can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of it to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point is should be deducted if the process is not clear in at its.) If only one factor is presented, a maximum of it be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. As it can be awarded for providing the answer in a land coming to a reasoned conclusion.	
		Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
		better sanitation	<ol> <li>wash houses and public baths helped improve hygiene</li> <li>provision of fresh, clean water reduced the threat of disease</li> <li>improved sewerage systems/proper drainage reduced spread of germs/diseases</li> </ol>	
		medical advances	<ol> <li>smallpox vaccination (1798) helped prevent deaths from this illness</li> <li>1897 - cholera vaccine was developed, helping to protect people from deadly cholera epidemics</li> <li>chloroform (after 1847/Simpson) helped to prevent deaths from shock during surgery</li> <li>carbolic acid (Lister 1867) helped prevent spread of infection during/after surgery</li> </ol>	
		better medical care	<ul> <li>8. cleaner hospitals (thanks to Florence Nightingale) led to better treatment/survival rates</li> <li>9. better training for doctors and nurses led to better medical care</li> <li>10. properly trained midwives meant that more mothers and babies survived</li> </ul>	

Q	Question		Max mark	Spe	ecific marking instructions for this question
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:
				Public Health Acts	11. local authorities empowered to improve living conditions (eg 1848, 1875)
				any other relevant factor.	12. any other valid reason.
					should be given for presenting the answer in a leading to a conclusion which addresses the question,
				context or outli presented in a s support of diffe judgement (or o	ntroduction (which places the question in its historical nes relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being structured way (with knowledge being organised in rent factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the lummary cannot be supported).

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
37. Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a r 4 marks.					mber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>			
					spected to compare content directly compare the details in the sources			
				sources agree or disagree on and sh 1 mark. A developed comparison of	the points of detail or overall mark. Candidates may achieve full arisons, two developed comparisons include:			
				Source A	Source B			
				Any person coming to work late shall be fined.	being fined for things such as being late.			
				Any person found talking with the other workers instead of working shall be fined.	There were other fines too, for offences such as talking, whistling or singing.			
				Any person found smoking on the premises shall be instantly dismissed.	Mill owners also had the power to sack on the spot any employee who was found to be breaking the rules.			

Qu	estic	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
38.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				1. (1842 Mines Act) stated that no-one under 15 could be in charge of operating machinery/winding gear
				(after 1850) all mine owners had to report accidents that led to death
				<ul><li>3. (the 1862 Mines Act) made single shaft mines illegal</li><li>4. (1872 Mines Act) gave miners the right to appoint inspectors from among themselves</li></ul>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				5. (1842 Mines Act stated that) no women or children under 10 could work underground
				(1842 Mines Act) replaced people dragging coal underground with ponies
				<ul><li>7. (1842 Mines Act) appointed a Mines Inspector</li><li>8. (1860 Mines Act) increased the age of boys that were allowed to</li></ul>
				work underground to 12 9. (1872 Mines Act) stated that fans had to be installed to improve
				ventilation 10. (in 1872) naked flames were made illegal/all mines had to use safety lamps.
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
39.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).
				Possible reasons may include:
				raw materials and finished products could be transported quickly and cheaply which meant that factories/industry was boosted by the railways
				<ol> <li>perishable foods (such as fish or milk) could be transported to cities quickly which meant that diets improved/these industries were boosted</li> </ol>
				3. railways were reached more parts of Britain, which meant that canals/roads/turnpikes went into decline
				4. national railway timetables had to be made which meant that time had to be standardised across Britain
				5. sporting teams could now play teams from further away which meant that national sporting leagues developed
				6. railway travel was affordable which meant that the working classes could travel further than before/cheap holidays
				7. railway travel was quick/cheap (eg suburbs developed as people could commute to work)
				8. railways meant that national daily newspapers were possible for the first time
				<ol><li>railways meant cheap and extensive postal service was now possible nationwide</li></ol>
				10. politicians could use trains to travel which meant that travel was easier between parliament and constituency/there were now
				national election campaigns  11. railways created new jobs (eg navvies and conductors) which reduced unemployment
				12. the railway network was extensive which meant that some people complained that Britain's countryside was ruined.
				Any other valid reason.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
40.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. all men (over 21) to have the vote/universal manhood suffrage 2. voting should take place by secret ballot 3. constituencies should be of equal size 4. MPs should be paid 5. the property qualification for becoming an MP should be abolished 6. annual parliamentary elections.		
				Any other valid point of knowledge.		

# PART E - The Making of Modern Britain, 1880-1951

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
41.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. reports of Booth and Rowntree highlighted the need for government intervention to tackle poverty 2. children were too young to be able to work and provide for themselves so deserved help if they were poor 3. children were often born into poverty which was no fault of their own so they deserved help 4. many children had to work instead of going to school so help for their families may enable them to go to school 5. the old were often unable to work and support themselves so they deserved some help 6. the old often ended up in the workhouse as they had been unable to save for their old age so it was seen as fair that they should receive some help from the state 7. the sick were unable to work and usually there was no sick pay so they deserved some help 8. medical treatment had to be paid for which many could not afford so they deserved some help 9. many of the unemployed were seasonally unemployed so they deserved help at certain times of year 10. finding work was difficult/employment was often irregular/workers often lost their jobs with no warning so they deserved some help.
				Any other valid reason.

Question	Max mark	Spe	ecific marking instructions for this question		
42.	9	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks.  Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion base on the evidence presented.  Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the correct process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion.			
		Possible Key points of knowledge to support this factor may factors: include:			
		free school meals	<ol> <li>often the only meal that children had in a day, making them healthier</li> <li>helped poor families, as meals were paid for through local taxes</li> <li>children's health deteriorated during the school holidays, showing how important school meals were</li> <li>not made compulsory until 1914 so many children did not benefit/children were benefitted after 1914</li> </ol>		
		medical inspections	<ul> <li>5. only identified problems at first/did not provide treatment (although did put pressure on government to act)</li> <li>6. led to further reform/prompted the introduction of school clinics in 1912 providing free treatment</li> </ul>		
		Children's Charter	<ol> <li>banned children under 16 from buying tobacco and children under 18 from buying alcohol so improved child health</li> <li>juvenile remand homes/courts/prisons/probation officers meant that child criminals were no longer treated like adult criminals</li> <li>abolished the death penalty for children which was fairer</li> <li>fires in homes had to be guarded helping to prevent children from being burned in accidents</li> <li>children could be removed from parents who did not take care of them improving their welfare</li> </ol>		

Q	Question		Max mark	Spo	ecific marking instructions for this question	
				Possible factors:	Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include:	
				any other relevant factor	12. any other valid reason.	
					should be given for presenting the answer in a , leading to a conclusion which addresses the question,	
				1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported).		

Question		on Max mark	•	uctions for this question		
43.	mber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>					
Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct combetween sources. Candidates are expected to compare conte on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the and/or compare the viewpoints overall.		xpected to compare content directly compare the details in the sources				
	A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpo sources agree or disagree on and should be given 1 mark. A deve comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making fo simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by a combin of these).		nould be given <b>1 mark.</b> A developed or overall viewpoint should be given hieve full marks by making four			
			Possible points of comparison may include:			
			Overall the sources agree about the Insurance Act.			
			Source A	Source B		
			Insured workers received 10 shillings per week for the first 26 weeks of illness and 5 shillings a week after that.	The 1911 Act also gave insured workers 10 shillings a week for the first six months of sickness and this benefit was then halved until they were fit to return to work.		
			They were also entitled to free visits to the doctor and medicine.	Insured workers were also provided with free medical care.		
			there was a maternity grant for	When insured workers had a baby		

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
44.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified in the source include:
				<ol> <li>the war brought people together/created a sense of community/made people determined to create a better Britain</li> <li>the government intervened in people's lives more/moved away from 'laissez-faire'</li> </ol>
				<ul><li>3. rationing was brought in/the Ministry of Food was created (to make sure everyone got a fair share of food)</li><li>4. family allowances were introduced before 1945</li></ul>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ul> <li>5. evacuation raised awareness of levels of poverty/created a determination to tackle poverty amongst children</li> <li>6. bombing levelled the social classes which created a sense of shared</li> </ul>
				suffering and helped to change people's attitudes  7. the Beveridge Report sold hundreds of thousands of copies/created
				a public expectation of reform  8. some of the 'Giants' were tackled before the end of the war such
				as 'Ignorance' with the 1944 Butler Act  9. people accepted more government involvement in their lives because of things such as conscription.
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
45.	mark 4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. National Insurance was extended to prevent all workers from falling into poverty when they were sick or unemployed  2. National Insurance extended pensions for the old  3. National Insurance was universal/comprehensive (people were covered from the cradle to the grave)  4. National Assistance was available as a safety-net for those not covered by National Insurance  5. a National Health Service was introduced  6. many industries were nationalised  7. housing was improved with prefabs			
		<ol> <li>housing was improved by the building of New Towns.</li> <li>Any other valid point of knowledge.</li> </ol>			

## Section 3 — European and World Contexts

PART A - The Cross and the Crescent: the Crusades, 1071-1192

Questio	on Ma ma		Spec	cific marking inst	ructions for this question		
46.	5		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 5 marks.</b>				
		commer timing, must ide	iting on o content entify an	evidence such as t or omission. For a aspect of the sou	ent to which a source is useful by the author, type of source, purpose, mark to be given, the candidate rce and make a comment which source more or less useful.		
A maximum of <b>4 marks</b> can be given for evaluative country to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.					_		
				marks may be give f the source.	ven for evaluative comments relating		
		to point	s of signi	ificant omission.	ven for evaluative comments relating e and relevant comments:		
			-	pect	Possible comment(s)		
		Author	Mo	odern historian	Useful as he is a well-informed expert.		
		Type o	f Te	extbook	Useful because it will have been thoroughly researched.		
		Purpos	е То	inform	Useful as it gives detailed information/a balanced account.		
		Timing	19	60	Useful as it is written with the benefit of hindsight.		
			Cor	ntent	Possible comment(s)		
				ere built to	Useful as it is accurate (castles were used mainly for protection).		
		weddin	gs and fe	reat Hall, where easts were held ecial occasions.	Useful as it is accurate (Great Halls were used to entertain).		
		knights	to stay	d a place for when carrying for their lord.	Useful as it is accurate (most castles had barracks used by knights/soldiers).		

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Possible points of significant omission may include:
		<ol> <li>castles were used as a home by the king/lord</li> <li>Great Hall used as a court to try criminals</li> <li>castles had a store room for supplies (eg crops)</li> <li>castles were used as an administrative centre from which to control the surrounding land/village.</li> </ol>
		Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
47.		6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. the People's Crusade had behaved badly whilst in the Byzantine Empire and Byzantine troops had attacked them 2. the Crusaders blamed Emperor Alexius for the failure of the People's Crusade 3. some Crusaders were unhappy Emperor Alexius had forced them to take an oath of loyalty/to give back any land they captured 4. Emperor Alexius withheld supplies until the oath was taken, angering the Crusaders 5. the Crusaders felt betrayed by Emperor Alexius when he negotiated with the Muslims inside Nicaea/took the city behind the Crusaders' backs 6. Baldwin broke his oath/took Edessa which upset Emperor Alexius 7. Emperor Alexius did not arrive at Antioch to help the Crusaders when they were besieged by the Muslims 8. Bohemond broke his oath/kept Antioch for himself angering Emperor Alexius.  Any other valid reason.

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
48.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				Bohemond bribed a Muslim guard who agreed to let the Crusaders into the city
				2. in the middle of the night, 60 of Bohemond's men scaled the city walls
				<ul><li>3. quickly they reached the battlements and captured three towers</li><li>4. the two main gates were opened and the rest of the Crusader army rushed in.</li></ul>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ul> <li>5. the Crusaders appeared to withdraw to give the defenders a false sense of security</li> <li>6. the Crusaders used ropes/ladders to climb the walls</li> <li>7. the Crusaders slaughtered the inhabitants of the city</li> <li>8. native Christians inside the city joined the Crusaders in the attack</li> <li>9. the citadel was not initially captured.</li> </ul>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
49.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.			
		Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.					
knowledge. A second mark should be given for each developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidate		1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).					
				Possible points of knowledge may include:			
				the Crusaders were trapped at Hattin and cut off from any water supplies			
				2. Saladin surrounded the Crusaders with burning brushwood and dry grass, blinding them			
				3. the Muslim cavalry attacked the Crusaders with arrows			
				4. some Crusaders broke through the Muslim lines but were then cut off from the main army and forced to retreat			
				5. many Crusaders were killed in battle/others were captured			
				6. the Knights Templars/Hospitallers were singled out for execution			
				7. other Crusaders were sold into slavery			
				8. King Guy was forced to surrender/taken prisoner by Saladin.			
				Any other valid point of knowledge.			

Qu	estion	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
50.		4	Candidates can be credited in a nu 4 marks.	umber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>		
Candidates must interp between sources. Cand directly on a point-by-p sources and/or compar A simple comparison with the sources agree or dideveloped comparison should be awarded a smarks by making four simple comparison should be awarded a smarks by making four simple candidates.		between sources. Candidates are	They may compare the details in the			
		the sources agree or disagree on a developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second mar	ison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A arison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint ed a second mark. Candidates may achieve full four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons nation of these).			
			Possible points of comparison ma	ay include:		
			Overall the sources disagree abou and the Muslims.	t the relationship between Saladin		
			Source C	Source D		
			Many Muslims were unhappy with Saladin's leadership and were close to leaving his army.	Thousands of Muslims from Syria and Egypt flocked to join Saladin's forces.		
			Saladin had been out-fought by the Crusaders at Arsuf and the Muslims believed his poor tactics at Jaffa had cost them victory.	At Jaffa Saladin successfully held off an attack led by Richard I and saved the grateful Muslims from defeat.		
			Saladin also upset the Muslims at Jerusalem by showing mercy to the Crusaders and refusing to kill them.	Saladin was so highly respected by the Muslims that even when he let the Crusaders go free at Jerusalem, not one Muslim complained.		

# PART B - 'Tea and Freedom': the American Revolution, 1774-1783

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
51.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. arguments began at Custom House between colonists and a British sentry over Townshend Acts (taxes)  2. the British soldiers came to the aid of a sentry dealing with an increasingly angry crowd  3. the crowd failed to disperse when ordered to do so  4. shots were fired to disperse crowd  5. five people died  6. seven people were wounded  7. the British officer in charge, Captain Preston, was arrested for manslaughter.
				Any other valid point of knowledge.

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
Question 52.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. colonists were angered by the presence of British troops following the defeat of the French in 1763
		<ol> <li>colonists were angered by George III's desire to exert greater control over colonies</li> <li>frustration over Britain's refusal to allow the colonies to expand westward</li> <li>growing anger over continued taxation of colonies without direct representation in the British parliament</li> <li>anger over imposition of British taxes (eg Sugar Act, Stamp Act, The Tea Act, Quartering Acts, Intolerable Acts)</li> <li>the Boston Massacre increased tension between Britain and the colonists</li> <li>the Boston Tea Party increased tension between Britain and the colonists</li> <li>formation of the Continental Congress in 1774</li> <li>fighting at Lexington and Concord in April 1775 led to formation of Continental Army under leadership of George Washington in June 1775.</li> </ol> Any other valid reason.

Q	Question		lax ark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
53.		!	5	Candidates 5 marks.	can be credited in a nu	mber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>		
				commentin timing, con must identi	g on evidence such as the stent or omission. For a ify an aspect of the sour	ent to which a source is useful by the author, type of source, purpose, mark to be awarded, the candidate ree and make a comment which ource more or less useful.		
				relating to A maximum relating to A maximum relating to	the author, type of sour n of <b>2 marks</b> may be awa the content of the source n of <b>2 marks</b> may be awa points of significant om	arded for evaluative comments		
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)		
				Author	Written by a modern historian	Useful because he is a well-informed expert.		
				Type of source	Textbook	Useful as it is likely to have been thoroughly researched.		
				Purpose	To inform	Useful as it provides detailed information about the conflict/less useful as it only informs us about Ticonderoga.		
				Timing	2005	Useful because it was written with the benefit of hindsight.		
					Content	Possible comment(s)		
				gave the c	e of Fort Ticonderoga colonists a surprising tant victory over the	Useful because it is accurate (this was an unexpected victory for the colonists).		
				as its posit	on of the fort was vital tion protected New the New England om British invasion da.	Useful because it is accurate (the location was advantageous to colonists).		

Qu	estion	Max mark	Specific marking instru	uctions for this question			
			Content	Possible comment(s)			
			The main reason that the colonists wanted the fort was because they would gain control over cannons, munitions and armaments/these cannons were later moved to Boston.	Useful because it is accurate (the colonists did seize a significant amount of weaponry from the fort).			
			Possible points of significant omis	•			
1. Green Mountain Boys (local militia group) took colonists		itia group) took over fort for the					
			2. Battles of Lexington/Concord are considered the start of the conflict				
			Battle of Bunker Hill many British soldiers died causing further escalation of conflict.				
			Any other valid point of significar	nt omission.			

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
54.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>the British army had around 8,500 men and were vastly outnumbered by the militia they were fighting</li> <li>their uniform made them easy targets</li> <li>it (uniform) was also unsuitable for fighting in North America</li> <li>British troops were not used to guerrilla warfare (fighting enemies whose main tactics were to hide behind walls and trees, open fire then move away)</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>the British army was drilled to fight in formation</li> <li>soldiers carried smooth-bore muskets that took time to load down the barrel and these guns were not very accurate</li> <li>soldiers also had bayonets for close quarter combat</li> <li>some British officers were ignorant and lazy and made poor military decisions which caused confusion</li> <li>the British underestimated the colonists which led to higher casualties (eg Bunker Hill).</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Q	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
55.			4	Candidates can be credited in a num 4 marks.	nber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>		
				Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.			
				A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparison (or by any combination of these).			
				Possible points of comparison may include:			
				<b>Overall</b> the sources disagree about of Independence in 1776.	t attitudes towards the Declaration		
				Source C	Source D		
				King George III was accused by many colonists of indefensible crimes such as imposing taxes which colonists had not agreed to and damaging their trade.	Many colonists supported King George III's policies, arguing that his taxes were justified.		
				The worst charge was that he was responsible for an unfair justice system.	The King was most upset by the accusation that he had stopped America from having a just legal system as the British felt it was the fairest available.		
				The Declaration of Independence was inspirational for all Americans, both black and white.	The Declaration was criticised by many as it made no comment on the large number of slaves in America.		

# PART C — USA, 1850-1880

Q	Question		Max mark		Specific marking inst	ructions for this question		
56.	5 Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a max 5 marks.							
	commenting on evidence timing, content or omiss must identify an aspect				g on evidence such as tent or omission. For a fy an aspect of the so	t evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, or omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate a spect of the source and make a comment which aspect makes the source more or less useful.		
				to the author A maximum to the context A maximum to points of	or, type of source, pu of <b>2 marks</b> may be g ent of the source. of <b>2 marks</b> may be g significant omission.	ven for evaluative comments relating rpose and timing. iven for evaluative comments relating iven for evaluative comments relating iven for evaluative comments relating rce and relevant comments:		
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)		
				Author	Modern historians	Useful because they are well-informed experts.		
				Type of source	Textbook	Useful because it will have researched the issue thoroughly.		
				Purpose	To inform	Useful because it is a detailed explanation of the reasons for Westward expansion.		
				Timing	1998	Useful because it has been written with the benefit of hindsight.		
					Content	Possible comment(s)		
					of former soldiers rebuild their lives.	Useful as it is accurate (many ex-soldiers did go West to rebuild their lives).		
					e newly freed black e looking for a new	Useful as it is accurate (many former slaves did want to leave the South for a new life in the West).		
				encouraged West/allow	stead Act in 1862 d people to move ved each family to 60 acres of land.	Useful as it is accurate (many settlers did move West because of the promise of free land).		

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Possible points of significant omission may include:
		<ol> <li>people moved West to make a fortune by looking for gold</li> <li>people moved West to escape economic problems in the East (eg unemployment, low wages, overcrowded cities)</li> <li>people moved West to escape religious persecution in the East (eg Mormons)</li> <li>sense of adventure/Manifest Destiny.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
57.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 4 marks.</b> They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.		
				Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.		
				1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).		
				Possible points of knowledge may include:		
				<ol> <li>very few reliable maps/unreliable routes/poor roads/no bridges</li> <li>harsh and unpredictable weather</li> <li>homesteaders became ill/caught diseases and were unable to receive medical attention</li> <li>cases of accidents/run over by wagon wheels</li> <li>dangers crossing rivers/crossing mountain ranges</li> <li>attacked by animals</li> <li>threat from Native Americans</li> <li>possibility of running out of food/water.</li> </ol>		
				Any other valid point of knowledge.		

6 Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. people supported the Republicans because they appeared to be the anti-slavery party 2. people supported the Republicans because they wished to give free grants of land to Western settlers 3. people supported the Republicans because they wished to give free grants of land to Western settlers 4. people supported the Republicans because they appeared to be the party of big business and the North was developing in this way 5. people supported the Republicans because they were successful at securing majorities in the Senate so could implement their policies 6. people supported the Republicans because Lincoln was an able leader 7. European immigrants supported the Republicans because they opposed slavery/tended to settle in the northern cities 8. split in Democrats along North/South lines drove some northern Democrats to the Republicans.	Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
Any other valid reason.	58.			6	Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. people supported the Republicans because they appeared to be the anti-slavery party 2. people supported the Republicans because they advocated the return to high protective tariffs 3. people supported the Republicans because they wished to give free grants of land to Western settlers 4. people supported the Republicans because they appeared to be the party of big business and the North was developing in this way 5. people supported the Republicans because they were successful at securing majorities in the Senate so could implement their policies 6. people supported the Republicans because Lincoln was an able leader 7. European immigrants supported the Republicans because they opposed slavery/tended to settle in the northern cities 8. split in Democrats along North/South lines drove some northern Democrats to the Republicans.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
59.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>they gave newly freed slaves the right to own and inherit property</li> <li>former slaves also had the right to legal protection</li> <li>the Black Codes tried to prevent them from using their right to vote in elections</li> <li>Black Codes banned newly freed slaves from certain jobs/made sure that they could only earn very low wages</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>ex-slaves could not run their own business</li> <li>ex-slaves were not allowed to bear arms</li> <li>ex-slaves were allowed to marry in some states</li> <li>ex-slaves were forced to be tried in separate courts</li> <li>ex-slaves were not allowed to strike or leave their jobs</li> <li>ex-slaves could not testify in court against whites.</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
60.		4 Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum 4 marks.						
between sources. Candidates are e		between sources. Candidates are edirectly on a point-by-point basis.	erpret the evidence and make direct comparisons indidates are expected to compare content y-point basis. They may compare the details in the are the viewpoints overall.					
		A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or view the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 madeveloped comparison of the points of detail or overall viewp should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve f marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed com (or by any combination of these).						
	Possible points of comparison may include:			v include:				
				Overall both sources disagree about to their treatment by the US Government.	ut the reaction of Native Americans rnment.			
				Source C	Source D			
				We cannot accept being forced to live on land which is not suitable to our needs.	Most accepted the change from being hunters to farmers/The only option for us was life on a reservation which had some advantages.			
				There is no climate or soil which is equal to our previous home.	We were given adequate amounts of land on which to grow crops.			
				Our people are decreasing in numbers here, and will continue to decrease unless they are allowed to return to their native land.	Food rations were sufficient and the Native American population increased.			

# PART D — Hitler and Nazi Germany, 1919-1939

Question	n Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
61.		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. (on the night of 8 November 1923) Hitler and 600 supporters burst into a political meeting at the local Beer Hall  2. Hitler stood on a chair and fired a shot in the air and announced a revolution had begun/made an impassioned speech and gained support of those in hall  3. Hitler forced the Bavarian leaders present to agree to rebel  4. Ludendorff then let them go home and they ordered the army/police to end the rebellion  5. the next day (9 November 1923) Hitler and his Nazis went into Munich on what they thought would be a triumphal march to take power  6. police and army reinforcements blocked the path of the rebels  7. 16 Nazis and 4 policemen were killed in an exchange of gunfire  8. Hitler was injured and fled/was arrested two days later.
		Any other valid point of knowledge.

Questi	ion	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
62.		6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. Versailles was very unpopular in Germany and Hitler's promise to ignore/tear it up got him a lot of support  2. Germans were disgusted by a series of weak, short-lived coalitions so they supported Hitler who seemed a strong and determined leader  3. opponents of the Nazis were not united so there was no one who was prepared or able to fight to stop Hitler  4. Hitler's promises to end unemployment were very popular  5. people wanted someone to blame, and looked to extreme solutions - Hitler offered them both, and Nazi success in the elections grew  6. the financial support of wealthy businessmen gave Hitler the money to run his propaganda and election campaigns  7. Nazi propaganda persuaded the German masses to believe that Hitler was their last hope  8. Hitler promised everybody something so the Nazis had widespread appeal  9. parades of uniformed SA/Brownshirts impressed Germans/led to many young men joining the Nazis  10. Hitler was a brilliant speaker/he was a good organiser and politician (his self-belief persuaded people to believe in him)  11. failure of Weimar to deal with economic problems (eg hyperinflation/Great Depression) helped to create support for the Nazis.  Any other valid reason.
			,

Q	uesti	on	Max mark		Specific marking instr	uctions for this question
63.			5	5 marks.  Candidates commenting, cormust identishows why  A maximum to the auth 2 marks monof the sour comments	must evaluate the extended on evidence such as the tent or omission. For a lify an aspect of the sounthis aspect makes the sounthis aspect makes the sound of 4 marks can be given or, type of source, purpay be given for evaluating to points of significating to points of significations.	ent to which a source is useful by he author, type of source, purpose, mark to be given, the candidate rce and make a comment which ource more or less useful.  en for evaluative comments relating cose and timing. A maximum of ve comments relating to the content arks may be given for evaluative nificant omission.
				ZXamptes	Aspect	Possible comment(s)
				Author	Historians	Useful as they are well-informed experts.
				Type of source	Textbook	Useful because it will have researched the issue thoroughly.
				Purpose	To inform	Useful as it provides detailed information/less useful as it only refers to Kristallnacht.
				Timing	2000	Useful as it is a written with the benefit of hindsight.
					Content	Possible comment(s)
				attacks on and synage	Jewish shops, homes ogues	Useful as it is accurate (many Jewish shops and businesses were destroyed or looted).
				100 Jews	were murdered	Useful as it is accurate (many Jews were killed).
				20,000 ser camps	nt to concentration	Useful as it is accurate (many Jews were sent to camps).

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Possible points of significant omission may include:
		<ol> <li>Jews were forced out of jobs (eg civil service)/boycotts of Jewish shops</li> <li>the Nuremburg Laws were passed in 1935 (eg Jews no longer allowed to be German citizens/Jews not allowed to have sexual relationships with non-Jews/Jews not allowed to marry non-Jews)</li> <li>Jews beaten up on streets/separate park benches for Jews</li> <li>Jews banned from state schools/cinemas/public places.</li> </ol>
		Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
64.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>some workers protested by refusing to give Nazi salutes</li> <li>others rebelled by not turning up for work at all</li> <li>some even damaged factory machinery or equipment</li> <li>one worker planted a bomb in a Munich beer hall where Hitler was scheduled to speak</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>anti-Nazi activity among some urban youth groups (eg 'Edelweiss Pirates' who sang insulting parodies of Hitler Youth anthems and sometimes beat up members/the Pirates also engaged in petty resistance, such as vandalism of Nazi propaganda or buildings)</li> <li>university halls and campuses were notable sources of antigovernment criticism and protest</li> </ol>
				7. Christian churches (both Catholic and Protestant) opposed the imposition of Nazi ideology on German life (eg the Confessional Church was formed by Martin Niemöller in 1934 with 6,000 ministers)
				<ul> <li>8. some in the military despised Hitler and there were occasional plots and discussions about removing him from power</li> <li>9. many SPD members went 'underground', forming a resistance group called <i>Roter Strosstrupp</i> ('Red Strike Troops')/by late 1933 this group had around 3,000 members</li> </ul>
				10. following the Reichstag fire (more than 30,000) KPD members continued with underground resistance.
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instr	uctions for this question
65.			4	4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence between sources. Candidates are edirectly on a point-by-point basis, sources and/or compare the viewpoint basis ources and/or compare the viewpoint basis.  A simple comparison will indicate the sources agree or disagree on an developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second market.	They may compare the details in the oints overall.  what points of detail or viewpoint and should be awarded 1 mark. A arts of detail or overall viewpoint arisons, two developed comparisons by include:
				Source C	Source D
				For girls, the organisation prepared them for motherhood which most accepted.	Girls (aged 10-14 years) joined the Young Maidens where they were taught how to become good mothers but many resented this.
				Girls had to run 60 metres in 14, seconds/throw a ball 12 metres/know how to somersault and they enjoyed the competitiveness.	Compulsory route marches and swimming contests were disliked by many girls.
				When they turned 14, they had lessons on sewing and cooking which most found very useful.	There were also classes on needlework and housework which many considered unnecessary.

# PART E - Red Flag: Lenin and the Russian Revolution, 1894-1921

Q	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
66.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. Tsar was an autocrat/nobody was able to challenge his position 2. the Okhrana (Secret Police) was used to identify and spy on enemies of the state 3. political opponents routinely imprisoned/exiled (eg to Siberia) 4. the army was used as an effective means of enforcing the Tsar's power 5. the Russian Orthodox Church reinforced the Tsar's authority 6. the Russian legal system was designed to maintain autocracy and the power of the Tsar 7. the Civil Service was used by the Tsar to control everyday life (eg censorship) 8. Russification used to control non-Russian peoples.  Any other valid point of knowledge.
			1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
67.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>in January, a wave of strikes began throughout the country involving 400,000 people</li> <li>the Tsar's uncle was assassinated in February</li> <li>protestors took to the streets (demanding freedom of speech, an elected parliament and the right to form political parties)</li> <li>national minorities who were opposed to the Tsarist regime also</li> </ol>
				rose up to demand political and economic reforms
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				5. Father Gapon organised a peaceful protest to deliver a petition to the Tsar
				<ul><li>6. march on Winter Palace leading to Bloody Sunday</li><li>7. in October, a General Strike took place in Moscow and quickly spread to other cities</li></ul>
				8. railway strike in October led to a halt of the transport system upon which food distribution depended
				<ol> <li>some naval mutinies (eg Battleship Potemkin)</li> <li>large scale peasant riots throughout October/November in many parts of the country/land seizure by peasants of what they saw as their land.</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
68.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. working class discontent over poor living/working conditions/ shortages led to them wanting a change 2. the Tsar was blamed for the military defeats of the First World War after he took control of the army/by February 1917 he had lost the confidence of the Russian people as they wanted change 3. people had expected the First World War to be over long before 1917/people were war weary and believed the Tsar would not agree to a cessation of fighting so they looked for change 4. Russian peasants were still aggrieved due to the land issue/strain of the First World War hit the peasantry and they wanted change 5. severe economic problems such as high taxes/inflation and price rises led to a general demand for change 6. the Tsar was seen as a weak ruler who relied on the Tsarina/Rasputin and a close group of ministers which people grew to dislike and demanded change 7. the Tsarina was viewed with suspicion due to her German heritage and so people did not trust her 8. discontent at lack of political power by growing middle class/dislike of privileged status eg nobility, church.  Any other valid reason.
			ĺ	Any other valid reason.

Q	<u>(</u> uesti	on	Max mark		Specific marking instru	uctions for this question
69.			5	Candidates 5 marks.	s can be credited in a nu	imber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>
				commenting timing, commust ident	ng on evidence such as the ntent or omission. For a cify an aspect of the sour	ent to which a source is useful by he author, type of source, purpose, mark to be given, the candidate rce and make a comment which ource more or less useful.
				to the auth 2 marks m of the sour comments	nor, type of source, purp nay be given for evaluative rce. A maximum of <b>2 ma</b> relating to points of sign	en for evaluative comments relating cose and timing. A maximum of ve comments relating to the content arks may be given for evaluative nificant omission.
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)
				Author	Modern historian	Useful as he is a well-informed expert.
				Type of source	Textbook	Useful because it will have researched the issue thoroughly.
				Purpose	To inform	Useful as it is a detailed explanation of the reasons for the failure of the Provisional Government.
				Timing	1963	Useful as the author has the benefit of hindsight.
					Content	Possible comment(s)
					not overcome the es facing Russia.	Useful as it is accurate (the Provisional Government was not successful at solving the key problems Russia faced).
				continued the Russia complete	sional Government also I the war, even though an people were ly against it and the ame much less willing	Useful as it is accurate (Provisional Government failed to end the war which increased criticism).
				failed to	sional Government solve the issue of land nts which also angered nts.	Useful as it is accurate (the Provisional Government made no definitive land settlement).

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
		Possible points of significant omission may include:
		<ol> <li>Provisional Government failed due to conflict with the Petrograd Soviet/dual power weakened the government's authority</li> <li>the Russian economy continued to go downhill/it failed to limit inflation (shortages of food/fuel)</li> <li>the Provisional Government failed due to a lack of support from the army or police (eg Kornilov affair)</li> <li>did not take steps to disarm the Bolsheviks.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of significant omission.

	uestic	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
70.		4	Candidates can be credited in a nu 4 marks.	mber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>		
			between sources. Candidates are e	They may compare the details in the		
			A simple comparison will indicate the sources agree or disagree on an developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second mark marks by making four simple comp (or by any combination of these).	nd <b>should be awarded 1 mark.</b> A ats of detail or overall viewpoint		
			Possible points of comparison ma	y include:		
			Overall the sources disagree about on Russian peasants.	the effects that the Civil War had		
			Source C	Source D		
			My troops entered the village and put all the Bolshevik traitors to death, this was the usual punishment.	They looked on the death penalty as an emergency measure, which was only used when absolutely necessary.		
			My troops entered the village and put all the Bolshevik traitors to death, this was the usual	They looked on the death penalty as an emergency measure, which was only used when absolutely		

# PART F - Mussolini and Fascist Italy, 1919-1939

Q	Question		Max mark		Specific marking inst	ructions for this question	
71.			5	Candidates 5 marks.	can be credited in a n	number of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>	
				commentin timing, cor must ident	g on evidence such as ntent or omission. For a ify an aspect of the sou	tent to which a source is useful by the author, type of source, purpose, a mark to be given, the candidate urce and make a comment which source more or less useful.	
				to the auth 2 marks manner of the sour comments	or, type of source, pur ay be given for evaluat ce. A maximum of <b>2</b> m relating to points of sig	ven for evaluative comments relating rpose and timing. A maximum of tive comments relating to the content warks may be given for evaluative gnificant omission.	
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)	
				Author	Modern historians	Useful as they are well-informed experts.	
				Type of source	Textbook	Useful because it will have researched the issue thoroughly.	
				Purpose	To inform	Useful as it provides detailed information.	
				Timing	1998	Useful as it is written with the benefit of hindsight.	
					Content	Possible comment(s)	
				landowner harmed by governme	ealed to many small rs who had been y Socialist local nt and were worried ocialist revolution.	Useful as it is accurate (the Fascists did exploit the fear of Socialism).	
				to Fascism	ople were attracted n as it seemed to prospect of adventure n.	Useful as it is accurate (Fascism did have dynamic appeal for the young).	

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
		Content	Possible comment(s)		
		The Fascists also gained working class support as they kept some of their original radical social policies such as fair wages and prices.	Useful as it is accurate (the Fascists did appeal to the workers).		
		to nationalists	olini's oratory patriotic movement and so appealed ers by promising recognition of their day appealed to many.		

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
72.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. control of newspapers 2. control of radio 3. use of mass rallies 4. display of posters 5. use of sport to promote Fascist ideals 6. L'Unione Cinematografica Eductavia produced documentaries and newsreels to be shown at cinemas 7. subsidies provided to Italian film makers who made patriotic films 8. schools used to indoctrinate pupils with Fascist ideals.
				Any other valid point of knowledge.

Qı	uesti	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
73.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>young people learned horse riding skills</li> <li>many youngsters went skiing in the Italian Alps</li> <li>every member had to swear a personal oath of loyalty to Mussolini</li> <li>most towns had rallies on a Saturday afternoon between 3.30 and 6.00 pm.</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>military drill</li> <li>attending propaganda lectures</li> <li>singing Fascist hymns/songs</li> <li>watching propaganda films</li> <li>taking part in parades</li> <li>girls did sewing, flower arranging and gardening.</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question	n Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
74.	6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. to avenge the defeat at Adowa in 1896 2. to consolidate Italy's position in East Africa (where they had had a presence since the 1880s) 3. to increase Italian prestige/to show that Italy was capable of acting as an imperial power like Britain and France 4. a successful war abroad could rally support at home 5. to put Italy in a position to exploit Abyssinian resources 6. to develop export markets for Italian businesses 7. to demonstrate to Hitler that Italy was a powerful nation (and therefore a valuable ally) 8. Mussolini believed that Britain and France would not act to stop him.  Any other valid reason.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instru	uctions for this question
75.			4	A simple comparison will indicate the sources agree or disagree on an	ence and make direct comparisons expected to compare content They may compare the details in the points overall.  What points of detail or viewpoint and should be awarded 1 mark. A
				developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second mark marks by making four simple compart (or by any combination of these).  Possible points of comparison may Overall the sources disagree on opposite the point of the sources disagree on opposite the sources disagree.	c. Candidates may achieve full arisons, two developed comparisons y include:
				Source C	Source D
				Opposition to the Fascist government in Italy was quite effective.	There was opposition to the Fascists, but it never posed a threat to the regime.
				the regime was not popular amongst large numbers of people.	most supported Mussolini's foreign and economic policies.
				The opposition groups were only occasionally infiltrated by the police and their informers.	When opposition groups did appear they were unable to operate without interference from the police.

# PART G — Free at Last? Civil Rights in the USA, 1918-1968

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
76.	uestid	on		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. on first arrival to America, immigrants were taken to Ellis Island where they faced a physical examination and possible return  2. immigrants found it difficult to find accommodation/often had to pay high rent
				<ol> <li>overcrowded housing/some large families sometimes with 10 or 12 people had only one room to live in</li> <li>housing which lacked adequate heating/cold and damp housing/housing without sanitation/running water</li> <li>many immigrants were poorly educated/non-English speaking and struggled to find work</li> <li>many immigrants found that the only work that was available to them was unskilled and low paid</li> <li>many immigrants faced prejudice and discrimination from the American public (eg ethnicity, religious tensions, political views)</li> <li>immigrants were often viewed as criminals.</li> </ol> Any other valid point of knowledge.

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
77.	5	5 marks.  Candidates commentin timing, conmust identis shows why  A maximum to the auth 2 marks may of the source comments	must evaluate the externation of a marks can be given or, type of source, purpay be given for evaluating to points of significant of 2 marks can be given for evaluating to points of significant contents.	ent to which a source is useful by the author, type of source, purpose, mark to be given, the candidate ree and make a comment which ource more or less useful.  en for evaluative comments relating cose and timing. A maximum of the comments relating to the content arks may be given for evaluative nificant omission.		
			Aspect	Possible comment(s)		
		Author	Modern historians	Useful because they are well-informed experts.		
		Type of source	Textbook	Useful because it will have researched the issue thoroughly.		
		Purpose	To inform	Useful because it provides detailed information.		
		Timing	2013	Useful as it is written with the benefit of hindsight.		
			Content	Possible comment(s)		
			dren were forbidden to nool with white	Useful as it is accurate (education was segregated).		
		1 1	llack Americans their pay separately es.	Useful as it is accurate (employment was segregated).		
			e also strict bans on d blacks marrying.	Useful as it is accurate (marriage between black and white Americans was forbidden).		
		Possible po	pints of significant omis	ssion may include:		
		<ol> <li>separat</li> <li>separat</li> </ol>	e train carriages/separa e restaurants/separate e leisure and sporting fa e toilets, drinking fount	seating areas in restaurants acilities		
		Any other	valid point of significar	nt omission.		

6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain of	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. many white Americans supported the protestors 2. restaurants and cafés across America could not afford the bad publicity and loss of business/by the summer of 1960 there were almost no more segregated lunch counters in the South 3. the success of the sit-ins proved that blacks had economic power and could use it to end segregation 4. sit-ins attracted a great amount of media attention and sympathy for the civil rights movement/night after night TV viewers across America saw peaceful students being mistreated 5. the sit-ins led to the formation of the Students Non-Violent Co-ordinating Committee (SNCC) which provided student volunteers for marches and other protests 6. the use of the sit-in tactic spread to protest against other forms or racial segregation (eg wade-ins at segregated swimming pools/pray-ins at segregated churches)	78.			6	Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. many white Americans supported the protestors 2. restaurants and cafés across America could not afford the bad publicity and loss of business/by the summer of 1960 there were almost no more segregated lunch counters in the South 3. the success of the sit-ins proved that blacks had economic power and could use it to end segregation 4. sit-ins attracted a great amount of media attention and sympathy for the civil rights movement/night after night TV viewers across America saw peaceful students being mistreated 5. the sit-ins led to the formation of the Students Non-Violent Co-ordinating Committee (SNCC) which provided student volunteers for marches and other protests 6. the use of the sit-in tactic spread to protest against other forms of racial segregation (eg wade-ins at segregated swimming pools/pray-ins at segregated churches) 7. sit-ins gave other black Americans (eg students/young people) the confidence and determination to campaign for civil rights.

Qı	uestio	on	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
79.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified in the source include:
				Martin Luther King led a protest march through Birmingham     (against the discrimination and inequality faced by black Americans in the city)
				the march was led by children/with over 30,000 demonstrators taking part
				3. Chief of Police, Bull Connor, ordered the arrest of protestors (many children aged 6 to 18 were jailed)
				4. on the following day water cannons were used to disperse the marchers
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				5. many protestors were beaten/injured by police (many of them were children)
				6. dogs were also used by the police to attack the protestors 7. on the third day, the firemen refused to turn on their hoses/many
				of the police refused orders to attack the marchers
				<ul><li>8. the march continued into a fourth day</li><li>9. the businessmen of Birmingham, worried about the impact on trade, offered to desegregate restrooms, lunch counters and drinking fountains within 90 days</li></ul>
				10. the Ku Klux Klan was furious at the offer from the businessmen and firebombed black churches, houses and businesses.
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Q	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question				
80.			4	Candidates can be credited in a nur 4 marks.	nber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>			
				Candidates must interpret the evide between sources. Candidates are ex directly on a point-by-point basis. T sources and/or compare the viewpo	spected to compare content They may compare the details in the			
				A simple comparison will indicate with the sources agree or disagree on an developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second mark marks by making four simple compart (or by any combination of these).	d <b>should be awarded 1 mark.</b> A s of detail or overall viewpoint . Candidates may achieve full			
				Possible points of comparison may	include:			
				Overall the sources disagree about				
				Source C	Source D			
				Malcolm was a racist who hated white Americans (in much the same way that members of the KKK hated black Americans).	Malcolm didn't hate white Americans, he just distrusted them (not because of their skin colour but because of the way they treated his people).			
				His answer to the discrimination faced by black Americans was to call for segregation of the races	Malcolm X never once argued for segregation of white Americans from black Americans.			
				(to separate black Americans from the 'white enemy').				

# PART H - Appeasement and the Road to War, 1918-1939

Questio	n Max marl	3
81.	6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. Germans were angered at having no say in the terms of the Treaty, calling it a 'DIKTAT' or dictated peace 2. Germans felt that Germany was unjustly blamed for starting the war (War Guilt Clause) 3. Germans resented having to pay reparations 4. anger towards the Treaty was further heightened by the economic difficulties Germany faced in the 1920s (hyperinflation) 5. Germans were angered that land lost in the Treaty led to Germans living under foreign rule 6. the loss of German colonies was felt to be unfair 7. resentment towards the Treaty was further heightened as the territorial terms were not based on Wilson's 14 points/self-determination 8. Germans believed that the reduction in the armed forces left their country vulnerable to attack by foreign nations.  Any other valid reason.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
82.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.
				Possible points which may be identified from the source include:
				<ol> <li>the League improved health by starting a global campaign to exterminate mosquitoes and the spread of malaria</li> <li>when Czechoslovakia and Poland fought over Teschen, the League resolved the conflict by splitting the area between the two countries</li> </ol>
				<ol> <li>in 1921 it held a vote to settle a disagreement between Germany and Poland over control of Silesia</li> <li>when Greece invaded Bulgaria in 1925, the League successfully ordered Greece to withdraw.</li> </ol>
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				<ol> <li>the League helped prisoners of war return to their homes in the years following the end of the First World War</li> <li>the League settled a dispute between Sweden and Finland over the Aaland Islands in 1921 (eg after an investigation it decided that the islands should belong to Finland)</li> <li>the League fought worldwide slavery (eg slave trade in Africa and Burma, freed 200,000 slaves)</li> <li>the League assisted refugees (eg set up camps, provided food, built farms and homes)</li> <li>the League of Nations tackled the illegal drugs trade (eg blacklisting large German, Dutch, French and Swiss companies which were involved in the sale of illegal drugs)</li> <li>the League worked to prevent the spread of leprosy.</li> </ol>
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	Question		Question Max mark		Specific marking instructions for this question
83.	uesti.	on	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. Hitler introduced conscription to the German army (eg by the end of 1935 the German army totalled over 500,000 men)  2. Hitler ordered industry to begin the production of tanks  3. Hitler had built up an air force by the end of 1934  4. the Anglo-German Naval agreement was signed giving Germany permission to build up its navy/to a level that was 35% of Britain's naval strength  5. in March 1936, Hitler sent 20,000 German troops to reoccupy the demilitarised zone of the Rhineland  6. in March 1938, Hitler ordered German troops to march across the		
			border with Austria 7. under threat of a German invasion of Czechoslovakia, Britain, France and Italy signed the Munich agreement in September 1938 giving Germany permission to annexe the Sudetenland.  Any other valid point of knowledge.		

imum of  ful by purpose, lidate hich s relating m of e content ative
(s)
vell-
e oughly.
S
h the
(s)
nany tler was gs of the s).
nere was
ne ficulties
tleigs o s).

C	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
				Possible points of significant omission may include:
				1. Britain lacked allies (eg Empire countries unwilling, USA isolationist and France not trusted)
				2. Germany had rearmed with a powerful army, navy and air force so Britain felt too weak to challenge
				3. there was fear of war due to the likely destruction caused by bombing from the air
				4. by appeasing Hitler Britain bought itself time to rearm and strengthen the military.
				Any other valid point of significant omission.

Qı	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
85.			4	Candidates can be credited in a nu 4 marks.	mber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>		
				between sources. Candidates are e	They may compare the details in the		
				A simple comparison will indicate the sources agree or disagree on an developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second mark marks by making four simple comp (or by any combination of these).	nd <b>should be awarded 1 mark.</b> A nts of detail or overall viewpoint		
				Possible points of comparison ma	y include:		
				Overall both sources disagree about Chamberlain to Germany's occupations.	tion of Czechoslovakia in March		
				Source C	Source D		
				However, Chamberlain's reaction to the German occupation of Czechoslovakia was weak.	The German occupation of Czechoslovakia marks the moment that Chamberlain finally took strong action against Hitler.		
				Although Hitler was clearly in breach of the promises he had previously made, Chamberlain would not accuse him of breaking the terms of the Munich Agreement.	Chamberlain made it very clear to Hitler that he believed the promises he had made at Munich had been broken.		
				Chamberlain never had any intention of fighting Germany at this point and he continued trying to negotiate a peaceful settlement with Hitler.	Chamberlain put Britain on a war footing and was ready and willing to fight with Germany if necessary.		

### Section 3 — European and World Contexts

## PART I — World War II, 1939-1945

Qu	Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
Qu 86.	estio	on		Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.  Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.  Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).  Possible reasons may include:  1. two German army groups invaded, from Slovakia in the south and Prussia in the north which meant that the Polish forces were surrounded  2. the German air force attacked and destroyed the Polish air force in the air and on the ground which meant they quickly established air supremacy  3. German bombers attacked road and rail junctions, as well as concentrations of Polish troops which meant Polish counter-attack
				<ul> <li>concentrations of Polish troops which meant Polish counter-attack and communications were compromised</li> <li>4. towns and villages were bombed to spread terror among civilians and generate a fleeing mass of refugees which blocked the roads and prevented reinforcements from arriving at the front</li> <li>5. dive-bombers (Junkers) destroyed any strong points in the German path meaning that counter-attack was almost impossible</li> <li>6. Anglo-French military aid could not reach Poland in time to help</li> <li>7. the Soviet Union had signed a non-aggression pact with Germany in August 1939 and helped Germany defeat Poland by seizing a third of all Polish territory by mid-September</li> <li>8. the Polish army was weaker than the German army (eg 30 Polish divisions faced 40 German ones/12 of the Polish divisions were cavalry)</li> <li>9. the German army was more mechanised (eg while the Germans deployed 3,200 tanks, the Polish only had 600).</li> <li>Any other valid reason.</li> </ul>

Q	Question		Max mark		Specific marking instr	uctions for this question
87.			5	5 marks.  Candidate commenti timing, comust iden shows why A maximu to the aut 2 marks nof the sou comments	es must evaluate the exteng on evidence such as tontent or omission. For a tify an aspect of the sour this aspect makes the sem of 4 marks can be given hor, type of source, purposes be given for evaluating to points of significant significant to points of significant si	
				Example	s or aspects of the source	ce and relevant comments:
					Aspect	Possible comment(s)
				Author	Modern historian	Useful because he is a well-informed expert.
				Type of source	Textbook	Useful because it will have been thoroughly researched.
				Purpose	To inform	Useful because it provides detailed information.
				Timing	2011	Useful because it is written with the benefit of hindsight.
					Contont	Descible comment(s)
					Content	Possible comment(s)
				through I with the France to	nan plan was to attack Holland and Belgium, main blow against o be launched a little ough the Ardennes.	Useful because it is accurate (the plan was to attack France through the Ardennes).
				belief, the	to a generally held ne Germans had fewer on the Allies (2,500 ,500) at this point.	Useful because it is accurate (the German tanks were fewer in number than the French).
				concentra formation were scar organised	nan tanks were ated into Panzer ns but the French tanks ttered rather than d into powerful ns like the Germans.	Useful because it is accurate (the German tank formations were stronger than the French).

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question
			Possible points of significant omission may include:
			German troops used Blitzkrieg tactics and unleashed their surprise attack
			2. the French had most of their forces on the Maginot Line further south
			3. the Germans launched a major offensive on Paris on 9th June/on 13th June Paris was declared an open city
			4. the French government fled to Bordeaux/the first German troops entered the French capital on 14th June.
			Any other valid point of significant omission.

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
88.	6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>	
		Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.	
		Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.	
		Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support thei judgement.	
		A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	
		Possible points which may be identified in the source include:	
		<ol> <li>37 bombers from the USS Enterprise engaged in a dive-bombing attack on two Japanese aircraft carriers</li> <li>within minutes both ships were on fire due to the explosion of fuel lines and aircraft petrol tanks</li> <li>within six hours the remaining two Japanese carriers had also been destroyed</li> <li>by the time the battle ended, 3,057 Japanese had died</li> </ol>	
		Possible points of significant omission may include:	
		<ol> <li>the Americans were able to confirm the target of the Japanese was Midway by intercepting radio communications</li> <li>the American attack was a combined assault, mixing torpedo bombers with dive bombers escorted by fighters</li> <li>earlier the US torpedo bombers flew in at low level but were badly damaged by Japanese Zero fighters/47 out of 51 American torpedo planes were shot down</li> <li>the dive-bombers from the USS Enterprise were lost, but found their target by following a Japanese destroyer, which was steaming at high speed to re-join the carriers after driving off an American submarine</li> <li>the Japanese did not have radar, relying instead on the human eye to spot the threat</li> <li>Japanese losses were much greater than the US (the Japanese lost four carriers, a heavy cruiser and 270 aircraft - the US lost one carrier and 130 aircraft).</li> </ol>	
		Any other valid point of significant omission.	

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
89.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They make take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.  Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.  1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).  Possible points of knowledge may include:  1. on arrival the inmates were separated (men and women were kept apart, children stayed with their mothers) had their heads shaved and were given a striped uniform  2. every day there was a roll call (sometime the prisoners would have to stand in rows for hours on end in all weathers)  3. before roll call the inmates had to share unsanitary toilet facilities and wash in dirty water with no soap or change of clothes  4. inmates were given meagre rations (eg watery soup, a piece of bread and some imitation coffee once a day)  5. depending on the type of camp, prisoners were assigned to a whole range of different duties (eg some remained inside the camp working on a variety of jobs, from administration tasks to heavy manual labour)  6. most prisoners worked outside the camps in one of the many factories, construction projects, farms or coal mines (they would quite often have to walk several kilometres to their place of work)  7. punishments for breaking rules were harsh and could result in death  8. sleeping quarters were cramped and overcrowded bunk beds.  Any other valid point of knowledge.

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
90.			4	Candidates can be credited in a nur 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evide between sources. Candidates are exdirectly on a point-by-point basis. It sources and/or compare the viewpood A simple comparison will indicate the sources agree or disagree on an developed comparison of the point should be awarded a second mark marks by making four simple comparison by any combination of these).  Possible points of comparison may Overall the sources disagree about 1944.	ence and make direct comparisons expected to compare content. They may compare the details in the bints overall.  what points of detail or viewpoint d should be awarded 1 mark. A ts of detail or overall viewpoint. Candidates may achieve full arisons, two developed comparisons or include:
				Source C	Source D
				The Normandy landings, which pushed German forces out of north-west Europe, are often remembered as a predominantly American operation.	Despite being led by an American General, D-Day was a huge Allied effort with Britain taking the lead in planning and resourcing it.
				The German forces were defeated in northern France due to the better tactical skills of the Allies.	The German forces were not easy to defeat, nevertheless the Allies prevailed due to their superior resources.
				However, despite the Allies becoming bogged down in Normandy, they eventually secured one of history's most memorable victories.	The rapid Allied advance through France was faster than the German advance through France four years earlier.

### Section 3 — European and World Contexts

### **PART J – The Cold War, 1945-1989**

Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question	
6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>	
	Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons.	
	Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or a combination of these).	
	Possible reasons may include:	
	<ol> <li>to protect Western Europe from a potential Soviet attack</li> <li>to resist the spread of Communism to Western Europe</li> <li>to provide for a system of collective security</li> <li>to strengthen the American military presence in Europe</li> <li>to ensure that smaller member countries would be less vulnerable to Soviet influence or attack (in case the Soviets tried to pick off countries one by one)</li> <li>to make good on the Truman doctrine, which stated that the US would resist the spread of Communism</li> <li>in 1948 Czechoslovakia became Communist and this prompted action as it saw the disappearance of the last democracy in Eastern Europe</li> <li>the Berlin blockade seemed to indicate a new aggressive intent from the Soviets and NATO was established to try and counter this</li> <li>as a US response to British requests to do more to aid the countries of Western Europe</li> <li>the US hoped that NATO would integrate West Germany into the European system and thus reduce the likelihood of future conflict.</li> </ol> Any other valid reason.	
	mark	

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
92.	5 Cand 5 ma	umber of ways <b>up to a maximum of</b>		
	comr timir must	menting on evidence such as ng, content or omission. For a	tent to which a source is useful by the author, type of source, purpose, a mark to be given, the candidate urce and make a comment which source more or less useful.	
to the author, type of source, pur			tive comments relating to the content narks may be given for evaluative gnificant omission.	
		Aspect	Possible comment(s)	
	Auti	:hor Modern historian	Useful as he is a well-informed expert.	
	Typ	oe of Textbook	Useful because it will have researched the issue thoroughly.	
	Pur	rpose To inform	Useful as it provides detailed information.	
	Tim	ning 1997	Useful as it is written with the benefit of hindsight.	
		Content	Possible comment(s)	
	plac	962 Castro agreed to the cing of 64 nuclear missiles 9 bases in Cuba.	Useful as it is accurate (Castro did allow several Soviet bases to be set up in Cuba).	
	serv alor and	October, 43,000 Soviet vicemen arrived in Cuba, ng with hundreds of tanks I anti-aircraft missiles, to erate and defend the bases.	Useful as it is accurate (a considerable number of Soviet military personnel were based in Cuba).	
	at n	e equipment was unloaded night, and the servicemen ived on cruise ships dressed nolidaymakers.	Useful as it is accurate (Soviets did try to disguise their intentions).	
	place on 9 In O serve alore and operate of the at near in arrival in the control of the control	1962 Castro agreed to the cing of 64 nuclear missiles 19 bases in Cuba.  October, 43,000 Soviet vicemen arrived in Cuba, ng with hundreds of tanks I anti-aircraft missiles, to erate and defend the bases.  The equipment was unloaded hight, and the servicemen ived on cruise ships dressed	Useful as it is accurate (Casallow several Soviet bases tup in Cuba).  Useful as it is accurate (a considerable number of Soviet bases tup in Cuba).  Useful as it is accurate (Soviet bases tup in Cuba).	

Question	Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
		Possible points of significant omission may include:		
		<ol> <li>an American U2 spy plane took photographs of the missile sites</li> <li>the Americans responded with a naval blockade of Cuba</li> <li>US armed forces moved to DefCon2</li> <li>Krushchev agreed to remove the missiles (if the US did the same in Turkey).</li> </ol>		
		Any other valid point of significant omission.		

		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
93.			6	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 6 marks.</b>	
				Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development.	
				Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided.	
				Candidates should be given <b>up to 3 marks</b> for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement. Candidates should be given <b>up to 4 marks</b> for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement.	
				A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.	
				Possible points which may be identified in the source include:	
				the Americans used napalm which caused horrific burns on its victims	
				anti-personnel bombs exploded in mid-air and spewed out thousands of pellets and needles onto the land below	
				helicopters were also used to transport platoons in and out of the jungle very quickly	
				Operation Flaming Dart was a bombing campaign targeting North     Vietnamese bases in 1965	
				Possible points of significant omission may include:	
				<ul><li>5. Agent Orange was sprayed on crops/jungle</li><li>6. search and destroy missions aimed to kill any Vietcong found in villages</li></ul>	
				7. peasants were forced to leave Vietcong controlled areas and live in strategic hamlets	
				<ul><li>8. 'Zippo' raids were launched to burn villages</li><li>9. Operation Rolling Thunder involved a massive bombing campaign</li></ul>	
				on North Vietnam by B52 Bombers  10. Operation Phoenix saw the CIA kill or capture suspected Vietcong and sympathetic civilians.	
				Any other valid point of significant omission.	

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question		
94.	4 marks. They may take diff		4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways <b>up to a maximum of 4 marks.</b> They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events.	
				Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order.	
				1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or a combination of these).	
				Possible points of knowledge may include:	
				<ol> <li>guerilla warfare (launched surprise attacks before quickly disappearing)</li> <li>use of the Ho Chi Minh trail to supply their armies</li> <li>staying very close to the Americans so they could not use air or artillery backup without killing their own men ('Hanging onto the belts' of the Americans)</li> <li>use of booby traps/landmines</li> <li>mingling in with peasants to prevent identification</li> <li>the Tet Offensive was an attack on South Vietnamese cities</li> <li>location of bases in swamps and forests</li> <li>use of tunnels and underground bases.</li> </ol>	
				Any other valid point of knowledge.	

Question		Max mark	Specific marking instructions for this question			
95.			4	Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks.  Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall.  A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these).  Possible points of comparison may include:  Overall the sources disagree on the attitudes towards strategic arms limitation treaties.		
				Source C	Source D	
				Both countries believed that these treaties would limit the threat of nuclear destruction.	Many were concerned that agreements such as this would not reduce the threat of nuclear war.	
				There was a desire in both countries to save money rather than spending it on more weapons.	Nixon and Brezhnev did not care about how much this would cost.	
				There was a belief that arms reduction could lead to increased cooperation between the two superpowers.	Both countries continued to view each other with suspicion and were reluctant to cooperate on areas such as joint space missions.	

# [END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]