# yAudit yFu NFT Review

Review Resources: None beyond the code repositories

#### **Auditors:**

- blockdev
- NibblerExpress

### **Table of Contents**

- 1 Review Summary
- 2 Scope
- 3 Findings Explanation
- 4 Medium Findings
  - a 1. Medium No function to freeze transfers after unfreezing them (blockdev)
    - a Technical Details
    - b **Impact**
    - c Recommendation
    - d Developer response
- 5 Low Findings
  - a 1. Low Fix supportsInterface() (blockdev)
    - a Technical Details
    - b Impact
    - c Recommendation
    - d Developer response
  - b 2. Low NFT minting might be unfair if demand is high (blockdev)
    - a Technical Details
    - b Impact
    - c Recommendation
    - d Developer response

| С                    | 3. l | Low - Add sweep functions for Ether and ERC20 tokens (blockdev)         |
|----------------------|------|---|
|                      | а    | Technical Details   |
|                      | b    | Impact  |
|                      | С    | Recommendation  |
|                      | d    | Developer response  |
| d                    | 4. I | Low - Use call to transfer Ether (blockdev)                             |
|                      | а    | Technical Details   |
|                      | b    | Impact  |
|                      | С    | Recommendation  |
|                      | d    | Developer response  |
| Gas Savings Findings |      |   |
| а                    | 1. 0 | Gas - Use constant and internal variables (blockdev)                    |
|                      | а    | Technical Details   |
|                      | b    | Impact  |
|                      | С    | Recommendation  |
|                      | d    | Developer response  |
| b                    | 2. ( | Gas - Mark public functions as external (blockdev)                      |
|                      | а    | Technical Details   |
|                      | b    | Impact  |
|                      | С    | Recommendation  |
|                      | d    | Developer response  |
| С                    | 3. ( | Gas - Use OpenZeppelin's Ownable instead of AccessControl (blockdev)    |
|                      | а    | Technical Details   |
|                      | b    | Impact  |
|                      | С    | Recommendation  |
|                      | d    | Developer response  |
| d                    | 4. ( | Gas - Don't transfer mint fee to depositAddress on each mint (blockdev) |
|                      | а    | Technical Details   |
|                      | b    | Impact  |

- Recommendation d Developer response 5. Gas - Use uint256 for tokenIdCounter (blockdev) **Technical Details** b Impact c Recommendation d Developer response 6. Gas - Explore solmate's ERC721 and ERC1155 implementation (blockdev) **Technical Details** b Impact Recommendation d Developer response Informational Findings 1. Informational - Make sure to add / at the end of IPFS base URI (blockdev) **Technical Details** b Impact Recommendation d Developer response 2. Informational - \_beforeTokenTransfer() should be marked as view (blockdev) **Technical Details** b Impact c Recommendation d Developer response 3. Informational - Add unit tests to gain confidence (blockdev) Technical Details
  - d Developer response

c Recommendation

b Impact

d 4. Informational - Follow Solidity style guide (NibblerExpress)

- a Technical Details
- b Impact
- c Recommendation
- d Developer response
- 8 Final remarks
  - a blockdev
  - b NibblerExpress

## **Review Summary**

#### yFu NFT

yFu is an ERC721 NFT collection where each token represents the same metadata. Minters will be able to claim a physical comic book.

The main branch of the yfu-contracts Repo was reviewed over 6 days. The contract was reviewed from September 1 to September 6, 2022. The code was reviewed by 2 auditors for a total of 17 hours (blockdev 13 hours, NibblerExpress 4 hours). The review was limited to one specific commit.

## Scope

### Code Repo, Commit

The commit reviewed was edacf43d777a937t1530b8ta829099bb885f498e. The review covered the entire repository at this specific commit but focused on the only contract in the repository.

After the findings were presented to the yFu team, fixes were made and included in several PRs.

The review is a code review to identify potential vulnerabilities in the code. The reviewers did not investigate security practices or operational security and assumed that privileged accounts could be trusted. The reviewers did not evaluate the security of the code relative to a standard or specification. The review may not have identified all potential attack vectors or areas of vulnerability.

yAudit and the auditors make no warranties regarding the security of the code and do not warrant that the code is free from defects. yAudit and the auditors do not represent nor imply to third party users that the code has been audited nor that the code is free from defects. By deploying or using the code, yFu and users of the contracts agree to use the code at their own risk.

## **Findings Explanation**

Findings are broken down into sections by their respective impact:

- Critical, High, Medium, Low impact
  - These are findings that range from attacks that may cause loss of funds, impact control/ownership of the contracts, or cause any unintended consequences/actions that are outside the scope of the requirements
- Gas savings
  - Findings that can improve the gas efficiency of the contracts
- Informational
  - Findings including recommendations and best practices

## **Medium Findings**

### 1. Medium - No function to freeze transfers after unfreezing them (blockdev)

NFT transfers are paused when transfers\_frozen = true. Such is the case on contract deployment. Addresses with DEFAULT\_ADMIN\_ROLE can turn it off through unfreeze\_transfers() function. However, once this is called, there is no way to set transfers\_frozen to true again. So the transfers cannot be frozen again.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L16, YFUtechne.sol#L33-L35

#### **Impact**

Medium. Once transfers are allowed, they cannot be turned off.

#### Recommendation

Replace unfreeze transfers() with:

```
function set_transfers_frozen(bool b) public onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) {
   transfers_frozen = b;
}
```

#### **Developer response**

This specification is intended by the product requirements. We wanted the contract to explicitly not allow transfers to be frozen again once unfrozen, so secondary market price action can flow freely without people thinking we can freeze again.

## **Low Findings**

### 1. Low - Fix supportsInterface() (blockdev)

YFUtechne.supportsInterface() function overrides ERC721.supportsInterface() and AccessControl.supportsInterface():

```
function supportsInterface(bytes4 interfaceId)
   public
   view
   override(ERC721, AccessControl)
   returns (bool)
{
   return super.supportsInterface(interfaceId);
}
```

Due to how solidity inherits contracts, calling super.supportsInterface(interfaceId) will call AccessControl.supportsInterace(). So for type(IERC721).interfaceId, this function will return false.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L65-L72

#### **Impact**

Low. Even though this NFT contract implements ERC721 interface, supportsInterface()

called on ERC721's interfaceId will return false.

#### Recommendation

Apply this diff:

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 2. Low - NFT minting might be unfair if demand is high (blockdev)

The NFT mint function has no restrictions on address who can mint, and the number of tokens minted per address. If the demand of this collection is high, someone can mint all tokens to themselves in the worst case.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L41-L50

#### **Impact**

Low. The NFT team confirmed that this should not be an issue in practice. If it happens, they'll re-deploy the contract, perhaps after addressing this issue.

#### Recommendation

Consider adding an address allowlist for minting. This is achieved through hashing all the addresses in a merkle tree, and storing the merkle root in the contract. Then add a max limit on total mints per address in the allowlist.

#### **Developer response**

Acknowledged. We are fine with this issue.

### 3. Low - Add sweep functions for Ether and ERC20 tokens (blockdev)

In case, any Ether or ERC20 end up in this NFT contract's balance, (due to user error or incorrect royalty settings on marketplaces), there's no way to recover those funds.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol

#### **Impact**

Low. Funds sent to this contract accidentally will be lost.

#### Recommendation

Add functions <code>sweepEther()</code> and <code>sweepERC20()</code> which can only be called by addresses with special privileges (<code>DEFAULT\_ADMIN\_ROLE</code>). Call these functions to transfer any stuck funds out of the contract.

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 4. Low - Use call to transfer Ether (blockdev)

transfer() uses a fixed gas stipend to the Ether receiver. This has a benfit that it doesn't forward enough gas to allow the receiver to do any malicious action. However, transfer() can break in case the gas cost of Ether transfer is changed in future, or if the recipient wants to perform some non-malicious action.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L45: The mint fee is transferred to depositAddress as follows:

```
depositAddress.transfer(PRICE);
```

#### **Impact**

Low. If depositAddress is a contract which consumes more gas than the allocated gas stipend, mints will fail.

The risk is limited as this address can be changed if that happens.

#### Recommendation

The team should estimate on how long the minting period might go on. It's unlikely that the gas cost of Ether transfer is changed in the short term. If the team sets the depositAddress such that it's able to receive Ether in the gas stipend from transfer(), it's fine to keep it as is.

Otherwise, transfer Ether as follows:

```
(bool success, ) = depositAddress.call{value: PRICE}("");
require (success, "ETH transfer failed");
```

This would let depositAddress to reenter the contract. However, there is no risk through reentrancy, and this address is controlled by the admin.

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

## **Gas Savings Findings**

### 1. Gas - Use constant and internal variables (blockdev)

For constant variables for which values are known at compile time, mark them as constant to save gas. Further, they can be marked internal since getter functions are created for public variables increasing the contract size.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L13-L14

#### **Impact**

Gas savings.

#### Recommendation

Replace the declarations of MAX SUPPLY and PRICE with:

```
uint256 internal constant MAX_SUPPLY = 10;
uint256 internal constant PRICE = 1 ether;
```

#### Developer response

### 2. Gas - Mark public functions as external (blockdev)

For public functions which are not accessed from the contract itself, marking them as external saves gas when they are called.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L25-L50

#### **Impact**

Gas savings.

#### Recommendation

For the functions highlighted above, mark them as external instead of public.

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 3. Gas - Use OpenZeppelin's Ownable instead of AccessControl (blockdev)

If there is only one role and it is only held by one address, using <code>Ownable</code> based access is gas-efficient than role based access control since instead of storing a nested map, a single storage variable is used.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L5

#### **Impact**

Gas savings.

#### Recommendation

- Inherit from OpenZeppelin's Ownable contract instead of AccessControl.
- Instead of onlyRole(DEFAULT ADMIN ROLE), use onlyOwnable.

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 4. Gas - Don't transfer mint fee to depositAddress on each mint (blockdev)

On each mint, 1 ether is transferred twice, first from minter to NFT contract, and then from

the contract to depositAddress. This increases the gas cost of minting.

Instead, the NFT contract can hold this ether which can be sweeped at once from an address with DEFAULT ADMIN ROLE.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L45

#### **Impact**

Gas savings.

#### Recommendation

- Remove all functionality related to depositAddress in safeMint() function (declaration, setter function, ether transfer).
- Add a function sweepEther():

```
function sweepEther(address payable _addr) external onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) {
   (bool success, ) = _addr.call{value: address(this).balance}("");
   require(success, "!sweep");
}
```

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 5. Gas - Use uint256 for \_tokenIdCounter (blockdev)

Using uint256 instead of OpenZeppelin's Counter can save gas due to less overhead of incrementing tokenCounter.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L11, YFUtechne.sol#L47-L49

#### **Impact**

Gas savings.

#### Recommendation

Declare \_tokenIdCounter as uint256, and apply this diff:

```
- uint256 tokenId = _tokenIdCounter.current();
```

```
- _tokenIdCounter.increment();
- _safeMint(to, tokenId);
+ _safeMint(to, tokenId++);
```

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 6. Gas - Explore solmate's ERC721 and ERC1155 implementation (blockdev)

There are different ERC721 implementations like from OpenZeppelin, solmate, ERC721A. ERC721A gives gas benefits on batch minting, but are expensive on transfers. solmate's ERC721 generally gives more gas savings than that of OpenZeppelin in every way.

Since each token has the same image metadata, also consider exploring using ERC1155.

#### **Technical Details**

solmate's ERC721.sol, solmate's ERC1155.sol

#### **Impact**

Gas savings.

#### Recommendation

- Replace the use of OpenZeppelin's ERC721 implementation with solmate's ERC721 implementation. This is certain to give gas saving.
- Consider exploring ERC1155 and compare the gas costs between the two implementations.

#### **Developer response**

Won't fix.

## Informational Findings

### 1. Informational - Make sure to add / at the end of IPFS base URI (blockdev)

The tokenURI() function appends token ID to the IPFS base URI, hence it's important to note that the URI should have / at the end of it to have a well-formed tokenURI.

#### **Technical Details**

#### OpenZeppelin's ERC721.sol#L97

#### **Impact**

Informational.

#### Recommendation

Make sure to add / at the end of IPFS base URI.

#### **Developer response**

Acknowledged

### 2. Informational - \_beforeTokenTransfer() should be marked as view (blockdev)

Functions which only read storage space should be marked as view. Solidity compiler also warns about this at compile time.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol#L52

#### **Impact**

Informational.

#### Recommendation

Mark beforeTokenTransfer() as view.

#### **Developer response**

Fixed in PR#8

### 3. Informational - Add unit tests to gain confidence (blockdev)

Unit tests helps to catch bugs early on and future-proofs a contract from bugs that are introduced later.

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol

#### **Impact**

Informational.

#### Recommendation

Use any popular development environment for unit testing and local fork testing. Some

things to test for would be:

- The maximum number of tokens does not exceed MAX LIMIT.
- Only token owners and approved addresses can transfer NFT.
- Fee is charged on mint.
- tokenURI() works correctly.
- name and symbol is correct.
- Access controlled function can only be called by approved addresses.

We have separately provided a publicly available, MIT licensed NFT repository as a reference for unit tests.

After unit testing, test the project on a test network before mainnet deployment.

#### **Developer response**

Acknowledged

### 4. Informational - Follow Solidity style guide (NibblerExpress)

Some function and variable names do not follow the naming conventions of the Solidity style guide (e.g., set\_deposit\_address() rather than setDepositAddress()). The naming conventions of the style guide are available here: https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.14/style-guide.html#naming-conventions

#### **Technical Details**

YFUtechne.sol

#### **Impact**

Informational.

#### Recommendation

Change variable and function names to mixedCase for readability.

#### **Developer response**

Acknowledged

### **Final remarks**

### blockdev

The contract is pretty short and avoids complexity. No major risks were found.

## NibblerExpress

There is some minor clean-up to be done, but the code otherwise relies on highly used standards that are likely to be secure.