

Agentic AI for Mathematical Equation Solving

Sergeev Nikita
Innopolis university

n.sergeev@innopolis.university

Semenova Diana
Innopolis university

di.semenova@innopolis.university

Abstract—This project proposes the development of an AI agent capable of solving a range of mathematical equations, from basic arithmetic to complex computational tasks. The agent will use large language model capabilities to interpret, analyze, and solve mathematical problems presented in natural language or symbolic form. The system will be evaluated on standard mathematical datasets with metrics for accuracy and computational efficiency.

I. PROJECT IDEA

The development of AI systems capable of solving algorithmically-generated mathematical problems presents unique challenges in symbolic reasoning and pattern recognition. Our project will create a specialized AI system that:

- Processes the structured mathematical problems from the DeepMind dataset [1], handling both textual and symbolic representations
- Implements modular solvers for each of the 56 mathematical categories (arithmetic, algebra, calculus, etc.)
- Generates exact solutions matching the dataset's answer format requirements
- Validates solutions through computational verification methods
- Adapts to different difficulty levels (easy/medium/hard) within the dataset's framework

II. FORMAL PROBLEM DEFINITION

Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ be a mathematical question posed in natural or symbolic language, and let $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ be the desired exact numerical answer. The agent approximates a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that:

$$f(x) = \text{SymPy_eval}(\text{CodeGen}(\text{LLM}(x)))$$

where LLM provides reasoning and planning, CodeGen generates executable code, and SymPy_eval computes the result symbolically.

III. METHODOLOGY

Our AI agent follows a rigorous solve-check-learn pipeline for mathematical problem solving:

- 1) **Problem Understanding:**
 - Dual input processing: LLM for semantics + symbolic parser for equations
 - Automatic classification of problem type
- 2) **Solution Generation:**

- Hybrid solver combining:
 - Rule-based methods for basic operations
 - Neural networks for complex problems
 - SymPy for symbolic mathematics
- Outputs numeric answers with confidence scores

3) Validation & Learning:

- Multi-step verification: noitemsep
 - Algebraic recomputation
 - Numerical approximation
 - Alternative method cross-checking
- Continuous improvement through:
 - Error analysis
 - Solution pattern memorization
 - Strategy optimization

IV. DATASET DESCRIPTION

We utilize the Google DeepMind Mathematics Dataset [1], which contains:

- 2.5 million algorithmically generated math problems
- 56 modules covering arithmetic to advanced topics
- Multiple difficulty levels (easy/medium/hard)
- 90%-10% train-test split
- Problems in algebra, calculus, probability, and number theory

The dataset provides questions with exact answers but no step-by-step solutions.

V. AGENT ARCHITECTURE OVERVIEW

Our system is designed as an agentic pipeline inspired by recent work on tool-augmented language models and planning-based reasoning agents [2], [3], [4]. The architecture integrates large language models for natural language understanding and reasoning, Python-based symbolic solvers for precise computation, and a refinement loop for self-correction.

The agent operates in the following stages:

- 1) **Problem Parsing and Classification:** The input is parsed into structured components. A lightweight classifier (prompt-based or rule-based) identifies the mathematical domain and required operations.
- 2) **Planning and Decomposition:** Inspired by ReAct [2] and MRKL-style agents [5], the agent formulates a high-level plan. For example, multi-step problems are decomposed into sequential symbolic subgoals.
- 3) **Code Generation and Tool Invocation:** Using chain-of-thought prompting, the agent generates annotated

Python code. SymPy is used for algebraic manipulation, integration, simplification, etc. This design mitigates common LLM limitations in symbolic math which are common for classical deep learning approaches for symbolic math [6].

- 4) **Self-Evaluation and Correction:** Following the MMAU protocol [4], the agent analyzes execution results. If outputs are ill-formed (e.g., non-integer, exception, zero-division), the agent re-plans or edits the code. Execution feedback is looped into the next reasoning step.

This modular and self-correcting architecture ensures interpretability, robustness, and alignment with tool-augmented problem-solving benchmarks.

VI. TIMELINE AND CONTRIBUTIONS

TABLE I
PROJECT TIMELINE

Task	Deadline	Responsible Member
Proposal and Literature Review	June 27	Both
Dataset Collection and Preprocessing	June 29	Student 1
Base System Architecture	June 31	Student 2
Natural Language Understanding Module	July 2	Student 1
Symbolic Computation Integration	July 5	Student 2
Solution Strategy Implementation	July 7	Both
Verification System Development	July 11	Student 1
Evaluation Framework	July 15	Student 2
Final Integration and Testing	July 17	Both

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Saxton, E. Grefenstette, F. Hill, and P. Kohli, “Analysing mathematical reasoning abilities of neural models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01557*, 2019.
- [2] S. Yao, J. Zhao, D. Yu, H. W. Chung, K. Narasimhan, and J. Liu, “React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*, 2022.
- [3] T. Schick, A. N. Dwivedi-Yu, L. Chilton *et al.*, “Toolformer: Language models can teach themselves to use tools,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04761*, 2023.
- [4] D. Zhang *et al.*, “Mmau: A benchmark for evaluating math agents with tools,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01810*, 2023.
- [5] E. Wu *et al.*, “Autogpt: Building autonomous llm agents,” 2022, online: <https://github.com/Torantulino/Auto-GPT>.
- [6] G. Lample and F. Charton, “Deep learning for symbolic mathematics,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2019.