Mobile Information Systems

Lecture 05:
I/O on mobile devices (2/2)

© 2015-20 Dr. Florian Echtler
Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
<florian.echtler@uni-weimar.de>

I/O on mobile devices

- Issues, revisited
  - Last week: Touch, gestures, motion
  - Today: Vision, speech & other I/O channels
- Solutions
  - Common (commercial) approaches
  - Research projects

I/O issues: bimanual (recap)

- Symmetric
  - Both hands have same role,
    e.g. typing with both thumbs

- Asymmetric
  - Hands have different roles, e.g. one
    hand holds device, other hand types

- Often not possible:
  - One hand may be required for other tasks
  - Thumb-only usage sometimes difficult

Bimanual – MicroRolls

Image source (FU): https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1518843

- Thumb-only gestures

- Characterized by rolling without sliding

- 4 linear, 2 circular gestures
  → 6 extra commands
  reachable with single hand

"MicroRolls: expanding touch-screen input
vocabulary by distinguishing rolls vs. slides
of the thumb", Roudaut et al., CHI 2009

Bimanual – Context

Image source (FU): https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1979402

- People use many different strategies to deal with uni-manual context

- E.g. use interfering object as tool (E)

- Highly dependent on usage context (e.g. not possible with coffee mug)

"Ease of juggling: studying the effects of manual multitasking", Oulasvirta et al., CHI 2011

I/O issues: speech (recap)

- Speech input
  - Mostly used for hands-free dialing (in car)
  - Siri, Alexa, Google Now: more complex speech recognition offloaded to cloud service
  - Apparently not widely used (have you ever seen someone talk to Siri like in the commercial?)
- Speech output
  - Mostly used for car navigation
  - Again, not widely used otherwise
- Cultural differences (e.g. US vs. Europe)?

Speech + x – multimodal interaction?

- Classic example: "Put-that-there" (1980)

- Speech + pointing

- For mobile devices:

- Context issues: noise environment?

"'Put-that-there': Voice and gesture at the graphics interface", Bolt, R., SIGGRAPH 1980

Generic sound input (*not* speech)

Image source (CC): https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Spectrogram_of_violin.png

- e.g. whistling ("keyfinder")

- Music recording (Shazam etc.)

- Generally based on *fast Fourier transform* (FFT)

- Creates "fingerprint" of audio data, com-pares with database

I/O issues: vision (recap)

- Visual input (camera)
  - Input of barcodes/QR codes, text recognition (OCR), 3D structure reconstruction (SLAM)
  - Computer vision needs to deal with wildly different lighting conditions (indoor/outdoor)
- Visual output: display
  - Size/resolution: very high information density, suitable information visualization required
  - Brightness/contrast: readable in sunlight?
- Combination: augmented reality

Visual output

- Preface: technology
  - LCD/AMOLED screens
  - E-Ink, 3D displays
- Information visualization

Visual output – Screens: LCD (1)

Visual output – Screens: LCD (2)

- LCD = Liquid Crystal Display
  - Requires constant power to operate
  - Monochromatic on its own → uses color filters
- Usually has own backlight across whole screen
  - Backlight competes with ambient light
    → bad sunlight readability
  - Display absorbs part of white light from backlight
  - Alternative: *transflective* LCD (can use environment light, but more complex/expensive → rarely used)

Visual output – Screens: AM(O)LED

- LCDs slowly replaced by AM(O)LED displays
  - Active Matrix (Organic) Light Emitting Diodes
  - Every pixel is a small light source on its own
  - Long-term lifetime issues
- Generally more efficient than LCDs
  - Only generates light which is actually required
  - Lower total brightness (currently)

Visual output – E-Ink displays

- Only particles on the top are visible

- Electric field moves white/black particles to the top

- No energy needed to keep images, only to change (bi-stable)

- High contrast, sunlight readable

- Drawback: too slow for video etc.

Glass + trans-parent electrode

Charged pigment

Fluid-filled bubble

Charged pigment

Substrate + electrodes

Visual output – 3D displays

- Deliver two different images to left/right eye
- Problem: multiple depth cues in human vision
  - Stereo disparity (different left/right images) → ok
  - Parallax (different shift due to head motion) → ok
  - Focus depth (different eye accomodation) → fails
  - Body motion (move view by walking) → fails

→ discrepancies can lead to headache, nausea, …

Visual output – Autostereoscopic

Image source (CC): https://en.wikipedia.org/.../File:Parallax_barrier_vs_lenticular_screen.svg

- Parallax barrier / lenticular array

- Only reliable for single, stationary viewer

- Possible extensions: multi-view, user tracking

Visual output – HMDs

Image source (CC): https://en.wikipedia.org/.../File:Oculus_Rift_-_Developer_Version_-_Front.jpg

- HMDs = Head-Mounted Displays

  - e.g. Oculus Rift, Google Daydream, …

- Small revolution:

  - previous HMDs used 2 small, expensive high-resolution screens & complex optics

  - Now: use simple optics, one large(r) screen + visual correction in software

 - Also possible to use smartphone as display/ sensor platform

Visual output – InfoVis

- Size/resolution: very high information density
  - Often higher than human eye resolution ("retina screen")
  - Not sensible to use desktop interface methods
    (even if similar resolution available)
- Use *Information Visualization* techniques
  - Dedicated discipline with own lecture
  - Lack of screen space is a known problem
  - Example solution: *Focus & Context* methods

Visual output – Focus & Context

Image source (FU): https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1357054.1357264

- Basic idea: show a detailed view (Focus) + overview (Context) at the same time

- Many different variants possible:
  - Context as "World-In-Miniature" (WIM, below)
  - Focus via "lenses" (right)

Visual output – Halo & Wedge

Image source (FU): http://patrickbaudisch.com/projects/halo/, http://patrickbaudisch.com/projects/wedge/

Visual output – Halo & Wedge

Image source (FU): http://patrickbaudisch.com/projects/halo/

- Projects from 2004 (Halo) & 2008 (Wedge)

- Goal: visualize off-screen locations
    - Focus: map view
    - Context: screen border + halos/wedges

- User doesn't have to change zoom level

- http://patrickbaudisch.com/projects/halo/

Visual input – camera

Image sources (CC): https://wikipedia.org/Charge-coupled_device, https://wikipedia.org/Active_pixel_sensor

- Hardware (right)
  - CCD – higher sensitivity, more expensive
  - CMOS/APS – cheaper, no global shutter (center)
- Only greyscale, uses Bayer color filter (left)
  - 2 x green pixels due to human eye sensitivity

Visual input – camera: barcodes

Image source (CC): https://en.wikipedia.org/.../File:Scanning_QR_codes_on_business_cards.jpg

- Two common types of barcodes:
  - EAN product codes (linear, little data, ~ 15 chars)
  - QR codes (2D, up to 3 kB, error correction)
- (Relatively) simple computer vision problem
- "Barcode Scanner" app
  - Can be integrated into own apps as module

Visual input – camera: OCR

Image source (FU): http://cdn1.theweek.co.uk/.../public/9/26/150116-google-translate_0.jpg

- OCR = Optical Character Recognition

- Complex CV problem (different fonts etc.)

- Can be used to …

  – Scan & *index* documents (just scanning doesn't require OCR)

  – Translate foreign languages (cf. Augmented Reality)

# Visual input – camera: SLAM

Image source (FU): https://code.google.com/p/rtabmap/wiki/SLAMDemo

- SLAM = Simultaneous Location And Mapping
  - Creates 3D environment map on-the-fly …
  - … and locates device relative to map

- Usage scenarios:
  - "Inside-out": device moves within environment → map
  - "Outside-in": devices moves around object → 3D scan

Visual input – camera: object detection

Image source (FU): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MoMjIwGSFVQ

- Usually based on CNN (Convolutional Neural Network)

- Training/learning phase: offline on GPU cluster with large dataset, 10 000+ images with ground-truth labels

- Inference phase: on mobile device, also GPU/TPU accelerated

- CNN needs to be optimized for mobile (cf. Tensorflow Lite)

Visual I/O – Touch Projector

Image source (FU): https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1753326.1753671

- All-in-one approach:
  - Multi-screen visual output
  - Visual + touch input
- Touches on mobile device are "projected" onto larger screen

"Touch projector: mobile interaction through video", Boring et al., CHI 2010

I/O issues: other channels

- Bio sensors
  - Fingerprint, heart rate, skin conductivity
  - Privacy issues?
- Spoilt for choice? Too "exotic" for user?

Other channels – Hand/Body Stance

Image source (CC): https://www.flickr.com/photos/davidberkowitz/8598269932/
Image source (FU): https://ai.googleblog.com/2019/08/on-device-real-time-hand-tracking-with.html

- Complex model of human skeleton required
  - Computationally intensive
  - Needs external sensors (e.g. Leap Motion – left) or CNN (right)

Other channels – Grasp

Image source (FU): https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1935701.1935745

- Alternative to full body stance: grasp shape
  - Can use *on-device* sensing (capacitive/optical)
  - Enables conclusions about many facets of context

Figure for "Grasp Sensing for Human Computer Interaction" - copyright transfer to ACM only for figure with this notice.

Goal   Relationship   Anatomy   Setting   Properties

"Grasp sensing for human-computer interaction", Wimmer et al., TEI 2011

Other channels – facial expression

Image source (CC): https://www.flickr.com/photos/averageface/8260432583/
Image source (FU): https://cvhci.anthropomatik.kit.edu/publications_924.php

- Active area of research in computer vision
  - Still unreliable for multiple different persons
  - Context issues: lighting, motion?
- Usage: mood (= context) detection, security

(a) sad   (b) anger   (c) disgust

Other channels – facial expression

Image source (FU): https://static.giga.de/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/animoji-iphone-x.png

- *Interpretation* of facial expression is difficult (even for humans)

- *Mapping* to an avatar is easier ("Animoji")

- Usually also solved with CNN to detect feature points in face

Other channels – eye/gaze tracking

Image source (CC): https://www.flickr.com/photos/smieyetracking/14970688193/
Image source (CC): https://www.flickr.com/photos/andyed/387442396/

- Dedicated device or integrated into phone

  - Determines gaze direction of user (i.e. also provides pointing device, attention level)

  - Also: concentration level (pupil dilation, saccade frequency = involuntary eye movements)

Other channels – Heart rate/ECG

Image source (PD): https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wrist-oximeter.jpg

- Heart rate/blood oxygen saturation can be measured using IR light (pulse oximeter)

- Built into recent smartwatches/fitness bands

- Even possible with smartphone camera! (How?)

Other channels – Environment

Image sources (FU): http://www.exp-tech.de/seeed-studio-grove-gas-sensor-mq2, https://www.amazon.de/dp/B06XKXFFNZ/, https://techcrunch.com/2017/04/30/monit/

- Environmental sensors:
  - Gas concentration (e.g. methane, NO_x, CO_2 etc.)
  - Temperature, moisture, sunlight, …
- Usage scenarios
  - Citizen science projects, e.g. for air quality
  - Plant & diaper monitoring (seriously :-)

Temperature Detection
Nutrient Detector
Light Detection
Soil Moisture Detection

Other channels – fingerprint

Image source (CC): https://en.wikipedia.org/.../File:Fingerprint_scanner_identification.jpg

- Many recent phones have fingerprint sensors
  - Used for unlocking device, authorizing transactions, …
  - Usually capacitive, sometimes optical
- Could be integrated directly into screen → ?
  - Immediate authentication
 - Finger identification
  - Increased precision

Other channels – body shape

- Detects shape of touching body part

- Uses capacitive touch screen to create rough image of body part (hand, ear, knuckles, …)

- Used for authentication, e.g. only correct ear is able to answer phone

"Bodyprint: Biometric User Identification on Mobile Devices Using the Capacitive Touchscreen to Scan Body Parts", Holz et al., CHI 2015

303/06/20   Mobile Information Systems - © 2015 Dr. Florian Echtler, Bauhaus-Universität Weimar   37

Other channels – brain currents (1)

- BCI = Brain-Computer Interface
  - Measures extremely low currents created by brain activity
- In theory, the non-plus-ultra of interfaces
  - Hands-free, silent, no visual attention needed, ...
- In practice: very difficult to ...
  - Set up (electrodes on head)
  - Learn (significant practice required)
  - Use (multiple error sources)

Other channels – brain currents (2)

- Consumer-grade hardware can currently only determine general states (relaxed, focused, …)

- Medical-grade hardware: better capabilities, but even more complex setup (e.g. MRI)

The End